

Site Investigation Field Procedures Workplan

A to Z Sales & Service 100 W Main Street Bowler, Wisconsin

January 12, 2017 by METCO WDNR File Reference #: 03-59-190963 PECFA Claim #: 54416-9999-00



This document was prepared by:

Jason T. Powell Staff Scientist

Ronald J. Anderson, P.G.

Senior Hydrogeologist/Project Manager



Excellence through experience™

709 Gillette St., Ste 3 ◆ La Crosse, WI 54603 ◆ 1-800-552-2932 ◆ Fax (608) 781-8893 Email: rona@metcohq.com ◆www.metcohq.com

January 12, 2017

WDNR BRRTS#: 03-59-190963 PECFA Claim #: 54416-9999-00

Kerry Breitrick Village of Bowler 107 W Main Street Bowler, WI 54416

Dear Ms. Breitrick,

Enclosed is our "Site Investigation Field Procedures Workplan" concerning the A to Z Sales & Service site in Bowler, Wisconsin. This document outlines the procedures and the methods used to conduct such an investigation.

A copy of this workplan will be sent to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for review.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. Should you have any questions or require additional information, do not hesitate to contact our La Crosse office.

Sincerely,

Jason T. Powell Staff Scientist

C: Alex Edler - WDNR

Tim T. Powell

Table of Contents

OBJECTIVES1
INTRODUCTION2
SITE BACKGROUND3
SITE CONDITIONS4
SCOPE OF WORK5
METCO PROCEDURES AND METHODS6
SCHEDULE FOR INVESTIGATION PROJECT10
APPENDIX A/SITE MAPS12
APPENDIX B/INVESTIGATION CHECKLIST13
APPENDIX C/LUST SAMPLING GUIDELINES14
APPENDIX D/WDNR DOCUMENTS15
APPENDIX E/PROJECT DOCUMENTS16
APPENDIX F/HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN17
APPENDIX G/QUALIFICATIONS18
APPENDIX H/LIST OF ACRONYMS19

OBJECTIVES

Requirements of the WDNR

A Site Investigation is required by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) by authority of Section 292.11 of the Wisconsin Statutes. According to the WDNR, any soil that tests over 10 ppm Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) or Diesel Range Organics (DRO) requires an investigation. Any soil that tests over the Chapter NR720 Groundwater RCLs, Direct Contact RCLs, or Soil Saturation Values an investigation and possible remediation. Any groundwater that tests over the Preventive Action Limits (PAL) or Enforcement Standards (ES) for compounds listed in Chapter NR140 of the Wisconsin Statutes requires an investigation and possible remediation. For a further explanation of WDNR rules and regulations, see Appendix D.

Requirements of the PECFA Program

According to rules adopted in May 2006, the maximum allowable cost for an initial Site Investigation shall be no more than \$20,000 unless pre-approved by PECFA. All consultant and commodity service costs must not exceed the PECFA Usual and Customary Charges.

Purpose of Document

This document briefly outlines all methods and procedures used by METCO personnel concerning "Site Investigations". These guidelines are strictly followed unless changed by managing personnel, site conditions, or project situations. All changes will be clearly noted.

All work conducted by METCO is undertaken in accordance with approved methods and regulations of the WDNR Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment.

This document is site specific and will always be on-site during the project.

INTRODUCTION

Site Name

A to Z Sales & Service

Site Address

100 W Main Street Bowler, Wisconsin

Legal Description

NE ¼, SE ¼, Section 36, Township 28 North, Range 12 East, Shawano County

Contact or Client

Village of Bowler Kerry Breitrick 107 W Main Street Bowler, WI 54416 (715) 793-4910

WDNR Project Manager

Alex Edler WDNR Northeast Region 2984 Shawano Avenue Green Bay, WI 54313 (920) 662-5149

Consultant

METCO Ronald J. Anderson, P.G. Jason T. Powell 709 Gillette Street, Suite 3 La Crosse, WI 54603 (608) 781-8879

SITE BACKGROUND

Facility

A gas station and service garage operated on the subject property from approximately the 1940s until the early 1980s. After the retail fuel sales ceased the property continued to operate as a service garage and auto parts store until the late 1980s or early 1990s. Since then the property has been vacant and the former gas station and service garage buildings were razed approximately 10 years ago. A bulk petroleum storage facility operated on the southwest corner of the subject property from approximately the 1940s until the late 1950s or early 1960s.

In October 1988, two 1,000-gallon leaded gasoline USTs were removed from the subject property.

On May 21, 1998, Fluid Management, Inc. completed one Geoprobe soil boring and collected one soil sample (GP-1) for GRO and PVOC analysis. The soil analytical results showed 5,560 ppm GRO along with detects for Benzene, Ethylbenzene, Toluene, Trimethylbenzene, and Xylene. The petroleum contamination was subsequently reported to the WDNR, who then required that a site investigation be conducted. The soil sample depth and location were not reported to the WDNR.

On April 29, 2002, during a Phase 2.5 Environmental Sampling Investigation for the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Earth Tech, Inc. completed three soil borings at the intersection of Main Street and Almon Street. The three soil borings (B-1, B-2, and B-3) were each completed to 3 feet below ground surface (bgs) with one soil sample submitted from each boring at 2-3 feet bgs for DRO, GRO, PVOC, and Lead analysis. Soil sample B-1, which was completed adjacent to the A to Z Sales & Service property showed 125 ppm DRO and no detects for GRO or PVOC. Soil sample B-2, which was located to the east across Almon Street, showed 28.4 ppm DRO. Soil sample B-3, which was located to the northeast, across the intersection, showed several low level detects for Ethylbenzene (0.0299 ppm) and Trimethylbenzene (0.1415 ppm). All three soil samples showed low level detects for Lead (3.37, 4.21, and 6.89 ppm), but these are likely background levels.

A closed LUST case (Mary's Place – BRRTS# 03-59-177843) exists at a former gas station that was located approximately 60 feet to the north, across W Main Street at 101 W Main Street. During investigation of the Mary's Place site, a monitoring well (MW-2R) was installed in the right of way W Main Street immediately adjacent to the A to Z Auto Sales property. Groundwater analytical results from MW-2R showed NR140 Enforcement Standard (ES) exceedances

for Benzene (5,650 ppb), Ethylbenzene (3,500 ppb), Naphthalene (957 ppb), Toluene (27,200 ppb), Trimethylbenzene (3,720 ppb), and Xylene (16,830 ppb) when it was last sampled on July 30, 2014. Based on the calculated groundwater flow direction, MW-2R is located down/side gradient from the Mary's Place site and up-gradient of the A to Z Sales and Service site. Therefore, it appears that the petroleum contamination detected in MW-2R may in part be originating from the Mary's Place site.

Potential Risks and Impacts

The subject property and surrounding properties are all served by the Village of Bowler municipal water supply. The Village of Bowler has two municipal wells (Well #1 and Well #3) The closest of these (Well #1) is located approximately 3750 feet to the northwest of the subject property. Well #3 is located over 2 miles to the north of Well #1. There are four private wells within Village of Bowler, but these are not used for domestic water supply. The closest of these is located approximately 775 feet to the east of the subject property. The other three non-potable private wells are located over 1,200 feet from the subject property.

METCO is not currently aware of any other impacts, receptors, risks, or local problems associated with the subject property.

SITE CONDITIONS

Topography

According to the USGS Hydrologic Atlas, Bowler is located in the northern portion of the Fox-Wolf River Basin. This area is characterized by broad plains mixed with low hills and generally north south oriented ridges formed by glacial moraine and outwash deposits. The plains slope toward the southeast following the slope of the bedrock surface.

The elevation of the site is approximately 1075 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL). See Appendix A for site location.

