

**Natural
Resource
Technology, Inc.**

RECEIVED
MAR 23 1998

DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES
SERVICE CENTER / HQ
MILWAUKEE, WI

March 18, 1998
(1105)

Mr. Mike Zillmer
Solid Waste Section
WDNR - Southeast District
4041 N. Richards Street, P.O. Box 12436
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53212

**RE: Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring Status Report No. 9 and
Request for Shutdown of VOC System 001**


Reporting Period - July 1, 1997 to December 31, 1997
Praefke Brake and Supply, 133 Oak Street, West Bend, Wisconsin
FID #267083740


Dear Mr. Zillmer:

On behalf on Praefke Brake and Supply (Praefke), Natural Resource Technology, Inc. (NRT) has prepared this Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring (OM&M) Status Report for the two groundwater remediation systems at the above-referenced site. Two copies of the report are enclosed for your review. This report was prepared using appropriate pages from Form 4400-194 and summarizes OM&M activities for the period July 1, 1997 to December 31, 1997. The related attachments to the form are listed below. As a reminder, this site has two groundwater pump and treat systems. System 001 is the VOC remediation system on the north side of the property. System 002 is the PCP remediation system on the south side of the property. **At this time, we request WDNR's approval to shutdown the VOC groundwater extraction system. Refer to the explanation page following the Form 4400-194 for System 001.** Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the status report for the Praefke Brake site. We look forward to your response regarding shutdown of the VOC system.

Sincerely,

NATURAL RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY, INC.


Julie A. Griswold, P.E.
Environmental Engineer


Laurie J. Parsons, P.E.
Senior Engineer

Attachments: Completed Form 4400-194 (System 001 and System 002)
Explanations
Figure 1 - Site Location Map

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

GENERAL SITE INFORMATION, CONTINUED

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake (System 001-VOC)

Reporting period from: 1/1/98 To: 6/30/98 Days in period: 181

E. NAME(S), SIGNATURE(S) AND DATE OF PERSON(S) SUBMITTING FORM: Legibly print name, date and sign. Only persons qualified to submit reports under ch. NR 712 Wis. Adm. Code are to sign this form.

Registered Professional Engineers:

I (print name) Julie A. Zimdars, Laurie J. Parsons, hereby certify that I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Wisconsin, registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. A-E 4, Wis. Adm. Code; that this document has been prepared in accordance with the rules of Professional Conduct in ch. A-E 8, Wis. Adm. Code; and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title, P.E. number and date:

Julie A. Zimdars, Environmental Engineer #31,452 8/18/98
Laurie J. Parsons, P.E. #27812 8/12/98

Hydrogeologists:

I (print name) _____, hereby certify that I am a hydrogeologist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03(1), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.



Signature, title and date:

Scientists:

I (print name) _____, hereby certify that I am a scientist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03(3), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title and date:

Professional Seal(s), if applicable:



Mr. Mike Zillmer
March 18, 1998
Page 2

Figure 2 - Site Plan with Groundwater Contour Map
Figure 3 - Groundwater Contaminant Distribution Map
Table 1 - Groundwater Analytical Summary - VOCs
Table 2 - Groundwater Analytical Summary - SVOCs
Table 3 - Groundwater Elevation Data
Sampling Schedule
Cumulative Contaminant Removal Graphs (2)
Contaminant Concentration vs. Time Graphs -Monitoring Wells (2)
WDNR Discharge Monitoring Report Forms - fourth quarter 1997

cc: Mr. Dan Kudek/Mr. Mike Butz, Praefke Brake and Supply
Ms. Jennifer Buzecky, Whyte, Hirschboeck, Dudek S.C.
Mr. Craig Caliendo, Whyte, Hirschboeck, Dudek S.C.
Mr. Frank Volage, EIS Brake Parts, Div. of Standard Motor Products
Ms. Lisa Wadge, EnviroCheck Ltd.

[1105\1105dnr2.ltr]

**COMPLETED FORM 4400-194 (SYSTEM 001 AND SYSTEM 002)
EXPLANATIONS**

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY OF THIS FORM: Completion of this form is required under s. NR 724.13(e), Wis. Adm. Code. Use of this form is mandatory. Failure to submit this form as required is a violation of s. NR 724.13, Wis. Adm. Code, and is subject to the penalties in s. 144.99, Wis. Stats. This form must be submitted every six months for active soil and groundwater remediation projects and every twelve months for passive (natural attenuation) remediation projects that are regulated under the NR 700 series of Wis. Adm. Code. Specifically, for sites meeting any of the following criteria:

- Soil or groundwater remediation projects that report progress in accordance with s. NR 700.11(1), Wis. Adm. Code.
- Soil or groundwater remediation projects that report progress in accordance with s. NR 724.13(3), Wis. Adm. Code. (Note: s. NR 724.13(3) requires progress reports for operation and maintenance of active systems to be submitted every three months however the Department considers submittal of this form every six months to satisfy the requirements of the rules, unless otherwise directed by the Department on a site specific basis.)
- Soil or groundwater remediation projects that report progress in accordance with s. NR 724.17(3), Wis. Adm. Code. (Note: s. NR 724.17(3) requires progress reports every time that samples are collected however the Department considers submittal of this form every twelve months to satisfy the requirements of the rules for monitoring natural attenuation, unless otherwise directed by the Department on a site specific basis.)

Submittal of this form is not a substitute for reporting required by Department programs such as Wastewater or Air Management. Personally identifiable information on this form is not intended to be used for any other purpose than tracking progress of the remediation by the Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment.

Please refer to the instructions that are attached to the back of these forms starting on page INS-1. In all cases, when asked to "explain," those explanations are to be included on separate sheets of paper. Explanations must include a title that refers to the page and item number, for example: Page GI-2, C.1.a.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION:

1. Site name: Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation (System 001-VOC)
2. Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184
3. Regulatory agency (enter DNR, DCOM, DATCP and/or other): WDNR
4. DNR issued site number: FID# 267083740
5. State reimbursement fund claim number and fund name (if not applicable, enter NA): NA
6. Site location:
 - a. DNR region and county: Southeast Region, Washington County
 - b. Street address and municipality: 133 Oak Street, West Bend
 - c. Township, range, section and quarter quarter section: T11NR19E, S13 SW ¼ of SW ¼
7. Responsible party:
 - a. Name: Praefke Brake & Supply Corporation
 - b. Mailing address: 133 Oak Street
West Bend, WI 53095
 - c. Phone number: (414) 334-2355, Mr. Dan Kudek
8. Consultant:
 - a. Company name: Natural Resource Technology, Inc.
 - b. Mailing address: 23713 West Paul Road
Pewaukee, WI 53702
 - c. Phone number: (414) 523-9000, Ms. Laurie J. Parsons, Ms. Julie A. Griswold
9. Contaminants: Chlorinated volatile organic compounds (Trichloroethene, 1,1,1 - Trichloroethane, etc.)
10. Soil types (USCS or USDA): SM/SP, interbedded CL, some GP (to 35'), CL (to 50')
11. Hydraulic conductivity (cm/sec): 3.90 x 10⁻⁴ geom. mean slug tests
(Range 1.95 x 10⁻⁴ to 4.60 x 10⁻⁴ cm/s)
12. Average linear velocity of groundwater (ft/yr): 21.5

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

GENERAL SITE INFORMATION, CONTINUED

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation (System 001-VOC)

Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184

A. GENERAL INFORMATION (CONTINUED):

13. If soil is treated ex situ, is the treatment location off site? (Y/N) If yes, give location:

a. DNR region and county: _____

b. Township, range, section and quarter quarter section: _____

B. REMEDIATION METHOD: Only submit pages that apply to an individual site. Check all that apply:

- ☒ Groundwater extraction (submit a completed page GW-1).
- ☐ Free product recovery (submit a completed page GW-1).
- ☐ In situ air sparging (submit a completed page GW-2).
- ☐ Groundwater natural attenuation (submit a completed page GW-3).
- ☐ Other groundwater remediation method (submit a completed page GW-4).
- ☐ Soil venting (including soil vapor extraction and bioventing, submit a completed page IS-1).
- ☐ Soil natural attenuation (submit a completed page IS-2).
- ☐ Other in situ soil remediation method (submit a completed page IS-3).
- ☐ Biopiles (submit a completed page ES-1).
- ☐ Landspreading/thinspreading of petroleum contaminated soil (submit a completed page ES-2).
- ☐ Other ex situ soil remediation method (submit a completed page ES-3).

