Attachment A: Pre-CERCLA Screening Checklist/Decision Form

This form is used in conjunction with a site map and any additional information required by the EPA Region to document completion of a Pre-CERCLA Screening (PCS). The form includes a decision on whether a site should be added to the Superfund program's active site inventory for further investigation. Select from available dropdown values for fields marked with an asterisk *.

Region: 5 **State/Territory:** WI **Tribe:** _____ **EPA ID No. (If Available)** _____

Click here for the EPA Tribe Entity Mapping spreadsheet.

Site Name: Schneske Property (Former)
Other Site Name(s):

Site Location: 127 Wisconsin Avenue (Street)

Congressional District	(City)	(County)	(State / Terr)	(Zip+4)
8	Denmark	Brown	WI	54208 +

If no street address is available _____ (Township-Range) _____ (Section)

Checklist Preparer:

Richard R. Joslin / Hydrogeologist (Name / Title)	11/17/2017 (Date)
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (Organization)	(920) 424-7077 (Phone)
625 East County Road Y, Suite 700 (Street)	richard.joslin@wisconsin.gov (Email)

(City)	(County)	(State / Terr)	(Zip+4)
Oshkosh	Winnebago	WI	54901 + 9731

Site Contact Info/Mailing Address: Richard R. Joslin
625 East County Road Y, Suite 700, Oshkosh, WI 54901

CERCLA 105d Petition for Preliminary Assessment? No **If Yes, Petition Date (mm/dd/yyyy):** _____

RCRA Subtitle C Site Status: Is site in RCRAInfo? No **If Yes, RCRAInfo Handler ID #:** _____

Ownership Type*: Private **Additional RCRAInfo ID #(s):** _____

Site Type*: Other **State ID #(s):** 02-05-111210

Site Sub-Type*: Dry-Cleaning Operations **Other ID #(s):** _____

Federal Facility? No **Federal Facility Owner*:** (Make selection)

Formerly Used Defense Site (FUDS)? No **Federal Facility Operator*:** (Make selection)

Federal Facility Docket? No **If Yes, FF Docket Listing Date (mm/dd/yyyy):** _____

Federal Facility Docket Reporting Mechanism*: (Make selection)

Native American Interest? No **If Yes, list Tribe:** _____

Additional Tribe (s): _____

Attachment A: Pre-CERCLA Screening Checklist/Decision Form

Site Description

Use this section to briefly describe site background and conditions if known or (easily) available, such as: operational history; physical setting and land use; site surface description, soils, geology and hydrogeology; source and waste characteristics; hazardous substances/contaminants of concern; historical releases, previous investigations and cleanup activities; previous regulatory actions, including permitting and enforcement actions; institutional controls; and community interest.

Insert text here (if text exceeds size of text box, view all text on page 5):

The above reference property is located in the center of the Village of Denmark, Wisconsin. The property is located among commercial and residential buildings including a post office directly to the south of the site. The property was used as a dry cleaning business starting in the late 1950's or early 1960's. The property was owned by several different individuals and purchased by Mr. Schneske in 1989. Mr. Schneske never operated a dry cleaning business on the property. Dry cleaning operations before 1989, including the storage and disposal of hazardous materials, are not clear.

A environmental site assessment (ESA) was performed in January 1996 to assess the potential for contamination on the property due to past dry cleaning operations. The investigation included the installation of three soil borings (B-1,

Geospatial Information

Latitude: + 44.347221 — **Decimal Degree North (e.g., +38.859156)**

Longitude: − 87.826639 — **Decimal Degree West (e.g., -77.036783)**

Provide 4 significant digits at a minimum, more if your collection method generates them.

Except for certain territories in the Pacific Ocean, all sites in U.S. states and territories are located within the northern and western hemispheres and will have a positive latitude sign and negative longitude sign. The coordinate signs should be changed as necessary for sites in the southern and/or eastern hemispheres.

Point Description: Select the option below that best represents the site point for future reference and to distinguish it from any nearby sites.

- ☐ Geocoded (address-matched) Site Address
- ☐ Site Entrance (approximate center of curb-cut)
- ☒ Approximate Center of Site
- ☐ Other Distinguishing Site Feature (briefly describe below):

Point Collection Method: Check the method used to collect the coordinates above and enter the date of collection.

- ☒ Online Map Interpolation
- ☐ GPS (handheld, smartphone, other device or technology with accuracy range < 25 meters)
- ☐ GPS Other (accuracy range is ≥ 25 meters or unspecified)
- ☐ Address Matching: Urban
- ☐ Address Matching: Rural
- ☐ Other Method: _____

Collection Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/03/2017

POINT-SELECTION CONSIDERATIONS

- Often the best point is a feature associated with the environmental release or that identifies the site visually.
- Use the curb cut of the entrance to the site if there is a clear primary entrance and it is a good identifier for the overall location.
- The approximate center of the site (a guess at the centroid) is useful for large-area sites or where there are no appropriate distinguishing features.
- Use the geocoded address if that is the only or best option available, but if possible use something more representative for sites larger than 50 acres.