Geology

Native unconsolidated materials in this area generally consist of sand to silty sand. The unconsolidated materials are underlain by granite bedrock at approximately 15-20 feet below ground surface.

Hydrology

The nearest surface water is an unnamed creek, which exists approximately

250 feet to the west of the subject property.

Hydrogeology

Based on nearby LUST sites, groundwater is expected to exist at approximately 10 to 12 feet below ground surface. Local groundwater flow is expected to be toward the southwest.

SCOPE OF WORK

Site Investigation

An investigation consists of collecting samples of soil and groundwater for analysis by a laboratory for compounds related to petroleum products. The WDNR requires that the investigation determine the degree and extent of contaminants in these mediums, which is commonly referred to as "defining the contaminant plume". Further background information will also be collected to assist in the investigation.

Geoprobe Project

METCO has proposed a 1-2 day Geoprobe Project. We propose 20 to 25 borings to 12-16 feet with soil and groundwater sampling. The Geoprobe will be used to collect soil samples at various depths in order to determine the general extent of contaminants in the subsurface environment.

The goal of the Geoprobe Project is to complete the following:

- 1. Determine general subsurface geotechnical characteristics.
- 2. Determine general extent of the contaminants in the unconsolidated deposits.
- 3. Determine the general extent of contaminants in groundwater, if applicable.
- 4. Determine if contaminants have migrated to competent rock, if applicable.

This data will either completely define the extent of contamination or be used to guide the Drilling Project if required.

Drilling Project (if required)

METCO has proposed 5 to 8 boreholes to be completed on/off site. METCO has also proposed 4 to 7 monitoring wells to be installed on/off site. Based on

the results of the Geoprobe project, we will be able to determine how many monitoring wells will need to be installed.

The goal of the Drilling Project is to complete the following:

- 1. Collect a soil sample for field analysis every 2.5 feet of boring.
- 2. Collect at least two soil samples for laboratory analysis in every boring.
- 3. Verify, through sampling, the horizontal and vertical extent of soil contamination, including smear zones.
- 4. Install monitoring wells in an arrangement that fully defines the horizontal and vertical extent of groundwater contamination.
- 5. Develop the monitoring wells.
- 6. Collect at least two rounds of groundwater samples from the monitoring wells.
- 7. If conditions warrant, perform slug tests on at least one monitoring well.

Report Preparation

The final report, prepared by METCO, will include background information, observations, procedures, methods, field data, laboratory analysis, site maps, data analysis, risk assessment, conclusions, and recommendations concerning all activities conducted for this project. This report will be submitted to the client and the WDNR for review and discussion.

METCO PROCEDURES AND METHODS

Geoprobe

The Geoprobe consists of a truck mounted, hydraulically driven unit that advances 1-inch diameter, 3 or 4-foot long, stainless steel rods into the subsurface. At desired depths, either a soil or water sample can be collected.

A 4-foot or 5-foot long, $\frac{1}{2}$ or 1-inch diameter soil sampler is advanced to the sampling location. At desired depths, a soil sample is collected and brought to the surface for analysis.

All Geoprobe holes are properly abandoned to ground level using bentonite clay and a surface seal.

Drilling

Drilling is conducted with a truck mounted auger drill rig. To penetrate any

unconsolidated materials, work is conducted in accordance with ASTM D-1452 "Soil Investigation and Sampling by Auger Boring". If bedrock is encountered and cannot be penetrated with auger boring, an accepted air-rotary drilling procedure will be used.

Sampling unconsolidated materials is done in accordance with ASTM D-1586 "Penetration Tests and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils" using a 2-inch outside diameter (O.D.), 2.5 foot split spoon sampler. Using this procedure, a split spoon sampler is driven into the soil by a 140-pound weight falling 30-inches, and a soil sample collected.

All borings are properly abandoned to ground level using bentonite clay.

PID Screening

Each of the samples, for headspace analysis, are placed in a clean, clear, plastic Ziploc bag. These containers are to be filled ¼ full. All containers are the same size and filled to the same volume. The containers are then sealed.

Once collected and sealed, samples are shaken for 30 seconds to break apart soil clods. They are then allowed to establish headspace. The following table is used to determine headspace equilibration time.

Outside temperature Time to establish headspace

- <40 deg. F 40 minutes
- 41-55 deg. F 20 minutes
- 56-69 deg. F 10 minutes
- >70 deg. F 5 minutes

To take readings, the PID probe is inserted into the plastic bag halfway between the sample and the highest meter response recorded. The samples are screened with a Rae Systems, Mini Rae Lite, Model PGM-7300 meter equipped with a 10.6 eV lamp. Metered calibration is done at the beginning of each workday. Other notes taken are as follows:

- 1. Temperature and weather conditions.
- 2. Date of last factory calibration.
- 3. Field calibration gas used and concentration.
- 4. Date and time of last calibration.
- 5. Instrument gain setting.

- 6. Erratic instrument readings.
- 7. Cleaning or repairs performed in the field.
- 8. Sample moisture (saturated, wet, moist, damp, dry).
- 9. Petroleum odors or staining of samples.
- 10. Any instrument quenching.
- 11. Other relevant information.

Monitoring Wells

Groundwater monitoring well installations are completed under the direction of a METCO hydrogeologist and in accordance with Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Chapter NR141, "Groundwater Monitoring Well Requirements." The monitoring wells are constructed of flush-threaded, two-inch inside diameter schedule 40 or 80 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) piping. Ten-foot well screens with 0.010-inch slots are installed approximately 5 to 6 feet into the watertable. A uniform washed sand is installed around the well screens to serve as a filter pack. Granular bentonite is used above the filter pack to provide a surface seal. Steel, locking protective well casings are cemented in at each well. Any variances from NR141 will be reported to the WDNR.

Each well is developed by alternately surging and purging with a clean polyethylene bailer for 20 to 30 minutes to remove fines from the well screen, after which ten well volumes are removed using a submersible pump.

Groundwater level measurements are obtained using an electronic water level indicator. All measurements are recorded to the nearest 0.01-foot. The probe is thoroughly washed between measurements.

At least two rounds of samples are collected using a bottom loading, disposable, polyethylene bailer and disposable polyethylene cord. Approximately four well volumes are purged from each well before collecting samples.

Depending on site conditions and groundwater sampling results, slug tests may be conducted on two or three of the monitoring wells to determine hydrogeologic parameters (hydraulic conductivity, transmissivity, and flow velocity). During the slug test, groundwater in a monitoring well is displaced using a solid plastic slug, while water levels are recorded using a transducer and data logger. Water levels are recorded until the water level in the well returns to equilibrium. Slug test data is evaluated using the Bouwer and Rice method.

Well Elevation Survey

All wells are surveyed to the nearest 0.01-foot MSL by a qualified surveying company.

Sample Analysis

Environmental samples are collected to minimize both soil disturbance and exposure of the sample to the air.

Field observations such as soil characteristics, petroleum odors, product sheens, and staining associated with the samples are continuously noted throughout sampling.

The amount of sample taken, the size of the container used, and the type of sample preservation used, will depend on the laboratory contracted and for which parameters the soil samples are analyzed. See Appendix C for LUST Sample Guidelines.

All collected samples are stored in a cooler that maintains a temperature of, at most, 4 degrees Celsius. The coolers are accompanied by a complete chain of custody and are delivered to the laboratory within two days of sampling.

The WDNR document, "LUST Analytical and Quality Assurance Guidance, July 1993" is referenced in determining what parameters in which the soil and water samples will be analyzed, and the amount of duplicates/blanks required.

