Fraser Shipyards, Inc.

Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan AOCs #1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13

Superior, Wisconsin

SEH No. FRASE9401.00

November 1995

SHORT ELLIOTT HENDRICKSON INC.



MULTIDISCIPLINED. SINGLE SOURCE.



November 8, 1995

Mr. Steven LaValley Hazardous Waste Specialist Wisconsin Dept. of Natural Resources 1705 Tower Avenue Superior, WI 54880

Dear Mr. LaValley:

Fraser Shipyards, Inc. (Fraser) is submitting this Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan for AOCs #1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13 dated November 1995 for the Fraser Facility located in Superior, Wisconsin. This report was prepared on behalf of Fraser by our consultant, Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. (SEH). The document describes investigation activities which were performed and provides closure documentation for select areas of concern (AOCs) at the Fraser facility.

At this time, Fraser wishes to pursue closure of all landside AOCs (AOC #1 through #13) at our facility. Based on the information provided in this report, and the documents previously submitted by Fraser to the WDNR (as outlined in the Introduction of this report), Fraser has complied with the hazardous waste facility closure requirements of s.NR 685.05, Wisconsin Administrative Code and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) correspondence dated September 19, 1994 and November 18, 1994.

Fraser respectfully requests the WDNR to review this document and issue a letter of completeness which acknowledges that Fraser has met the conditions set forth by the WDNR in s.NR 685.05 Wis. Admin. Code for the AOCs presented and that no further action is required.

If you have any questions regarding the submittal of the Partial Closure Documentation Report, please call me or Cy Ingraham at SEH.

Sincerely,

Fraser Shipyards, Inc.

lever

Ronald Peterson Yard Superintendent

Distribution List

No. of Copies

Sent to

4

Steven LaValley Hazardous Waste Specialist Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 1705 Tower Avenue Superior, WI 54880

2

3

Ron Peterson, Superintendent Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Third Street and Clough Avenue Superior, WI 54880

Cyrus Ingraham, P.E. Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls, WI 54729

Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan AOCs #1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 13

Fraser Shipyards, Inc.

Prepared for: Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Superior, Wisconsin

Prepared by: Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls, WI 54729 (715) 720-6200

I, Gloria Chojnacki, hereby certify that I am a scientist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03 (3), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all of the information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Gloria Chojnacki, CHMN

Environmental Scientist

I, Darrell Reed, hereby certify that I am a Hydrogeologist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03 (1) Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all of the information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

11/8/95

and Rood

Darrell Reed, P.G. Hydrogeologist

I, Cyrus W. Ingraham, hereby certify that I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Wisconsin, registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. A-E 4, Wis. Adm. Code; that this document has been prepared in accordance with the Rules of Professional Conduct in ch. A-E 8, Wis. Adm. Code; and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Cyrus W. Ingraham, P. E.

Cyrás W. Ingraham, P. E. Senior Project Manager

P. E. Number E-24690



Table of Contents

Cover Letter Distribution List Certification Page Table of Contents

1.0	Intro	oducti	luction									
	1.1	Proje	ct Contacts									
2.0	Clos	sure D	Documentation									
	2.1	AOC	#1 – Waste Oil Staging Area 3									
		2.1.1	Closure Activities									
		2.1.2	Soil Results									
		2.1.3	Closure Documentation									
	2.2	AOC	#3 – Dirty Solvent Staging Area 6									
		2.2.1	Closure Activities									
		2.2.2	Soil Results									
		2.2.3	Closure Documentation									
	2.3	AOC	#5 – Paint Waste Staging Area 8									
		2.3.1	Container Disposal 8									
		2.3.2	Closure Activities									
		2.3.3	Soil Results 9									
		2.3.4	Closure Documentation									
	2.4	AOC	#7 – Transformer Staging Area 10									
		2.4.1	Closure Activities									
		2.4.2	Soil Results 11									
		2.4.3	Closure Documentation									
	2.5	AOC	#8 – Paint Room Storage Pad 13									
		2.5.1	Sample Collection									
		2.5.2	Soil Results									
		2.5.3	Closure Documentation									
	2.6	AOC	#9 – Fuel Storage Area 15									
		2.6.1	Closure Activities									
		2.6.2	Soil Results									
		2.6.3	Closure Documentation									

Table of Contents (Continued)

	2.7	AOC #11 – Dry Dock Base 17
		2.7.1 Sampling
		2.7.2 Soil Results
		2.7.3 Closure Documentation
	2.8	AOC #12 – NW Fill Area 19
		2.8.1 Sample Collection
		2.8.2 Soil Results
		2.8.3 Closure Documentation
	2.9	AOC #13 – SE Fill Area 21
3.0	Gro	oundwater Monitoring Plan 21
4.0	Sto	rm Water Pollution Prevention Plan 22
5.0	Star	ndard of Care

List of Tables

Table 1	Field and Analytical Results
Table 2	Groundwater Parameters/Analytical Methods

List of Figures

Figure 1	Site I	Location	Мар
----------	--------	----------	-----

- Figure 2 Site Plan
- Figure 3 AOC #1
- Figure 4 AOC #3
- Figure 5 AOC #5
- Figure 6 AOC #7
- Figure 7 AOC #8
- Figure 8 AOC #9
- Figure 9 AOC #11
- Figure 10 Dry Dock #1 Cross Section
- Figure 11 AOC #12
- Figure 12 Proposed Well Locations
- Figure 13 Monitoring Well Construction Diagram

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Soil Treatment Documentation
- Appendix B Laboratory Results
- Appendix C Standard Operating Procedures

Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan AOCs #1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13

Fraser Shipyards, Inc.

Prepared for Fraser Shipyards, Inc.

1.0 Introduction

Fraser Shipyards, Inc. (Fraser), is submitting this Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan, prepared by Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc. (SEH) to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). This report was developed to meet the requirements for closure of an unlicensed hazardous waste facility as outlined in s. NR 685.05 Wisconsin Administrative Code. The required activities for Fraser to perform during closure of the hazardous waste facility are outlined in a WDNR Notice of Violation (June 10, 1993) and Enforcement Conference Summary (July 20, 1993).

A Site Investigation Work Plan was submitted by Fraser to the WDNR in November 1993 which contained specific site information regarding site history, waste materials, handling procedures, SEH standard operating protocols (SOPs), and other pertinent project information. A site investigation was conducted at the Fraser facility in January 1994 for the purpose of determining the presence or absence of contamination in specific areas of concern (AOCs) and to determine whether contamination present is comprised of hazardous constituents above regulatory limits. Documentation of the site investigation field activities was presented in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan which was submitted to the WDNR in May 1994. WDNR correspondence regarding Closure Plan review and closure guidance was provided on September 19, 1994, November 18, 1994, June 8, 1995, and July 14, 1995. Further clarification regarding the requirements for closure at select AOCs was provided by the WDNR during November 2, 1994 and February 8, 1995 meetings.

The purpose of this Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan is to summarize site investigation data gathered from select AOCs at the Fraser facility located in Superior, Wisconsin, provide additional information as required by the WDNR in the above referenced correspondence, and provide documentation to achieve closure of the select AOCs under s. NR 685.05 Wis. Admin. Code.

1.1 Project Contacts

 Ron Peterson, Superintendent Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Third Street and Clough Avenue Superior, WI 54880 (715) 394-7787

 Steve LaValley Hazardous Waste Specialist Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 1705 Tower Avenue Superior, WI 54880 (715) 392-7988

 Cyrus Ingraham, P.E., Project Manager Gloria Chojnacki, CHMM, Environmental Scientist Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc.
 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls, WI 54729 (715) 720-6231

2.0 Closure Documentation

As stated in WDNR correspondence dated July 14, 1995, the District Close-Out Committee has agreed that no further action is necessary for AOC's #2 (Sandblasting Grit Storage Area), #6 (600 KVA Substation), and #10 (Upper landing - Dry Dock #1). In subsequent discussion with the WDNR on August 22, 1995, it was also conveyed that AOC #4 (Bilge Water Storage Area) would be reconsidered for closure with no further action. Additional closure documentation has been prepared for the remaining landside AOCs which were investigated and/or remediated at the Fraser facility. The documentation includes a discussion of waste types and soil contaminant concentrations associated with the specific AOC and closure activities. Follow-up investigative activities have been completed by SEH in accordance with WDNR directives stated in various correspondence and clarification meetings held between the WDNR, SEH, and Fraser. The specific WDNR requirements for closure have been completed at landside AOCs and are described in the following sections.

The site is owned and operated by Fraser and is located at Third Street and Clough Avenue in Superior, Wisconsin as shown in Figure 1, "Site Location Map." The site is located on Howard's Bay and further described as being in Section 1, T49N, R14W in Douglas County, Wisconsin.

Laboratory analysis for this project was performed by Enviroscan Corp. according to specified WDNR and EPA methods at the time of sample collection. The address and phone number of Enviroscan is:

Enviroscan Corp. 303 West Military Road Rothschild, WI 54474 (800) 338-7226 WI Lab Certification No. 737053130

2.1 AOC #1 – Waste Oil Staging Area

Fraser staged waste oil along the southern fence line between the Fraser Shipyards and Reuben Johnson Construction Company properties. The wastes previously staged in this area were primarily waste lubricating oils. The wastes located in this area at the time of the April 20, 1993 WDNR Hazardous Waste inspection were reportedly generated during the 1992/93 season. The wastes were staged in 55 gallon containers. This waste oil was used to fuel a waste oil powered boiler. The location of AOC #1 is indicated on Figure 2, "Site Plan."

2.1.1 Closure Activities

AOC #1 was investigated for the presence or absence of contaminated soils resulting from waste handling activities. The initial investigation of AOC #1 consisted of the collection of six discrete soil samples (B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5, B-6) and one composite sample using split spoon sampling procedures from the 2.0 to 3.0 foot depth interval on January 11, 1994. The discrete soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of diesel range organics (DRO) and the composite soil sample was submitted for analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Elevated levels of DRO were found in soil samples B-1 and B-4. A remedial excavation was proposed to remove contaminated soil and define the degree and extent of petroleum contamination. Details of the initial phase of investigation of AOC #1 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan dated May 1994 prepared by SEH. The proposed remedial excavation activities were outlined in the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) dated September 23, 1994. The RAP was verbally approved by the WDNR during a meeting between WDNR, Fraser, and SEH on November 2, 1994.

Seven additional soil samples were obtained with a backhoe on May 3, 1995 (S-1, S-2, S-3, S-4, E-1, EE-1, BW-1) following remedial excavation of soils from AOC #1. During excavation activities at AOC #1, a concrete slab was discovered approximately 1.5 feet below the ground surface. The edge of the concrete slab was encountered approximately 23 feet west of the eastern edge of the AOC. The edge of the concrete to the north, south, and west was not identified. Excavation of contaminated soils extended to a depth of three feet in the eastern portion of AOC #1 in which concrete was not encountered. Soils in the western portion of AOC #1 were excavated down to the concrete surface. Total area of excavation is approximately 10 feet (N-S) by 97 feet (E-W). Another obstacle in the excavation area is the buried high voltage power cable. The exact location of this cable is undetermined due to the concrete slab.

Six soil samples were collected from the excavation sidewalls at a depth of 1.5 feet; one soil sample was collected from the floor of the excavation (3.0 feet). The samples were split into two subsamples as they were obtained. One subsample was used for headspace screening. The second subsample was immediately placed in an ice filled cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under SEH standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of DRO and petroleum related VOCs (PVOCs). The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 3, "AOC #1." Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #1, are summarized in Table 1, "Field and Analytical Results."

Excavated soils were disposed of by Clean Soils Minnesota Inc. (Clean Soils) of Roseville, Minnesota on August 26, 1995. Contaminated soils were treated through thermal means. A final report on soil treatment prepared by Clean Soils is included in Appendix A, "Soil Treatment Documentation."

2.1.2 Soil Results

Initial soil analytical results indicated that DRO concentrations ranged from none detected to 4,730 μ g/g prior to excavation. Post excavation soil samples collected from the floor and sidewalls range from none detected to 266 μ g/g. DRO concentrations remain greater than the s. NR 720.09 Wis. Admin. Code generic soil residual petroleum contaminant level standard of 100 μ g/g.

Post excavation laboratory analysis of PVOCs indicated very low concentrations of benzene (0.013 μ g/g), 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (0.0069 μ g/g), and total xylenes (0.0065 μ g/g to 0.119 μ g/g). Although these concentrations are low, the benzene level in one sample does exceed the site specific residual contaminant level (RCL) specified in s. NR 720.09 Wis. Admin. Code. Benzene was not detected in the remaining PVOC samples. Copies of the laboratory report from the January 11, 1994 sampling event were included in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994). Copies of the laboratory report from the May 3, 1995 sampling event are included in Appendix B, "Laboratory Results" of this report.

2.1.3 Closure Documentation

Based on the post excavation sampling results, the majority of the contamination source has been removed from AOC #1 and relatively low levels of residual petroleum contaminated soil remain as compared with initial concentrations. Underground obstacles found at the AOC make further excavation of contaminated soil unfeasible. Due to the relatively short distance to Howard's Bay and residual contamination occurring at or near the water table, Fraser proposes groundwater monitoring in this area as discussed in the WDNR correspondence dated September 19, 1994. The Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Fraser facility can be found in Section 3.0 of this report. Fraser requests approval for no further action at AOC #1 with the initiation of groundwater monitoring.

2.2 AOC #3 – Dirty Solvent Staging Area

Petroleum related wastes (waste oil) and occasionally waste solvents were staged on a steel plate located at AOC #3. These wastes were disposed at Waste Research and Reclamation (WRR) of Eau Claire, Wisconsin on August 2, 1993. The wastes were staged in 55 gallon containers. The location of AOC #3 is indicated on Figure 2.

2.2.1 Closure Activities

AOC #3 was initially investigated for the presence or absence of contaminated soils resulting from the waste handling activities. The initial investigation of AOC #3 consisted of the collection of two soil samples (B-7, B-8) using split spoon sampling procedures from the 2.0 to 2.5 foot depth interval on January 11, 1994. Soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of DRO and VOCs. Elevated levels of DRO and petroleum related VOCs were found in sample B-7. A remedial excavation was proposed to remove the contaminated soil and define the degree and extent of contamination. Details of the initial phase of investigation of AOC #3 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan dated May 1994 prepared by SEH. The proposed remedial excavation activities were outlined in the RAP dated September 23, 1994. The RAP was verbally approved by the WDNR during a meeting between WDNR, Fraser, and SEH on November 2, 1994.

