

1414 West Hamilton Avenue P.O. Box 8 Eau Claire, WI 54702-0008

September 21, 2011

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

Subject: <u>2011 Purple Loosestrife Monitoring Report</u> White River (P-2444), Superior Falls (P-2587), Hayward (P-2417), Big Falls (P-2390-01), And Thornapple (P-2475)

Dear Secretary:

Enclosed is a copy of the 2011 purple loosestrife monitoring report for the abovereferenced hydro projects. The operating license issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) for each project directs Xcel Energy (Licensee) to annually monitor project shorelines for purple loosestrife presence. The results are then documented and submitted to the resource agencies and the Commission.

This year's surveys were conducted in August during a period of peak loosestrife flowering. The results were then compared to previous surveys in order to determine any trends. Superior Falls, White River, and Big Falls continue to remain free of any infestations. Thornapple Flowage showed a significant increase in loosestrife presence while Lake Hayward's population remained relatively stable.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to contact Matthew Miller of this office by telephone at (715) 737-1353 or by electronic mail at matthew.j.miller@xcelenergy.com.

Sincerely,

William Zawacki (Director, Hydro Plants

Enclosure: 2011 Purple Loosestrife Report

c: Mr. Nick Utrup (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) Mr. Jeff Scheirer (Wisconsin DNR) Project Files

2011 Purple Loosestrife Monitoring Report For Superior Falls Flowage, White River Flowage, Lake Hayward, Big Falls Flowage And Thornapple Flowage.

Xcel Energy

September 21, 2011

2011 Purple Loosestrife Monitoring At Superior Falls Flowage, White River Flowage, Lake Hayward, Big Falls Flowage And Thornapple Flowage.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The operating licenses for the above-referenced hydro projects directed Xcel Energy (Licensee) to develop a purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria) monitoring plan for project shorelines. The plans were developed with input from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the National Park Service (NPS). The plans direct Licensee to annually monitor project shorelines during the period of peak purple loosestrife biomass (late July through August). The following report is a summary of the surveys that were performed in August 2011, including a comparison with surveys from previous years.

2.0 <u>METHODS</u>

The Superior Falls and White River flowages were surveyed on August 9, while Lake Hayward was surveyed on August 10, 2011. Project lands downstream of the Hayward Hydro Project were also surveyed. Big Falls and Thornapple Flowages were surveyed on August 30. The survey dates coincided with peak flowering whereby purple loosestrife could easily be identified and documented for relative abundance. Field observations were conducted by boat with the aid of binoculars.

Shorelines infested with purple loosestrife were divided into two classes, either present or common and abundant. Areas categorized as present or common indicated a single plant or a few plants scattered along the shoreline. Those areas categorized as abundant indicated a large concentration of plants approaching a near monotypic stand. The areas of infestation were then documented on a bathymetric map and the length of infested shoreline was calculated with a planimeter. This method has a tendency to overestimate the amount of shoreline that is infested, as a single dot on the map often indicates just one plant. However, it does provide a reliable indication of the relative abundance of purple loosestrife and whether it is increasing or decreasing in coverage from year to year.

3.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

3.1 <u>Superior Falls Flowage</u>. No purple loosestrife was observed on the Superior Falls Flowage. The findings are consistent since surveying began in 1998. A survey of flowage waters was also conducted for Eurasian Milfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum) and no plants were found. This is also consistent with past surveys.

3.2 <u>White River Flowage</u>. There was no documentation of purple loosestrife on the White River flowage. The findings are consistent with surveys conducted from 1998-2010.

3.3 <u>Lake Hayward</u>. The presence and abundance of purple loosestrife on Lake Hayward has been relatively stable over the last several years. This year's survey indicated a slight increase in areas categorized as present or common, while those areas of abundant infestation remained stable. The increase in the present/common category can be primarily attributed to single plant infestations. Over the last several years, Licensee has observed that these single plant infestations appear to be sporadic as riparian owners often mow or cut down plants either inadvertently or by design. These single specimens can appear one year and not the next due to the actions of landowners. This year's hot and humid weather provided ideal growing conditions for purple loosestrife.