Quality Assurance/Quality Control/Waste Management

All drilling and sampling equipment advanced into the subsurface is cleaned between sampling locations. This consists of washing with a biodegradable Alconox solution and rinsing with potable water. Wash and rinse water are disposed of atop an isolated area of asphalt for evaporation or discharged into a local storm sewer.

Drill cuttings, field screened as being contaminated, are contained in 55-gallon DOT barrels, characterized, and properly disposed of by METCO and/or client.

Development and purge waters are contained in 55 gallon DOT barrels, characterized, and properly disposed of by METCO and/or the client. Disposal options will depend on the amount of water, type of contaminants, and concentration of contaminants. All wastewater contaminants and disposal activities are recorded with complete documentation submitted to the WDNR.

Variances

We are not aware of any variances needed at this time.

SCHEDULE FOR INVESTIGATION PROJECT

The following is a checklist of activities that have been, or will be completed, concerning the Site Investigation, along with an estimated time frame. A typical Site Investigation takes approximately 2 to 6 months. The investigation may take up to 12 months if bedrock or groundwater is contaminated.

- 1) METCO submits a Site Investigation Project proposal to client (done).
- 2) Proposal acceptance by client. METCO notifies the WDNR that a consultant has been contracted (done).
- 3) Client obtains PECFA Packet and Site Eligibility Letter from PECFA (done).
- METCO submits a Site Investigation Field Procedures Workplan to client and WDNR for review and approval (1/12/17).
- 5) METCO conducts Geoprobe Project (2-4 weeks). More than one field mobilization may be needed to complete project depending on complexity of the site and project (1 month to receive lab results).
- 6) Depending on the results of the investigation, METCO prepares a brief summary report or final report and sends copies to client and WDNR (2 months after lab results are received).


NOTE: If groundwater is found to be impacted or suspected of being impacted by released contaminants, the WDNR will require a Drilling Project with monitoring wells.

- 7) METCO conducts Drilling Project (2 months). More than one field mobilization may be needed to complete project depending on complexity of the site and project (1 month to receive lab results).
- 8) METCO develops/surveys the installed monitoring wells and collects. Round 1 groundwater samples for laboratory analysis (1 month to receive lab results).
- METCO collects Round 2 groundwater samples for laboratory analysis (1 month to receive lab results).
- 10) METCO completes any additional work that is needed, such as slug tests (1 month).
- 11) METCO prepares a Site Investigation report that contains all collected data and submits to the client and WDNR (3-6 months).
- 12) If no further investigation work is required, METCO will apply for "site


closure" with the WDNR. Upon closure, METCO will complete the PECFA Application and submit for reimbursement (reimbursement takes 3 to 6 months).

13) If further investigation and/or remediation is required METCO will provide further assistance.

APPENDIX A/SITE MAPS



B.1.a LOCATION MAP CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 FEET A TO Z SALES & SERVICE – BOWLER, WI SEAMLESS USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS ON CD-ROM



APPENDIX B/INVESTIGATION CHECKLIST

SITE INVESTIGATION CHECKLIST Revised February 1992 PUBL-SW-115

This checklist was prepared by the Department of Natural Resources. It lists the necessary information to include in a site investigation report, for investigations conducted in accordance with guidelines prepared by the Emergency and Remedial Response Section, of the Bureau of Solid and Hazardous Waste Management, Wisconsin DNR. Sites include those where actions are conducted under the LUST, Spills and Environmental Repair programs. If some of this information is not submitted the report should clearly state why it is omitted. More complete information regarding site investigations is available in the Department's "Guidance on Conducting Environmental Response Actions".

The purpose of the site investigation is to 1) define the extent and degree of contamination and 2) to provide a basis for choosing a remedial action alternative. The narrative portion of the investigation report should clearly address these goals.

The Department strongly recommends that the site investigation report follow the sequence of information listed here. This will allow for a quick completeness check and more timely review of submittals. Incomplete reports will not be reviewed until all the necessary information has been received. The following information should be included in the site investigation, (as appropriate to each case):

1.	INTRODU	JCTION/COVER LETTER
	1.	Project title
	2.	Purpose of report and desired department action
	3.	Client(s)
	4.	Author(s), with signatures
	5.	Scope of Services
	6.	Dates the work was performed
	7.	Date of report
	8.	Subcontractors employed by the consultant
11.	GENERAL	and BACKGROUND INFORMATION
1.	General	Information
۸.	Identif 1.	y the owner/operator and/or person(s) responsible: (include all applicable)
	2.	address
	3.	day phone number
	4.	contact person (name)
—	5.	address
	6.	phone number
	7.	
	••	verification of ownership: photocopy of deed or exact legal description of property
8.	Specify	the site of contamination:
	1.	name
	2.	phone number
	3.	specific location (street corner, miles from an intersection, etc)
		a. legal address (street address if applicable, do not supply just a P.O. Box #)
		b. tocation of impacted properties by latitude and longitude to an accuracy of
		seconds, at a minimum (preferred method) or State Plane coordinate system c. location of impacted properties by quarter, quarter, section, township, range, civil township, county, or other locational criteria if site(s) are not within the Public Land Survey system
	4.	type of operation: gas station, tank farm, private residence, manufacturer, etc.
c.	Site Loc	eation Maps
	1.	General Location Map
		locate on a USGS topographic base map (include quadrangle name, series and scale)
		locate on a plat map, if applicable
	2.	Local Base Map: the map must be drawn to scale and include the following items. Other
		reduction and actor be needed:
		a. bar scale
_		b. North arrow
		c. legend
		d. location of benchmark used
		e. origin of horizontal grid system

	3.	Including Site Specific Features: more than one map may be appropriate, use the local map for the base map (These maps may be used for several purposes.)
		a. location of discharge on site or facility, for example, the location of (former)
		tank and pump islands and piping b. location of all buildings on site
		c. locations of public utilities, appropriately marked
		d. property boundaries
		e. location of all soil borings and wells (monitoring wells and potable wells)
		f. location of soil vapor points
		g. locations of where field screenings and lab confirmation samples were taken
		h. nearby/neighboring structures and private wells (within 1200 feet)
		i. any nearby surface waters (within map scale)
		j. roads and paved areas, and other access areas
Ξ		k. known and potential sources of contamination
_		l. known and potential receptors
		m. limits of excavation
2.	Site Ba	ackground
٨.	Genera	l Site Information
	1.	site description, including features like:
		- number of tanks/containers
		- volume/size of tanks/containers
		- tank/container contents, past and present
		- tank/container age, installation dates
		- tank/container construction materials
		- presence and type of leak detection
•••••		- presence and type of secondary containment
	2.	general site construction history
	3.	any past reports of spills, or other incidents
	4.	periods of nonoperation
	5.	proximity of sensitive sites such as schools, homes, private or public wells, etc.
В.	Descrip	ption of Discharge Incident
	1.	type of hazardous substances discharged, known or suspected (released, spilled, lost, etc.)
	2.	approximate amounts discharged
	3.	location of impact
	4.	dates of discharge
	5.	local problems associated with discharge, e.g. vapors in homes, well contamination, etc.
	6.	known receptors
c.	Impacts	
	1.	existing impacts to human health, safety, welfare and the environment
	2.	any impacts to adjacent or nearby buildings, wells or other structures
	3.	names and addresses of owners of adjacent properties, if those properties have been
		adversely impacted by the hazardous substance discharge
D.	Past Ac	tivities, Monitoring and Testing
-	1.	dates of site activities, duration and type and potential amounts of discharges
	2.	description of emergency actions taken and of interim actions taken, including dates
	3.	record of activities conducted at the site which had potential to cause contamination
	4.	inventory record system data
	5.	summary of monitoring results, including:
		- product monitoring records according to ILHR 10
		- groundwater monitoring
		- surface water monitoring
		- soil monitoring
		- sediment monitoring
		- atmospheric monitoring
	6.	records of testing, repair, removal or replacement, including dates
	7.	tank/container/line integrity testing
		method
		testing firm
		dates
		results
Ε.	Hazardo	us Waste Generation
	1.	us waste generation hazardous waste manifest
	2.	was hazardous waste ever generated or stored on site?
		una unuru nama unace eset Resetated of Proted OU PIEST

