

1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:

Project Manager: Jill Zalesny

Review Date: 8/13/2009

Site Name: Fraser Shipyards AOC #1

BRRTS Number: 02-16-120589

FID Number: 816047210

Parcel No.: 03-803-02127-00

Address: 5300 Stinson Ave., Superior, WI

Current Property Owner: Fraser Shipyard

Original Responsible Party: Same

Property Owner at Closure (if different): Same

Has the site been geolocated? Yes No

Site Coordinates (WTM83/91): 359965, 698162

How was site selected for audit?

Random Regional Priority Compliance Follow-up Other (specify)

Complaint Received

Is the site on a GIS Registry? Yes No

Did the close-out letter include some specific requirements that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address? Yes No

If Yes, describe specific requirements:

A high voltage power line and a concrete slab impeded the site investigation and remedial action. If either impediment was removed in the future, additional actions would be required to address residual impacted soil.

What types of restrictions existed at the time of case closure? (check all that apply)

- Pavement, Soil or Other Cover Industrial Land-Use Restriction
 Structural Impediment Other Performance Standard

Was a maintenance plan required at closure? Yes No

Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) or has/have the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR? Yes No

Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?

- Yes No

Has the property been transferred since the restriction was recorded?

- Yes No

Date of closure:

- Conditional 10/09/1997 Final
 Final Closure Pending

Were there other restricted properties associated with the source site (ie. Affected neighboring properties)? Yes No

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

Examples: a building has been razed and the location of the building prevented full investigation and/or remediation; excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

Yes No

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

Yes No

If yes above, was DNR notified? Yes No

If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?

Yes No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? Yes No

If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

Yes No

Were any new potential sources of contamination identified? Yes No

If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? Yes No

3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?

Yes No

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?

Yes No

Is the structure still present? Yes No

Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? Yes No

If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired? Yes No

Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists? Yes No

For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom?

Are additional actions warranted at the site? Yes No If yes, please explain:

Does the site require follow-up by DNR? Yes No

Does the site restriction need to be changed? Yes No

Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? Yes No

Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS?

Yes No

BRRTS #: 02-16-120589

Closure Compliance Review Tracking Table

Check if applicable, to be added to BRRTS:	BRRTS Action Code	Meaning
x	185	Closure Compliance Review completed
	186	Closure Compliance Review follow up needed
	187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed
	50	Add to GIS Registry
	51	Deed notice (if code was missing)
	52	Deed restriction (if code was missing)
	LUCs:	
	220	Soil at industrial use level
	222	Cap/cover/engineered containment system (pavement, etc.)
x	224	Structural impediment
	226	Vapor mitigation/other vapor control
	228	Site-specific
	230	LGU was directed to take a protective action
	Other:	
	232	Site closed with residual soil ("manage as waste" paragraph)
	234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned, when found
	236	Site closed with groundwater > ES