C. GENERAL EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION FOR ALL ACTIVE SYSTEMS: If the remediation is active (not natural attenuation), complete this subsection.

1. Is the system operating at design rates and specifications? (Y/N): Yes
If the answer is no, explain whether or not modifications are necessary to achieve the goal that was previously established in design.
2. Are modifications to the system warranted to improve effectiveness? (Y/N) If yes, explain: No
3. Is natural attenuation an effective low cost option at this time? (Y/N): Yes, see attached
4. Is closure sampling warranted at this time? (Y/N): Yes, see attached
5. Are there any modifications that can be made to the remediation to improve cost effectiveness? (Y/N) If yes, explain: No

D. ECONOMIC AND COST DATA TO DATE:

1. Total investigation costs (\$): Not Available, performed by previous owner
2. Implementation costs (design, capital and installation costs, excluding investigation costs) (\$): Not available, see above
3. Total costs during the previous reporting period (\$): Praefke Brake is performing Operation and Maintenance
4. Total costs during this reporting period (\$): Praefke Brake is performing Operation and Maintenance
5. Total anticipated costs for the next reporting period (\$): See closeout / natural attenuation sampling
6. Are any unusual or one-time costs listed in the reporting periods covered by D.3., D.4. or D.5. above? (Y/N) If yes explain: No
7. If close out is anticipated within 12 months, estimated costs for project closeout (\$): \$20,000 - \$30,000

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

GENERAL SITE INFORMATION, CONTINUED

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake (System 001-VOC)

Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184

E. NAME(S), SIGNATURE(S) AND DATE OF PERSON(S) SUBMITTING FORM: Legibly print name, date and sign. Only persons qualified to submit reports under ch. NR 712 Wis. Adm. Code are to sign this form.

Registered Professional Engineers:

I (print name) Julie A. Griswold, Laurie J. Parsons, hereby certify that I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Wisconsin, registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. A-E 4, Wis. Adm. Code; that this document has been prepared in accordance with the rules of Professional Conduct in ch. A-E 8, Wis. Adm. Code; and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title, P.E. number and date:

Julie A. Griswold, Environmental Engineer, #31,452 3/18/98
Laurie J. Parsons, Senior Engineer, #27,812 3/18/98

Hydrogeologists:

I (print name) _____, hereby certify that I am a hydrogeologist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03(1), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.



Signature, title and date: _____

Scientists:

I (print name) _____, hereby certify that I am a scientist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03(3), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title and date: _____

Professional Seal(s), if applicable:



GROUNDWATER PUMP AND TREAT SYSTEMS AND FREE PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEMS

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake (System 001-VOC)

Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184

Date that the system was first started up: 12/6/95

A. GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION SYSTEM OPERATION:

1. Total number of groundwater extraction wells or trenches available and the number in use during period: 3/3 then 2
(RW1C shut down on 9/8/97)
2. Number of days of operation (only list the number of days the system actually operated, if unknown explain): 153
3. System utilization in percent (days of operation divided by reporting time period multiplied by 100). If < 80%, explain: 83%
4. Quantity of groundwater extracted during this time period (gallons): 1,104,200 gal
5. Average groundwater extraction rate (gpm): 4.2 gpm
6. Quantity of dissolved phase contaminants removed during this time period in pounds: 0.11 lbs Total VOCs

B. FREE PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEM OPERATION:

1. Is free product (nonaqueous phase liquid) being recovered at this site? (Y/N) If yes, list method: No
2. Quantity of free product extracted during this time period (gallons, enter none if none): --
3. Average free product extraction rate (gpd): --

C. SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION:

1. Is a contaminated groundwater plume fully contained in the capture zone? (Y/N) If no, explain: Substantially, PAL exceedance at MW-A outside capture zone
2. If free product is present, is the free product fully contained in capture zone? (Y/N) If no, explain: --
3. If free product is present in any wells at the site, but free product was not recovered during reporting period, explain.
4. If free product is not present, determine the single contaminant that requires the greatest percent reduction to achieve ch. NR 140 ES and PAL. Perform this calculation for all contaminants that were present at the site that have ch. NR 140 standards. Use the highest contaminant concentration measured in any sampling points during reporting period. If free product is present, write "FREE PRODUCT" in C.4.a.
 - a. Contaminant: Trichloroethene (TCE)
 - b. Percent reduction necessary to reach ch. NR 140 ES and PAL: ES: 43.8% PAL: 94.4%
 - c. Maximum contaminant concentration level in any monitoring well of that contaminant ($\mu\text{g/L}$): 6.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$
 - d. Maximum contaminant concentration level in any extraction well of that contaminant ($\mu\text{g/L}$): 8.9 $\mu\text{g/L}$
 - e. If the maximum concentration in a monitoring well is more that one order of magnitude above the concentration measured in an extraction well, explain why the extracted groundwater contamination levels are significantly less than the levels at other locations within the aquifer.

D. ADDITIONAL ATTACHMENTS: Attach the following to this form:

- Most recent report to the DNR Wastewater Program, if applicable.
- Groundwater contour map with capture zone indicated.
- Groundwater contaminant distribution map (may be combined with contour map).
- Graph of cumulative contaminant removal, if both free product recovery and ground water extraction are used, provide separate graphs.
- Time versus groundwater contaminant concentration graphs for the contaminant listed in C.4.a. (above), as follows:
 - Graph of contaminant concentrations versus time for each extraction well in use during the period.
 - Graph of contaminant concentrations versus time for the monitoring well with the greatest level of contamination.
- Groundwater contaminant chemistry table.
- Groundwater elevations table.
- System operational data table.

Praefke Brake and Supply
Reporting period: 7/1/97 - 12/31/97
Status Report No. 9

SYSTEM 001 - VOC

We have included results of the most recent sampling round (February 3, 1998) on the tables for information only; we have not used this data on the forms, in the graphs or the maps.

Explanation for Page GI-2, C. 3&4 General Effectiveness Evaluation for All Active Systems:

At this time, we request WDNR's approval to shutdown the VOC system due to very low concentrations at the monitoring wells and extraction wells for TCE in particular. The TCE concentrations have been just above the NR 140 Preventive Action Limit or Enforcement Standard for the last four sampling rounds and appear to have leveled off. Natural attenuation/closure sampling is recommended through 1998 and assuming favorable results, closure will be recommended at that time. From the time vs. concentration graphs for the monitoring wells (MW-6A, MW-A), it is apparent that natural attenuation is occurring due to the reduced concentrations of contaminants prior to system start-up on December 6, 1995. Indicator parameters for natural attenuation will likely be included in the next sampling rounds.