•				
	F.	Decemi	ntion of Took/Contains and oil o	
	۲.	1.	ption of Tank/Container and Soil Removal Activities	
	-	••	description of soil conditions in the area of the tank/container excavation or in area discharge	٥f
		2.	volume of (contaminated) soils removed from the excavation	
		3.	location of stockpiled contaminated soils	
		4.	type of impermeable base for stockpiled soils	
		5.	type of impermeable cover for stockpiled soils	
		6.	if excavation was backfilled, what was used as fill?	
		7.	final deposition of soil excavated, where and how were they used? (daily cover backfill	
			onyott site, roasted, buried, etc.)	•
	· —	8.	condition of tanks, lines, pumps (corrosion, visible leaks, etc?)	
		9.	product (other than petroleum) or waste delivery or storage systems	
			·	
	G.		se Information	
		1.	current and past land uses of site and neighboring properties	
		2.	description of zoning of property and adjacent properties	
	3.	Enviro	nmental Analysis	
	••	L. 14 1 1 0	mental Analysis	
	Α.	Site H	istorical Significance	
	***	1.		
		••	impacts or potential impacts to significant historical or archeological features due to response activities or the discharge itself	any
٠.		2.	presence of buildings greater than 50 years old on or next to discharge site	
	*******		years old on or next to discharge site	
	В.	Presend	ce of "Sensitive" Environmental Receptors	
		1.	wildlife habitat	
		2.	state or federal threatened or endangered species	
		3.	sensitive or unique ecosystems or species	
		4.	areas of special natural resource interest	
	******	5.	other surface waters and wetlands, as appropriate	
	c.	Geology	(use maps as appropriate)	
		1. 2.	geologic origin, nature and distribution of bedrock	
		2. 3.	geologic origin, nature and distribution of overlying soils	
		4.	thicknesses of various strata (consolidated and unconsolidated)	
		5.	depth to bedrock geophysical characteristics	
	*****	6.	soil types and texture	
		7.	soil descriptions to include:	
			- structure	
	-		- mottling	
			- voids	
			- layering	× .
			- lenses	
			- geologic origin	
			- Unified Soil System Classification	
			- grain size distribution, if applicable	
			- evidence of secondary permeability	
	_		- odor, if evident	
		8.	- staining, if evident	
		٥.	bedrock descriptions, if impacted:	
			- rock type - grain size	
			- bedding thickness	
			- presence of fractures	
			- orientation of fractures	
			- sedimentary structures	
	_		- secondary porosity/solutional features	
			- other	
		9.	topography	
		10.	site hydrology, including	
			- intermittent and ephemeral streams.	
			- drain tile systems,	
			- surface waters	
			- Wetlands	
			- location of floodway and floodplain (this may be best located on a site map)	
	•	المراثا		
	D.	Hydrogeo		
			depth to water table flow directions, seasonal variations	
		~ *	INVESTIGATION SERVICIAL VARIATIONS	

			·
•			
		3.	horizontal and vertical gradients
• •		4.	hydraulic characteristics: (define as field test results or non-field estimates)
	_		hydraulic conductivity, variation
			transmissivity
			storativity
	******	5.	aquifer definition:
			size
			use
			presence of aquitards
		6.	local and regional recharge or discharge area(s)
		7.	potentiometric surface
		8.	location, seasonal variation of groundwater divides
		9.	location and extent of perched groundwater
		10.	local and regional groundwater quality
	******	11.	· ·
	· 	12.	hydraulic connection between aquifers
		13.	saturated thickness of aquifer
		13.	estimates of flow volume passing below the discharge site/facility (include calculations in
		47	the appendices)
		14.	drillers logs which indicated any abnormal drilling difficulties
_		15.	isoconcentration maps
_		16.	other
		250.0.	_
٠.	III.	RESULT	s .
	1.	Conton	inant Missatian Rathuny and Rassats Assassia
	••	Contam	inant Migration Pathway and Receptor Assessment
		Datast	in I Managard Braham Minagain Bahasa at 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	۸.	Potent	ial Vapor and Product Migration Pathways (include depth of burial and construction material)
		1.	sewer lines
		2.	storm sewers
		3.	buried power cables
		4.	buried telephone lines
		5.	tile lines
		6.	more permeable soil lenses
		7.	water lines
		8.	road beds
		9.	foundations
		10.	other
	В.	Potent	ial Receptors of Contamination (description of impacts or potential impacts, if applicable)
		1.	buildings on site
		2.	neighboring basements/buildings
		3.	nearby wells (locations must be provided on a map)
		4.	nearby surface waters, including wetlands
		5.	critical habitats
		6.	endangered species
		7.	outstanding resource waters
		8.	exceptional resource waters
	_	9.	sensitive or unique ecosystems
		10.	other
			other.
	c.	Potenti	ial Health Impacts
	٠.	1.	danger of explosion
		2.	
		3.	contaminated private wells
			contaminated public water supply wells
		4.	exposure to vapors
		5.	dermal exposure
		6.	other
	•	C1:	
	.2.	evaluat	ng and Analysis Results (figures and tables should be used, but general trends and the overall ion should be in narrative form) Provide units of measurement for all results. Describe or
		bi.ovi de	the following information for each media impacted:
		sail -L	Aminemy maguility man management and the
	۸.		emistry results, per parameter, per location
	****	1.	field screening results with locations identified
		2.	laboratory (confirmation) sample results with locations identified
		3.	any indication of contamination of soils encountered (staining, odor, etc.)
	8.		ater sample results, per parameter, per well, over time
		1.	laboratory results
		2.	trends analysis

•			·	
	•			
		3.	compliance evaluation with NR 140 groundwater standards, if applicab	
			·	le
	c.	soil v	apor results (define type of survey used)	
		1. 2.	by parameter per location	
			per tocation	
	D.	sampli	ng results from other media impacted by the discharge	
		1.	parameters	
		2.	locations	
•	3.	Sampli	ng Methods Used (for each media impacted, lists provided for soil and g	roundwater only)
	٨.	Soils:		
		1.	description of sample collection method	
		2.	field screening or analytical instrument type used	
			lamp strength calibration	
	-		operating procedure	
•		3.	sample container	
		4. 5.	temperature at which the sample was collected	
•	********		time allowed for PID or FID samples to achieve at least 70° F, and lo	cation
	В.	Ground		
		1. 2.	method and instruments used to obtain sample	
		3.	any indication of contamination noticed in field whether the well was purged or not, why and how, and amount removed	
		4.	drilling method used	
		5.	monitoring well construction features	• .
		6.	abandonment methods a. boreholes	•
			b. monitoring wells	
	-	_	c. excavations	•
		7. 8.	survey methods	
		9.	sample container size sample description	
•		- •	- turbid	
			- clear	
			- sheen	
		10.	- free product other	
•	_			
	c.	a '	Ambient Air	
		2.	description of sample collection method field screening, if conducted	
		3.	sample container	
	,	A		
•	4.	Quality	Control and Quality Assurance	
	٨.	General	QA/QC (for all media impacted)	
•		1.	name and address of laboratory	
•		2.	laboratory certification number	
		3.	number of blanks, with results: - field blanks	
			- trip blanks	
			- lab spikes	
			- split samples	
		4.	- replicate spikes	
		•	name and training of person collecting the samples (including certific	ation, if applicable)
-	В.	Field In	nstrument Quality Control (for all media impacted)	
	-	1. 2.	instrument make, model and lamp energy	
			limitations of field screening instruments - temperature changes	
			- humidity changes	
		7	- other	
		3. 4.	any repairs to the instrument	•
		5.	field instrument calibration measures conducted time and frequency or schedule of field instrument calibration	
		6.	composition of the calibration gas used (calibration product 2)	
		7.	cationation curves used .	
•		8.	correction factor if one was used	
			•	