Five additional soil samples were obtained with a backhoe on March 29, 1995 (SP-1, SP-2) and May 3, 1995 (SW-1, BW-2, SW-4) after the remedial excavation of soils from AOC #3. The soil samples were collected at depth intervals ranging from 1.0 to 3.0 feet. The samples were split into two subsamples as they were obtained. One subsample was used for headspace screening. The second subsample was immediately placed in an ice filled cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under SEH standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of DRO, VOC, and total lead. The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 4, "AOC #3." Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #3, are summarized in Table 1.

Excavated soils were disposed of by Clean Soils on August 26, 1995. Contaminated soils were treated through thermal means. A final report on soil treatment prepared by Clean Soils is included in Appendix A.

2.2.2 Soil Results

Elevated DRO concentrations (1,820 μ g/g and 79.2 μ g/g) were detected at B-7 and B-8 prior to the remedial excavation. Post excavation soil samples collected from the floor and sidewalls of the AOC #3 excavation ranged from 15.4 to 50.4 μ g/g DRO which are below the s. NR 720.09 Wis. Admin. Code generic soil residual petroleum contaminant level standard of 100 μ g/g.

Laboratory analysis for VOCs prior to remedial excavation indicated a benzene concentration of 0.05 μ g/g and 1,2-dichlorobenzene concentrations ranging from 0.29 μ g/g to 0.43 μ g/g. Post excavation samples ranged from no detectable concentrations of VOCs to very low concentrations of n- and tert-butylbenzene (0.00667 μ g/g and 0.0108 μ g/g, respectively). These samples were collected to further characterize the contaminant chemistry as requested by the WDNR in a November 18, 1994 correspondence. Results of the VOC analysis indicate that the contaminant present at AOC #3 appears to be petroleum related. Solvent constituents did not appear to be present. A soil sample was also collected from AOC #3 for laboratory analysis of total lead. Results indicated a concentration of 27.7 μ g/g total lead which is below the ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code standard for lead of 500 mg/kg at an industrial site based on human health risk from direct contact related to land use. This standard was verbally approved by the WDNR on February 21, 1995 as an acceptable residual soil value for lead at the Fraser property. Copies of the laboratory report from the January 11, 1994 sampling event were included in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994). Copies of the laboratory reports from the March 29, 1995 and May 3, 1995 sampling events are included in Appendix B of this report.

2.2.3 Closure Documentation

Based on the analytical results, the contamination previously identified in this AOC appears to have been petroleum related. The remedial activities performed in this area have successfully removed the contaminant source to acceptable levels. Post excavation analytical results indicate residual DRO and lead concentrations are below ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code soil clean-up standards. Therefore, Fraser requests that AOC #3 be submitted for closure and no further action be required.

2.3 AOC #5 – Paint Waste Staging Area

Fraser historically staged paint wastes at AOC #5 from their painting operations. The wastes were staged in two portable aboveground storage containers. The wastes included paint wastes potentially mixed with dirty solvents. All containers, with the exception of fuel oil tanks in the AOC have been cleaned, cut up, and recycled. The location of AOC #5 is indicated on Figure 2.

2.3.1 Container Disposal

According to s. NR 600.03(42) the definition of container "means any portable enclosure in which a material is stored, transported, treated, disposed of or otherwise handled." The enclosures used to stage the paint wastes at AOC #5 were aboveground and portable. The contents of the containers were disposed of at WRR on July 2, 1993. At this time residue in the containers was removed using commonly employed

practices as specified in s. NR. 605.06 (3), Wis. Admin. Code. Upon proper emptying of the containers at AOC #5, they were cut up and recycled.

2.3.2 Closure Activities

AOC #5 was investigated for the presence or absence of contaminated soils resulting from waste handling activities. The investigation of AOC #5 consisted of the collection of six discrete soil samples from soil borings performed on January 11, 1994. The select soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs and total lead, cadmium, chromium, and mercury. In addition, a Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) was performed on the samples with the highest concentrations of total lead and chromium; a water leach procedure for lead (ASTM D3987-85), was also conducted on the sample with the highest total lead concentration. Details of the investigation of AOC #5 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan dated May 1994 prepared by SEH. The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 5, "AOC #5." Analytical results for the select AOCs, including AOC #5, are summarized in Table 1.

2.3.3 Soil Results

Soil analytical results indicate that VOCs were not found above the laboratory detection limit. The samples were collected from fill material above the zone of saturation. Total lead and chromium concentrations were detected above the ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code residual soil contaminant levels based on human health risk from direct contact related to land use of 500 mg/kg and 200 mg/kg, respectively. (Because the valence number of the chromium on site is unknown the more conservative hexavalent chromium value was used.) Copies of the laboratory results for AOC #5 can be found in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994).

2.3.4 Closure Documentation

Elevated residual lead and chromium is found above ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code RCLs in the soil at AOC #5. In addition, groundwater is relatively close to the surface as well as the AOC being in close proximity to Howard's Bay. Fraser, therefore, proposes protection of public health through monitoring controls. A groundwater monitoring program will be implemented to monitor potential current or future impacts to the groundwater. The groundwater monitoring plan for the Fraser facility can be found in Section 3.0 of this report. A storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) will also be implemented which will monitor and provide engineering control of potential surface water runoff to Howard's Bay. A description of the SWPPP for the Fraser facility can be found in Section 4.0 of this report.

2.4 AOC #7 – Transformer Staging Area

Fraser historically staged transformers which were not in use in an area between two small storage buildings along the southern fence line. The wastes located in AOC #7 include transformer units and potentially contaminated soil from minor releases of transformer oils. A transformer staged in this area which had a Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) detection of 597,000 ppm was disposed of at Minnesota Power on September 13, 1993. The remaining transformer units did not contain PCBs over a 1 ppm detection limit. These transformer units were disposed of at Bickford, Inc. of New Lisbon, Wisconsin on November 18, 1993. The location of AOC #7 is indicated on Figure 2.

2.4.1 Closure Activities

AOC #7 was initially investigated for the presence or absence of contaminated soils resulting from transformer staging at the AOC. The initial investigation of AOC #7 consisted of the collection of three soil samples (B-17, B-18, B-19) obtained with a backhoe on January 25, 1994. Soil samples were collected from depth intervals ranging from 0 to 0.5 feet to 2.0 to 2.5 feet and submitted for laboratory analysis of DRO. In addition, field screening for PCBs was conducted on the initial soil samples at AOC #7. The soil sample with the highest field screened PCB concentration was also submitted for laboratory

confirmation of the screening results. Elevated levels of DRO were encountered in sample B-17. PCBs were not identified at levels requiring further action. A remedial excavation was proposed to remove impacted soil. Details of the initial investigation phase of AOC #7 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan dated May 1994 prepared by SEH. The proposed excavation was outlined in the RAP dated September 23, 1994. The RAP was approved by the WDNR on November 2, 1994.

Four additional soil samples were obtained with a backhoe on March 29, 1995 (T-Z) and May 3, 1995 (SS-1, SS-2, BN-1) to define the extent of contamination after remedial excavation of soils from AOC #7. Samples were collected at depths ranging from 1.5 to 3.0 feet. The samples were split into two subsamples as they were obtained. One subsample was used for headspace screening; the second subsample was immediately placed in an ice filled cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under SEH standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of PCBs, DRO, and VOCs. The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 6, "AOC #7." Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #7, are summarized in Table 1.

Excavated soils were disposed of by Clean Soils on August 26, 1995. Contaminated soils were treated through thermal means. A final report on soil treatment prepared by Clean Soils is included in Appendix A.

2.4.2

Soil Results

Soil analytical results indicate that DRO concentrations prior to remedial excavation ranged from 115 μ g/g to 843 μ g/g. A post excavation sample (T-Z) collected on March 29, 1995 from a depth of two feet indicated a residual soil DRO concentration of 147 μ g/g. Additional excavation of soils was conducted on May 3, 1995 with the collection of three soil samples from the excavation sidewalls and floor. Excavation of the soils was extended to three feet in depth and to the maximum width between the sheds without undermining the sheds. Analytical results indicated residual DRO concentrations at the sidewalls of 10.4 μ g/g (SS-1) and 6.83 μ g/g (SS-2). The soil sample collected from the floor (BN-1) was collected directly below the soil

sample (T-Z) collected on March 29, 1995. Analytical results indicated a residual DRO concentration of 17.2 μ g/g at the floor of the excavation. Post excavation DRO concentrations on the floor and sidewalls are below the s. NR 720.09 Wis. Admin. Code generic soil residual petroleum contaminant level standard of 100 μ g/g.

A soil sample was submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs from the initial remedial excavation on March 29, 1995. Low concentrations of benzene (0.00230 μ g/g), tert-butylbenzene (0.00895 μ g/g), and 1,3-dichloropropane (0.0194 μ g/g) were detected. The soil sample for laboratory analysis of VOCs was submitted to further characterize the contaminant chemistry at AOC #7 as requested by the WDNR in a November 18, 1994 correspondence. Results of the VOC analysis indicate that the contamination appears to be petroleum related. An additional soil sample collected on May 3, 1995 after further excavation and located directly below the March 29, 1995 soil sample, yielded no detectable concentrations of VOCs.

Field screening of the initial soil sample for PCBs indicated concentrations ranging from 0.5 ppm to 1.0 ppm. Laboratory confirmation of the initial sampling event correlated well with field screening results with a confirmatory concentration of $1.0 \ \mu g/g$. A post-excavation sample (T-Z) collected on March 29, 1995 indicated a PCB concentration of 0.48 $\mu g/g$. A soil sample collected on May 3, 1995 after final excavation of soils indicated that PCBs were not detected above the laboratory detection limits. Copies of the laboratory reports from the January 25, 1994 sampling event were included in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994). Copies of the laboratory reports from the March 29, 1995 and May 3, 1995 sampling events are included in Appendix B of this report.

2.4.3 Closure Documentation

Soil analytical results indicate that the residual soil DRO concentrations in post excavation samples are below the ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code RCLs, and VOC and PCB concentrations in the final excavation were not detected. Therefore, Fraser requests that AOC #7 be submitted for closure and no further action be required.

2.5 AOC #8 – Paint Room Storage Pad

Fraser temporarily stores (less than 90 days) flammable liquids in a paint room located in the Fabrication Shop. A small storage pad is located south of the paint room where partially used containers of paint and solvents are staged. This storage pad had a crushed stone base, which has subsequently been covered with concrete to facilitate protection of the soils from potential future releases. The concrete pad was constructed in July 1994. The partially used materials associated with this AOC include paint and solvents. Scrap metal and solid wastes (paper, rags, etc.) were also staged in this area. The location of AOC #8 is indicated on Figure 2.

2.5.1 Sample Collection

AOC #8 was initially investigated for the presence or absence of contaminated soils associated with potential release from materials staged at the AOC. The initial investigation consisted of two soil samples (B-20 and B-21) obtained from a shallow test pit from the 0 to 1 foot (B-20) and 2 to 2.5 foot (B-21) depth intervals on January 25, 1994. The soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs, and total cadmium, chromium, mercury, and lead. Details of the initial investigation phase of AOC #8 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994) prepared by SEH. The WDNR requested that further definition of the degree and extent of impacted floor materials (soil) be performed in this AOC.

Two additional soil samples were obtained on August 23, 1995 (HAX-1, HAX-2) with a hand auger to define the extent of contamination. Sample HAX-1 was collected at a depth of eight inches and HAX-2 was collected at a depth of 12 inches. The samples were immediately placed in an ice filed cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of VOCs. The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 7, "AOC #8." Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #8, are summarized in Table 1.

2.5.2 Soil Results

Initial soil analytical results indicate that benzene and toluene concentrations of 0.0058 μ g/g and 0.150 μ g/g, respectively, were detected closer to the surface (B-20) at AOC #8, while no concentrations of VOCs above the laboratory detection limits were found at the 2 to 2.5 foot depth interval (B-21). Initial soil samples were also analyzed for select metals. The total lead concentration at the upper interval (B-20) was 167 μ g/g with no lead above the laboratory detection level at the lower depth. The remaining metals (cadmium, chromium, and mercury) in both depth intervals were either not detected or were within the concentration ranges typically found in Wisconsin soils based on an internal WDNR memorandum from Bob Schaefer dated June 20, 1980.

At a meeting attended by Fraser, SEH, and the WDNR on February 21, 1995, it was concluded that the ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code RCL for lead of 500 mg/kg at an industrial site was an acceptable residual soil value for the Fraser property. This standard is based on human health risk from direct contact related to land use. The total lead concentration of 167 μ g/g (mg/kg) does not exceed the RCL and therefore can justifiably be left in place with adequate protection of the environment and human health.

Additional floor samples analyzed for VOCs indicate low level concentrations of various compounds which appear to be petroleum and manufacturing related. The total VOC concentration at HAX-2 is 24.360 μ g/g. Solvent constituents do not appear to be present. Copies of the laboratory report from the January 25, 1994 sampling event were included in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Site Investigation and Closure Plan (May 1994). Copies of the laboratory report from the August 23, 1995 sampling event are included in Appendix B of this report.

2.5.3 Closure Documentation

The Fabrication Shop Building is used for equipment storage as well as fabrication. A machine shop and welding area are also located in this building. These activities necessitate frequent movement of equipment through the sampled area. The building has a dirt floor at this time; however, Fraser has plans for constructing a concrete floor in the future. The concrete floor in addition to the overhead roof will further prevent the downward migration of floor surface contaminants in the building.

Based on the fact that neither VOCs nor lead within AOC #8 would negatively impact human health or the environment, and that surface contamination located outside of the AOC appears to be related to equipment movement and activities, Fraser requests that AOC #8 be submitted for closure and no further action be required.

2.6 AOC #9 – Fuel Storage Area

Fraser stores bulk fuels (diesel and unleaded gasoline) in two aboveground storage tanks located north of the main office building. The tanks were installed in 1992 and are enclosed in precast concrete secondary containment structures. The containment structures were equipped with siphon hoses for the removal of accumulated precipitation. The AOC consists of the ground surface where the diesel siphon hose previously discharged. The siphon hoses have subsequently been removed and a roof has been constructed over the tanks. The location of AOC #9 is indicated on Figure 2.

2.6.1 Closure Activities

AOC #9 was initially investigated for the presence or absence of contaminated soils resulting from discharged siphon water at the AOC. The initial investigation of AOC #9 consisted of the collection of one soil sample (B-22) obtained with a backhoe on January 25, 1994. The sample was collected from an area adjacent to the containment structures at a depth interval of 2.0 to 2.5 feet. The soil sample was submitted for laboratory analysis of DRO and VOCs. The sample exhibited DRO concentrations slightly above the RCL. Details of the initial investigation phase of AOC #9 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan dated May 1994 prepared by SEH. The WDNR required that remediation of the impacted soil in AOC #9 be performed similar to AOC #1, #3, and #7.

