**Xcel** Energy®

1414 West Hamilton Avenue P.O. Box 8 Eau Claire, WI 54702-0008

October 4, 2017

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

Subject: <u>2017 Purple Loosestrife Monitoring Report</u> White River (P-2444), Superior Falls (P-2587), Hayward (P-2417), Big Falls (P-2390-01), and Thornapple (P-2475)

Dear Secretary:

Enclosed is a copy of the 2017 purple loosestrife monitoring report for the above-referenced hydro projects. The license for each project directs Xcel Energy (licensee) to annually monitor project shorelines for purple loosestrife presence. The results are then documented and submitted to the resource agencies and the Commission.

This year's surveys were conducted in August during a period of peak loosestrife flowering. The results were then compared to previous surveys in order to determine any trends. Superior Falls, White River, and Big Falls continue to remain free of purple loosestrife. Loosestrife populations on Lake Hayward and Thornapple Flowage showed modest to significant decreases, respectively.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to contact Matthew Miller of this office by telephone at (715) 737-1353 or by e-mail at matthew.j.miller@xcelenergy.com.

Sincerely,

William Zawacki Director, Hydro Plants

Enclosure: 2017 Purple Loosestrife Report

c: Nick Utrup - USFWS (via e-mail) Cherly Laatsch - Wisconsin DNR (via email) Project Files

# 2017 Purple Loosestrife Monitoring Report for:

Superior Falls Flowage (Article 409) White River Flowage (Article 408) Lake Hayward (Article 410) Big Falls Flowage (Article 408) Thornapple Flowage (Article 410)

**Xcel Energy** 

#### 2017 Purple Loosestrife Monitoring At Superior Falls Flowage, White River Flowage, Lake Hayward, Big Falls Flowage And Thornapple Flowage.

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The FERC licenses for the above-referenced hydro projects direct Xcel Energy (licensee) to develop a purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria) monitoring plan for project shorelines. The plans were developed in consultation with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the National Park Service (NPS). The plans require licensee to annually monitor project shorelines during the period of peak purple loosestrife biomass (late July through August). The following report is a summary of the surveys that were performed in August 2017 and includes a comparison with surveys from previous years.

## 2.0 <u>METHODS</u>

Superior Falls Flowage, White River Flowage and Lake Hayward were surveyed on August 8, 2017. Project lands immediately downstream of the Hayward Dam were also surveyed. Big Falls and Thornapple flowages were surveyed on August 23, 2017. The survey dates coincided with peak flowering whereby purple loosestrife could easily be identified and documented for relative abundance. Field observations were conducted via boat by two persons with the aid of binoculars.

Shorelines infested with purple loosestrife were divided into two classes, either present or common and abundant. Areas categorized as present or common indicated a single plant or a few plants scattered along the shoreline. Those areas categorized as abundant indicated a large concentration of plants approaching a near monotypic stand. The areas of infestation were then documented on a bathymetric map and the length of infested shoreline was calculated with a map wheel. This method has a tendency to overestimate the amount of shoreline that is infested, as a single dot on the map often indicates just one plant. However, it does provide a reliable indication of the relative abundance of purple loosestrife and whether it is increasing or decreasing in coverage from year to year.

## 3.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

3.1 <u>Superior Falls Flowage</u>. No purple loosestrife was observed on Superior Falls Flowage which is consistent with previous surveys since monitoring began in 1998. A survey of flowage waters was also conducted for Eurasian Water Milfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum) and no plants were found. This is also consistent with past surveys.

3.2 <u>White River Flowage</u>. There was no purple loosestrife observed in 2017. No evidence of purple loosestrife has been found since monitoring began in 1998.

3.3 <u>Lake Hayward</u>. The presence of purple loosestrife on Lake Hayward has been relatively stable over the last several years. Appendix A includes a survey map of Lake Hayward depicting this year's loosestrife coverage. This year's survey indicated a modest decrease in areas categorized as present or common. There were no areas classified as abundant this year.

Licensee is aware of annual purple loosestrife monitoring and control efforts by the National Park Service (NPS) in the project's tailwater. This year's survey found no plants in the tailwater.

The table below summarizes the results of surveys from Lake Hayward since they began in 1997.

Year	Shoreline Miles (Present or Common)	Shoreline Miles (Abundant)
1997	0.3	0.70
1998	Shoreline coverage not determined	-
1999	1.08	0.25
2000	1.28	0.10
2001	1.13	0.19
2002	0.90	0.07
2003	0.10	0.07
2004	0.54	0.0
2005	0.54	0.0
2006	0.82	0.04
2007	0.80	0.04
2008	0.46	0.07
2009	0.47	0.06
2010	0.57	0.06
2011	0.63	0.06
2012	0.76	0.01
2013	0.72	0.00
2014	0.63	0.00
2015	0.49	0.00
2016	0.57	0.00
2017	0.40	0.00

Licensee donated money to the Hayward High School's Environmental Studies class a number of years ago to initiate a biological control program for purple loosestrife on Lake Hayward. The class cooperated with the WDNR to secure a population of leaf-eating beetles (*Galerucella calmariensis* or *G. pusilla*) which specifically targets purple loosestrife plants. The beetles were then transplanted to those areas with the greatest concentration of plants.

3.4 <u>Big Falls Flowage</u>. There were no purple loosestrife plants found along the shoreline of Big Falls Flowage. Purple loosestrife has never been documented since monitoring began in 1998.