Explanation for Page GW-1, D. Additional Attachments

Time vs. contaminant concentration graphs for each extraction well will be included in next period's report when we have three data points.

System Operational Data (We are submitting a written explanation in lieu of a table)

The system operated continuously except for periodic down time for cleaning the air stripper and the discharge pump due to scale build-up. This down time totaled approximately 31 days during this period. The problem appears to be getting worse due to the scaling on the discharge line to the storm sewer constricting the flow. All three pumps were operating from July 1, 1997 through September 8, 1997. Flow rates during this time were approximately 7,000 to 9,000 gallons per day (4.9 to 6.3 gpm). The wells were throttled and pumped continuously with approximately equal flow from each well.

The pump at RW-1C was shut down on September 8, 1997 due to non-detectable concentrations at this well. Only two pumps (RW-1A and RW-1B) were operating from September 8, 1997 through December 31, 1997. Flow rates during this time were 11,000 gallons per day (7.6 gpm) decreasing to 7,000 gallons per day (4.9 gpm) in December 1997. The two wells were throttled and pumped continuously with approximately equal flow from each well. Due to the scaling on the discharge line, it is expected that flow rates will be reduced to less than 3,000 gallons per day in the future.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY OF THIS FORM: Completion of this form is required under s. NR 724.13(e), Wis. Adm. Code. Use of this form is mandatory. Failure to submit this form as required is a violation of s. NR 724.13, Wis. Adm. Code, and is subject to the penalties in s. 144.99, Wis. Stats. This form must be submitted every six months for active soil and groundwater remediation projects and every twelve months for passive (natural attenuation) remediation projects that are regulated under the NR 700 series of Wis. Adm. Code. Specifically, for sites meeting any of the following criteria:

- Soil or groundwater remediation projects that report progress in accordance with s. NR 700.11(1), Wis. Adm. Code.
- Soil or groundwater remediation projects that report progress in accordance with s. NR 724.13(3), Wis. Adm. Code. (Note: s. NR 724.13(3) requires progress reports for operation and maintenance of active systems to be submitted every three months however the Department considers submittal of this form every six months to satisfy the requirements of the rules, unless otherwise directed by the Department on a site specific basis.)
- Soil or groundwater remediation projects that report progress in accordance with s. NR 724.17(3), Wis. Adm. Code. (Note: s. NR 724.17(3) requires progress reports every time that samples are collected however the Department considers submittal of this form every twelve months to satisfy the requirements of the rules for monitoring natural attenuation, unless otherwise directed by the Department on a site specific basis.)

Submittal of this form is not a substitute for reporting required by Department programs such as Wastewater or Air Management. Personally identifiable information on this form is not intended to be used for any other purpose than tracking progress of the remediation by the Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment.

Please refer to the instructions that are attached to the back of these forms starting on page INS-1. In all cases, when asked to "explain," those explanations are to be included on separate sheets of paper. Explanations must include a title that refers to the page and item number, for example: Page GI-2, C.1.a.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION:

1. Site name: Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation (System 002-PCP)
2. Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184
3. Regulatory agency (enter DNR, DCOM, DATCP and/or other): WDNR
4. DNR issued site number: FID#267083740
5. State reimbursement fund claim number and fund name (if not applicable, enter NA): NA
6. Site location:
 - a. DNR region and county: Southeast Region, Washington County
 - b. Street address and municipality: 133 Oak Street, West Bend
 - c. Township, range, section and quarter quarter section: T11N, R19E, S13, SW¼ of SW¼
7. Responsible party:
 - a. Name: Praefke Brake & Supply Corporation
 - b. Mailing address: 133 Oak Street
West Bend, WI 53095
 - c. Phone number: (414) 334-2355 Mr. Dan Kudek
8. Consultant:
 - a. Company name: Natural Resource Technology, Inc.
 - b. Mailing address: 23713 West Paul Road
Pewaukee, WI 53072
 - c. Phone number: (414) 523-9000, Ms. Laurie Parsons, Ms. Julie Griswold
9. Contaminants: Pentachlorophenol, PAHs
10. Soil types (USCS or USDA): SM/SP, interbedded CL, some GP (to 35'); CL (to 50')
11. Hydraulic conductivity (cm/sec): 3.90 x 10⁻⁴ Geom. Mean
slug tests (Range 1.95 x 10⁻⁴ to 4.60 x 10⁻⁴ cm/s)
12. Average linear velocity of groundwater (ft/yr): 21.5

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

GENERAL SITE INFORMATION, CONTINUED

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake (System 002-PCP)

Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184

A. GENERAL INFORMATION (CONTINUED):

13. If soil is treated ex situ, is the treatment location off site? (Y/N) If yes, give location:

a. DNR region and county: _____

b. Township, range, section and quarter quarter section: _____

B. REMEDIATION METHOD: Only submit pages that apply to an individual site. Check all that apply:

- ☒ Groundwater extraction (submit a completed page GW-1).
☐ Free product recovery (submit a completed page GW-1).
☐ In situ air sparging (submit a completed page GW-2).
☐ Groundwater natural attenuation (submit a completed page GW-3).
☐ Other groundwater remediation method (submit a completed page GW-4).
☐ Soil venting (including soil vapor extraction and bioventing, submit a completed page IS-1).
☐ Soil natural attenuation (submit a completed page IS-2).
☐ Other in situ soil remediation method (submit a completed page IS-3).
☐ Biopiles (submit a completed page ES-1).
☐ Landspreading/thinspreading of petroleum contaminated soil (submit a completed page ES-2).
☐ Other ex situ soil remediation method (submit a completed page ES-3).

C. GENERAL EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION FOR ALL ACTIVE SYSTEMS: If the remediation is active (not natural attenuation), complete this subsection.

1. Is the system operating at design rates and specifications? (Y/N): No, see attached
If the answer is no, explain whether or not modifications are necessary to achieve the goal that was previously established in design.
2. Are modifications to the system warranted to improve effectiveness? (Y/N) If yes, explain: Yes, see attached
3. Is natural attenuation an effective low cost option at this time? (Y/N): No
4. Is closure sampling warranted at this time? (Y/N): No
5. Are there any modifications that can be made to the remediation to improve cost effectiveness? (Y/N) If yes, explain: Yes

D. ECONOMIC AND COST DATA TO DATE:

1. Total investigation costs (\$): Not available, performed by previous owner
2. Implementation costs (design, capital and installation costs, excluding investigation costs) (\$): Not available, see above
3. Total costs during the previous reporting period (\$): Praefke Brake is performing operation and maintenance
4. Total costs during this reporting period (\$): Praefke Brake is performing operation and maintenance
5. Total anticipated costs for the next reporting period (\$): Praefke Brake is performing operation and maintenance
6. Are any unusual or one-time costs listed in the reporting periods covered by D.3., D.4. or D.5. above? (Y/N) If yes explain: No
7. If close out is anticipated within 12 months, estimated costs for project closeout (\$): -

GENERAL SITE INFORMATION, CONTINUED

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake (System 002-PCP)

Reporting period from: 7/1/97

To: 12/31/97

Days in period: 184

E. NAME(S), SIGNATURE(S) AND DATE OF PERSON(S) SUBMITTING FORM: Legibly print name, date and sign. Only persons qualified to submit reports under ch. NR 712 Wis. Adm. Code are to sign this form.