Three additional soil samples were obtained on March 29, 1995 (ST-1) and May 3, 1995 (B-1, B-2) with a backhoe after remedial excavation of soils from AOC #9. Samples were collected at depth intervals ranging from 1.0 to 2.0 feet to 2.0 to 3.0 feet. The samples were split into two subsamples as they were obtained. One subsample was used for headspace screening. The second subsample was immediately placed in an ice filled cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under SEH standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of DRO and VOCs.

A soil sample (B-3) was also obtained on May 3, 1995 at AOC #9 in the area of the diesel siphon hose discharge. The soil sample was obtained using hand auger techniques from a depth interval of one to two feet. A soil sample was submitted for laboratory analysis under SEH standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of DRO and PVOCs. The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 8, "AOC #9." Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #9, are summarized in Table 1.

Excavated soils were disposed of by Clean Soils on August 26, 1995. Contaminated soils were treated through thermal means. A final report on soil treatment prepared by Clean Soils is included in Appendix A.

2.6.2 Soil Results

Soil analytical results indicated that a DRO concentration of 163 μ g/g was detected in the sample collected prior to remedial excavation. Post excavation samples collected from the floor and sidewalls of the excavation indicated residual soil DRO concentrations ranging from 23.9 μ g/g to 63.9 μ g/g which are below the s. 720.09 Wis. Admin. Code generic soil residual petroleum contaminant level standard of 100 μ g/g.

A soil sample was submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs on January 25, 1995, prior to remedial excavation. Toluene was detected at a concentration of 0.0291 μ g/g at this time. This soil sample for VOC analysis was submitted to further characterize the contaminant at AOC #9 as requested by the WDNR in a November 18, 1994 correspondence. Results of the VOC analysis indicate that the

contamination appears to be petroleum related. An additional soil sample collected on March 29, 1995 after remedial excavation yielded no detectable concentrations of VOCs.

Soil analytical results from the sample which was collected with a hand auger (B-3) at the siphon hose location indicate that the DRO concentration is $30.2 \ \mu g/g$ and no PVOCs are present above the laboratory detection limit. Copies of the laboratory reports from the January 11, 1994 sampling event were included in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994). Copies of the laboratory reports from the March 29, 1995 and May 3, 1995 sampling events are included in Appendix B of this report.

2.6.3 Closure Documentation

Based on the soil analytical results, post excavation soil DRO concentrations at AOC #9 are below the ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code RCL, and VOC concentrations in the excavation and soil boring were not detected. Therefore, Fraser requests that AOC #9 be submitted for closure and no further action be required.

2.7 AOC #11 – Dry Dock Base

Dry Dock #1 was originally constructed with a concrete base in the southern third of the dock and a stone and wooden base in the remaining northern section. As ships are repaired within the dock, wastes may potentially fall onto the stone base and become difficult to remove. Potential wastes which may be generated in this AOC consist of sandblasting grit wastes and solid wastes. The location of AOC #11 is indicated on Figure 2.

In order to prevent migration of potential contaminants into the stone base, Fraser poured concrete over the northern two third section of Dry Dock #1 during July and August 1994. Approximately two feet of crushed stone was placed directly below the concrete over a floor of natural red clay.

2.7.1 Sampling

Prior to placement of the stone and concrete in Dry Dock #1, seven soil grab samples were collected. Two samples were collected on July 7, 1994 (DD001, DD002) by Fraser. The samples were collected from a depth of six inches into the clay floor. A third sample (DD003) was collected by Fraser on August 4, 1994 from a depth of 6 to 10 inches into the clay floor. The four remaining soil samples (T-1, T-2, T-3, T-4) were collected by SEH on August 17, 1994 at the red clay surface. The locations of the grab samples are shown on Figure 9, "AOC #11." The samples were immediately placed in an ice filled cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of total lead according to EPA Method SW846-6010. Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #11, are summarized in Table 1.

2.7.2 Soil Results

Soil analytical results indicate a total lead concentration ranging from $30.1 \ \mu g/g$ to $272 \ \mu g/g$ at a depth of 6 to 10 inches into the red clay floor. Total lead concentrations at the clay surface ranged from 832 $\mu g/g$ to 958 $\mu g/g$. A copy of the laboratory reports from AOC #11 is included in Appendix A of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Partial Closure Documentation Report (April 1995). Based on the results of the soil sampling, the WDNR requested that Fraser provide additional documentation that residual lead will not impact human health or the environment.

2.7.3 Closure Documentation

The soil samples collected for laboratory analysis from AOC #11 were taken from two different depth intervals; 6 to 10 inches into the confining red clay layer and at the clay surface. Laboratory analysis for total lead concentrations indicates levels at the clay surface exceed the agreed upon RCL for the site. However, greatly reduced lead concentrations which are below the lead RCL for the site are found in shallow samples collected from the clay strata. This demonstrates the confining nature of the clay soils beneath the AOC which prevents downward migration of potential contaminants. Residual lead concentrations at the clay surface have been contained *in-situ* by the concrete matrix placed in the dry dock, the clay soils below, and the sheet pile walls of the site. SEH obtained an original design drawing for Dry Dock #1 and developed a cross section to demonstrate that the isolated layer of lead contaminated soil is contained for vertical and horizontal migration. Figure 10, "Dry Dock #1 Cross Section" shows a cross section through the dry dock. Because of the low permeability of the surrounding construction materials, the negligible leachability of the lead which has been demonstrated in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994 - AOC #5) and the naturally confining clay soils, the risk posed by the residual lead would be low. Therefore, Fraser requests that AOC #11 be submitted for closure and no further action be required.

2.8 AOC #12 – NW Fill Area

Fill materials were placed along the shoreline at AOC #12 in the late 1980's in the form of a berm five to eight feet high. The fill reportedly consisted primarily of demolition materials. The berm was capped with onsite soils and seeded to minimize erosion to Howard's Bay. The intended purpose of fill placement was to prevent surface runoff to the Bay. Intentional handling of wastes did not occur in this area. An investigation of AOC #12 was conducted to determine the composition of fill materials in the berm area. The location of AOC #12 is indicated in Figure 2.

2.8.1 Sample Collection

The initial investigation of AOC #12 consisted of the excavation of four test pits (TP-5, TP-6, TP-7, TP-8) with soil sample collection on January 25, 1994 from depths ranging from 2.5 to 6 feet. Discrete soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs from three of the four test pits. Details of the initial phase of investigation of AOC #12 can be found in the Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994).

Three additional soil samples (HA-1, HA-2, HA-3) were obtained using hand auger techniques on August 23, 1995 to define the extent of contamination. The soil samples were collected at depths ranging from 12 inches to 18 inches. After collection, the samples were immediately placed in an ice filled cooler for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were submitted under SEH standard chain of custody procedures for laboratory analysis of PVOCs. The locations and depths of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 11, "AOC #12." Analytical results for select AOCs, including AOC #12, are summarized in Table 1.

2.8.2 Soil Results

Soil analytical results from the initial investigation phase indicated the presence of toluene ranging from 0.356 μ g/g (TP-5) to 0.420 μ g/g (TP-7). Additional sampling conducted on August 23, 1995 for the purpose of defining the extent of contamination indicates the presence of low level concentrations of various non-specific petroleum related compounds. Total PVOC concentrations range from 0.119 μ g/g to 1.087 μ g/g and did not support the existence of a plume originating either within the AOC or to the south or east. Copies of the laboratory report from the January 25, 1994 sampling event were included in Appendix B of the Fraser Shipyards, Inc. Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994). Copies of the laboratory report from the August 23, 1995 sampling event are included in Appendix B of this report.

2.8.3 Closure Documentation

The concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes at AOC #12 are below the ch. NR 720 Wis. Admin. Code RCLs and do not appear to be associated with a specific release. In addition, the historic loading and earthwork activities associated with the transfer of bulk materials (rock, etc.) in the area are most probably the source of non-specific PVOCs noted in the surface samples. Based on the determination that fill materials at AOC #12 did not need to be removed as stated during a meeting attended by the WDNR, Fraser, and SEH on February 8, 1995; Fraser requests that AOC #12 be submitted for closure and no further action be required. Fraser intends to reseed the areas of fill disturbed during the investigation and mow the area annually.

2.9 AOC #13 – SE Fill Area

The placement of fill materials historically occurred at AOC #13. The area was graded and seeded in the early 1990's. Intentional handling of wastes did not occur in this area. Investigation of this area was conducted to determine the composition of fill materials. The location of AOC #13 is indicated on Figure 2.

The investigation of AOC #13 consisted of the excavation of four test pits with soil sample collection. No physical or field screening evidence of contamination was found in any of the test pit excavations. Details of the investigation can be found in Fraser Shipyard's Inc. Site Investigation Report and Closure Plan (May 1994). Fraser will reseed the areas of fill disturbed during the investigation to maintain the integrity of the cap. Vegetation at the AOC will also be mowed annually.

WDNR correspondence dated July 14, 1995 stated that information regarding AOC #13 was reviewed by the solid waste, water regulation, and zoning staff and that removal of the fill would not be required. Therefore, Fraser requests the formal closure of AOC #13 with no further action required.

3.0 Groundwater Monitoring Plan

A groundwater monitoring plan is proposed to evaluate the potential for groundwater contamination in the western portion of the Fraser Shipyard, Inc. property. Three groundwater monitoring wells will be constructed at the locations shown on Figure 12, "Proposed Well Locations." The wells will be constructed in accordance with ch. NR 141, Wis. Admin. Code requirements. However, depth to groundwater is anticipated to be approximately five feet below grade at the proposed well locations. Therefore, the filter pack seal has been reduced to allow for the placement of an adequate annuler space seal. A "Monitoring Well Construction Diagram" is submitted for WDNR review and approval (Figure 13). Upon completion of well installation, each of the monitoring wells will be developed to remove fine grained materials from within and around the well screen. The wells will be developed in accordance with ch. NR 141, Wis. Admin. Code. Following well installation, the wells will be surveyed with respect to elevation. The elevation of the top of PVC will be surveyed to the nearest 0.01 foot. The adjacent ground surface will be surveyed to the nearest 0.1 foot.

SEH will collect quarterly groundwater samples from the three wells for a period of one year. Groundwater samples will be forwarded to Enviroscan, Inc., a WDNR certified laboratory following the SEH protocols contained in Appendix C, "Standard Operating Procedures." The groundwater samples will be analyzed for VOCs, PAHs, and select metals (dissolved). A summary of the analytical program is shown on Table 2, "Groundwater Parameters/Analytical Methods."

SEH will submit a Site Status Report to the WDNR within 30 days after the first round of groundwater analytical results are received from the laboratory. The Site Status Report will include a discussion of site activities, an analytical results table, laboratory reports, groundwater contours, pertinent WDNR forms, and any proposed revisions to the monitoring schedule. Subsequent site status reports will be forwarded to the WDNR on a bi-annual schedule.

4.0 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

Fraser obtained a stormwater Discharge Permit in accordance with ch. NR 216 Wis. Admin. Code. Fraser is classified as a Tier 1 Industrial Source based on their SIC code. The first step in complying with the permit is to develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Fraser will utilize the stormwater regulatory requirements to address residual surface and shallow soil contamination at the facility. This contamination is primarily related to lead from historical metal storage and manufacturing performed in various areas of the facility. The ground surface in outside manufacturing areas will be identified as a potential source area for stormwater contamination in the SWPPP.

The second step in evaluating the potential need for corrective measures related to surficial soil contamination will be the collection and analysis of stormwater samples. If levels of lead or other contaminants are identified above regulatory limits in the stormwater samples, a Best Management Practice (BMP) will be selected to address the exceedance. Source control and treatment BMPs will be evaluated and implemented to comply with the stormwater permit. This approach should eliminate the risk to surface water from the residual surface soil contamination at the facility.

5.0 Standard of Care

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report were arrived at in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering practice at this time and location. Other than this, no warranty is implied or intended.

Tables

 Table 1 – Field and Analytical Results

 Table 2 – Groundwater Parameters/Analytical Methods

TABLE 1 FRASER SHIPYARDS, INC. FIELD AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

	FIELD SCREEN			N	ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS									
AOC	S	AMPLE	DATE	FID	PID	PCB*	PCB (8080)	DRO**	VOC (8010/8020 or 8021)	PVOC (8021a)	Pb (6010)	Cd (6010)	Cr (6010)	Hg (7471)
#	ID	DEPTH		units	units	ppm	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g
1	WASTE	OIL STAGIN	NG AREA											
	B-1	(2.5-3')	1-11-94	1000+	331		-	94.4	_	-				
	B-2	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	20	96		-	4,370	-	-		-		
	B-3	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	1000+	31			X	-					
	B-4	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	300	27			176	_					
	B-5	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	600	104				-	-	-	-		
	B-6	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	180	108				_	-				
	COMPC	SITE	1-11-94						0.0444 xylenes	-				
	S-1	(1.5')	5-3-95	1.22			-	96.9	_	-	-	-		
	S-2	(1.5')	5-3-95	49.63				266	_	0.013 benzene				
	S-3	(1.5')	5-3-95	236	-			73.1	-	0.0069 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene				
				and the second						0.0065 m- & p-xylene				
	S-4	(1.5')	5-3-95	1.08			-	222	-	-		-		
	E-1	(1.5')	5-3-95	40				257	-	-				
	EE-1	(1.5')	5-3-95	120			-	X	_		-			
	BW-1	(3.0')	5-3-95	584				246	-	0.0776 m-&p- xylenes		-		
	and the second sec	ALTS VORBOLIN.	and the burgers of							0.0418 o-xylene				
										& styrene				
3	DIRTY S	SOLVENT ST	AGING ARE	A										
	B-7	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	590	51		-	1820	0.05 benzene			-		
									0.43 1,2-dichlorobenzene					
	B-8	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	74	6		-	79.2	0.05 benzene					-
									0.29 1,2-dichlorobenzene					
	SP-1	(1-2')	3-29-95				-	42.1	0.00667 n-butylbenzene	-				
		12. 5							0.0108 tert-butylbenzene					
	SP-2	(1-2')	3-29-95						-		27.7			
	SW-1	(1-2')	5-3-95	154				15.4	X			-		0 <u>000</u> 0
	BW-2	(3')	5-3-95	21.75			-	19.4	X	-				-
	SW-4	(1-2')	5-3-95	4.8			-	50.4	×			-		
		E. E.												
5	PAINT	NASTE STA	GING AREA											
	B-9	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	1000+	42				x		685***	0.18	22.7	0.083
	B-10	(0-6")	1-11-94	0	36									
	B-10	(2-2.5')	1-11-94				-		X	-	270	0.28	274****	0.25
	B-11	(0-6")	1-11-94	0	34	- '		-			66.1	0.64	22.2	X
	B-12	(0-6")	1-11-94	1.4	34						-			-
	B-12	(2-2.5')	1-11-94	1000+	50				X		177	0.38	23.1	X
		and the second												

