

1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:

Project Manager: Tom Sturm (Cap Inspection only)

Review Date: 8-12-05

Site Name: Citgo Express

BRRTS Number: 03-36-174861

FID Number:

Parcel No.: 53-211-101-000-1

Address: 2626 Memorial Drive, Two Rivers, WI

Current Property Owner: Hamida Ahmed, 6354 Claremont, Chicago, IL 60636

Original Responsible Party: Quality State Oil Co., Attn: Mr. Bernard Nowicki,
2201 Calumet Dr., PO Box 848, Sheboygan, WI 53082-0848

Property Owner at Closure (if different): Quality State Oil Co.

Has the site been geolocated? Yes No

Site Coordinates (WTM83/91): 713202, 410076

How was site selected for audit?

Random Regional Priority Compliance Follow-up Other (specify)

Complaint Received

Is the site on a GIS Registry? Yes No

Did the close-out letter include some specific requirements that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address? Yes No

If Yes, describe specific requirements: Maintain Surface Barrier (Deed Restriction)

What types of restrictions existed at the time of case closure? (check all that apply)

- Pavement, Soil or Other Cover Industrial Land-Use Restriction
 Structural Impediment Other Performance Standard

Was a maintenance plan required at closure? Yes No
unknown

Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) or has/have the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR? Yes No

Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?

- Yes No

Has the property been transferred since the restriction was recorded?

- Yes No

Date of closure: 7-1-02

- Conditional Final
 Final Closure Pending

Were there other restricted properties associated with the source site (ie. Affected neighboring properties)? Yes No

ROW contamination under Memorial Drive.

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records: **Owner did not respond to my request for access/information.**

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

Examples: a building has been razed and the location of the building prevented full investigation and/or remediation; excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

Yes No unk

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

Yes No Doesn't appear to have been

If yes above, was DNR notified? Yes No

If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?

Yes No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? Yes No unknown

If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

Yes No

Were any new potential sources of contamination identified? Yes No

If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? Yes No

3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?

Yes No

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?

Yes No

Is the structure still present? Yes No

Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? Yes No

If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired? Yes No

Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists? Yes No

For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom?

Are additional actions warranted at the site? Yes No If yes, please explain:

Does the site require follow-up by DNR? Yes No

Does the site restriction need to be changed? Yes No

Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? Yes No

Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS?

Yes No