Registered Professional Engineers:

I (print name) Julie A. Griswold, Laurie J. Parsons, hereby certify that I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Wisconsin, registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. A-E 4, Wis. Adm. Code; that this document has been prepared in accordance with the rules of Professional Conduct in ch. A-E 8, Wis. Adm. Code; and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title, P.E. number and date:

Julie A. Griswold, Environmental Engineer, #31,452 3/18/98
Laurie J. Parsons, Senior Engineer, #27,812 3/15/98

Hydrogeologists:

I (print name) _____, hereby certify that I am a hydrogeologist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03(1), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title and date:

Scientists:

I (print name) _____, hereby certify that I am a scientist as that term is defined in s. NR 712.03(3), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Signature, title and date:

Professional Seal(s), if applicable:



OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, MONITORING
AND OPTIMIZATION REPORTING OF
SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION SYSTEMS

GROUNDWATER PUMP AND TREAT SYSTEMS AND FREE PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEMS

SITE NAME AND REPORTING PERIOD:

Site name: Praefke Brake (System 002-PCP)

Reporting period from: 7/1/97 To: 12/31/97 Days in period: 184

Date that the system was first started up: 12/6/95

A. GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION SYSTEM OPERATION:

1. Total number of groundwater extraction wells or trenches available and the number in use during period: 3/3 then 2
(RW-2C shut down 11/18/97)
2. Number of days of operation (only list the number of days the system actually operated, if unknown explain): 184
3. System utilization in percent (days of operation divided by reporting time period multiplied by 100). If < 80%, explain: 100%
4. Quantity of groundwater extracted during this time period (gallons): 811,250 gal
5. Average groundwater extraction rate (gpm): 3.1 gpm
6. Quantity of dissolved phase contaminants removed during this time period in pounds: 0.22 lb PCP

B. FREE PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEM OPERATION:

1. Is free product (nonaqueous phase liquid) being recovered at this site? (Y/N) If yes, list method: No
2. Quantity of free product extracted during this time period (gallons, enter none if none): --
3. Average free product extraction rate (gpd): --

C. SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION:

1. Is a contaminated groundwater plume fully contained in the capture zone? (Y/N) If no, explain: No
2. If free product is present, is the free product fully contained in capture zone? (Y/N) If no, explain: --
3. If free product is present in any wells at the site, but free product was not recovered during reporting period, explain.
4. If free product is not present, determine the single contaminant that requires the greatest percent reduction to achieve ch. NR 140 ES and PAL. Perform this calculation for all contaminants that were present at the site that have ch. NR 140 standards. Use the highest contaminant concentration measured in any sampling points during reporting period. If free product is present, write "FREE PRODUCT" in C.4.a.
 - a. Contaminant: Pentachlorophenol
 - b. Percent reduction necessary to reach ch. NR 140 ES and PAL: ES: 99.96% ; PAL = 99.996%
 - c. Maximum contaminant concentration level in any monitoring well of that contaminant (µg/L): 2,800 µg/L
 - d. Maximum contaminant concentration level in any extraction well of that contaminant (µg/L): 61 µg/L
 - e. If the maximum concentration in a monitoring well is more that one order of magnitude above the concentration measured in an extraction well, explain why the extracted groundwater contamination levels are significantly less than the levels at other locations within the aquifer.

D. ADDITIONAL ATTACHMENTS: Attach the following to this form:

- Most recent report to the DNR Wastewater Program, if applicable.
- Groundwater contour map with capture zone indicated.
- Groundwater contaminant distribution map (may be combined with contour map).
- Graph of cumulative contaminant removal, if both free product recovery and ground water extraction are used, provide separate graphs.
- Time versus groundwater contaminant concentration graphs for the contaminant listed in C.4.a. (above), as follows:
 - Graph of contaminant concentrations versus time for each extraction well in use during the period.
 - Graph of contaminant concentrations versus time for the monitoring well with the greatest level of contamination.
- Groundwater contaminant chemistry table.
- Groundwater elevations table.
- System operational data table.

Praefke Brake and Supply
Reporting period: 7/1/97 - 12/31/97
Status Report No. 9

SYSTEM 002 - PCP

We have included results of the most recent sampling round (February 3, 1998) on the tables for information only; we have not used this data on the forms, in the graphs or the maps.

Explanation for Page GI-2, C. 1,2, and 5. General Effectiveness Evaluation for All Active Systems

The design flow rate for each well was 2 gpm. Actual flow rates for wells RW-2A and RW-2C are close to 2 gpm (see discussion of system operational data below). However, RW-2B produces far less than the design flow rate. In general, the permeability of the soil and well flow rates are lower in the area of the PCP system than the VOC system.

In addition, the drawdown influence from the PCP extraction system is not performing as the modeling efforts predicted. The Remedial Action Plan details the previous modeling procedure and results performed by GZA GeoEnvironmental in coordination with EnviroAudit. The modeling results indicated that with wells spaced 100 ft apart, the combined drawdown influence at the midpoint between the wells would be approximately 2 feet and would be sufficient to overcome the regional gradient to the north. Monitoring well MW-3, located 20 feet north of RW-2A (between RW-2A and RW-2B), previously had a static water level elevation of 901.3 ft in December 1989. The water level elevation measure at this well under pumping conditions was 901.1 ft in September 1997, which indicates minimal to no drawdown. Therefore, based on the these measurements, the actual radius of influence of each recovery well is likely less than 20 ft, much less than the predicted 100 ft.

The PCP groundwater concentrations at monitoring wells MW-3 (on-site) and MW-H (off-site) are not stabilizing or decreasing (Table 2). PCP contaminated soil remains on-site and occurs below the water table as shown in the *Soil Remedial Action Plan*, Figures 8 and 9 (EnviroAudit, September 1995). The contaminated soil exists at approximately 6 to 12 ft below ground surface (bgs) and the water table in this area is located at approximately 11 to 12 ft bgs. Therefore, because of the lack of significant dewatering in this area, the PCP contaminated soil continues to contact the groundwater directly and provides a continuing source.

In order to improve effectiveness of the system, the source of PCP requires removal or in-situ treatment in order for groundwater concentrations to decrease toward clean-up objectives. Following the source removal/treatment and evaluation of its effectiveness, additional extraction wells may be appropriate to increase the capture zone of the system.

Praefke Brake and Supply
Reporting period: 7/1/97 - 12/31/97
Status Report No. 9

SYSTEM 002 - PCP

Explanation for Page GW-1, C. 1. System Effectiveness Evaluation

PCP concentrations continue to increase at MW-H, which is out of the capture zone of the system (across the railroad tracks). During the May 1998 sampling round, we will be sampling MW-3, MW-4, MW-H, MW-D1, MW-D2 for semi-volatile acid compounds (8270) and PAHs (8310). Of note, the "design" capture zone of the system did not include well MW-H. See above explanation for planned remedies to improve system effectiveness.

Explanation for Page GW-1, C. 4. E System Effectiveness Evaluation

Because of the longer and deeper well screen at RW-2A vs. MW-3, more dilution is occurring at RW-2A. In addition, active pumping at RW-2A increases dilution and decreases desorption of contaminants into groundwater.

Explanation for Page GW-1, D. Additional Attachments


Time vs. contaminant concentration graphs for each extraction well will be included in next period's report when we have three data points.

System Operational Data (We are submitting a written explanation in lieu of a table)

The system operated continuously (no system down-time). All three pumps were operating from July 1, 1997 through November 18, 1997. Flow rates during this time were approximately 6,000 gallons per day (4.2 gpm). The pumps operated at the highest flow rate possible (no throttling) in a discontinuous mode controlled by the float switches at each well. Wells RW-2A and RW-2C combined produced approximately 95 % of the total flow, with RW-2B producing minimal flow.