-- indicates parameter not analyzed

X = analyzed but not detected

* DTech Immunoassay PCB Test Kit - EM Industries, Gibbstown, NJ

** WDNR Modified DRO

***TCLP - Pb, B-9 (2-2.5') = none detected

ASTM - Pb, B-9 (2-2.5') = none detected ****TCLP - Cr, B-10 (2-2.5') = none detected

prepared by: ge checked by: TB

FRASER SHIPYARDS, INC. FIELD AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

	FIELD SCREEN					N	ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS							
AOC	S	AMPLE	DATE	FID	PID	PCB*	PCB (8080)	DRO**	VOC (8010/8020 or 8021)	PVOC (8021a)	Pb (6010)	Cd (6010)	Cr (6010)	Hg (7471)
#	ID	DEPTH		units	units	ppm	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g
7	TRANS	FORMER ST	AGING ARE	A										
	B-17	(2-2.5')	1-25-94	1.5		0.5-1	-	843	-	-	-			
	B-18	(0-0.5')	1-25-94	2		0.5 `	-	131	-					
	B-19	(0-0.5')	1-25-94	2.5		1.0	1.0	115	-	- 21 3				
	T-Z	(2')	3-29-95			-	0.48	147	0.00230 benzene	-	-			
									0.00895 tert-butylbenzene					
	00.4	(4 CD	5 9 95					10.4	0.0194 1,3-dichloropropane					
	SS-1	(1.5')	5-3-95	1.19			-	10.4	-	-		-		
	55-2	(1.5')	5-3-95	1.79	-		-	6.83	-			-		
	BN-1	(3)	5-3-95	0.44	_	-	^	17.2	^	-		-		-
8	PAINT	ROOM STOR	AGE PAD											
	B-20	(0-1')	1-25-94	1			-		0.0058 benzene		167	0.218	7.53	0.0509
		. ,	1.0000000000000						0.150 toluene					
	B-21	(2-2.5')	1-25-94	1					X		x	x	4.94	х
	HAX-1	(8")	8-23-95		-		-		0.104 n-butylbenzene	-		-	-	
									0.240 naphthalene					
									0.0665 1,2,4 trimethylbenzene					
									0.194 m- & p-xylene					
									0.131 o-xylene & styrene					
	HAX-2	(1')	8-23-95				-		3.24 n-butylbenzene	-				
									0.910 sec-butylbenzene					
									0.557 tert-butylbenzene					
									1.24 ethylbenzene					
									0.469 p-isopropyltoluene					
									5.11 naphthalene					
							~		0.658 n-propylbenzene					
									2.01 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene					
									0.696 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene					
									6.45 m- & p-xylene					
									3.02 o-xylene & styrene					
9	FUEL S	TORAGE AR	EA											
1059	B-22	(2-2.5')	1-25-94	1				163	0.0291 toluene	-				-
	ST-1	(1-2')	3-29-95		1000	-	-	23.9	X	-		-		
	B-1	(2-3')	5-3-95	2.9	-			63.9	-		-			
	B-2	(1-2')	5-3-95	1.33			-	44.9	-	-				
	B-3	(1-2')	5-3-95	6.09			-	30.2	-	X				
- indicates	naramet	er not analyze	he											
X = analyz	ed but no	t detected												
* DTech Im	= analyzed but not detected)Tech Immunoassay PCB Test Kit - EM Industries, Gibbstown, NJ													

** WDNR Modified DRO

TABLE 1 (continued) FRASER SHIPYARDS, INC. FIELD AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS

			FIE	ELD SCREE	N			A	NALYTICAL PARAMETERS				
AOC	SAMPLE	DATE	FID	PID	PCB*	PCB (8080)	DRO**	VOC (8010/8020 or 8021)	PVOC (8021a)	Pb (6010)	Cd (6010)	Cr (6010)	Hg (7471)
#	ID DEPTH		units	units	ppm	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g	ug/g
11	DRY DOCK #1 BASE					1							
	DD001 (6" into the	7-7-95	1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 -			-		-		272			
	DD002 clay)	7-7-95								30.1		-	
	DD003	8-4-94			- 1			-		34.1			
1	T1 (clay	8-17-94		-		-			-	927	-		
1	T2 surface)	8-17-94				-		-		832	-		
	T3	8-17-94				-		-		958			
	T4	8-17-94	1000							855			
		-											
12	NW FILL AREA												
	TP-5 (2.5')	1-25-94	15		-	-		0.356 toluene					
	TP-6 (5')	1-25-94	13			-		X					
	TP-7 (6')	1-25-94	350					0.42 toluene					
	TP-8 (4')	1-25-94	2										
	HA-1 (1.5')	8-23-95	-					0.0491 ethylbenzene					
								0.0387 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene					
								0.0313 m- & p-xylene					
	HA-2 (1.5')	8-23-95						0.0568 ethylbenzene	12 00				
								0.0560 toluene					
								0.0794 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene					
								0.0718 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene					
1								0.0685 m- & p-xylene					
								0.0401 o-xylene & styrene					
	HA-3 (1')	8-23-95		-	-			0.0604 ethylbenzene					
								0.0777 toluene					
								0.0802 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene					
1								0.092 m- & p-xylene					
								0.0793 o- xylene & styrene					
indicates	s parameter not analyze	ed											
X = analyz	ed but not detected												
* DTech In	nmunoassay PCB Test	Kit - EM Inde	ustries, Gibbs	stown, NJ									
** WDNR M	Indified DRO												

.

Table 2
Groundwater Parameters/Analytical Methods

	Real - de	Monitoring Wells						
Parameters	Methods	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3				
VOC ¹	EPA Method 8021	Х	X	X				
РАН	EPA Method 8310	Х	X	Х				
Pb ²	EPA Method 7421	Х	X	Х				
Cr ²	EPA Method 7191	Х	X	Х				

¹ = Sample for the full list of VOCs in the first round of samples. Subsequent rounds may be limited to the PVOCs or as requested by the WDNR project manager.

² = Sample for Pb and Cr in the first round of samples. Field filtered using a 0.45 micron filter. If no detections of Pb or Cr in the first sampling round request that analyses be dropped.
 Note: WDNR LUST Guidance (7/93) used as reference for diesel contaminated groundwaters.

Partial Closure Documentation Report and Monitoring Plan AOCs #1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13 Fraser Shipyards, Inc.

Figures

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Site Plan Figure 3 – AOC #1 Figure 4 – AOC #3 Figure 5 – AOC #5 Figure 6 – AOC #7 Figure 7 – AOC #8 Figure 8 – AOC #9 Figure 9 – AOC #11 Figure 10 – Dry Dock #1 Cross Section Figure 11 – AOC #12 Figure 12 – Proposed Well Locations Figure 13 – Monitoring Well Construction Diagram




















A xibn9qqA

Soil Treatment Documentation



CleanSoils Minnesota Inc. 2360 West County Road C Roseville, MN 55113 Office: (612) 639-8811 FAX: (612) 639-8813

October 3, 1995

Mr. Ronald Peterson Fraser Shipyards, Inc. P.O. Box 997 Superior, WI 54880

LUV_D OCT 1 2 1905

Dear Mr. Peterson:

RE: Final Report on Soil Treatment and Notification of Post-Burn Sampling Results

Site: Fraser Shipyards, Inc., Third Street and Clough Avenue, Superior, WI 54880 MPCA Leak ID#: N/A4 CleanSoils Project #: MN1861

CleanSoils has successfully completed the thermal treatment of petroleum contaminated soil from the above referenced site. The treated soil meets all MPCA requirements. Attached please find a copy of independent post-burn analyses for BTEX, GRO and/or DRO. Below is other information regarding the treated soil.

Quantity of Soil: 131.79 tons Completion Date: August 26, 1995 Post-Burn Samples: MN1861-1 Final Disposition of Soil: Held for Qualified Fill Project

If you should have any questions regarding this project, please contact me at (612) 639-8811.

Sincerely,

Daniel P. Rose Manager, CleanSoils Minnesota Inc.

attachments

CC:

File Jessica Ebertz, MPCA Consultant



1931 West County Road C2. St. Paul. Minnesota 55113 Phone (612) 636-7173 FAX (612) 636-7178

-

LABORATORY ANALYSIS 09/28/	REPORT NO: 53885 95	Page 1 of 2
CleanSoils, Inc. 2360 W County Road C Roseville, MN 55113	DATE COLLECTED: DATE RECEIVED: COLLECTED BY : DELIVERED BY : SAMPLE TYPE :	09/20/95 09/20/95 CLIENT CLIENT SOIL
Attn: Dan Rose		
CLIENT'S ID: 10013 Po	stburns	
SERCO SAMPLE NO:	139535	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:	MN1861-1	
ANALYSIS:		
Diesel Range Organics C10-C28,	<10	
Analytical Method for MOD DRO Date of Extraction for MOD DRO Date of Analysis for MOD DRO Benzene, dry weight, mg/kg	MOD DRO 09/20/95 09/25/95 <0.05	
Ethylbenzene, dry weight, mg/kg Methyl tertiary butyl ether, dry weight,	<0.05 <0.5	
Toluene, dry weight, mg/kg Total Xylene, dry weight, mg/kg Analytical Method for BETX/MTBE	<0.05 <0.05 8020	
Date of analysis for BETX/MTBE Total Solids, percent Lead, mg/kg as Pb	09/21/95 96.7 32	

< means "not detected at this level". 1 mg = 1000 ug.



Appendix B

Laboratory Results

ï

.

April 13, 1995

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

ENVIRONMENTAL AND RECEIVED NALYTICAL SERVICES

APR 1 8 1995

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

HORT, ELLIOTT, HENDRICKSON CHIPPEWA FALLS, WI

Re: FRASE9401.00

Please find enclosed the analytical results for the samples received March 31, 1995.

All analyses were completed in accordance with appropriate EPA and Wisconsin methodologies. Methods and dates of analysis are included in the report tables.

The chain of custody document is enclosed.

If you have any questions about the results, please call. Thank you for using Enviroscan Corp. for your analytical needs.

Sincerely,

Enviroscan Corp.

Eric P. Martin Analytical Chemist

303 West Military Road Rothschild, WI 54474 (715) 359-7226 An Affiliate of the Black Clawson Co.

Printed on territed paper.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

i ...

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EPM 2000 REVIEWED BY: 14 REVIEWED BY:

Farmer

. ...

د.

		Reporting	ST-1 AO#9		Date
	Units	Limit	03/29/95	Qualifier	s Analyzed
EPA 8021		0 001	v		04/05/05
Benzene	mg/kg	0.001	X		04/05/95
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.0024	X		04/05/95
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.0024	x		04/05/95
n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	. X		04/05/95
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	X		04/05/95
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.0024	X		04/05/95
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.01	X		04/05/95
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0024	X		04/05/95
Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.01	х		04/05/95
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.0024	х		04/05/95
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.01	x	SPH DUP (CSL04/05/95
o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	e mg/kg	0.064	Х		04/05/95
1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0024	х	CSH	04/05/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.01	х	DUP	04/05/95
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0024	Х		04/05/95
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0024	х		04/05/95
1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0019	х		04/05/95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0024	х		04/05/95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0024	X		04/05/95
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0024	X		04/05/95
1,3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0024	x	SPH	04/05/95
2,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.01	х	•	04/05/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
Isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
Isopropyl Ether	mg/kg	0.0049	X		04/05/95
p-Isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.01	x		04/05/95
Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.012	x		04/05/95
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0 0024	x		04/05/95
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.01	x		04/05/95
1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		04/05/95
1 2 4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0 0049	x		04/05/95
T' T' T- IT TOUTOTODOUTGOUG		0.0019	41		54/05/55

1.12

Analytical No .:

36659

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

5.

..

1

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

CUST NUMBER:	FRASE9401.0
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	03/31/95
REPORT DATE:	04/13/95
PREPARED BY:	EBMZPIN
REVIEWED BY:	$\Lambda \Omega /$
	XPS-

	Units	Reporting Limit	ST-1 AO#9 03/29/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0024	х		04/05/95
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0024	х		04/05/95
Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.001	х		04/05/95
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95
Vinvl Chloride	mg/kg	0.001	х		04/05/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0049	X		04/05/95
o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		04/05/95

Analytical No.:

36659

No. 1

X = Analyzed but not detected.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