ř		·
		9. results of any calibration checks
		 results of any calibration checks time of day and ambient temperature when calibrations, calibration curves or calibration checks were completed
		11. time and temperature that samples were equilibrated if the outside temperature is below 60°F at the time of field analysis
	c.	Field Sampling and Transportation Quality Control and Assurance (for all media impacted)
		1. sample type
		sample location and associated field and laboratory identification
		3. sampling technique used
		4. sampling techniques used to minimize exposure of samples to the atmosphere
		5. date and time of sampling 6. field preservation performed
		6. field preservation performed7. date and time of preservation or extraction
		8. decontamination procedures used during the site investigation
		9. deviations from standard operating procedures
		10. shipping time and technique
	D.	Laboratory Receipt and Analysis (for all media impacted)
		1. chain of custody forms (4400-151)
		time and date of receipt of samples by the laboratory
		 sample condition on receipt by the laboratory including
		- the temperature of the samples and
•		- whether the samples were properly sealed
		4. time and date of analysis 5. method of analysis
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		 laboratory detection limit sample results with units of measurement
		8. accuracy and precision of replicate spikes
		9. results or percent recovery of matrix spikes with every batch of samples not to exceed
		eight hours
•	5.	Investigative Wastes (for all media impacted, to include but which is not limited to contaminated water from excavations, borings, purge water, rinse waters from decontamination procedures, extra sample)
		A. analytical results (hazardous determination, if listed?)
		B. ultimate disposal
		C. other
	ıv.	SUMMARY AND EVALUATION OF RESULTS (Analysis of Degree and Extent of Contamination)
		1. degree and extent of soil contamination
		2. degree and extent of groundwater contamination
		 degree and extent of contamination of other media impacted
•		4. known or potential impacts to receptors, such as water supply wells
		4. vapor migration potential
		5. impacts from seepage into basements, utility lines, surface waters
	_	6. difficulties experienced during the investigation
		7. unanticipated or questionable results 8. details needing emphasis
	v.	CONCLUSIONS
		source and type of release defined
		soil and groundwater contamination adequately defined?
		further study needed
		further remediation needed
		known or potential impacts from the release defined?
		clean site, ready for case closure
		other
	VI.	RECOMMENDATIONS
	1.	Investigation Incomplete
		continued monitoring
		additional investigation
	2.	Personal Action Alternatives (named description
		Remedial Action Alternatives (provide description of alternatives) e.g.: remediation method (to be) used for contaminated soil

		emoval, treatment and disposal							
	soil venting								
	product recovery								
		groundwater extraction and treatment insitu biological treatment							
		actions (define)							
	o there	actions (define)							
3.	Other								
	work p	lans for further action							
	constru	uction proposals for further action							
	pilot:	pilot study, other treatability studies							
	schedu	schedules for further actions							
	require	ed permits							
		air quality							
		wastewater discharge							
VII.	FIGURES	s							
		ath. II.							
•	-1.	Site Maps							
		- location maps (regional and local)							
		- water table and/or potentiometric surface maps							
		- isoconcentration maps							
		- surface water depth maps							
	2.	- bedrock and soil type and distribution maps Flow Cross Sections							
—	3.	Extent of Contamination in Soil							
	4.								
	5.	Extent of Contamination in Groundwater (Isoconcentration) Locations of Potential Receptors							
	6.	Geologic Cross-Sections							
	٥.								
		b. boring locationc. soil classification							
		d. analytical sampling							
		e. monitoring well locations							
		f. water table							
	•	The state of the s							
		g. extent of contaminant plume h. concentrations at referenced date and point							
		The state of the state of the politic							
		- The state of the							
		j. of excavation walls showing location of field screening and/or analytical results, as appropriate							
	7.	Photographs (NO black and white photocopies)							
VIII.	TABLES								
	1.	Groundwater Chemistry Results							
	2.	Soil Chemistry Results							
	3.	Analytical Methods Used							
	4.	Standards for Comparison and Compliance Determinations (Tables with compliance standards							
	-	should be combined with analytical results for comparison)							
	5.	Geologic and Hydrogeologic Results							
	6.	Groundwater Elevations							
	7.	Screening Results							
—	8.	Other							
IX.	APPENDI	CES (up to the author)							
	1.	Table giving data for compounds found, such as: Chemical formula, Molecular Weight, Ionic potential, Solubility,							
	2.	Vapor pressure, Henry's Law Constant, Kow							
	3.	References used to support methods or provide standards methods, including previous reports All raw data							
	4.	All documentation on forms: (DNR form number)							
	•	a. soil boring logs (4400-122)							
		b. monitoring Well construction logs (4400-113A)							
		c. soil boring/well abandonment forms (3300-5B)							
		d. chain of custody forms							
		e. lab/chemistry results							
		f. groundwater monitoring well information form (4400-89)							
		g. monitoring well development form (4400-113B)							
	5.	Variances (for well construction, hazardous waste storage requirements, etc.)							
		mazar doub maste storage requirements, etc.)							

	6.	Well logs of all impacted wells and potentially impacted wells within 1200° of the discharge site (locate wells on a map)
	7.	All calculations and assumptions
	8.	Landfill receipts for disposed soil
_	9.	Regional hydrogeological information references used

Other information that may be needed includes:

- access
 public information plan
 health and safety plan

APPENDIX C/LUST SAMPLING GUIDELINES

LUST and Petroleum Analytical and QA Guidence July 1993 Revision

Petroleum Substance Discharged	Analysis of Samples Collected for UST Tank Closure Assessments	Solid Waste Program Requirements for Soils to be landfilled ⁵	Site Investigation, Pretreatment and Posttreatment Sample Analysis ¹¹
Regular Gasoline	GRO²	Free Liquids ⁶ GRO Benzene ⁷ Pb ⁷ Haz. Waste Deter. ⁸	GRO VOC/PVOC ¹⁵ Pb ¹²
Unleaded Gasoline; Grades 80 100, and 100 LL (Low Lead) Aviation Fuel	GRO ²	Free Liquids ⁶ GRO Benzene ⁷ Pb ⁷ Haz. Waste Deter. ⁸	GRO PVOC
Diesel; Jet Fuels; and No's 1, 2, and 4 Fuel Oil	DRO ³	Free Liquids ⁶ DRO Benzene ⁷ Haz. Waste Deter. ⁸	DRO ³ PVOC PAH ¹³⁻¹⁴
Crude Oil; Lubricating Oils; No. 6 Fuel Oil	DRO ³	Free Liquids ⁶ DRO Haz. Waste Deter. ⁸	DRO³ PAH ¹³ ¹⁴
Unknown Petroleum	GRO ⁷ and DRO ^{3 4}	Free Liquids ⁶ GRO and DRO Pb, Cd ⁷ Haz. Waste Deter. ⁸ CN ¹⁹ S ^{2 10}	GRO and DRO ^{3 4} VOC/PVOC ¹⁵ PAH ^{13 14} Pb, Cd ¹²
Waste Oil	DRO³	Free Liquids ⁶ DRO Pb, Cd ⁷ Haz. Waste Deter. ⁸ CN ¹⁹ S ^{2 10}	DRO ³ VOC/PVOC ¹⁵ PAH ¹³ ¹⁴ PCBs ¹⁶ Pb, Cd ¹²