The pump at RW-2C was shut down on November 18, 1997 due to non-detectable concentrations at this well. Also, the float settings at RW-2A and RW-2B were raised to try to increase the capture zone of the wells (floats set in more permeable sand layer). Only these two pumps (RW-2A and RW-2B) were operating from November 18, 1997 through December 31, 1997. Flow rates during this time were approximately 2,400 gallons per day (1.7 gpm). The pumps operated at the highest flow rate possible (no throttling) in a discontinuous mode controlled by the float switches at each well. Well RW-2A produced approximately 90-95 % of the total flow, with RW-2B producing minimal flow. In the future, RW-2B may also be shutdown due to its non-detectable concentrations and low productivity.

FIGURES



SOURCE: USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUADRANGLE,
WEST BEND. DATED 1959.
REVISED 1994.




0 2000 4000



SCALE IN FEET

CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 FEET



Natural
Resource
Technology

SITE LOCATION MAP

PRAEFKE BRAKE AND SUPPLY CORPORATION
133 OAK STREET
WEST BEND, WISCONSIN

DRAWN BY: TAS

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

PROJECT NO.
1105

DRAWING NO.
1105-A01

FIGURE NO.
1

BENCHMARK DATA		ELV.
BM 1	PARKER-KALON MASONRY NAR. SE CORNER PARKING LOT	874.35
BM 2	PARKER-KALON MASONRY NAR. WEST SIDE OF BUILDING	808.37
BM 3	PARKER-KALON MASONRY NAR. NEAR SOUTHWEST BUILDING CORNER	806.82
BM 4	PARKER-KALON MASONRY NAR. NEAR SOUTH END OF ADJACENT WEST STORAGE BUILDING	815.81
BM 5	PARKER-KALON MASONRY NAR. BETWEEN SHED AND BUILDING SOUTH OF LOADING DOCKS	808.91
BM 6	PARKER-KALON MASONRY NAR. EAST OF MONITORING WELL "A"	802.82

LEGEND

MW-D1

MONITORING WELL

RW-1C

RECOVERY WELL

HOGWIRE FENCE

CHAINLINK FENCE

△

BENCHMARK

○

POWER POLE

INDICATES APPARENT UTILITY EASEMENT

- 1) Elevations ref. to National Geodetic Vert. Datum (NGVD)
- 2) Elevations measured on September 17, 1997.

↑

N

BEARING BASIS:

ALL BEARINGS REFER TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 13, WHICH HAS A WISCONSIN STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM (SOUTH ZONE) BEARING OF 88°52'38"W

0

50


100

200


SCALE IN FEET

SOURCE NOTE:

THIS DRAWING WAS DEVELOPED FROM A MAP BY R.A. PAGELS & CO. BEARING REFERENCES PER NORTH ARROW NOTE.



<div> <div>2</div> <div>SURE NO.</div> </div>	<div> <div>1105</div> <div>DRAWING NO.</div> </div>	<div> <div>1105/2.1</div> <div>PROJECT NO.</div> </div>	<div> <div>Natural Resource Technology</div> </div>	<div> <div> <div> <div></div> </div> </div> </div>	<div> <div>SITE PLAN WITH</div> <div>GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAP</div> <div>PRAEFKE BRAKE AND SUPPLY CORP.</div> <div>133 OAK STREET</div> <div>WEST BEND, WISCONSIN</div> </div>	<div> <div>DRAWN BY:</div> <div>TAS/JAG</div> </div>	<div> <div>DATE:</div> <div>10/2/97</div> </div>
					<div> <div>CHECKED BY:</div> <div>EPK</div> </div>	<div> <div>DATE:</div> <div>2/26/98</div> </div>	
					<div> <div>APPROVED BY:</div> <div>JAG</div> </div>	<div> <div>DATE:</div> <div>2/26/98</div> </div>	
					<div> <div>AUTOCAD FILE: 1105.DWG</div> </div>		



GROUNDWATER CONTAMINANT DISTRIBUTION MAP

PRAEFKE BRAKE AND SUPPLY CORP.
133 OAK STREET
WEST BEND, WISCONSIN


DRAWN BY:	TAS	DATE:	3/17/98
CHECKED BY:	JAG	DATE:	3/17/98
APPROVED BY:	JAG	DATE:	3/18/98
AUTOCAD FILE: 1105-B03.DWG			

FIGURE NO.
3

DRAWING NO.
1105-B03

PROJECT NO.
1105/2.1

Natural
Resource
Technology



TABLES

Table 1 - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



		VOCs (µg/L)																				
Sample Location	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Carbon Disulfide	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK	MIBK	Naphthalene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Xylenes
SYSTEM #1																						
MW-2	9/25/87	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.3	--	--	nd	nd	nd	0.6	nd	nd
	3/88	--	1.4	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	1/94	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5/14/96	5.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	11/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
MW-G	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	3.0	20	nd	nd
	1990	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	9.1	nd	nd
	1/94	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	2.2	nd	nd
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5/14/96	8.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.0	nd	nd
	11/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.31	nd	nd
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.35	nd	nd
MW-6/6A	9/25/87	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	1.2	1.1	nd	2.7	nd	nd	1.1	--	--	nd	nd	nd	180	230	nd
	3/88	--	3.7	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	18	--	--	nd	nd	nd	140	78	nd
	5/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	11	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	210	180	nd
	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	260	120	nd
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																						
NR 140 PAL		200	0.5	200*	0.5	ns	80	0.6	85	0.5	0.7	0.5	140	0.5	90	50	8	0.5	68.6	40	0.5	124
NR 140 ES		1000	5	1000*	5	ns	400	6	850	5	7	5	700	5	460	500	40	5	343	200	5	620

Table 1, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



VOCs (µg/L)																						
Sample Location	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Carbon Disulfide	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK	MIBK	Naphthalene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Xylenes
SYSTEM #1 (cont.)																						
MW-6/6A	1/94**	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	920	73	nd
(cont.)	3/94**	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	75	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	950	83	nd
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	28	2.4	nd
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.2	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	110	11	nd
	5/14/96	6.8	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	64	13	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	19	8.9	nd
	11/14/96	nd	0.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	17	6.1	nd
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.47	0.51	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	60	23	nd
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.69	0.53	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	63	15	nd
	8/14/97	4.1 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	9.8	7.1	nd
	11/3/97	3.6 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.8	3.8	nd
	2/3/98	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.6	2.2	nd
MW-6B	3/88	--	1.4	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	9.2	4.5	nd
	5/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	6.5	2.0	nd
	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	3.6	0.6	nd
	1/94	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	8.9	nd	nd
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	12	1.1	nd
	5/14/96	7.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.3	nd	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.1	nd	nd
	11/14/96	nd	0.58	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.6	nd	nd
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	5.0	nd	nd
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.2	0.63	nd
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																						
NR 140 PAL		200	0.5	200*	0.5	ns	80	0.6	85	0.5	0.7	0.5	140	0.5	90	50	8	0.5	68.6	40	0.5	124
NR 140 ES		1000	5	1000*	5	ns	400	6	850	5	7	5	700	5	460	500	40	5	343	200	5	620