Attachment A: Pre-CERCLA Screening Checklist/Decision Form

Complete this checklist to help determine if a site should be added to the Superfund Active site inventory. See Section 3.6 of the PCS guidance for additional information.	YES	NO	Unknown
1. An initial search for the site in EPA's Superfund active, archive and non-site inventories should be performed prior to starting a PCS. Is this a new site that does not already exist in these site inventories?	☒	☐	☐
2. Is there evidence of an actual release or a potential to release?	☒	☐	☐
3. Are there possible targets that could be impacted by a release of contamination at the site?	☒	☐	☐
4. Is there documentation indicating that a target has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?	☐	☒	☐
5. Is the release of a naturally occurring substance in its unaltered form, or is it altered solely through naturally occurring processes or phenomena, from a location where it is naturally found?	☐	☒	☐
6. Is the release from products which are part of the structure of, and result in exposure within, residential buildings or business or community structures?	☐	☐	☒
7. If there has been a release into a public or private drinking water supply, is it due to deterioration of the system through ordinary use?	☐	☒	☐
8. Are the hazardous substances possibly released at the site, or is the release itself, excluded from being addressed under CERCLA?	☐	☒	☐
9. Is the site being addressed under RCRA corrective action or by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission?	☐	☒	☐
10. Is another federal, state, tribe or local government environmental cleanup program other than site assessment actively involved with the site (e.g., state voluntary cleanup program)?	☐	☒	☐
11. Is there sufficient documentation or evidence that demonstrates there is no likelihood of a significant release that could cause adverse environmental or human health impacts?	☐	☒	☐
12. Are there other site-specific situations or factors that warrant further CERCLA remedial/integrated assessment or response?	☐	☐	☒

Attachment A: Pre-CERCLA Screening Checklist/Decision Form

Preparer's Recommendation: ☒ **Add** site to the Superfund active site inventory.

☐ **Do not add** site to the Superfund active site inventory.

Please explain recommendation below:

PCS Summary and Decision Rationale

Use this section to summarize PCS findings and support the decision to add or not add the site to the Superfund active site inventory for further investigation. Information does not need to be specific but, where known, can include key factors such as source and waste characteristics (e.g., drums, contaminated soil); evidence of release or potential release; threatened targets (e.g., drinking water wells); key sampling results (if available); CERCLA eligibility; involvement of other cleanup programs; and other supporting factors.

Insert text here (if text exceeds size of text box, view all text on page 6):

An environmental site assessment (ESA) was performed at the Schneske Property in January 1996. The purpose of the ESA was to assess the potential for contamination on the property due to past dry cleaning operations. The investigative results identified several chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs) in soil and a groundwater samples collected from the property. Additional site investigation activities are needed to assess the degree and extent of soil and groundwater contamination identified on the property. Based on the soil and groundwater results the vapor intrusion pathway also needs to be investigated.

Site Assessor: Richard R. Joslin 11/17/2017

Print Name/Signature Date

Richard R. Joslin

EPA Regional Review and Pre-CERCLA Screening Decision

Add site to the Superfund active site inventory for completion of a:

- ☒ Standard/full preliminary assessment (PA)
- ☐ Abbreviated preliminary assessment (APA)
- ☐ Combined preliminary assessment/site inspection (PA/SI)
- ☐ Integrated removal assessment and preliminary assessment
- ☐ Integrated removal assessment and combined PA/SI
- ☐ Other: _____

Do not add site to the Superfund active site inventory. Site is:

- ☐ Not a valid site or incident
- ☐ Being addressed by EPA's removal program
- ☐ Being addressed by a state cleanup program
- ☐ Being addressed by a tribal cleanup program
- ☐ Being addressed under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- ☐ Being addressed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- ☐ Other: _____

EPA Regional Reviewer: David Brauner 01/16/2018

Print Name/Signature Date

Site Description

(All text as entered on page 2)

The above reference property is located in the center of the Village of Denmark, Wisconsin. The property is located among commercial and residential buildings including a post office directly to the south of the site. The property was used as a dry cleaning business starting in the late 1950's or early 1960's. The property was owned by several different individuals and purchased by Mr. Schneske in 1989. Mr. Schneske never operated a dry cleaning business on the property. Dry cleaning operations before 1989, including the storage and disposal of hazardous materials, are not clear.