Abbreviations:

- GRO Gasoline Range Organics, Determined by the Wisconsin Modified GRO Method
- DRO Diesel Range Organics, Determined by the Wisconsin Modified DRO Method
- VOC Volatile Organic Compounds (See Section 11.1 for a list of VOC compounds)
- PVOC Petroleum Organic Compounds (See Section 11.2 for a list of PVOC compounds)
- PAH Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (See Section 11.3 for a list of the PAH compounds)
- PCBs Polychlorinated Biphenyls
- Pb Lead

SYNERGY ENVIRONMENTAL LAB – Sample Bottle Requirements

TABLE 1 SAMPLE & PRESERVATION REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER and DRINKING WATER SAMPLES

Test	Original Sample Container	Preserved	Holding Time to
WET CHEMISTRY			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Alkalinity SM2320B/EPA 310.2	250 mL HDPE	4°C	14 days
Ammonia EPA 350.1	250 mL HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with H₂SO ₄	28 days
BOD, cBOD SM5210B	500 ml HDPE	4°C	48 hrs.
COD EPA 410.4	500 ml HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with H ₂ SO ₄	28 days
Chloride EPA 300.0/EPA 325.2	250 mL HDPE	4°C	28 days
Cyanide SW846 9012A/SM4500-CN-C	1000 mL HDPE	4°C, pH>12 with NaOH	14 days
Flashpoint SW846 1010	250 mL HDPE	4°C	28 days
Fluoride EPA 300.0	250 mL HDPE	4°C	28 days
Hardness SW846 6010B	250 mL HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with HNO ₃	180 days
TKN EPA 351.2	1 Liter HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with H₂SO ₄	28 days
Nitrate EPA 300.0	250 mL HDPE	4°C	48 hours
Nitrate+Nitrite EPA 300.0	250 mL HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with H₂SO₄	28 days
Nitrite EPA 300.0	250 mL HDPE	4°C	48 hours
Oil & Grease EPA 1664	1 Liter Glass	4°C, pH<2 with H₂SO ₄	28 days
Organic Carbon SW846 9060/ EPA 415.1	40 ml Glass	4°C, pH<2 with H₂SO₄ or HCL	28 days
Phenol, Total EPA 420.1	1 Liter Glass	4°C, pH<2 with H ₂ SO ₄	28 days
Phosphorus, Total EPA 365.3	250 mL HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with H ₂ SO ₄	28 days
Sulfate EPA 300.0	250 mL HDPE	4°C	28 days
Total Dissolved Solids EPA 160.1	250 ml HDPE	4°C	7 days
Total Solids EPA 160.3	250 ml HDPE	4°C	7 days
Total Suspended Solids EPA 160.2	250 mL HDPE	4°C	7 days
METALS			1 days
Metals	250 mL HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with HNO ₃	6 months
Mercury SW8467470/EPA 245.1	250 mL HDPE	4°C, pH<2 with HNO ₃	28 days
ORGANICS			1 20 days
Semivolatiles SW846 8270C	Liter amber glass, collect 2 for one of the samples submitted.	4°C	7 days extr. 40 days following extr
PAH SW846 8270C	Liter amber glass, collect 2 for one of the samples submitted	4°C	7 days extr. 40 days following extr
PCB SW846 8082	Liter amber glass, collect 2 for one of the samples submitted.	4°C	7 days extr. 40 days following extr
DRO, Modified DNR Sep 95	Liter amber glass with Teflon lined cap	4°C, 5 mL 50% HCI	7 days extr. 40 days following extr
VOC'S SW846 8260B/EPA524.2	(3) 40 mL glass vials with Teflon lined septum caps	4°C, 0.5 mL 50% HCl, No Headspace	14 days
GRO/VOC	(4) 40 mL glass vials with Teflon lined septum caps	4°C, 0.5 mL 50% HCl prior to adding sample to jar	14 days
GRO, Modified DNR Sep 95	(2) 40 mL glass vials with Teflon lined septum caps	4°C, 0.5 mL 50% HCl prior to adding sample to jar	14 days
GRO/PVOC	(2) 40 mL glass vials with Teflon lined septum caps	4°C, 0.5 mL 50% HCl prior to adding sample to jar	14 days
PVOC	(2) 40 mL glass vials with Teflon lined septum caps	4°C, 0.5 mL 50% HCl prior to adding sample to jar	14 days

All samples are to be cooled to 4°C until tested. HDPE = High Density Polyethylene.

SYNERGY ENVIRONMENTAL LAB – Sample Bottle Requirements

TABLE 2 SAMPLE & PRESERVATION REQUIREMENTS FOR SOIL SAMPLES

	Original		Holding Times from Date and Time of Collection			
Test	Sample Container	Preserved	Solvent Addition	Shipping	Extraction	Analysis
METALS						
Metals	2 oz glass or soil cup	4°C	NA	NA	NA	180 days
Mercury SW846 7471	2 oz glass or soil cup	4°C	NA	NA	NA	28 days
Chromium Hexavalent SM3500-Cr	2 oz glass or soil cup	4°C	NA	NA	NA	24 hours
ORGANICS						
Any combinations of GRO, VOC, PVOC	1- tared VOC vial with 10 mls methanol, 13 grams of soil collected with syringe	4°C, 1:1 with methanol	Immediately	4 days	21 days	21 days
DRO, Modified	1- tared VOC vial, 13 grams of soil collected with syringe jar	4°C, Hexane	10 days	4 days	47 days	47 days
PAH, SW846 8270C	2 oz glass untared	4°C	NA	NA	14 days	40 days
Semivolatile SW846 8270C	2 oz glass untared	4°C	NA	NA	14 days	40 days
PCB SW846 8082	2 oz glass untared	4°C	NA NA	NA	14 days	40 days

All samples are to be cooled to 4°C until tested.