Licensee is aware of annual purple loosestrife (and other invasive species) control efforts by the National Park Service (NPS) on Lake Hayward including the project tailwaters. This year's survey revealed three individual loosestrife plants located immediately below the spillway.

Purple loosestrife has historically been most abundant near the Smith Lake Creek inlet. Field observations this year indicate virtually no change over the last three years. The table below summarizes the results of surveys from Lake Hayward since they began in 1997.

Year	Shoreline Miles (Present)	Shoreline Miles (Abundant)
1997	0.3	0.70
1998	Shoreline coverage	not determined
1999	1.08	0.25
2000	1.28	0.10
2001	1.13	0.19
2002	0.90	0.07
2003	0.10	0.07
2004	0.54	0.0
2005	0.54	0.0
2006	0.82	0.04
2007	0.80	0.04
2008	0.46	0.07
2009	0.47	0.06
2010	0.57	0.06
2011	0.63	0.06

Licensee donated money to the Hayward High School's Environmental Studies class a number of years ago to initiate a biological control program for purple loosestrife on Lake Hayward. The class cooperated with the WDNR to secure a population of leafeating beetles (*Galerucella calmariensis* or *G. pusilla*) which specifically targets purple loosestrife plants. The beetles were then transplanted to those areas with the greatest concentration of plants. Their efforts along with the NPS's annual chemical control appear to have been very successful, especially in those areas classified as abundant. Continued monitoring will help evaluate the long-term benefits of the biological control program. 3.4 <u>Big Falls Flowage</u>. There were no purple loosestrife plants found on the shoreline of Big Falls Flowage. Again, this was the same as the results of previous surveys conducted from 1998-2010.

3.5 <u>Thornapple Flowage</u>. The presence of purple loosestrife showed a significant increase compared to 2010 levels, primarily due to an increase in single or pioneering plants. Purple loosestrife was found to be present or common along 1.91 miles of shoreline this year compared to 0.79 miles in 2010. Near-record precipitation and extremely high river flows in northern Wisconsin in 2010 may have contributed to an increase in seed dispersal. This year's ideal growing conditions (hot and humid) may have combined with the newly dispersed seeds and led to the increase in pioneering plants. There were no areas categorized as abundant this year which is consistent with last year's survey.

Significant increases in purple loosestrife are unlikely due to a combination of past biocontrol efforts and a lack of available habitat. Shoreline areas where pioneering plants were observed were often the result of a recent disturbance (lawn cutting, brush removal, etc.) along privately developed shorelines. In contrast, single specimens recorded in one year may be absent the next due to lawn mowing and other landscape activities, only to reappear the following year.

There were no areas of abundant loosestrife infestations in 2011. The wetland areas, however, continue to account for the greatest concentration of loosestrife plants. The table below summarizes the findings from surveys conducted from 1998-2011 on the Thornapple Flowage.

Year	Shoreline Miles (Present)	Shoreline Miles Common	Shoreline Miles (Abundant)
1998	Shorelin	e coverage not determined	
1999	2.36	0.27	0.67
2000	1.64	-	0.70
2001	2.52	-	0.67
2002	2.52	-	0.48
2003	2.10	-	0.48
2004	2.33	-	0.45
2005	2.15	-	0.42
2006	1.76	-	0.39
2007	1.40	-	0.33
2008	1.30	-	0.15
2009	0.45	-	0.06
2010	0.79	-	0.00
2011	1.91	-	0.00

In July of 2004, Licensee cooperated with the Lake Holcombe Improvement Association (LHIA) to introduce a beetle population to the shorelines of the Thornapple Flowage that specifically targets purple loosestrife plants. Approximately 20,000 beetles were

introduced in the wetland areas of the flowage where purple loosestrife densities have historically been highest. Earlier introductions of these beetles at Licensee's Hayward and Holcombe projects have been met with great success. The overall decline of loosestrife presence and abundance indicates that the beetles have had a significant impact. This is the second year since monitoring began that no part of the flowage shoreline was classified as abundant. Future surveys will hopefully continue to document this trend. Licensee will continue to monitor purple loosestrife densities on the flowage throughout the term of the license.