A environmental site assessment (ESA) was performed in January 1996 to assess the potential for contamination on the property due to past dry cleaning operations. The investigation included the installation of three soil borings (B-1, MW-1 and MW-2) two of which were converted to monitoring wells (MW-1 and MW-2).

Sample results identified chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs) in soil samples collected from all three borings. Cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE) was identified at concentrations ranging from non-detect to 190 micrograms per kilogram (ug/kg). Trichloroethene (TCE) was detected at concentrations ranging from 46 to 99 ug/kg. Tetrachloroethene (PCE) was detected at concentrations ranging from 1,500 to 2,200 ug/kg. A groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-1 identified PCE at a concentration of 2,400 micrograms per liter (ug/l) and TCE at 220 ug/l. Monitoring well MW-2 was never sampled due to the slow recharge rate.

At some point after the January 1996 ESA, Mr. Schneske moved to Florida and was in a financial situation where he could not proceed with the additional site investigation activities. The property is currently owned by Mr. Timothy Czarneski. Based on a conversation with the Brown County Register of Deeds, Mr. Czarneski obtained the property from Mr. Schneske in 2014. Mr. Czarneski claims he was not aware of the environmental issues associated with the property at the time of purchase. On February 19, 2015, Mr. Czarneski was sent a responsible party letter explaining his legal responsibilities to investigate and restore the environment at the above-described site. To date, Mr. Czarneski refuses to acknowledge the environmental contamination identified from the January 1996 ESA.

Additional site investigation activities have never been performed to assess the degree and extent soil and groundwater contamination at the site. In addition, the vapor intrusion pathway has never been investigated.

PCS Summary and Decision Rationale

(All text as entered on page 4)

An environmental site assessment (ESA) was performed at the Schneske Property in January 1996. The purpose of the ESA was to assess the potential for contamination on the property due to past dry cleaning operations. The investigative results identified several chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs) in soil and a groundwater samples collected from the property. Additional site investigation activities are needed to assess the degree and extent of soil and groundwater contamination identified on the property. Based on the soil and groundwater results the vapor intrusion pathway also needs to be investigated.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) REGION 5 SUPERFUND DIVISION
COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM (CERCLIS)
SITE INFORMATION FORM (SIF)

Purpose and Use of the SIF

The SIF is the primary document used in Region 5 for the purposes of entering new Superfund, Oil and Brownfields sites into CERCLIS. SIFs should be completed electronically by On-Scene Coordinators (OSC) and Site Assessment Managers (SAM) when it is confirmed that a new site identified under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERLCA) should be entered into CERCLIS. SIFs should also be completed for Oil and Brownfields sites and entered into CERCLIS as "Not A Valid Site" in order for them to appear in the Records Center.

A SIF *should not* be completed if:

- It is known that the site already exists in CERCLIS, or has been removed from CERCLIS and no new data warrants re-entry;
- The site or its contaminants are subject to certain limitations based on definitions in CERCLA;
- A State or Tribal remediation program is involved in response at the site and is in the process of a final cleanup;
- The hazardous substance release at the site is regulated under a statutory exclusion (see CERCLA section 101(22));
- The hazardous substance release at the site is deferred to another authority (e.g., Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA));
- Site data is insufficient to determine if CERCLIS entry is warranted (i.e., based on potentially unreliable sources or with no information to support the presence of hazardous substances or CERCLA eligible pollutants or contaminants); or
- Documentation clearly demonstrates there is no potential for a release that could cause adverse environmental or human health impacts.

Please reference the Superfund Program Implementation Manual (SPIM) (Site Assessment/ National Priority List (NPL) Listing) for more information.

Additional Instructions

OSCs and SAMs should complete SIFs electronically, populating all applicable fields. **Required fields are bolded**, and remaining fields should be completed as applicable. Following are several points of clarification:

- Removal Initiation Date versus Site Discovery Date—Provide a Removal Initiation Date if the site requires *removal assessment/action* under CERCLA; provide a Site Discovery Date *only* if the site requires *NPL assessment/action* under CERCLA;
- Site Spill ID (SSID)—Obtain and enter the SSID received from John Maritote, of the Emergency Enforcement Services Section (EESS); if an SSID is not required, check the 'No SSID Required' box;
- Street Address—If possible, provide a complete street address for the spill site or corresponding facility; if an exact address cannot be identified, provide the distance and direction (e.g., N, NW, S, SE) from the closest intersection (include street names) or address;
- Lat/Long Details—Provide responses for all applicable fields based on the Lat/Long collection method; if details are unknown, select 'Unknown';
- Site Type—Site Type categories and subcategories are included in the SIF; select all that apply.