CUST NUMBER: SAMPLED BY: DATE REC'D: REPORT DATE:	FRASE9401.0 Client 03/31/95 04/13/95 ERV 5(10
REVIEWED BY:)K-

Units Limit 03/29/95 Qualifiers Analyzed Benzene mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromodichloromethane mg/kg 0.006 0.006677 ISL 04/06/95 sc-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.006 0.0108 ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromethane mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromethane mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodorn mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 12-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg			Reporting	SP-1 AOC#3		Date
EPA 8021 mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromodichloromethane mg/kg 0.006 0.006677 ISL 04/06/95 n-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 tert-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobthame mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobtoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropame mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene m		Units	Limit	03/29/95	Qualifier	s Analyzed
EPA 8021 ISL 04/06/95 Benzene mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromodichloromethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromodichloromethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 scc-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodrom mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL						
Benzene mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Bromodichloromethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 0.006677 ISL 04/06/95 sec-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorothane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 8021					
Bromobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 0.00667 ISL 04/06/95 sec-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 tert-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbnzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbnzene mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbname mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbname mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobroluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	Benzene	mg/kg	0.0012	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Bromodichloromethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromomethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromomethane mg/kg 0.0012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 c-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Di	Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.003	Х	ISL	04/06/95
n-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 0.00667 ISL 04/06/95 sec-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodbnzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodthane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95	Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.003	Х	ISL	04/06/95
sec-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 tert-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 c-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorochane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane <tdm< td=""><td>n-Butylbenzene</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.006</td><td>0.00667</td><td>ISL</td><td>04/06/95</td></tdm<>	n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	0.00667	ISL	04/06/95
tert-Butylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 0.0108 ISL 04/06/95 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromomethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromomethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroform mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropame mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropame mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-D	sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Carbon Tetrachloride mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloromethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 o-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X CSH ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlor	tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	0.0108	ISL	04/06/95
Chlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorodibromomethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroform mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloromethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Ochlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorocethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorocethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorocethylene	Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.003	X	ISL	04/06/95
Chlorodibromomethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroform mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloromethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroptylene <td>Chlorobenzene</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.012</td> <td>Х</td> <td>ISL</td> <td>04/06/95</td>	Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.012	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Chloroethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Chloroform mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 o-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dich	Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.003	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Chloroform mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X CSH 1SL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95	Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.012	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Chloromethane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL DUP CSL04/06/95 o-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroptylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroptylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 <td>Chloroform</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.003</td> <td>х</td> <td>ISL</td> <td>04/06/95</td>	Chloroform	mg/kg	0.003	х	ISL	04/06/95
o-Chlorotoluenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95p-Chlorotoluenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,3-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,4-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,4-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.003XCSHISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.012XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg <td< td=""><td>Chloromethane</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.012</td><td>х</td><td>ISL DUP (</td><td>CSL04/06/95</td></td<>	Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.012	х	ISL DUP (CSL04/06/95
p-Chlorotoluene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.08XISL04/06/951,2-Dibromoethane mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003XCSHISL04/06/951,4-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.012XDUPISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003XISL04/06/952,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,5opropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,5opropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,5opropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/951,5opropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006XISL04/06/95 <td< td=""><td>o-Chlorotoluene</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.006</td><td>Х</td><td>ISL</td><td>04/06/95</td></td<>	o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane mg/kg 0.08 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dibromoethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X DUP ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 </td <td>p-Chlorotoluene</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.006</td> <td>Х</td> <td>ISL</td> <td>04/06/95</td>	p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dibromoethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X CSH ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X CSH ISL 04/06/95 Dichlorodifluoromethane mg/kg 0.003 X DUP ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroptopane mg/kg 0.006 X <td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>Х</td> <td>ISL</td> <td>04/06/95</td>	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.08	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X CSH ISL 04/06/95 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.003 X CSH ISL 04/06/95 Dichlorodifluoromethane mg/kg 0.003 X DUP ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 </td <td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.006</td> <td>Х</td> <td>ISL</td> <td>04/06/95</td>	1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,3-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/951,4-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.012XDUPISL04/06/95Dichlorodifluoromethanemg/kg0.012XDUPISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Ethylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Isopropylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95p-Isopropyltoluenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Methylene Chloridemg/kg0.012XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Naphthalenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006 <t< td=""><td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.006</td><td>х</td><td>ISL</td><td>04/06/95</td></t<>	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.006	х	ISL	04/06/95
1,4-Dichlorobenzenemg/kg0.003XCSHISL04/06/95Dichlorodifluoromethanemg/kg0.012XDUPISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloroethanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,1-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.0025XISL04/06/95cis-1,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/95trans-1,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/952,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.012XISL04/06/952,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Ethylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Isopropylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Jsopropyl Ethermg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95p-Isopropyl Ethermg/kg0.015XISL04/06/95Methyl eer Chloridemg/kg0.015XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006 </td <td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>0.006</td> <td>Х</td> <td>ISL</td> <td>04/06/95</td>	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane mg/kg 0.012 X DUP ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.0025 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 <t< td=""><td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>0.003</td><td>х</td><td>CSH ISL</td><td>04/06/95</td></t<>	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.003	х	CSH ISL	04/06/95
1,1-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Jsopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropylcoluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95	Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.012	Х	DUP ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dichloroethane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.0025 X ISL 04/06/95 cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Jsopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95	1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.003	х	ISL	04/06/95
1,1-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.0025XISL04/06/95cis-1,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/95trans-1,2-Dichloroethylenemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/951,3-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XISL04/06/952,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.003XSPH ISL04/06/952,2-Dichloropropanemg/kg0.012XISL04/06/95Ethylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Hexachlorobutadienemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Isopropylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95p-Isopropyltoluenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95Methyl tert Butyl Ethermg/kg0.012XISL04/06/95Methylene Chloridemg/kg0.015XISL04/06/95Naphthalenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL04/06/95n-Propylbenzenemg/kg0.006XISL<	1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.003	Х	ISL	04/06/95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X SPH ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 <	1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0025	х	ISL	04/06/95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Hexachlorobutadiene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Jsopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.003	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X SPH ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Hexachlorobutadiene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.003	X	ISL	04/06/95
1,3-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.003 X SPH ISL 04/06/95 2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Hexachlorobutadiene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-T	1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.003	х	ISL	04/06/95
2,2-Dichloropropane mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Hexachlorobutadiene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Tr	1,3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.003	х	SPH ISL	04/06/95
Ethylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Hexachlorobutadiene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	2,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.012	X	ISL	04/06/95
Hexachlorobutadiene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	X	ISL	04/06/95
Isopropylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.006	х	ISL	04/06/95
Isopropyl Ether mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	x	ISL	04/06/95
p-Isopropyltoluene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Isopropyl Ether	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	p-Isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.006	X	ISL	04/06/95
Methylene Chloride mg/kg 0.015 X ISL 04/06/95 Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.012	х	ISL	04/06/95
Naphthalene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.015	X	ISL	04/06/95
n-Propylbenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.006	X	ISL	04/06/95
Tetrachloroethylene mg/kg 0.003 X ISL 04/06/95 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.003	X	ISL	04/06/95
Toluene mg/kg 0.012 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.006	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	Toluene	mg/kg	0.012	x	ISL	04/06/95
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene mg/kg 0.006 X ISL 04/06/95	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.006	X	ISL	04/06/95
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.006	X	ISL	04/06/95

1.1.12

ï

Analytical No.:

36660

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

5

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

L ...

CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EPM $\mathcal{E}^{i/i \sim \lambda}$ REVIEWED BY: M/

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

	Units	Reporting	SP-1 AOC#3 03/29/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.003	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.003	х	ISL	04/06/95
Trichloroethylene	mq/kq	0.0012	х	ISL	04/06/95
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.006	х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	х	ISL	04/06/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.006	х	ISL	04/06/95
Vinvl Chloride	mg/kg	0.0012	х	ISL	04/06/95
m- & p-Xvlene	mg/kg	0.006	Х	ISL	04/06/95
o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.006	x	ISL	04/06/95

Analytical No.:

36660

X = Analyzed but not detected.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickso 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 5472	n, Inc. 9			CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EDM?
Attn: Gloria Chojnacki				Ale-
	Units	Reporting Limit	L-1 AOC#2 03/29/95	Date <u>Qualifiers</u> <u>Analyzed</u>
<u>EPA 6010</u> Lead	mg/kg	5.6	99.6	04/04/95
Analytical No.:	,		36661	

12 2 3

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

	Short Elliott Hendrickson 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729	,Inc.			CUST NUMBER SAMPLED BY DATE REC'D	R: FRASE9401.0 Client 03/31/95
	Attn: Gloria Chojnacki				REPORT DATE PREPARED BY REVIEWED BY	$\begin{array}{c} \text{S: } 04/13/95\\ \text{S: } \text{EPM} 2l^{2} \\ \text{C: } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\$
1						r
		Units	Reporting	L-2 AOC#2 03/29/95	<u>Qualifiers</u> <u>A</u>	Date Malyzed
	EPA 6010 Lead	mg/kg	7.7	55.7	C	04/04/95
	Analytical No.:			36662		

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

REPOR

IA

(.... b.

1 .

1.5

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 421 Frenette Drive SAMPLED BY: Client Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EPMERon REVIEWED BY: Attn: Gloria Chojnacki Reporting L-3 AOC#2 Date Limit 03/29/95 Qualifiers Analyzed Units EPA 6010 04/04/95 mg/kg 5.8 97.6 Lead

36663

Analytical No.:

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

Short Elliott Hendrickson, 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729	Inc.			CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401. SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EPM 2000 REVIEWED BY:	
Attn: Gloria Chojnacki					
	<u>Units</u>	Reporting Limit	L-4 AOC#2 03/29/95	Qualifiers	Date Analyzed
EPA 6010 Lead	mg/kg	5.3	41.3		04/04/95
Analytical No.:			36664		

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 Attn: Gloria Chojnacki					CUST NUMBER SAMPLED BY: DATE REC'D: REPORT DATE PREPARED BY REVIEWED BY	: FRASE9401.0 Client 03/31/95 : 04/13/95 : EPM from : D
		Units	Reporting Limit	L-5 AOC#2 03/29/95	<u>Qualifiers</u> A	Date nalyzed
	EPA 6010 Lead	mg/kg	6.1	109	0	4/04/95
	Analytical No.:			36665		

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

Short Elliott Hendrickson, 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729	Inc.			CUST NUMBE SAMPLED BY DATE REC'D REPORT DAT PREPARED B REVIEWED B	R: FRASE9401.0 : Client : 03/31/95 E: 04/13/95 Y: EPM/i?~~ Y: \\
Attn: Gloria Chojnacki		Penorting	L-6 AOC#2		Data
	Units	Limit	03/29/95	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Analyzed
<u>EPA 6010</u> Lead	mg/kg	6.2	18.0		04/04/95
Analytical No.:			36666		

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

Short Elliott Hendrickson 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729	, Inc.			CUST NUMB SAMPLED B DATE REC' REPORT DA PREPARED REVIEWED	ER: FRASE9401.0 Y: Client D: 03/31/95 TE: 04/13/95 BY: EPMfin BY: (1)/
Attn: Gloria Chojnacki					JP6
	<u>Units</u>	Reporting Limit	SP-2 AOC#3 03/29/95	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Date Analyzed
<u>EPA 6010</u> Lead	mg/kg	7.0	27.7		04/04/95
Analytical No.:			36667		

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

CUST NUMBER:	FRASE9401.0
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	03/31/95
REPORT DATE:	04/13/95
PREPARED BY:	EPMERIN
REVIEWED BY:	Λ
	The
	r

		Reporting	T-Z AOC #7		Date
	Units	<u> Limit</u>	03/29/95	Qualifiers	<u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 8021					the the state of the American
Benzene	mg/kg	0.001	0.00230	ISL	04/06/95
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	X	ISL	04/06/95
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	X	ISL	04/06/95
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	0.00895	ISL	04/06/95
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.01	X	ISL	04/06/95
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.01	X	ISL	04/06/95
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.01	X	ISL DUP (CSL04/06/95
o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.005	Х	ISL	04/06/95
p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.005	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.067	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.005	х	ISL	04/06/95
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.005	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.005	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0026	X	CSH ISL	04/06/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.01	X	DUP ISL	04/06/95
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0026	Х	ISL	04/06/95
1.2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0026	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.002	Х	ISL	04/06/95
cis-1.2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0026	x	ISL	04/06/95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
1.2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
1.3-Dichloropropane	ma/ka	0.0026	0.0194	SPH ISL	04/06/95
2.2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.01	X	ISL	04/06/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.005	х	ISL	04/06/95
Tsopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
Isopropyl Ether	mg/kg	0.005	X	ISL	04/06/95
p-Tsopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.01	x	ISL	04/06/95
Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.013	x	ISL	04/06/95
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0026	x	TSL	04/06/95
1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.01	x	ISL	04/06/95
1 2 3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,2,5 ILLOILOLODEIIZEIIC		0.000			,,

Analytical No.:

36668

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

1. 1.

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EPM 1/2 m REVIEWED BY:

	Units	Reporting Limit	T-Z AOC #7 03/29/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.005	х	ISL	04/06/95
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0026	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0026	X	ISL	04/06/95
Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.001	x	ISL	04/06/95
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.005	X	ISL	04/06/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.005	X	ISL	04/06/95
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.001	X	ISL	04/06/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.005	X	ISL	04/06/95
o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.005	x	ISL	04/06/95
EPA 8080					
Soil Organic Extraction		-	COMP		04/05/95
PCB-1016	mg/kg	0.43	х	SCR	04/05/95
PCB-1221	mg/kg	0.43	х	SCR	04/05/95
PCB-1232	mg/kg	0.43	х	SCR	04/05/95
PCB-1242	mg/kg	0.43	x	SCR	04/05/95
PCB-1248	mg/kg	0.43	х	SCR	04/05/95
PCB-1254	mg/kg	0.43	х	SCR	04/05/95
PCB-1260	mg/kg	0.17	0.48		04/07/95

Analytical No.:

36668

X = Analyzed but not detected.

Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 03/31/95 REPORT DATE: 04/13/95 PREPARED BY: EPM time REVIEWED BY:

Attn: Gloria Chojnacki

Qualifier Descriptions

SPH The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a high recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be high.
 DUP Result of duplicate analysis in this quality assurance batch exceeds the limits for precision. Sample results may also show a degree of variability.

- CSL Check standard for this analyte exhibited a low bias. Sample results may also be biased low. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard.
- CSH Check standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard.
- ISL Internal standard recovery was below normal limits. Sample results may be biased high.
- SCR Determination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration.

Il Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Client Analyt Check [] 2 [] 3 [] 3 [] 4 [] 4 [] 4 [] 4 [] 4 [] 4 [] 4 [] 4	Date Rec'd: 3.37 Date Rec'd: 3.37 Date Rec'd: 3.37 Date Rec'd: 3.437 Date Rec'd: 3.4
Analyt <u>Check</u> [] { []	<pre>sical No.: <u>9-366659</u> Thru <u>_366668</u> all deviations from EPA or WDNR sample protocol. Sample(s) received at°C which is above the EPA and the imit of 4°C. 70C vial(s) received with headspace. Explain: Sample(s) received in bottles not furnished by Enviros. Preservation method, if used, is unknown. Sample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for following: Sample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for: Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding inknown.</pre>
Check	all deviations from EPA or WDNR sample protocol. Gample(s) received at°C which is above the EPA and the imit of 4°C. WOC vial(s) received with headspace. Explain: Gample(s) received in bottles not furnished by Envirose Preservation method, if used, is unknown. Gample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for the following: Gample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for: Gample (ate/time not supplied by client. Actual holding unknown. Gample(s) circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm, but
	<pre>Sample(s) received at°C which is above the EPA and imit of 4°C. TOC vial(s) received with headspace. Explain: Sample(s) received in bottles not furnished by Enviros Preservation method, if used, is unknown. Sample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for following: Sample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for: Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding inknown.</pre>
<pre> []</pre>	YOC vial(s) received with headspace. Explain: Sample(s) received in bottles not furnished by Enviros Preservation method, if used, is unknown. Sample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for Sollowing: Sample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for: Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding Sample (s) (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm, but
	<pre>Sample(s) received in bottles not furnished by Enviros Preservation method, if used, is unknown. Sample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for Sollowing:</pre>
2 [] 	Sample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for Sollowing: Sample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for: Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding inknown. SRO/DRO (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm, but
	Sample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for: Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding inknown.
	Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding inknown. GRO/DRO (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm, but
	RO/DRO (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm, but
	vithin the WDNR stated 1.2 gm tolerance allowed for ave vial weight. Sample(s) over-weight:
[] (GRO/DRO (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 Sample(s) over-weight:
[4]	other: <u>Customer provided</u> DRO preweigh
-	
Clien devia and t	c (contact name) notified of the a tion(s) on/ at: am/pm by he client ordered: (signatu
	<pre>[] Proceed with analyses as ordered. [] Proceed with analyses after taking the following</pre>