APPENDIX D/WDNR DOCUMENTS

NR140 Substance		Fed MCL (ug/l) (If Red MCL>ES)		RCL-gw (mg/kg) DF=1	Use 2, or input the calculated site-specific DF 2.00	INPUT NUMERIC Site Flag E = Data Max Individual (mg/kg) Exceedancel	Type BRRTS No. Here (If Known). Assess groundwate levels separately.
Acetochlor	34256-82-1		7	5.58E-03	1.12E-02	Lxceedancers	revers separatery.
Acetone Alachlor	67-64-1	-	9000	1.85E+00	3.69E+00		
Aldicarb	15972-60-8	2	2	1.65E-03	3.30E-03		
Aluminum	116-06-3	3	10	2.49E-03	4.99E-03		
Antimony	7429-90-5 7440-36-0		200	3.01E+02	6.01E+02	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	Constitute of the Constitute o
Anthracene	120-12-7	6	6	2.71E-01	5.42E-01	1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Arsenic	7440-38-2	- 10	3000	9.84E+01	1.97E+02		
Atrazine, total chlorinated residues	1912-24-9	3	10 3	2.92E-01	5.84E-01		
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	2000	1.95E-03	3.90E-03		•
Bentazon	25057-89-0	-	300	8.24E+01 6.59E-02	1.65E+02		
Benzene	71-43-2	5	5	2.56E-03	1.32E-01		
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	50-32-8	0.2	0.2	2.35E-03 2.35E-01	5.12E-03	5-4- 4 -4-7	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (PAH)	205-99-2		0.2	2.40E-01	4.70E-01		
Beryllium	7440-41-7	4	4	3.16E+00	4.80E-01		
Boron	7440-42-8	-	1000	3.20E+00	6.32E+00		
Bromodichloromethane (THM)	75-27-4	80	0.6	1.63E-04	6.40E+00		
Bromoform (THM)	75-25-2	80	4.4	1.17E-03	3.26E-04		
Bromomethane	74-83-9		10	2.53E-03	2.33E-03		
Butylate	2008-41-5	-	400	3.88E-01	5.06E-03		
Cadmium	7440-43-9	5	5	3.76E-01	7.76E-01		
Carbaryl	63-25-2	-	40	3.64E-02	7.52E-01		
Carbofuran	1563-66-2	40	40	1.56E-02	7.27E-02		
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0		1000	2.97E-01	3.12E-02		
Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5	5	5	1.94E-03	5.93E-01		
Chloramben	133-90-4	-	150	3.63E-02	3.88E-03		
Chlorodifluoromethane	75-45-6	-	7000	2.89E+00	7.27E-02		
Chloroethane	75-00-3	-	400	1.13E-01	5.79E+00		
Chloroform (THM)	67-66-3	80	6	1.67E-03	2.27E-01		
Chlorpyrifos	2921-88-2	•	2	2.95E-02	3.33E-03		
Chloromethane	74-87-3	_	30	7.76E-03	5.90E-02		
Chromium (total)	7440-47-3	100	100	1.80E+05	1.55E-02		
Chrysene (PAH)	218-01-9		0.2	7.25E-02	3.60E+05	Re	assess if Cr-VI present
obalt	7440-48-4	-	40	1.81E+00	1.45E-01 3.62E+00		
opper	7440-50-8	1300	1300	4.58E+01	9.16E+01		
yanazine	21725-46-2	-	1	4.68E-04	9.37E-04		
yanide, free	57-12-5	200	200	2.02E+00	4.04E+00		
acthal (DCPA)	1861-32-1	-	70	8.56E-02	1.71E-01		
2-Dibromoethane	106-93-4	0.05	0.05	1.41E-05	2.82E-05		
romochloromethane (THM)	124-48-1	08	60	1.60E-02	3.20E-02		
Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DSCP)	96-12-8	0.2	0.2	8.64E-05	1.73E-04		
butyl phthalate	84-74-2	-	1000	2.52E+00	5.04E+00		
camba	1918-00-9	-	300	7.76E-02	1.55E-01		
l-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	600	600	5.84E-01	1.17E+00		
-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	-	600	5.76E-01	1.15E+00	32557	
-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	75	75	7.20E-02	1.44E-01		
hlorodifluoromethane	75 - 71-8	-	1000	1.54E+00	3.08E+00		
-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	-	850	2.42E-01	4.84E-01		
!-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	5	5	1.42E-03	2.84E-03		
Dichloroethylene	75-35-4	7	7	2.51E-03	5.02E-03		
Dichloroethylene (cis)	156-59-2	70	70	2.06E-02	4.12E-02	AND THE PART OF TH	
ichloroethylene (trans)	156-60-5	100	100	2.94E-02	5.88E-02		
hlorophenoxyacetic acid (2.4-D)	94-75-7	70	70	1.81E-02	3.62E-02		
Dichloropropane	78- 87- 5	5	5	1.66E-03	3.32E-03		
sloropropane (cis/trans) (Yelone)	542-75-6		0.4	1.43E-04	2.85E-04		
ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	6	6	1.44E+00	2.88E+00	2983/93/2016 A 2016	
ethoate	60-51-5	-	2	4.51E-04	9.02E-04		
Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	-	0.05	6.76E-05	1.35E-04		
Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	-	0.05	6.88E-05	1.38E-04		
toluene, Total Residues 2	5321-14-6		0.05	6.89E-05	1.38E-04		
interio, rolai recalocca	88-85-7	7	7	6.15E-02	1.23E-01		
seb	123-91-1	-	3	6.18E-04	1.24E-03		
			0	1.50E-05			
oseb ioxane (p-dioxane)	1746-01-6	0			3.00E-05		
oseb ioxane (p-dioxane)		0 2	1	8.08F-02	1 000 04	Control of the second s	
oseb ioxane (p-dioxane) n (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	1746-01-6		2	8.08E-02 1.32E-01	1.62E-01		
seb ioxane (p-dioxane) n (2,3,7,8-TCDD) in	1746-01-6 72-20-8 759-94-4	2	2 250	1.32E-01	2.64E-01		
oseb ioxane (p-dioxane) n (2,3,7,8-TCDD) rin C benzene	1746-01-6 72-20-8 759-94-4 100-41-4	700	2 250 700	1.32E-01 7.85E-01	2.64E-01 1.57E+00		
oseb ioxane (p-dioxane) n (2,3,7,8-TCDD) rin C ibenzene ther (Diethyl Ether)	1746-01-6 72-20-8 759-94-4 100-41-4 60-29-7	2 - 700	2 250 700 1000	1.32E-01 7.85E-01 2.24E-01	2.64E-01 1.57E+00 4.47E-01		
oseb ioxane (p-dioxane) n (2,3,7,8-TCDD) rin C benzene	1746-01-6 72-20-8 759-94-4 100-41-4	2 - 700	2 250 700 1000 4000	1.32E-01 7.85E-01	2.64E-01 1.57E+00		