3.5 <u>Thornapple Flowage</u>. The presence of purple loosestrife showed a significant decrease compared to 2016. Purple loosestrife was found to be present or common

along 0.69 miles of shoreline compared to 1.06 miles in 2016. Areas categorized as abundant showed an even greater decline, decreasing from 0.12 miles in 2016 to 0.03 miles in 2017. The wetland areas near the middle of the flowage continue to account for the greatest concentration of loosestrife plants. See Appendix A for a survey map of Thornapple Flowage depicting the results of this year's monitoring.

Significant increases in purple loosestrife are unlikely due to a combination of past biocontrol efforts and a lack of available habitat. Shoreline areas where pioneering plants were observed were often the result of a recent disturbance (lawn cutting, brush removal, etc.) along privately developed shorelines. In contrast, single specimens recorded in one year may be absent the next due to lawn mowing and other landscape activities, only to reappear the following year.

The table below summarizes the results from surveys conducted from 1998-2017 on the Thornapple Flowage.

Year	Shoreline Miles (Present)	Shoreline Miles Common	Shoreline Miles (Abundant)					
1998	Shoreline coverage not determined							
1999	2.36	0.27	0.67					
2000	1.64	-	0.70					
2001	2.52	-	0.67					
2002	2.52	-	0.48					
2003	2.10	-	0.48					
2004	2.33	-	0.45					
2005	2.15	-	0.42					
2006	1.76	-	0.39					
2007	1.40	-	0.33					
2008	1.30	-	0.15					
2009	0.45	-	0.06					
2010	0.79	-	0.00					
2011	1.91	-	0.00					
2012	1.42	-	0.03					
2013	1.94	-	0.03					
2014	1.42	-	0.03					
2015	1.45	-	0.12					
2016	1.06	-	0.12					
2017	0.69	-	0.03					

In July of 2004, licensee cooperated with the Lake Holcombe Improvement Association (LHIA) to introduce a beetle population to the shorelines of the Thornapple Flowage that specifically targets purple loosestrife plants. Approximately 20,000 beetles were introduced in the wetland areas of the flowage where purple loosestrife densities have historically been highest. Earlier introductions of these beetles at licensee's Hayward and Holcombe projects have been met with great success. The overall decline of loosestrife presence and abundance indicates that the beetles have had a significant impact. Future surveys will hopefully continue to document this trend. Licensee will continue to monitor purple loosestrife densities on the flowage throughout the term of the license.

#### 4.0 <u>CONCLUSION</u>


Purple loosestrife was not observed on Superior Falls Flowage, White River Flowage or Big Falls Flowage in 2017. Lake Hayward has experienced a drastic decline in purple loosestrife since 2000 due to the introduction of a beetle population, which specifically targets the plant. The overall loosestrife population on Lake Hayward has declined significantly over the last few years.

Much of the Thornapple Flowage shoreline is scattered with purple loosestrife plants, with heavier concentrations confined to the wetland areas in the central portion of the impoundment. Shoreline infestations classified as present or common decreased by approximately 35% compared to last year. This year's abundant loosestrife coverage decreased by approximately 75% compared to last year.

## APPENDIX A


2017 Purple Loosestrife Survey Maps for Lake Hayward and Thornapple Flowage

#### 20171005-5024 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 10/5/2017 10:16:22 AM



#### 20171005-5024 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 10/5/2017 10:16:22 AM

RURPLE LUOSESTRIFE MONETORING AUGUST 23, 2017	LAKE Thornapple SECTION 18, 19, 22, 23 RANGE 6, 7 W TOWN Thornapple TOWNSHIP 34 N
ABUNDANT 🥌	
COMMON 📃	
$\frac{AREAS \ OF \ LOOSESTRIFE \ COMMON}{\frac{2.5 \ CLICKS}{4000 \ FT}} = \frac{2.3 \ CLICKS}{X \ FT.}$	
X = 3680 FT. = 0.69 MILES	LEGEN
OR 9.17. OF SHORELINE	TOPOGRAPHIC SYN
AREAS OF LOOSESTRIFE ABUNDANT 2.5 CLICKS _ 0.1 CLICKS	BRUSH REFUGE SAP:_ING TANGLE SPAWNING BOX MINNOW SPAWNER WEED BED ROCKY SHOAL DWELLING ABANDONED DWELLING RESORT STEEP SLOPE SPRING
$\frac{1}{4000 FT} = \frac{1}{X FT}$	INTERMITTENT INLET
X = 160 FT. = 0,03 MILES	WOODED PASTURED CULTIVATED
OR 0.39% OF SHORELINE	ENCROACH. SHORE > PERMANENT INLET == PERMANENT OUTLET == MARSH
	PARTIALLY WOODED CLEARED BENCH MARK
	LAKE BOTTOM SYMBOL PULPY PEATP MUCKC SANDC SANDS RUBBLER EMERGENT VcGETL FIBROUS PEATF DETRITUSD MARLM GRAVELG BEDROCKBr.




SUBMERGENT VEGET .\_\_\_T

Thornapple Flow 18, 19, 22, 23, 24

This is the only hydrographic map of this lake available, produced from original charts of Dept. of Natural Resources — Madison

A U. S. Geological Survey Map is available from us show-ing the area (approx. 12 square miles) adjacent to this lake.

To order specify \_\_\_\_\_ Thornapple Quadrangle



# RUSK COUNTY

MAP NO.

5129

**CLARKSON MAP CO.** 724 DESNOYER STREET Kaukauna, Wisconsin 54130

20171005-50	24 FERC PDF (I	Jnofficial) 10/5/	2017 10:16:22	AM		
Document	Content(s)					
20171004	Loosestrife	Report.PDF			•••••••••••	.1-9