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

•

RI	EQUEST FO	DR SI		ARY RD.	ROTH	SCHILD	WI 54474	1-8	300-3	38-S	CAP		<u> </u>		
1		VEIL		onmano				т.,	reares	und Ti	imo			÷.	
100	Name:	ASE	8 5	house	vds	Inc			marou		inte	1			
1.1	Address: Th	ind	Sta	elt a	ind	Clou	ch Ane	X	Norm	nal					
- F		Supe	rior	WE	54	880			Rush	ام مام ما					
	Phone: $(7/9)$	390	AC	27V7	, UTS			Da	ite Ne	eaea		. Lab			
-J	Quote / Reference #: _		ile	4-0			- Crito		(Pre				DEOUE	OTO	
-	Note: Terms and cond	itions pr	inted on	back apply	1		in and		A	INAL (use s	epara	CAL ite she	HEQUE et if necess	:313 sary)	
	II and	ALLER	o ca	<i>U</i> 64	ma c	Moy Na	ich I GER)		1	1	10	11	1	
i.	Sample Type		Samp	le Handling	L				1	/ /	/	AP L	2//	/	
	(Check all that apply)	No	nhazardo	bus 🗆 Refi	igerate				/		31	6	1 /	/	
1	Groundwater Wastewater		n Irritant		ar Gloves				1	V:	1ª	-/		/	
1	-दि: Soil		hly Toxic) (6.)					12	135	5/5	e/	/ /		
			ier (spec	ity)		••		/	3	× /	14	3/			
1.1	Other							1	0,	-5/-	\prec	m/	' /		
				No. of				10	r/c)/ ,	g/ (5/	/		
7.	LAB USE ONLY	DATE	TIME	Containers		SAMPLE	D	Q	$\gamma \supset$	1-4	7,0	Ē/	/ _		12
I I				COMP GRAB			A	_/	-	{	6		<u> </u>	EMAF	RKS
	09036659	3/04/8		2	51-	IAO	C#9~/	1	×						
	09036660			Ø .	58-	·1 AO	C#31	×	X						
	09036661			<i>j</i>	L-3	L AO	C#2/		,	xt					٨
	09036662			,	1-2	11	"		>	X.	1		-	Sho	ad1
1	09036663				6-3	1	"	•		×	1		Fle	wX10	20:1
J 	09036664				1-4	11	11		~	×.	/		red	Pilal	her
T	09036665			, i	1-5	11	11		,	X	1	_	rent	then	A
<u>}</u>	09036666				1-10	11			-	×	/		Pater	om p	te
	09036667	N/	12:15	; ;	58-	A	031	,	,	el	1	to	Tan	4 perty	
<u> </u>	09036668	V	2:00	3	T	1 20	C71	× ·	X	- / 1	X	<u>Nec</u>	one		Ì
1	SHAT	K.	nnd	FR	ACSE	C H		<u>/~</u>	/- 1						
	SHORT	pe	el A	<i>j i i c i</i>	1300	511			Del'	v: Ha	nd-	Com	R.	1. W 191	0
-1	CHAIN O	F CU	STO	DY RE	COR	D			Ship	. Con	t. Oł fria 7	···</th <th>S N</th> <th>N/A P</th> <th>001</th>	S N	N/A P	001
	SAMPLEPS TSignat	(Iro)	1 1	2 17					Seal	s OK	?		PN	N/A	19
L.				///					Sam	ples	leaki Is:	ng?	Y	N/A	I
1		5 (ral	In						ं		104			
J	RELINQUISHED BY	y (Signan	ure)	DATE/TIN	IE R	ECEIVED E	Y: (Signature)								
1.1	Chiro Ha	elk	3	130/95 2	:30										
	RELINQUISHED BY	: (Signati	ure)	DATE/TIN	IE R	ECEIVED E	Y: (Signature)								
10.04															
1	RELINQUISHED BY	: (Signati	ure)	DATE/TIN	IE R B	ECEWED F Y Signatu	OR LABORATO	DRY	301 K	те/тіі 두	ME 10	300	ν		

May 19, 1995

-

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 NEGERVEL States ENVIRONMENTAL AND ANALYTICAL SERVICES

URLEWIOL HAVEL

Attn: Cy Ingraham

Re: FRAZE9401

Please find enclosed the analytical results for the samples received May 5, 1995.

All analyses were completed in accordance with appropriate EPA and Wisconsin methodologies. Methods and dates of analysis are included in the report tables.

The chain of custody document is enclosed. If you have any questions about the results, please call. Thank you for using Enviroscan Corp. for your analytical needs.

Sincerely,

Enviroscan Corp.

fing C. Hunger

Jay C. Hunger Analytical Chemist

303 West Military Road Rothschild, WI 54474 (715) 359-7226 An Affiliate of the Black Clawson Co.



Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

CUST NUMBER:	FRAZE9401
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	05/05/95
REPORT DATE:	05/18/95
PREPARED BY:	DJBOTO
REVIEWED BY:	15:

Attn: Cy Ingraham

Modified Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Parameter # 78919

1							Date	Analytical
}			DRO	Qua	lifiers	Date Ext	Analyzed	No.
ĩ	AOC9	B-1	63.9	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39552
	AOC9	B-2	44.9	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39553
Į	AOC9	B-3	30.2	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39554
ţ	AOC7	SS-1	10.4	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39555
Ĩ	AOC7	SS-2	6.83	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39556
	AOC7	BN-1	17.2	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39557
I	AOC3	SW-1	15.4	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39558
	AOC3	BW-2	19.4	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39559
6	AOC3	SW-4	50.4	D2	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39560
į,								

Reporting	Limit	5.0
Units		mg/kg

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Qualifiers: Only above indicated qualifiers apply.

- (D1) The chromatogram is characteristic for a fuel oil/diesel. (i.e. #1 or #2 Diesel, jet fuel, kerosene, aged or degraded diesel, etc.)
- (D2) The chromatogram is not characteristic for diesel. It has the characteristics of a product which has significant peaks within the DRO window.
- (D2A) The chromatogram is characteristic for a light petroleum product (i.e. gasoline, aged or degraded gasoline, mineral spirits, etc.)
- (D2B) The chromatogram is characteristic for a heavier petroleum product other than diesel (i.e. motor oil, hydraulic oil, etc.)
- (D3) The chromatogram is not characteristic for diesel or any single common petroleum product.
- (D4) The chromatogram contained significant peaks outside the DRO window.
- (D5) The chromatogram contained significant peaks and a raised baseline outside the DRO window.

The entire area within the DRO window was quantitated.

The replicate spike recovery of this batch of samples was found to be 108.% and 105.%.

l analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.



Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/18/95 PREPARED BY: DJB 056 REVIEWED BY: 97

Attn: Cy Ingraham

Modified Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Parameter # 78919

			DRO	Qua	lifiers	Date Ext	Date Analyzed	Analytical No.
AOC1	BW-1	*	246.	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39561
AOC1	S-2		266.	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/08/95	39562
AOC1	S-3		73.1	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/08/95	39563
AOC1	S-4		222.	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/08/95	39564
AOC1	S-1		96.9	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39565
AOC1	E-1		257.	D3	D4	05/05/95	05/09/95	39566
AOC1	EE-1		x			05/05/95	05/09/95	39567

Reporting Limit 55. Units mg/kg

* = The detection limit for this sample is 160. mg/kg.

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Qualifiers: Only above indicated qualifiers apply.

- (D1) The chromatogram is characteristic for a fuel oil/diesel. (i.e. #1 or #2 Diesel, jet fuel, kerosene, aged or degraded diesel, etc.)
- (D2) The chromatogram is not characteristic for diesel. It has the characteristics of a product which has significant peaks within the DRO window.
- (D2A) The chromatogram is characteristic for a light petroleum product (i.e. gasoline, aged or degraded gasoline, mineral spirits, etc.)
- (D2B) The chromatogram is characteristic for a heavier petroleum product other than diesel (i.e. motor oil, hydraulic oil, etc.)
- (D3) The chromatogram is not characteristic for diesel or any single common petroleum product.
- (D4) The chromatogram contained significant peaks outside the DRO window.
- (D5) The chromatogram contained significant peaks and a raised baseline outside the DRO window.

The entire area within the DRO window was quantitated.

The replicate spike recovery of this batch of samples was found to be 108.% and 105.%.

Ill analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH - h. REVIEWED BY: _____

Attn: Cy Ingraham

1	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC9 B-3 05/03/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
FDA 8021A					
Benzene	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/10/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0043	х		05/10/95
Methyl tert Butyl E	ther mg/kg	0.0085	x		05/10/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.0085	х		05/10/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzo	ene mg/kg	0.0043	х		05/10/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzo	ene mg/kg	0.0043	х		05/10/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0043	х		05/10/95
o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0043	х		05/10/95
Analytical No.:			39554		

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

		Reporting	AOC7 BN-1		Date
	Units	Limit	05/03/95	Qualifiers	s <u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 8021A	/1	0 0007			05/10/05
Benzene	mg/kg	0.0007	X		05/12/95
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.0019	X		05/12/95
Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.0031	X		05/12/95
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.0019	X	CSL SPH	05/12/95
Bromoform	mg/kg	0.0073	х		05/12/95
Bromomethane	mg/kg	0.014	х	CSL SPL I	DUP05/12/95
n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	х		05/12/95
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	Х		05/12/95
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	х		05/12/95
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.0019	х		05/12/95
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0073	Х		05/12/95
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0019	х		05/12/95
Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.0073	х	CSL SPL I	DUP05/12/95
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.0019	х		05/12/95
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.0073	х	CSL DUP	05/12/95
o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0036	х		05/12/95
p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0036	X		05/12/95
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.048	х		05/12/95
1.2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.0036	X		05/12/95
Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0019	x		05/12/95
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	X		05/12/95
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	x		05/12/95
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0019	x		05/12/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.0073	x	CSH SPL I	DUP05/12/95
1 1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0019	x		05/12/95
1 2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0019	x		05/12/95
1 1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0014	x		05/12/95
cis-1 2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0019	x		05/12/95
trans-1 2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0019	x	CSL	05/12/95
1 2-Dichloropropage	mg/kg	0.0019	x	001	05/12/95
1 3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0019	x	SDH	05/12/95
2 2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0073	x	Drii	05/12/95
1 1 Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0075	v		05/12/95
1 2 Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.0019	v		05/12/95
Ethylbongene	mg/kg	0.0019	A V		05/12/95
Howachlerebutadiana	mg/kg	0.0036	× v		05/12/95
Taanaanilbanaana	mg/kg	0.0036	x v		05/12/95
Trepropyrbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	A V		05/12/95
p-isopropyicoiuene	mg/kg	0.0036	X		05/12/95

Analytical No .:

39557

CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401

SAMPLED BY: Client

DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH. L.k REVIEWED BY: <u>7</u>

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH. L. L. REVIEWED BY:

Attn: Cy Ingraham

		Units	Reporting Limit	AOC7 BN-1		Qualifiers	Date
	EPA 8021	Onreed				Qualifierd	midt y zed
	Methyl tert Butyl Ether	ma/ka	0.0073	х		CSL	05/12/95
	Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.009	х		CSL	05/12/95
	Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.0036	х			05/12/95
	n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	х			05/12/95
	Styrene	mg/kg	0.019	Х			05/12/95
	Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0019	х			05/12/95
	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0019	х			05/12/95
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0036	X			05/12/95
	Toluene	mg/kg	0.0073	X			05/12/95
	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	X		SPH	05/12/95
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	х		SPH	05/12/95
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0019	X			05/12/95
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0019	х			05/12/95
	Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0007	x			05/12/95
	Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.0036	Х		SPL DUP	05/12/95
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0073	X			05/12/95
	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	X			05/12/95
	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0036	X			05/12/95
	Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.0007	Х		SPL DUP	05/12/95
	m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0036	Х			05/12/95
	o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0036	х			05/12/95
	EPA 8080A						
	PCB-1016	mg/kg	0.079	X		SCR	05/16/95
	PCB-1221	mg/kg	0.079	х		SCR	05/16/95
	PCB-1232	mg/kg	0.079	х	1	SCR	05/16/95
ţ.	PCB-1242	mg/kg	0.079	х		SCR	05/16/95
	PCB-1248	mg/kg	0.079	х		SÇR	05/16/95
	PCB-1254	mg/kg	0.079	x		SCR	05/16/95
	PCB-1260	mg/kg	0.079	х		SCR	05/16/95
	Date Extracted						05/10/95
	Analytical No.:			39557			

X = Analyzed but not detected.

Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.


Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH REVIEWED BY: <u>YE</u>

			Reporting	AOC3 SW-1		Date
	1	Units	Limit	05/03/95	Qualifie	rs Analyzed
	EPA 8021A					
	Benzene	mg/kg	0.0009	х		05/12/95
	Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.0025	х		05/12/95
	Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.0037	х		05/12/95
	Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.0025	х	CSL SPH	05/12/95
	Bromoform	mg/kg	0.01	х		05/12/95
	Bromomethane	mg/kg	0.02	х	CSL SPL	DUP05/12/95
	n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
	sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
	tert-Butvlbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
	Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
	Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.01	x		05/12/95
	Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
	Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.01	x	CSL SPL	DUP05/12/95
	Chloroform	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
	Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.01	x	CSL DUP	05/12/95
	o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
	p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
	1 2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.065	x		05/12/95
	1 2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
	Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
μ.	1.2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
	1 3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
	1 4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
	Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.01	x	CSH SPL	DUP05/12/95
ĺ.	1 1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
k.	1.2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
<u> </u>	1 1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.002	x		05/12/95
	cis-1 2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0025	x	•	05/12/95
	trans-1 2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0025	x	CSL	05/12/95
	1 2-Dichloropropage	mg/kg	0.0025	x	001	05/12/95
	1 3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0025	x	SDH	05/12/95
	2 2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.01	x	0111	05/12/95
Ê.	1 1-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.0049	X		05/12/95
	1, 3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
1	T, 5-Dichiolopiopene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
	Herachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
ř.	Isopropul benzene	mg/kg	0.0049	X		05/12/95
	n-Isopropyisenzene	mg/kg	0 0049	x		05/12/95
Į	Mothyl tort Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.01	x	CGI	05/12/95
	Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.012	x	CGI	05/12/95
ĩ	Naphthalana	mg/kg	0.0049	X	CDD	05/12/95
	n-Dropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	x		05/12/95
1.	II-LIOPATDEUSEUE		0.0049	•		03/12/33

Analytical No.:

39558

X = Analyzed but not detected.