Submitting the SIF

Completed SIFs should be e-mailed to John Maritote at Maritote.John@epa.gov.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) REGION 5 SUPERFUND DIVISION
COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM (CERCLIS)
SITE INFORMATION FORM (SIF)

SIF Completed by: , on / /

Site Identification Information				
Site Name:	Schneske Prperty (Former)	**SSID:**		☐ No SSID Required
Removal Initiation Date: *(Provide only if a removal assessment/ action is required)*	/ /	Site Designations: *(Select all that apply)*	☐ Fed. Facility Flag / Docket: ☐ RCRA Flag ☐ FUDS Site ☐ Native American Interest ☐ Navajo Nation	
Site Discovery Date: *(Provide only if an NPL assessment/ action is required)*	10 / 18 / 1996			
Non-NPL Site Status:	PA Start Needed	**Identified By:**	States	
NPL Site Status:	Not on the NPL	State ID: *(If known)*	BRRTS # 02-05-111210	

Site Contact Information			
OSC/ RPM/ EAPM Name / Phone:	/( ) -	**State Contact Name / Phone:**	Rick Joslin /(920)424 - 7077
		Other Reg. Contact Name / Phone:	Jason Lowery /(608)267 - 7570

Site Location Information					
Street Address: *(Specify the address of the spill site/facility; if an exact address cannot be identified, provide the distance and direction (e.g., N, SE) from the nearest intersection (include street names) or address)*	127 Wisconsin Ave		**Lat/Long Unit of Measure:** *(Select one)*	☐ Degrees, Minutes, Seconds	☒ Decimal Degrees
			Latitude:	+ ° ”	+ 44.347221
			Longitude:	- ° ”	- 87.826639
City:	Denmark		Lat/Long Details: *(Complete all applicable fields based on Lat/Long collection method; if details are unknown, select ‘Unknown’)*	Collection Method:	Interpolation-Map
				Reference Datum:	NAD 83
County:	Brown			Reference Point:	Facility Center/Centroid ; or (more options)
State:	WI	**Zip Code:** 54208			
Congressional District:	8			Collection Date:	11 / 3 / 2017

*** Note that Required fields are Bolded**

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) REGION 5 SUPERFUND DIVISION
COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM (CERCLIS)
SITE INFORMATION FORM (SIF)

Additional Site Information

Site/Incident Description:
(Include a discussion on whether there are long-term cleanup concerns and/or an NPL assessment is needed)

An environmental site assessment (ESA) was performed at the Schneske Property in January 1996. The purpose of the ESA was to assess the potential for contamination on the property due to past dry cleaning operations. The investigative results identified several chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs) in soil and a groundwater samples collected from the property. Additional site investigation activities are needed to assess the degree and extent of soil and groundwater contamination identified on the property. Based on the soil and groundwater results the vapor intrusion pathway also needs to be investigated.

Site Type:
(Select Site Type Categories and Subcategories (all that apply))

Categories	Subcategories	
☐ Manufacturing/ Processing / Maintenance	☐ Chemicals and allied products ☐ Radioactive products ☐ Primary metals/ mineral processing ☐ Oil and gas refining ☐ Metal fabrication/ finishing/ coating ☐ Lumber and wood products/ pulp and paper ☐ Lumber and wood products/wood preserving/ treatment ☐ Plastics and rubber products	☐ Electronic/ electrical equipment ☐ Electric power generation and distribution ☐ Coal gasification ☐ Ordinance production ☐ Coke production ☐ Trucks/ ships/ trains/ aircraft and related components ☐ Tanneries ☐ Fabrics/ textiles
☐ Waste Management	☐ Municipal solid waste landfill ☐ Industrial waste landfill ☐ Co-disposal landfill (municipal and industrial) ☐ Industrial waste facility (non-generator)	☐ Radioactive waste treatment, storage, disposal (non-generator) ☐ Mine tailings disposal ☐ Illegal disposal/open dump
☐ Mining	☐ Coal ☐ Oil and Gas	☐ Metals ☐ Non-metal minerals
☐ Recycling	☐ Batteries/ scrap metals/ secondary smelting/ precious metal recovery ☐ Waste/ used oil	☐ Automobiles/ tires ☐ Drums/ tanks ☐ Chemicals/ chemical waste (e.g., solvent recovery)
☒ Other	☐ Treatment works/ septic tanks/ other sewage ☐ Transportation (e.g., railroad yards, airport) ☐ Product storage/ distribution ☐ Ground water plume site, no identifiable source ☐ Contaminated sediment site with no identifiable source ☒ Dry-Cleaning Operations ☐ School/Day-care ☐ Other:	☐ Retail/ commercial ☐ Agricultural (e.g., grain elevator) ☐ Spill or other one-time event ☐ Military/ other ordinance ☐ Research, development, and testing facility ☐ Dust control ☐ Lighthouse ☐ Residential ☐ Unknown

*** Note that Required fields are Bolded**