Table 1, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



VOCs (µg/L)																						
Sample Location	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Carbon Disulfide	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK	MIBK	Naphthalene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Xylenes
SYSTEM #1 (cont.)																						
MW-A	3/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	24	300	nd
	5/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	7.8	180	nd
	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	6.3	110	nd
	1/94	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.2	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	67	9.5	nd
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	120	18	nd
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	33	7.9	nd
	5/14/96	6.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.4	nd	2.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	60	12	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.8	nd	3.3	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	120	44	nd
	11/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	32	13	nd
	2/3/97	nd	0.85	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.84	0.39	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	23	9.4	1.5
	5/13/97	nd	0.43	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.84	0.53	nd	1.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.37	nd	nd	29	5.5	nd
	8/14/97	nd	1.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.80	0.67	nd	1.8	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.4	nd	nd	17	4.8	1.8
	11/3/97	5.4 (L)	1.9	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.84	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.3 (L)	nd	nd	64	nd	0.97	13	6.6	29
	2/3/98	4.7 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.62	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.7	nd	4.4	nd	nd	0.82	0.9	nd
001 Influent	12/6/95	nd	nd	3.8	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.1	nd
	2/27/96	16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	8.7	1.7	nd
	5/14/96	9.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.7	nd	nd	nd	15	4.1	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	6.4	3.0	nd
	11/13/96	6.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	8.3	3.6	nd
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.86	nd	4.2	3.6	nd
	5/13/97	4.5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	12	7.3	nd
	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.5	3.2	nd
	11/3/97	3.2 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.27	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.4	5.9	nd
	2/3/98	4.2 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.29	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.1	nd	nd	0.71	nd	5.2	4.9	nd
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																						
NR 140 PAL		200	0.5	200*	0.5	ns	80	0.6	85	0.5	0.7	0.5	140	0.5	90	50	8	0.5	68.6	40	0.5	124
NR 140 ES		1000	5	1000*	5	ns	400	6	850	5	7	5	700	5	460	500	40	5	343	200	5	620

Table 1, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



VOCs (µg/L)																						
Sample Location	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Carbon Disulfide	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK	MIBK	Naphthalene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Xylenes
SYSTEM #1 (cont.)																						
RW-1A	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.26	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.0	nd	13	14	nd
	11/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.32	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.92	nd	9.1	8.9	nd
	2/3/98	3.3 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.4	nd	nd	0.94	nd	11	13	nd
RW-1B	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	5.5	1.9	nd
	11/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	3.0	0.66	nd
	2/3/98	4.7 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.9	nd	nd
RW-1C	8/14/97	4.5 (L)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
SYSTEM #2																						
MW-3	9/25/87	--	nd	--	33	nd	1.2	30	66	nd	5.7	0.3	2.4	2.5	--	--	nd	nd	4.9	180	2.8	nd
	3/88	--	nd	--	35	6.0	nd	24	43	nd	nd	nd	nd	17	--	--	nd	nd	4.7	65	2.4	nd
	5/88	--	nd	--	14	nd	nd	11	43	nd	nd	nd	7.4	9.2	--	--	nd	nd	nd	50	nd	nd
	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	1.9	35	0.4	1.3	nd	3.0	5.2	--	--	nd	nd	1.5	27	nd	nd
	1990	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	1.1	2.3	0.5	0.5	nd	2.1	3.5	--	--	nd	nd	2.2	15	nd	nd
	1/94	--	nd	--	1.2	nd	nd	1.4	6.7	nd	nd	nd	1.9	nd	--	--	nd	nd	13	6.0	nd	24
MW-4	9/25/87	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	0.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.3	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	3/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5/88	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	6/26/95	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	31	3.2	nd
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																						
NR 140 PAL		200	0.5	200*	0.5	ns	80	0.6	85	0.5	0.7	0.5	140	0.5	90	50	8	0.5	68.6	40	0.5	124
NR 140 ES		1000	5	1000*	5	ns	400	6	850	5	7	5	700	5	460	500	40	5	343	200	5	620

Table 1, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI

Sample Location	Sample Date	VOCs (µg/L)																				
		Acetone	Benzene	Carbon Disulfide	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK	MIBK	Naphthalene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Xylenes
SYSTEM #2 (cont.)																						
MW-H	2/89	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.9	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	1990	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	1.6	2.7	0.2	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	1/94	--	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd*	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																						
NR 140 PAL		200	0.5	200*	0.5	ns	80	0.6	85	0.5	0.7	0.5	140	0.5	90	50	8	0.5	68.6	40	0.5	124
NR 140 ES		1000	5	1000*	5	ns	400	6	850	5	7	5	700	5	460	500	40	5	343	200	5	620

Notes:

- 1) nd = not detected
- 2) -- = not analyzed
- 3) ns = no NR 140 standard currently exists.
- 4) * = DHISS Recommended Groundwater Standards, Cycle 7
- 5) ** = Elevated detection limit
- 6) L = compound is a common lab solvent and contaminant.
- 7) Bold and underline is a NR 140 Preventive Action Limit (PAL) exceedance
- 8) Bold and shaded is a NR 140 Enforcement Standard (ES) exceedance

- 9) Only compounds that were detected are shown.
- 10) MW-A, 5/13/97, contained detections of bromodichloromethane (0.33 µg/L) and chlorodibromomethane (0.18 µg/L) below the laboratory LOQ.
- 11) MW-A, 8/14/97, contained detections of bromodichloromethane (0.38 µg/L) and chlorodibromomethane (0.25 µg/L) below the laboratory LOQ.
- 12) MW-A, 11/3/97, contained detections of bromodichloromethane (0.3 µg/L), and chlorodibromomethane (0.25 µg/L) below the laboratory LOQ.
- 13) MW-A, 2/3/98, contained detections of bromodichloromethane (0.42 ug/L), and chlorodibromomethane (0.19 ug/L) below the laboratory LOQ.

rev. 2/25/98
 By: dvp/jag
 Chkd By: jag/tln

General Note : This summary table was developed from available information; some minor inaccuracies may exist in the 1987 through 1994 data.
 The table will be updated if more accurate information is found.

Table 2 - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



		ACID COMPOUNDS									SVOCs (µg/L)														
Sample Location	Sample Date	2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	Cresols, Total	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Methylphenol (p-Cresol)	Pentachlorophenol	Phenol	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Dibenzofuran	Di-n-butyl phthalate	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	1-Methylnaphthalene	2-Methylnaphthalene	Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline	N-nitrosodiphenylamine	Phenanthrene
SYSTEM #1																									
MW-2	9/25/87	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	3/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-G	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-6/6A	9/25/87	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	3/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-6B	3/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-A	3/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																									
NR 140 PAL		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.1	1,200	ns	ns		ns	ns	600*	0.6	ns	20*	80*	80	ns	ns	8	ns	0.7*	ns
NR 140 ES		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	1	6,000	ns	ns		ns	ns	3,000*	6	ns	100*	400*	400	ns	ns	40	ns	7*	ns