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

l analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH: C/A REVIEWED BY:

Attn: Cy Ingraham

	-	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC3 SW-1 05/03/95	Qualifiers	Date Analyzed
	EPA 8021					
ŕ	Styrene	mg/kg	0.025	х		05/12/95
	Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
Į.	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0025	x		05/12/95
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
i.	Toluene	mg/kg	0.01	х		05/12/95
ł.	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х	SPH	05/12/95
L	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х	SPH	05/12/95
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0025	х		05/12/95
r.	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0025	х		05/12/95
Į.	Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0009	х		05/12/95
I.	Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.0049	х	SPL DUP	05/12/95
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.01	х		05/12/95
	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
L	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
J.	Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.0009	х	SPL DUP	05/12/95
	m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
ų.	o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0049	х		05/12/95
	-					
Į	Analytical No.:			39558		

X = Analyzed but not detected.

. I analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH TOK REVIEWED BY:

		Reporting	AOC3 BW-2		Date
_	Units	Limit	05/03/95	Qualifier	<u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 8021A					
Benzene	mg/kg	0.0008	X		05/12/95
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.0022	x		05/12/95
Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.0031	X		05/12/95
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.0022	х	CSL SPH	05/12/95
Bromoform	mg/kg	0.0084	X		05/12/95
Bromomethane	mg/kg	0.016	х	CSL SPL	DUP05/12/95
n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	X		05/12/95
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	x		05/12/95
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	X		05/12/95
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.0022	X		05/12/95
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0084	X		05/12/95
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.0084	Х	CSL SPL	DUP05/12/95
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.0022	Х		05/12/95
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.0084	х	CSL DUP	05/12/95
o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0042	X		05/12/95
p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.0042	X		05/12/95
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	e mg/kg	0.055	Х		05/12/95
1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.0042	х		05/12/95
Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	Х		05/12/95
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	Х		05/12/95
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.0084	х	CSH SPL	DUP05/12/95
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0022	X		05/12/95
1.2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0022	X		05/12/95
1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0016	х	2	05/12/95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0022	х	5	05/12/95
trans-1.2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0022	X	CSL	05/12/95
1.2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
1.3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0022	X	SPH	05/12/95
2.2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0084	x		05/12/95
1.1-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.0042	x		05/12/95
1 3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.0022	x		05/12/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	x		05/12/95
Heyachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.0042	x		05/12/95
Isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	x		05/12/95
n-Isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.0042	x		05/12/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.0084	x	CSL	05/12/95
Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.011	x	CSL	05/12/95
Nanhthalana	mg/kg	0 0042	X	CDH	05/12/95
n-Dronyl benzene	mg/kg	0 0042	x		05/12/95
u-FrobArpeuvene	mg/rg	0.0042	4		00/12/00

Analytical No.:

39559

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER:	FRAZE9401
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	05/05/95
REPORT DATE:	05/19/95
PREPARED BY:	JCH
REVIEWED BY:	TH.
	1

	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC3 BW-2 05/03/95	Oualifiers	Date Analyzed
EPA 8021 -					
Styrene	mg/kg	0.022	х		05/12/95
Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.0043	х		05/12/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.0084	х		05/12/95
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	Х	SPH	05/12/95
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	х	SPH	05/12/95
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.0022	х		05/12/95
Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.0008	х		05/12/95
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.0042	Х	SPL DUP	05/12/95
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.0084	х		05/12/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	Х		05/12/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.0042	х		05/12/95
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.0008	х	SPL DUP	05/12/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0042	X		05/12/95
o-Xylene	mg/kg	0.0042	х		05/12/95

39559

Analytical No.:

X = Analyzed but not detected.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.



Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH, Ch REVIEWED BY: M

Attn: Cy Ingraham

	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC1 BW-1 05/03/95	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 8021A					
Benzene	mg/kg	0.0095	х	SH	05/10/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.019	х	SH	05/10/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.037	х	SH	05/10/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.037	х	SH	05/10/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.018	х	SH	05/10/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.018	х	SH	05/10/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.018	0.0776	SH	05/10/95
o-Xylène & Styrene	mg/kg	0.018	0.0418	SH	05/10/95

Analytical No .:

39561

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

ll analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130



Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH₁L./x REVIEWED BY:

1		Units	Reporting Limit	AOC1 S-2 05/03/95	Qualifiers	Date Analyzed
]]	EPA 8021A Benzene Ethylbenzene Methyl tert Butyl Ether Toluene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene m- & p-Xylene o-Xylene	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	0.0011 0.005 0.01 0.01 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005	0.013 X X X X X X X X X X		05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95
	Analytical No.:			39562		

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

l analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130



Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRAZE9401 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 05/05/95 REPORT DATE: 05/19/95 PREPARED BY: JCH, Colk REVIEWED BY:

Attn: Cy Ingraham

		Units	Reporting Limit	AOC1 S-3 05/03/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
EP B E M T 1 1 0	A 8021A enzene thylbenzene ethyl tert Butyl Ether oluene ,2,4-Trimethylbenzene ,3,5-Trimethylbenzene - & p-Xylene -Xylene	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	0.0026 0.0051 0.01 0.0051 0.0051 0.0051 0.0051 0.0051	X X X X 0.0069 0.0065 X	SH SH SH SH SH SH SH	05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95 05/10/95
An	alytical No.:			39563		

X = Analyzed but not detected.

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130



Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

Qualifier Descriptions

CUST NUMBER:	FRAZE9401
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	05/05/95
REPORT DATE:	05/19/95
PREPARED BY:	JCH, L.L
REVIEWED BY:	47
	7-

 SPH The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a high recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be high. SPL The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a low recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be low. DUP Result of duplicate analysis in this quality assurance batch exceeds the limits for precision. Sample results may also show a degree of variability. CSH Check standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard. SCR Determination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration. SH Recovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high. 	CSL	Check standard for this analyte exhibited a low bias. Sample results may also be biased low. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard.
 SPL The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a low recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be low. DUP Result of duplicate analysis in this quality assurance batch exceeds the limits for precision. Sample results may also show a degree of variability. CSH Check standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard. SCR Determination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration. SH Recovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high. 	SPH	The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a high recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be high.
DUPResult of duplicate analysis in this quality assurance batch exceeds the limits for precision. Sample results may also show a degree of variability.CSHCheck standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard.SCRDetermination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration.SHRecovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high.	SPL	The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a low recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be low.
 CSH Check standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard. SCR Determination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration. SH Recovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high. 	DUP	Result of duplicate analysis in this quality assurance batch exceeds the limits for precision. Sample results may also show a degree of variability.
SCR Determination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration. SH Recovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high.	CSH	Check standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard.
SH Recovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high.	SCR	Determination for indicated parameter is based on comparison of sample to a low standard at this equivalent concentration.
	SH .	Recovery of surrogate was high. Result for sample may also be biased high.

l analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Clie	nt: $5/10/f$ Date Rec'd: $5/5/95$
Anal	ytical No.: 39552 Thru 39567
Chec.	k all deviations from EPA or WDNR sample protocol.
[]	Sample(s) received at°C which is above the EPA and WDNR limit of 4°C.
[]	VOC vial(s) received with headspace. Explain:
[]	Sample(s) received in bottles not furnished by Enviroscan. Preservation method, if used, is unknown.
[]	Sample(s) not properly preserved per EPA/WDNR protocol for the following:
[]	Sample(s) received beyond EPA holding time for:
[]	Sample date/time not supplied by client. Actual holding time unknown.
[]	GRO/DRO (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm, but are within the WDNR stated 1.2 gm tolerance allowed for average vial weight. Sample(s) over-weight:
[]	GRO/DRO (circle appropriate) sample(s) exceed 20 gm. Sample(s) over-weight:
[<i>L</i>]	Other: automen prividea pre-tarea weigit Usea Damper date providea er Damper
Clie devi and	nt (contact name) notified of the above ation(s) on/ at: am/pm by the client ordered: (signature) [] Proceed with analyses as ordered.
	[] Proceed with analyses after taking the following corrective action:
	[] Do NOT proceed with analyses.

Il analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

REQUEST FOR	SERV	ICE	2S							
303 W	/. MILIT/	ARY R	D. RC	отнасн	LD, WI 54	4474	1-80	00-338-	-SCA	N
REPORT TO: Name: SEH Company: SEH Address: 421 Fr Chappen Phone: (715) P.O. # Project # $FRAZE940$	Cy - ene He a Go 72-0- 2/ Quote	Igran alis Geran	wF D	54729	BILL TO: (Name: Company: Address: _ Phone: (if diffe	AN	+ C + C 	Lou - 77 CAL F	e info): yard Inc. , / , / , / , / , / , / , / , /
Sample Type (Check all that apply Groundwater Wastewater Soil/Solid Drinking Water Oil Vapor Other		Tu Norma Rush (ate Need pproved I	rnaround T I Pre-approve led By	ime ed by Lab) く かん・ ^{伯、}	<u> </u>		Level and the second se		Bi	
	DATE		No. of Containers COMP GRAB	SAM	PLE ID		2	13/0	2/	REMARKS
14039562	-*3/95 / 543/95	05-1	2	AOC I AOC I	5-21	X	×			
14039564 14039565 14039565	-13/95 513/95 93/95			AOCI AOCI	5-4	XX	_			73 75 75
14039567	5/3/95		X	AOCI	EE L	X				75
								_		
CHAIN OF SAMPLERS: (Signatur	FRQ CUST	SER ODY	REC	CORD				Del'v: H Ship. C Sample Seals C Rec'd o	land (ont. Ol is leaki)K? on ice?	Comp K? (V) N N/A Q/ ing? V N N/A ICE N N/A ICE N N/A C
RELINQUISHED BY:	Signature) Signature)	5/4		RECE	IVED BY: (SI	ignature gnature	9)	Comme	лпо	
RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	D		RECEI BY: (S	VED FOR LAE	BORATC	DRY	DATE/T	ime (* 10:12	AM

.....

-

REQUEST FOR SERVICES	
the second state of the se	
303 W. MILITARY RD. ROTHS	SCHILD, WI 54474 1-800-338-SCAN
REPORT TO:	BILL TO: (if different from Report To info):
Name: SEH Cytugrah	_ Name: <u>Frazer Shypyard Inc</u>
Address: 42/ Frenette dr.	Address: 3rd + Clough st.
Phone: (7/5) 770 6200	Phone: (7(5) 394 7787
P.O. #	- ANALYTICAL REQUESTS
Project # $F \underline{AH2E990}$ Quote # $\underline{-76774-0}$ 3422-0	(use separate sheet if necessary)
Sample Type Turnaround Time	0
Groundwater Groundwater Rush (Pre-approved by L	ab)
$\Box \text{ Wastewater} \qquad 5-36.$	95 1 2/2 2/2 /
Drinking Water Approved By	
Other	
No. of	
LAB USE ONLY DATE TIME Containers	
14039552 - 3/45 10:15 1 AO	C9 B-I X. TS
14039553 13/95 10: 2 1 AO	C9 B-2 V X IS
14039554 Jaly 10:00 2 AO	C9 B-34XX
14039555 3/45 / AC	C7 35-74 X . TS
14039556 3/45 1 AO	C7 55-2 X ts
14039557 -13/45 3 140	C7 BN-ZYX XX
14039558 13/95 2 ADO	23 5W-Z-X X
14039559 5/3/95 2 Aor	3 BWZYX X
14039560 Sigs 1 40	C3 5W-4/X 15
	CI BW-ZYXX
SHORT FRASCROHM	
	Ship. Cont. OK7 DA N/A
CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECOR	Samples leaking? Y (N/N/A /)
SAMPLERS: (Signature)	Bec'd on ice?
hattern	Comments:
RELINOVISHED BY: (Sigpature) DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)
Um Hall 5/4/15 Sizer	Dori Hage
RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)
RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME	RECEIVED FOR LABORATORY DATE/TIME
	202 Mall 515195 1012AM

A (eunaugis) :AB YROTAROBAL ROA DAVIESER RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) **AMITATAD** *<u>AMIT/ATAD</u>* RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) *<u>AMIT/</u>* RECEIVED BY: (Signature) 10 9,11 55 REPANQUISHED BY: (Signature) RECEIVED BY: (Signature) **DATE/TIME** Comments: AMPLERS: (Signature) O. WANNU YHAD YOOI NO D'OOA NO WIN TO CALLE UNO SIERS CHVIN OE CORTODY RECORD AWN Y Sprikel seldms2 Ship. Cont. OK? 4 N. WA Ment release to the to country in the Action of Action of Action of Action of Action 1000 てヨヨ $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1$ DOA a and over the loss to a 204 100 or appending compatibut of the 2004 £200 FJOH 6、安静学科教育的 法法 计影响 T-59 620-4 -8 化偏差 后标词直接 地名印度马马 54/5/9 620H 成而今日,此后的学校。1971年1月 T-8 1. 8ARD 9MOO dent on REMARKS TIME **JTAD** Jun Sur **SAMPLE ID** Containers to .oN AC OD Ofher □ Vapor 10 5/4/25 let forseret Drinking Water Approved By bilo2/lio2 Date Needed Nastewater Groundwater 🗌 Bush (Pre-approved by Lab) Normal (Check all that apply) **Turnaround Time** Sample Type (use separate sheet if necessary) Project # 4 etono **ANALYTICAL REQUESTS** P.O. # Phone: ((92 anen Phone: 715 Oll. 550 (s molon LEUBS May P rollone oto Address: ILL 21.71-Sid -:ssəıppA 145 Company: Company: _ 17 11 あ L:9msN not dys Arzand Name: ____ :OT TRO93A BILL TO: (if different from Report To Into): .07 YAATIJIM .W E0E ROTHSCHILD, WI 54474 1-800-338-SCAN $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} = \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} = \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} = \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} = \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} \right\} \right\} \right\}$ 172ac 14 $1 \in I$ 1. A. 4. V. Same 11.1 1.2 REQUEST FOR SERVICES :201

September 6, 1995

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

ENVIRONMENTAL AND NALYTICAL SERVICES \mathbb{R} V

SEP 7 1995

Attn: Cy Ingraham

SHORT, ELLIOTT, HENDRICKSON CHIPPEWA FALLS, WI

Re: FRASE9401.00

Please find enclosed the analytical results for the samples received August 25, 1995.

The VOC analysis was completed using a modified EPA Method 8021.

The chain of custody document is enclosed.

If you have any questions about the results, please call. Thank you for using Enviroscan Corp. for your analytical needs.

Sincerely,

Enviroscan Corp.

