dnr.wi.gov

Form 3400-189P (R 11/05)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type					
Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant					
Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant					
Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant					
Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant					
2. Grantee & Project Information					
Project Name	Grant Number				
Dunn's Marsh Drainage Project	TUC-TRM-13251-99				
Governmental Unit Name	Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.)				
City of Madison	City				
Watershed Name	Watershed Code				
Yahara River & Lake Monona (Lower Rock GMU)	07090001160 (LR08)				
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name	Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)				
Nine Springs Creek	804200				
s. 303(d) Waterbody? Xes No					
What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?					

Total Suspended Solids, Total Phosphorus, Lead, Zinc

For <u>each</u> project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

	Location:	А	В	С	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name		Fitchburg	Fitchburg			
PLSS Town		06	06			
	Range	09	09			
	Section	05	05			
	Quarter	NE	SW			
	Quarter-Quarter	SW	NW			
Latitude		43.025	43.023			
Longitude		-89.452	-89.463			
Property Owner(s)	Name	City of Fitchburg	City of Fitchburg			
	Mailing address	5520 Lacy Rd	5520 Lacy Rd			
		Fitchburg,WI 53711	Fitchburg,WI 53711			
Site address		Seminole Hwy	Seminole Hwy			
(if different than mailing address)		Fitchburg, WI	Fitchburg, WI			

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, to the best of your knowledge, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

 Table A.
 Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	7580	SLAMM 6.1
	% TSS reduction	70	SLAMM 6.1

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Feet of bank protected		
Number of farms		
Tons of bank erosion reduced		
Feet of bank protected		
Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Pounds TSS reduced	7580	SLAMM 6.1
% TSS reduction	70	SLAMM 6.1
% Pre-development stay-on volume		
Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Change in cubic feet per second		
Feet of bank protected		
Oily sheen presence		
Tons of bank erosion reduced		
Feet of bank protected		
Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Municipalities planned for		
Acres planned for		
g Municipalities planned for		
Acres planned for		
	Feet of bank protected Number of farms Tons of bank erosion reduced Feet of bank protected Units of Measure Pounds TSS reduced % TSS reduction % Pre-development stay-on volume Cubic feet stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second Feet of bank protected Oily sheen presence Tons of bank erosion reduced Feet of bank protected Units of Measure Municipalities planned for Acres planned for g	Feet of bank protected Number of farms Tons of bank erosion reduced Feet of bank protected Units of Measure Quantity Pounds TSS reduced 7580 % TSS reduction 70 % Pre-development stay-on volume Cubic feet stay-on volume Cubic feet stay-on volume Oily sheen presence Tons of bank erosion reduced Feet of bank protected Oily sheen presence Tons of bank erosion reduced Feet of bank protected Quantity Municipalities planned for Acres planned for Quantity Municipalities planned for

B. Project Results Narrative

Dunn's Marsh has experienced a degradation of shoreline plant community due to high water levels and poor quality if incoming surface water runoff. Under predevelopment conditions, water levels fluctuated based on annual runoff. In low-water years, mudflats were exposed to facilitate growth of emergent plants. With increasing water levels, emergent vegetation has declined until only a fringe remained. This project incorporated treating stormwater runoff to reduce Total Phosphorus and TSS and lowering the water level in the wetland. Three detention basins were constructed by Fitchburg, and the City of Madison installed a diversion pipe, modified an existing control structure, constructed two water level control structures, and dreged a portion of the outlet channel (cost for this not included within grant funds). As a result, there has been a significant regeneration of emergent plants, starting in the first growing season, including Scirpus validus, Sagittaria latifolia, Scirpus fluviatilis, and Sparganium eurycarpum.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

Notice Information			Notice Satisfaction Information			
					ied?	
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Yes	No	Date Letter Sent

5. Summary of Project Challenges

Coordinating access at the various locations of the project with Fitchburg, Railroad, and adjacent property owners. Adjusting outlet structure and channel dredging elevations due to inaccurate survey data submitted early in th project.

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

The emergent plant growth has been maintained for the past 5 years. However, for this success to continue, periodic maintenance dredging of the outlet channel needs to occur. In 2004, the City of Madison applied and obtained a 10-year maintenance permit to perform the dredging as needed.

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)						
Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.						
Name of Document	Date(s) effective	Dat	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator			
8. Grantee Certification:						
Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.						
Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.			Date			
Genesis Bichanich, Water Resource Specialist I	11/17/05					