Table 2, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



		ACID COMPOUNDS									SVOCs (µg/L)													
Sample Location	Sample Date	2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	Cresols, Total	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Methylphenol (p-Cresol)	Pentachlorophenol	Phenol	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	BASE/NEUTRALS													
											Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Dibenzofuran	Di-n-butyl phthalate	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	1-Methylnaphthalene	2-Methylnaphthalene	Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline	N-nitrosodiphenylamine	Phenanthrene
SYSTEM #2																								
MW-3	9/25/87	nd	--	13	nd	nd	590	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	1.7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	3/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	16,000	nd	nd	nd	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	590	nd	nd	nd	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	5,000	nd	nd	39	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	1990	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	4,000	nd	nd	nd	nd	140	nd	nd	nd	nd	5.6	nd	nd	160	nd	nd	nd	nd
	1/94	nd	--	nd	1.0	6	3,700(E)	nd	4.0	nd	nd	30	0.15	nd	2.0	nd	nd	4.8	nd	78	91	nd	nd	2.2
	10/18/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	1,100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	590	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	120	76	nd	nd	nd
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	300	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	100	110	nd	nd	nd
	5/14/96	nd	17	nd	nd	--	450	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	130	110	nd	nd	nd
	8/13/96**	nd	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	--	2,000	nd	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)
	11/14/96	nd	11	nd	nd	--	680	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	200	160	nd	nd	nd
	2/3/97	nd	6.2	nd	2.8	--	170	2.5	6.5	nd	nd	nd	4.3	nd	4.7	nd	nd	4.6	nd	140	120	3.4	nd	4.3
	5/13/97	nd	4.1	nd	nd	--	650	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.13	--	--	--	0.35	1.7	50	66	43	--	--	1.3
	8/14/97	nd	9.6	nd	nd	--	2,600	3.2	8.6	nd	nd (M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	--	--	--	nd(M)	10	260	280	370	--	--	4.4
	11/4/97	nd	8.0	nd	nd	--	2,800	nd	11	nd	2.5	nd	0.59	--	--	--	nd	12	190	270	420	--	--	8.3
	2/3/98	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	1,800	nd	8.6	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	--	nd	4.2	15	16	16	--	--	nd
MW-4	9/25/87	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	3/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	5/88	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	11/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.2	nd
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																								
NR 140 PAL		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.1	1,200	ns	ns	ns	ns	600*	0.6	ns	20*	80*	80	ns	ns	8	ns	0.7*	ns
NR 140 ES		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	1	6,000	ns	ns	ns	ns	3,000*	6	ns	100*	400*	400	ns	ns	40	ns	7*	ns

Table 2, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)
Praefke Bruke and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



		ACID COMPOUNDS										SVOCs (µg/L)													BASE/NEUTRALS				
Sample Location	Sample Date	2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	Cresols, Total	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Methylphenol (p-Cresol)	Pentachlorophenol	Phenol	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Dibenzofuran	Di-n-butyl phthalate	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	1-Methylnaphthalene	2-Methylnaphthalene	Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline	N-nitrosodiphenylamine	Phenanthrene				
SYSTEM #2 (cont.)																													
MW-H	2/89	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	570	nd	nd	33		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	1990	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	70	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	1/94	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	82(E)	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	10/18/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	860	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	210	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	450	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	5/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	460	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	8/13/96	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	--	nd (M)	nd (M)	nd(M)	nd(M)		nd (M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)	nd(M)				
	11/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	310	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	11	nd	nd	nd				
	2/3/97	7.6	nd	nd	nd	--	240	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	3.4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	400	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	2,200	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	11/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	2,800	nd	8.6	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	2/3/98	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	450	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
002 Influent	12/6/95	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	23	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	2/27/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	5/14/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	38	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	8/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	28	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	11/13/96	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd				
	2/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	2.6	nd				
	5/13/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	24	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	31	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	11/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	34	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
	2/3/98	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	32	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--				
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																													
NR 140 PAL		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.1	1,200	ns	ns		ns	ns	600*	0.6	ns	20*	80*	80	ns	ns	8	ns	0.7*	ns				
NR 140 ES		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	1	6,000	ns	ns		ns	ns	3,000*	6	ns	100*	400*	400	ns	ns	40	ns	7*	ns				

Table 2, continued - Groundwater Analytical Summary
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)
Praefke Brake and Supply Corporation - West Bend, WI



		ACID COMPOUNDS										SVOCs (µg/L)													
Sample Location	Sample Date	2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	Cresols, Total	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Methylphenol (p-Cresol)	Pentachlorophenol	Phenol	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Dibenzofuran	Di-n-butyl phthalate	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	1-Methylnaphthalene	2-Methylnaphthalene	Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline	N-nitrosodiphenylamine	Phenanthrene
SYSTEM #2 (cont.)																									
RW-2A	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	64	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	11/3/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	61	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	2/3/98	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	17	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
RW-2B	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	11/4/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
	2/3/98	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
RW-2C	8/14/97	nd	nd	nd	nd	--	nd	nd	nd	nd		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Wisconsin Groundwater Quality Standards																									
NR 140 PAL		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.1	1,200	ns	ns		ns	ns	600*	0.6	ns	20*	80*	80	ns	ns	8	ns	0.7*	ns
NR 140 ES		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	1	6,000	ns	ns		ns	ns	3,000*	6	ns	100*	400*	400	ns	ns	40	ns	7*	ns

- Notes:
- 1) nd = not detected
 - 2) -- = not analyzed
 - 3) ns = no NR 140 standard currently exists.
 - 4) * = DHSS Recommended Groundwater Standards, Cycle 7
 - 5) ** = Elevated detection limit
 - 6) E = Compound concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
 - 7) M = Matrix interference
 - 8) Bold and underlined = NR 140 Preventive Action Limit (PAL) exceedance.
 - 9) Bold and shaded = NR 140 Enforcement Standard (ES) exceedance.
 - 10) Only compounds that were detected are shown

rev. 2/25/98
By: dvp/jag
Chkd By: jag/tln

General Note : This summary table was developed from available information; some minor inaccuracies may exist in the 1987 through 1994 data.
The table will be updated if more accurate information is found.

Table 3 - Groundwater Elevation Data
Praefke Brake and Supply - West Bend, WI

Monitoring Well	MW-A	MW-D1	MW-D2	MW-G	MW-H	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-6A	MW-6B	RW-1A	RW-1B	RW-1C	RW-2A	RW-2B	RW-2C
Ground Surface Elevation (ft)	903.72	911.28	911.54	906.89	908.99	908.08	912.62	906.65	907.30	907.29	905.17	908.26	903.11	913.81	906.86	906.37
TOC Elevation (ft)	905.55	913.24	913.43	906.57	911.56	909.92	914.53	906.22	906.97	906.81	901.25	904.53	898.99	910.21	902.83	902.64
Well Depth (ft)	27.9	unk	unk	23.5	20.7	15.0	20.2	12.0	25.0	34.7	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk
Base of Well Elevation (ft)	877.7	unk	unk	883.1	890.9	894.9	894.4	894.2	882.0	872.1	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk
Groundwater Elevation (ft)																
	2/21/89	884.75	891.38	892.26	891.95	898.16	895.46	901.62	900.84	887.35	887.42	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	12/6/89	884.40	891.12	891.99	891.57	897.95	895.13	901.33	nm	887.01	887.09	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	2/27/96	885.30	nm	nm	nm	898.36	896.28	901.13	900.74	888.24	888.33	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	5/14/96	885.13	nm	nm	892.46	898.47	896.28	900.83	901.62	887.55	887.61	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	8/13/96	886.14	nm	nm	893.91	898.36	896.90	901.19	901.07	888.89	888.98	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	11/14/96	884.99	nm	nm	892.60	898.26	896.03	901.09	900.74	887.52	882.16	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	2/3/97	884.44	nm	nm	891.68	898.21	895.36	901.76	900.82	886.77	886.84	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	5/13/97	884.99	nm	nm	892.02	898.93	896.62	901.75	901.47	887.19	887.25	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	8/14/97	884.65	nm	nm	nm	898.26	nm	901.18	nm	887.01	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	9/17/97	884.42	891.32	892.17	891.79	898.07	895.51	901.08	900.82	886.74	886.84	884.24	884.73	885.42	878.55	883.06
	11/3/97	883.98	nm	nm	nm	896.84	nm	901.05	nm	886.30	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm
	2/3/98	883.51	nm	nm	nm	898.04	nm	901.03	nm	885.67	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm

Notes:

Elevations obtained from survey performed by R.A. Pagels, September 15, 1997. Elevations are referenced to National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).