INAN

Michael P. Melotik Analytical Chemist

303 West Military Road Rothschild, WI 54474 (715) 359-7226 An Affiliate of the Black Clawson Co.

Printed on recycled paper.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC8 HAX-1 08/23/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 160.3					
Total Solids	\$	-	96.94		08/28/95
EPA 8021_	1-				* * *******
Benzene	mg/kg	0.01	х		09/03/95
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.052	х	SPH	09/03/95
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.027	х	SPH	09/03/95
Bromoform	mg/kg	0.11	х		09/03/95
Bromomethane	mg/kg	0.22	Х	CSH SPH	09/03/95
n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	0.104	CSH	09/03/95
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.027	Х		09/03/95
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.11	х		09/03/95
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.11	х	CSH	09/03/95
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.11	х	CSH	09/03/95
o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95
p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.054	Х		09/03/95
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	0.72	х		09/03/95
1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.054	Х		09/03/95
Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.054	Х		09/03/95
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.027	х	CSH	09/03/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.11	X	CSH SPH	09/03/95
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.027	х	5	09/03/95
1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.022	х		09/03/95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.027	Х		09/03/95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.027	Х		09/03/95
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.027	Х		09/03/95
1,3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.027	Х	SPH	09/03/95
2,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.11	Х		09/03/95
1,1-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.054	Х		09/03/95
1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.027	Х		09/03/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	Х		09/03/95
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.054	Х		09/03/95
Isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95
p-Isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.054	Х	CSH	09/03/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.11	х	DUP	09/03/95
Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.14	х		09/03/95
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.054	0.240	CSH	09/03/95
n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95

47955

27.

2 de la

X = Analyzed but not detected.

Analytical No.:

Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 08/25/95 REPORT DATE: 09/06/95 PREPARED BY: MPM MM REVIEWED BY:

-	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC8 HAX-1 08/23/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
Styrene	mg/kg	0.27	x		09/03/95
Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.027	x		09/03/95
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.054	х		09/03/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.11	x		09/03/95
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.054	x	CSH	09/03/95
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.054	x	CSH	09/03/95
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.027	х		09/03/95
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.027	X		09/03/95
Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.01	x		09/03/95
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.054	x		09/03/95
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.11	х		09/03/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	0.0665	CSH SPH	09/03/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.054	х	CSH	09/03/95
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.01	x		09/03/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.054	0.194		09/03/95
o-Xylene & Styrene	mg/kg	0.054	0.131	DUP	09/03/95

Analytical No.:

47955

X = Analyzed but not detected.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 08/25/95 REPORT DATE: 09/06/95 PREPARED BY: MPM/mpm REVIEWED BY: U2/

		Reporting	AOC8 HAX-2		Date
	Units	<u>Limit</u>	08/23/95	Qualifiers	Analyzed
EPA 160.3					and the second second
Total Solids	8	-	94.51		08/28/95
EPA 8021	1				/ /
Benzene	mg/kg	0.053	X		09/03/95
Bromobenzene	mg/kg	0.13	X		09/03/95
Bromochloromethane	mg/kg	0.249	X	SPH	09/03/95
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	0.13	X	SPH	09/03/95
Bromoform	mg/kg	0.53	X		09/03/95
Bromomethane	mg/kg	1.1	X	CSH SPH	09/03/95
n-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	3.24	CSH	09/03/95
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	0.910		09/03/95
tert-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	0.557		09/03/95
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/kg	0.13	X		09/03/95
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.53	X		09/03/95
Chlorodibromomethane	mg/kg	0.13	X		09/03/95
Chloroethane	mg/kg	0.53	x	CSH	09/03/95
Chloroform	mg/kg	0.13	x		09/03/95
Chloromethane	mg/kg	0.53	X	CSH	09/03/95
o-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.26	х		09/03/95
p-Chlorotoluene	mg/kg	0.26	х		09/03/95
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/kg	3.5	х		09/03/95
1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/kg	0.26	х		09/03/95
Dibromomethane	mg/kg	0.13	Х		09/03/95
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.26	Х		09/03/95
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.26	X		09/03/95
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.13	X	CSH	09/03/95
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	0.53	х	CSH	09/03/95
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.13	x		09/03/95
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	0.13	х		09/03/95
1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.11	х		09/03/95
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.13	х		09/03/95
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.13	х		09/03/95
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.13	х		09/03/95
1,3-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.13	Х	SPH	09/03/95
2,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	0.53	х		09/03/95
1,1-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.26	Х		09/03/95
1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	0.13	X		09/03/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	1.24		09/03/95
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.26	x		09/03/95
Isopropylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	x		09/03/95
p-Isopropyltoluene	mg/kg	0.26	0.469	CSH	09/03/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.53	X	DUP	09/03/95
Methylene Chloride	mg/kg	0.66	X		09/03/95
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.26	5.11	CSH	09/03/95
n-Propylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	0.658		09/03/95
					a construction of the second second second

Analytical No.:

47956

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

YTICAL REPO

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

Attn: Cy Ingraham

CUST NUMBER:	FRASE9401.0
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	08/25/95
REPORT DATE:	09/06/95
PREPARED BY:	MPM mo
REVIEWED BY:	Ho man
	0

	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC8 HAX-2 08/23/95	Qualifier	Date s Analyzed
	17				
Styrene	mg/kg	1.3	X		09/03/95
Tetrachloroethylene	mg/kg	0.13	x		09/03/95
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.13	x		09/03/95
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	0.26	x		09/03/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.53	х		09/03/95
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.26	х	CSH	09/03/95
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.26	х	CSH	09/03/95
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.13	х		09/03/95
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	0.13	X		09/03/95
Trichloroethylene	mg/kg	0.053	х		09/03/95
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	0.26	х		09/03/95
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	mg/kg	0.53	Х		09/03/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	2.01	CSH S1H	S2H09/03/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.26	0.696	CSH	09/03/95
Vinyl Chloride	mg/kg	0.053	Х		09/03/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.26	6.45		09/03/95
o-Xylene & Styrene	mg/kg	0.26	3.02	DUP	09/03/95
Analytical No.:			47956		

Analytical No .:

X = Analyzed but not detected.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

REP

Attn: Cy Ingraham

YTI



	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC12 HA-1 08/23/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 160.3 Total Solids	ક	-	89.75		08/28/95
EPA 8021					
Benzene	mg/kg	0.056	х		08/28/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.111	0.0491		08/28/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.028	Х		08/28/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.028	X		08/28/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.028	х		08/28/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.11130	0.0387		08/28/95
m- & p-Xvlene	mg/kg	0.11130	0.0313		08/28/95
o-Xylene & Styrene	mg/kg	0.028	x		08/28/95

Analytical No.:

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

47957

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 08/25/95 REPORT DATE: 09/01/95 PREPARED BY: MPM MFM REVIEWED BY: MP

Attn: Cy Ingraham

	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC12 HA-2 08/23/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
<u>EPA 160.3</u> Total Solids	90	-	90.20		08/28/95
EPA 8021					
Benzene	mg/kg	0.058	х		08/28/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.115	0.0568		08/28/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.028	х		08/28/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.230	0.0560		08/28/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.115	0.0794		08/28/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.115	0.0718		08/28/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.115	0.0685		08/28/95
o-Xylene & Styrene	mg/kg	0.115	0.0401		08/28/95
Analytical No.:			47958		

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729 CUST NUMBER: FRASE9401.0 SAMPLED BY: Client DATE REC'D: 08/25/95 REPORT DATE: 09/01/95 PREPARED BY: MPM MM REVIEWED BY:

Attn: Cy Ingraham

	Units	Reporting Limit	AOC12 HA-3 08/23/95	Qualifiers	Date <u>Analyzed</u>
EPA 160.3 Total Solids	90	-	78.02		08/28/95
EPA 8021					
Benzene	mg/kg	0.064	Х		08/28/95
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.128	0.0604		08/28/95
Methyl tert Butyl Ether	mg/kg	0.032	х		08/28/95
Toluene	mg/kg	0.256	0.0777		08/28/95
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.128	0.0802		08/28/95
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/kg	0.032	х		08/28/95
m- & p-Xylene	mg/kg	0.128	0.092		08/28/95
o-Xylene & Styrene	mg/kg	0.128	0.0793		08/28/95
Analytical No.:			47959		

X = Analyzed but not detected. Results calculated on a dry weight basis.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program. Enviroscan Corp., 303 West Military Rd., Rothschild, WI 54474 1/800/338-SCAN Wisconsin Lab Certification No. 737053130

Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. 421 Frenette Drive Chippewa Falls , WI 54729

CUST NUMBER:	FRASE9401.0
SAMPLED BY:	Client
DATE REC'D:	08/25/95
REPORT DATE:	09/06/95
PREPARED BY:	MPM Mars
REVIEWED BY:	the man
	1

Attn: Cy Ingraham

Qualifier Descriptions

SPH	The matrix spike included with this analytical batch had a high recovery. Since that sample matrix appears similar to your sample, your result may also be high.
CSH	Check standard for this analyte exhibited a high bias. Sample results may also be biased high. Non-detects were verified by comparison with a low standard.
DUP	Result of duplicate analysis in this quality assurance batch exceeds the limits for precision. Sample results may also show a degree of variability.
S1H	Matrix spike recovery of this sample was high. Result for sample may also be biased high.
S2H	Matrix spike duplicate recovery of this sample was high. Result for sample may also be biased high.

All analyses conducted in accordance with Enviroscan Quality Assurance Program.

/ICES **REQUEST FOR SERVICES**

國際

BEPORT TO:			ηIJ.	10		BILL	TO: /i	f diff	erent	from	Rend		ninfo):
Name: Cy In	graha	m				Name	э:	Fre	ser	Sh	ipy	ard	Inc.
Company:	JInc.					Comp	bany: _		1.	5	110		••
Address: 421 F	renette	Dr.	-	54-	178	Addre	ess:	30		<u>ę</u>	Cla	ugh	St.
Phone: (715) 72	20 - 6	15, W		371	0.1	Phon	e. (=	<u></u>	peri	294	-0-	178	7
P.O. #			3'	741	-5	γ	0.1				TIC		PEOLIESTS
Project # FRASE 9401	. OD Que	ote #	164	10	- ALOH	Fed 6	TX .		A	USE SE	parate	AL F	t if necessary)
Sample Type		Т	urnaro	und T	ime	と	1 11 55			7	/	1	\sim
(Check all that app	lv)	□ Norm	al		و	0158	61600	Ĩ	4	l		Sta 1	
Groundwater		Rush	(Pre-a	pprov	ed by Lab)_	95			Å	- Ki	15	55/	
Wastewater		$\overline{\bigcirc}$		C	1 th	95			S.	T	0/2	T	
Soil/Solid		Date Nee	eded _	4	17		R	3	y y I	120	1:	4	/ /
Drinking Water		Approved	By _	-			21	X	1.1	S5	1 -	J.	/ /
U Vapor								K	SA.	5	7	/ /	/ /
Other								1	00	20	1	/	/
	2-1 	[No.	of				15	17		2P	/	/
LAB USE ONLY	DATE	TIME	Conta	iners	SAN	IPLE ID)	1/5	5 3	5/~	.)	/	/
p and a straight			COMP	GRAB				/ ~	1 <u>4</u>	1 1	/		
11047955	133/95	11:30 M		2	AOC 8	HA)	<u>\$-1</u>	X		X			8" clepth
11047956	8/23/95	13:00 PM	L	2	AOC 8	HAY	1-21	X		X			12" depth
11047957	8/22/0-	13'15 .		0	Ancia		A-1.	1	X	X			1011
11047958	8/22/0	POLIS NO		~	AUCIA		<u> </u>		V	X			10 Wpm
4404000	103/45	12. 30 pm		2	AOCIA	H	A-2	-	N				18 depth
1104/333	\$/23/95	12:45 AM		2	AOCIA	. н	A-3		X	\land			12" depth
terine the second second			·										
								-					
		,											
SHORT	FRA	Sers	SH							III I SAME			\frown
0,100	1,	CHI CHINA CONTRACTOR			L					Del	v: Ha	and	Comm?
CHAIN OF	CUS	TOD	VR	F	OPD					Shi). Co	nt. O	
CHAINOF	CUB	100	11 17	TEAC		1		-		Sea	Is Ol	</td <td>N N/A</td>	N N/A
SAMPLERS: (Signatu	ıre)									Rec	'd or	ice?	YN N/A_
- herri Ba	m	21								-			
	/Signatur	~) [PEC					Cor	nmer	nts:	
	เอเมาลเนเ		JAIE/		AM		51. (3/2	ynatur					
hun Ban	~	8-2'	4-95	10:	00								
										1			
RELINQUISHED BY:	(Signatur	re) [DATE/		RECE	EIVED E	3Y: (Sig	gnatur	e)	<u> </u>			

L. Chi

Rei-A STATE OF 12 Ar

Appendix C

Standard Operating Procedures

Well Development, Stabilization and Sampling

Groundwater monitoring wells are developed and sampled according to WDNR guidelines outlined in NR 141.21. Wells which cannot be purged dry are surged and purged for 30 minutes prior to well development using a bottom-loading disposable plastic bailer. Ten well volumes are removed from the well during development. Wells that can be purged dry are bailed slowly to avoid agitation. The well is purged dry twice and allowed to recover prior to sampling. Following development, water is collected from the well using a bottom sampling bailer and placed in laboratory supplied sample bottles. The sample bottles are placed in an ice-filled cooler, stored under refrigerated conditions and sent to the laboratory following chain-ofcustody procedures.

Sampling procedures used after the initial round of groundwater monitoring follow WDNR guidelines outlined in PUBL-WR-168. In low permeability formations, the well is bailed dry using a disposable plastic bailer. The well is sampled after a sufficient volume of water is present in the well for the required analyses. In high permeability formations, four well volumes are bailed from the well prior to sampling.

Monitoring Wells

Groundwater samples are collected from monitoring wells after initial well development following WDNR Guidelines contained in PUBL-WR-16887, "Groundwater Sampling Procedures".

Wells that can be Purged Dry

- (1) Pump or bail the well dry.
- (2) Allow the well to recover after purging.
- (3) Purge the well a second time (if time permits).
- (4) Collect the water sample as soon as there is a sufficient volume of water for the intended analysis.

Wells that Cannot be Purged Dry

- (1) Remove four well volumes.
- (2) Purge wells by bailing as near the water surface as possible. Disposable bailers are used to purge and collect water samples. Bailer rope is kept as clean as possible during purging and sampling activities. Water samples are collected from the bottom of the bailer and poured into laboratory provided glass containers. Sample bottles are filled until a positive meniscus is formed at the brim of the container. Agitation and turbulence is avoided while filling the sample bottles. Disposable nitrile gloves are worn while collecting samples. Sample bottles are tightly sealed after filling, placed on ice in a cooler, repacked in the office, and sent to the laboratory following chain of custody protocol.

Private Water Supplies

Water samples are collected prior to entering any treatment system and from a tap as close as possible to the well. The tap is opened and water allowed to run at least five minutes before sampling. Sample collection procedures follow those previously described in the previous section.