

Ryan, Nancy D - DNR

From: Socha, Betty <BSocha@scsengineers.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 07, 2014 2:50 PM
To: Ryan, Nancy D - DNR
Cc: Heger, Patricia
Subject: Former Queens Way Cleaners - BRRTS # 02-41-182420
Attachments: Claim 1 DRAFT_SI Partial_140507.pdf

Nancy,

On behalf of the Hunn Family Trust, SCS is requesting that the site investigation costs incurred by the previous consultants be considered as "site investigation scoping" costs eligible for reimbursement from the DERF. The invoice amounts are summarized on the attached draft spread sheet. We have not completed the "DERF Cost Breakout" section of the spreadsheet yet. As discussed, we will update that after we receive a response from the DNR.

Following are specific requests for consideration of reimbursement:

1.) The invoices from Environmental Associates, Inc., (EAI) did not include copies of subcontractor's invoices for soil borings, lab, or private utility locate. We do have the boring locations, summary tables of the lab data and partial lab reports. The results of this soil boring investigation were provided to the bidders for the site investigation workplans. Please consider these costs for reimbursement:

Can form w/ contractor

- Kitson soil borings \$921.73
- US Oil Lab \$1,784.80
- Utility locate \$86.25

2.) As indicated by EAI summary tables, the lab charges may include costs for analysis of metals and petroleum range compounds (GRO and DRO). We will request reimbursement only for VOC analysis based on the VOC results listed on the summary tables using a typical current rate of \$65/VOC analysis.

and only 3 borings + trip

3.) The EAI invoices include a subcontractor cost of \$3,300 for removal of an underground storage tank that upon removal, was found to contain PCE. The removal of the tank resulted in the identification of the tank as the apparent primary source of the PCE release. In our telephone discussion on May 6th you indicated that the tank removal was not an eligible cost, but the soil sampling associated with the tank removal was eligible. We request that the lab cost and consulting costs associated with the soil sampling during tank removal be eligible for reimbursement.

OK

4.) Invoices from Envirogen and Shaw are for installation of additional soil borings, soil analysis, monitoring well installation and groundwater sampling. We have the subcontractor invoices for this work. We have data summary tables, a site map showing sampling locations, and are using the data in the site investigation. The Hunn Family does not have copies of the lab reports, soil boring or well logs. However the wells were installed in 2003 by reputable contractors and therefore likely meet NR 141 requirements. SCS has inspected and sampled the wells and will be using them in the site investigation going forward. The costs are reasonable and the data and wells are being used in the site investigation. We therefore request that these costs be eligible for reimbursement.

no more plan

OK

Please contact me if you have any questions. On behalf of the Hunn Family, we thank you for your consideration of these requests.

Best regards,
Betty

Cathy & I talked 5/7/14 financial end, but looked at by Finance,

Betty J. Socha, PhD, PG
Senior Project Manager/Hydrogeologist

SCS ENGINEERS

2830 Dairy Drive

Madison, WI 53718

608.224.2830

Direct: 608.216.7331 • Cell: 608.212.6664

www.scsengineers.com