Well depth measurements made relative to top of well casing.

nm = not measured.

unk = unknown

SAMPLING SCHEDULE

Sampling Schedule - Revised February 26, 1998
Praefke Brake and Supply
West Bend, WI
FID #: 267083740

Sample Location	Parameter	Method	Frequency	Months	Comments
SYSTEM 001 - VOC Plume					
Influent	VOCs	8260A	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
Effluent	Total Susp. Solids	160.2	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
	VOCs (1)	8260A	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
	Flow	metered	--		Limit Increased to 12 gpm
Monitoring Wells (MW-G,6A,6B,A)	VOCs - MW-6A, MW-A	8260A	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
	VOCs - MW-G,6B	8260A	Annually	May	**NEW** Eliminated MW-2
Recovery Wells (RW-1A, 1B)	VOCs	8260A	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	**NEW**
SYSTEM 002 - PCP Plume					
Influent	ACID Compounds	8270	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
Between GAC Units	ACID Compounds	8270	Monthly		
Effluent	ACID Compounds(2)	8270	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
	PAHs (3)	8310	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
	Flow	metered	--		Limit Increased to 12 gpm
Monitoring Wells (MW-3,4,1I, D1,D2)	ACID Compounds- MW-3,1I	8270	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	
	ACID Compounds- MW-4, D1, D2	8270	Annually	May	**NEW** Added MW-D1, D2
	PAHs - MW-3,1I	8310	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	**NEW** Added MW-1I
	PAHs -MW-4, D1, D2	8310	Annually	May	**NEW**
Recovery Wells (RW-2A,2B)					
	ACID Compounds	8270	Qtrly	Feb, May, Aug, Nov	**NEW**

Notes:

- (1) VOC compounds listed on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) include 1,1 Dichloroethene, Trichloroethene, and 1,1,1 Trichloroethane. Eliminated Carbon Tetrachloride.
(2) Acid compounds listed on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) include Pentachlorophenol and Phenol. Eliminated 2,4 Dichlorophenol and 2,4,6 Trichlorophenol.
(3) PAH compounds listed on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) include Acenaphthylene and Naphthalene.


Note - Recovery wells to be sampled by Praefke Brake personnel.

Bold indicates changes to the sampling schedule based on the March 4, 1997 letter from Mr. Theodore Bosch of the WDNR Wastewater Section and NRT recommendations as of August 1997 and February 1998.

CUMULATIVE CONTAMINANT REMOVAL GRAPHS (2)


Cumulative Contaminant Removal - System 001

Praefke Brake - West Bend, WI



Cumulative Contaminant Removal - System 002


Praefke Brake - West Bend, WI



**CONTAMINANT CONCENTRATION VS. TIME GRAPHS -
MONITORING WELLS (2)**


MW-6/6A: TCE Concentration vs. Time

Praefke Brake - West Bend, WI




MW-A: TCE Concentration vs. Time

Praefke Brake - West Bend, WI




MW-3: PCP Concentration vs. Time

Praefke Brake - West Bend, WI



MW-H: PCP Concentration vs. Time

Praefke Brake - West Bend, WI



**WDNR DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT FORMS -
FOURTH QUARTER 1997**

PRAEFKE BRAKE & SUPPLY CORP.

133 Oak Street
West Bend, Wisconsin 53095

(414) 334-2355
Fax No: (414) 334-2358


January 15, 1998

Wastewater Section
Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 12346
Milwaukee, WI 53212

Re: Permit No: WI-0046566-2
DNR File Reference No: 267004430

Enclosed you will find our Discharge Report Forms for the fourth quarter of 1997.
Should you have any further questions, please feel free to
contact us.

Very truly yours,
Praefke Brake and Supply Corp.



Dan Kuddek
Manager

Discharge Monitoring Report Form (Contaminated Groundwater) Permit No. - WI-0046566-3

 Permittee Dan
 Praefke Brake
 133 Oak Street
 West Bend

Kudek

 Lab Name: NET
 Lab Cert#: 128053530

DNR File Reference Number: 267004430

Page 1 of 2

WI 53095

DMR Sent to: Praefke

Outfall Number	001	001	001	001	001	001
Parameter Name	VOCs	1,1 Dichloroethylene	Trichloroethene	1,1,1Trichloroethane	TSS	flow
Parameter Units	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	gal./day
Lab Method Used	8260A	8260A	8260A	8260A	160.2	METERED
Date(s) Sampled	8/14/97	<.73	<.49	<.28	<1.0	6916
	11/03/97		<.49	0.30	3.0	6288
Daily Max Limit					40	
Monthly Avg. Limit		0.7	40	50		
Sample Type	Grab	Grab	Grab	Grab	Grab	Estimate
Sample Frequency	See Permit	See Permit	See Permit	See Permit	See Permit	continuous

Unless noted under parameter name, each daily value entered must be the highest value of all sample types analyzed for that day

Send Report To: Wastewater Section
 Department of Natural Resources
 P.O. Box 12436
 Milwaukee, WI 53212

Return Report no later Than: January 15 1998

Please attach notes and/or address-name corrections on a seperate sheet

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitted false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment, (40 CFR 122.5). I also certify that the values being submitted are the actual values found in the samples; no values have been modified or changed in any manner. Where ever I believe a value being reported is inaccurate, I have added an explanation indicating the reasons why the value is inaccurate.

Signature of Person Completing Form

Dan Kudek

Signature of Principal Exec. Officer or Authorized Agent

Dan Kudek

Title

Mgr.

FEB. 25. 1998

10:26AM

PRAEFKE BRAKE WB DIV

NO. 286

P. 3/4

Discharge Monitoring Report Form (Contaminated Groundwater)

Permit No. - WI-0046566-3

Permittee Dan

Kudek

Lab Name: NETLab Cert#: 128053530

DNR File Reference Number: 267004430

Praefke Brake
133 Oak Street
West Bend

WI 53095

DMR Sent to: Praefke

Page 2 of 2

Outfall Number	002	002	002	002	002	
Parameter Name	Pentachlorophenol	Phenol	Acenaphthylene	Naphthalene	Flow	
Parameter Units	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	gal/day	
Lab Method Used	8270	8270	8310	8310	METERED	
Date(s) Sampled						
8/14/97	< 3.3	< 1.8	< .89	< .31	5713	
11/3/97	< 3.0	< 1.6	< .93	< .32	6691	
Daily Max Limit						
Monthly Avg. Limit	no detect	no detect	no detect	no detect		
Sample Type	Grab	Grab	Grab	Grab	estimate	
Sample Frequency	See Permit	See Permit	See Permit	See Permit	continuous	

Unless noted under parameter name, each daily value entered must be the highest value of all sample types analyzed for that day

Send Report To: Wastewater Section
Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 12436
Milwaukee, WI 53212

Return Report no later Than: January 15 1998

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitted false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment, (40 CFR 122.5). I also certify that the values being submitted are the actual values found in the samples; no values have been modified or changed in any manner. Where ever I believe a value being reported is inaccurate, I have added an explanation indicating the reasons why the value is inaccurate.

Please attach notes and/or address-name corrections on a separate sheet

Signature of Person Completing Form

Dan Kudek

Signature of Principal Exec. Officer or Authorized Agent

Dan Kudek

Title

Manager