4.0 CONCLUSION

Purple loosestrife was not documented on the White River Flowage, the Superior Falls Flowage or the Big Falls Flowage. Lake Hayward has experienced a drastic decline in purple loosestrife since 2000 due to the introduction of a beetle population which specifically targets the plant and the NPS's annual control efforts. The presence and abundance of loosestrife now appears to have stabilized over the past few years. The modest increase in single plant infestations this year may have been related to landscaping or lawn care actions by riparian owners. A wet 2010 followed by ideal growing conditions this year may also have played a part in the increase in pioneering plants.

Much of the Thornapple Flowage shoreline is scattered with purple loosestrife plants, with heavier concentrations confined to the wetland areas in the central portion of the impoundment. The number of pioneering plants increased significantly in 2011. The increase may be attributed to the reasons mentioned above. More importantly, there has been a significant decline over the last decade in both the overall presence and abundant of loosestrife. Much of this is likely attributable to the biological control efforts of 2004. It may have taken several years for the beetle population to become well established, with their impact only now appearing over the last several years.

20110921-5065 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 9/21/2011 12:49:02 PM



20110921-5065 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 9/21/2011 12:49:02 PM



LAKE	Thornapple Flow
SECTION	18, 19, 22, 23, 24
RANGE	6, 7 W
TOWN	Thornapple
TOWNSHIP	34 N
TOWNSHIP	<u>J + 11</u>

This is the only hydrographic map of this lake available, produced from original charts of Dept. of Natural Resources — Madison

A U. S. Geological Survey Map is available from us showing the area (approx. 12 square miles) adjacent to this lake.

To order specify _____ Thornapple _____ Quadrangle



 $\frac{2.5 \ CLICKS}{4000 \ FT} = \frac{1.3 \ CLICKS}{X}$ $X = 10,080 \ FT = 1.91 \ MILES$

COMMON OR PRESENT

AREAS OF PURPLE LOOSESTRIFE

ABUNDANT

 $\frac{2.5 \text{ CLICKS}}{4000 \text{ FT}} = \frac{0 \text{ CLICKS}}{X}$

X = OFT = OMILES

LEGEND TOPOGRAPHIC SYMBOLS BRUSH REFUGE ____ SAPLING TANGLE_ __ w SPAWNING BOX_ MINNOW SPAWNER ____ WEED BED__ 2..... ROCKY SHOAL __ DWELLING_. ABANDONED DWELLING____ . RESORT_____ STEEP SLOPE__ _____O SPRING_ INTERMITTENT INLET____ BRUSH_ (W) WOODED_____ PASTURED_ CULTIVATED_____ ENCROACH. SHORE.____ PERMANENT INLET____ PERMANENT OUTLET_ 14 41 MARSH (PW) PARTIALLY WOODED__ CLEARED_____© BENCH MARK____B.M.

LAKE BOTTOM SYMBOLS

PULPY PEATP	
MUCKK	
CLAYC	
SANDS	
RUBBLER	
EMERGENT VCGET	
FIBROUS PEATF	
DETRITUSD	
MARLM	
GRAVELG	•
BEDROCKBr.	
SUBMERGENT VEGETT	

RUSK COUNTY

MAP NO.

5129

201109	921-5065	FERC PDF	(Unofficial)	9/21/2011	12:49:02	PM	
Docur	ment Com	ntent(s)					
2011	Purple	Loosest	rife Monit	oring Rep	ort.PDF		 1-8