NR140 Substance	NR 140 CAS	Fed MCL (ug/l) (If Red, MCL>ES)	NR 140 ES (ug/l)	RCL-gw (mg/kg) DF=1	Use 2, or input the calculated site-specific DF	INPUT NUMERIC Site Flag E = Data Max Individual (mg/kg) Exceedance
Fluoride	7782-41-4	4000	4000	6.01E+02	1.20E+03	(mg/kg) Exceedanc
Fluorotrichloromethane			3490	2.23E+00	4.47E+00	
Formaldehyde	50-00-0		1000	2.02E-01	4.04E-01	
Heptachlor	76-44 - 8	0.4	0.4	3.31E-02	6.62E-02	and the second s
Heptachlor epoxide		0.2	0.2	4.08E-03	8.16E-03	A selvar
Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	1	1	1.26E-02	2.52E-02	
n-Hexane	110-54-3	-	600	4.22E+00	8.44E+00	
Lead	7439-92-1	15	15	1.35E+01	2.70E+01	
Lindane	58-89-9	0.2	0.2	1.16E-03	2.32E-03	
Manganese	7439-96-5	-	300	1.96E+01	3.91E+01	
Mercury	7439-97-6	2	2	1.04E-01	2.08E-01	
Methanol	67-56-1	-	5000	1.01E+00	2.03E+00	
Methoxychlor	72-43-5	40	40	2.16E+00	4.32E+00	
Methylene chloride	75-09-2	5	5	1.28E-03	2.56E-03	
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	78-93-3	-	4000	8.39E-01	1.68E+00	日本計画の機能 よみは基本はあったが
Methyl isobulyl ketone (MIBK)	108-10-1	-	500	1.13E-01	2.26E-01	
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	1634-04-4	-	60	1.35E-02	2.70E-02	
Metolachlor/s-Metolachlor	51218-45-2	-	100	1.17E-01	2.34E-01	
Metribuzin	21087-64-9	-	70	2.14E-02	4.28E-02	그 사람들이 가입니다.
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	-	40	8.08E-01	1.62E+00	
Monochiorobenzene	108-90-7	100	100	6.79E-02	1.36E-01	
Naphthalene	91-20-3	-	100	3.29E-01	6.59E-01	
Nickel	7440-02-0		100	6.50E+00	1.30E+01	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (NDPA)	86-30-6	-	7	3.82E-02	7.64E-02	
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	87-86-5	1	1	1.01E-02	2.02E-02	
Phenol	108-95-2	-	2000	1.15E+00	2.30E+00	
Picloram	1918-02-1	500	500	1.39E-01	2.78E-01	그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그
Polychlorinaled biphenyls (PCBs)	1336-36-3	0.5	0.03	4.69E-03	9.38E-03	그 그 기가 가지 않는데 다른데 되었다.
Prometon	1610-18-0	-	100	4.75E-02	9.49E-02	
Propazine	139-40-2	-	10	8.86E-03	1.77E-02	
Pyrene (PAH)	129-00-0	-	250	2.72E+01	5.45E+01	
Pyridine	110-86-1	-	10	3.44E-03	6.87E-03	
Selenium	7782 - 49-2	50	50	2.60E-01	5.20E-01	
Silver	7440-22-4	-	50	4.25E-01	8.50E-01	
Simazine	122-34-9	4	4	1.97E-03	3.94E-03	
Styrene	100-42-5	100	100	1.10E-01	2.20E-01	
ertiary Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	75-65-0	-	12	2.45E-03	4.90E-03	- P. Pr. Pr. Pr. (1985)
1,1,2-Tetrachioroethane	630-20-6	-	70	2.67E-02	4.90E-03 5.33E-02	
1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	-	0.2	7.80E-05	1.56E-04	
etrachloroethylene (PCE)	127-18-4	5	5	2.27E-03	4.54E-03	
etrahydrofuran	109-99-9	-	50	1.11E-02	2.22E-02	
hallium	7440-28-0	2	2	1.42E-01		
oluene	108-88-3	1000	800	5.54E-01	2.84E-01	
oxaphene	8001-35-2	3	3	4.64E-01	1.11E+00	
2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	70	70	2.04E-01	9.28E-01	
1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	200	200	7.01E-02	4.08E-01	
1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5	5	1.62E-03	1.40E-01	
chloroethylene (TCE)	79-01-6	5	5	1.79E-03	3.24E-03	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Trichleraphanasymapanic suid (2,4,5-1 P(Seria))	93-72-1	50	50	2.75E-02	3.58E-03	
,3-Trichloropropane	96-18-4	-	60		5.50E-02	
ifluralin	1582-09-8		7.5	2.60E-02	5.20E-02	
	3-6 / 108-67-8	_	480	2.48E-01	4.95E-01	
inadium	7440-62-2	=	700	6.90E-01	1.38E+00	. 아름 가게 살아 있다. 그렇게 그리고 살아가 하고 말
nyl chloride	75-01-4	2		0.005.05		
enes (m-, o-, p- combined)		2	0.2	6.90E-05	1.38E-04	
(, o-, p- comoneo)	1330-20-7	10000	2000	1.97E+00	3.94E+00	ani in a shiring a s

Type BRRTS No. Here (If Known). Assess groundwater levels separately.

- -----> If web-calculator result or Csat exceeds 10% by weight (the ceiling limit concentration defined in RSL Users Guide), Not-to-Exceed D-C RCL defaults to 100,000 ppm.
- 1. Enter data in yellow cells. Numeric only values under "INPUT Site Data." For ND, use detection limit. Do not type '.', 'NA' nor 'space bar.' Leave purple cells "as is."
- 2. After completing data entry, See Summary in Row 872.

Site Name:

Sample ID:

				To Pass, o	data must me	et un mose cinena.	Exceedance I Count = 0 I Data Entry N	1.00E+00	umulative CR ≤ 1e-05
-563925			Exceedance	Count / Hazard	Index / Cumu	llative Cancer Risk:	<u>Q</u>	0.00E+00	0.0 +00
						,			
and Compounds	7439-92-1	400		400	nc				
		1720		1720	nc				2 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1
ne .	129-00-0		0.38	0.38	ca				
pyrene, 4-	57835-92-4	- 229		229	nc				
ylnaphthalene, 2-	91-57-6	229	15.6	15.6	ca				
ylnaphthalene, 1-	90-12-0	4010	0.15	0.15	ca				
no[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	2290	0.45	2290	nc				
rene	86-73-7	2290 2290		2290	nc				
ranthene	206-44-0	-	0	0	ca				
ethylbenz(a)anthracene, 7,12-	192-65-4 57-97-6	and the state of	0.04	0.04	ca			1	
enzo(a,e)pyrene	53-70-3		0.01	0.01	ca			1	
enz[a,h]anthracene	218-01-9		14.8	14.8	ca			4	
ysene	207-08-9		1.48	1.48	ca				
nzo[k]fluoranthene	205-99-2		0.15	0.15	ca		777 - T		
120())(luoranthene 120(b)(luoranthene	205-82-3	-	0.38	0.38	ca				
nzo(j)fluoranthene	56-55-3	•	0.15	0.15	ca			4	
nz[a]anthracene	120-12-7	17200	•	17200	nc				<u>Leanning (Control of the Control of</u>
enapninene thracene	83-32-9	3440	: - : ·	3440	nc				
nzo[a]pyrene enaphthene	50-32-8	-	0.01	0.01	ca				
phthalene	91-20-3	188	5.15	5.15	ca		77777		
imethylbenzene, 1,3,5-	108-67-8	782	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	182	nc Csat				
imethylbenzene, 1,2,4-	95-63-6	89.8	-	89.8			1000		
bromoethane, 1,2-	106-93-4	107	0.05	0.05	ca				
ichloroethane, 1,2-	107-06-2	46.7	0.61	0.61	ca				
ethyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	1634-04-4	23800	59.4	59,4	Csat				
ylenes	1330-20-7	890		258	Csat				
oluene	108-88-3	5300	1.71	818	ca				
thylbenzene	100-41-4	4220	7.47	1.49 7.47	ca				T. Page 100 Company
enzene	71-43-2	(mg/kg) 111	(mg/kg) 1.49	RCL (mg/kg		(mg/kg)	Exceedan		l) Cancer Risk (CR) Data
Conteminant	GAS Number	NC RCL	C RCL:	Not-To-Excer D-C	1	INPUT Site Data	∳Flag E ∮Individu	= Hazard	
HETELOGIS.	24,48								
22.00						, 1			Target CR use 1:00E-06
									GIORI UR HEL

Site-specific

Resident Screening Levels (RSL) for Soil

ca=Cancer.nc=Noncancer, ca* (Whereinc SL < 100 × ca SL),

ca**(Whereinc SL < 10 × ca SL), max=SL exceeds ceiling limit (see User's Guide), sat=SL exceeds csat,

Smax=Soil SL exceeds ceiling limit and has been substituted with the max value (see User's Guide).

Ssat=Soil inhalation SL exceeds csat and has been substituted with the csat

Chronic Ref Ref	a -
Chronic RfC (mg/m³) 3.00E-02 9.00E-03 7.00E-00	3.00E-03 - 5.00E+00 7.00E-03 1.00E-01
Chronic RfD — S — — S — — S — — — S — — — S — — — S —	v -
	4.00E-03 2.00E-02 3.00E-02 8.00E-02 - 1.00E-02 2.00E-01
Reference of the second of the	UU
Inhalation Unit Risk (ug/m³)¹¹ 7.80E-06 6.00E-04 2.60E-07 - 2.60E-07 - 1.10E-04 1.10E-04 1.10E-04 1.10E-03 1.10E-05 1.10E-05 1.10E-06 1.10E-06	3.40E-05 1.10E-04 - -
$ \bigcap_{\mathbf{p}} $	U
Mutagen? VOC? (mg/kg-day) No Yes 5.50E-02 No Yes 9.10E-02 No Yes 1.10E-02 No Yes 1.10E-02 No Yes 1.20E-03 No Yes 1.20E-01 No Yes 7.30E-01 Yes No 7.30E-01 Yes No 7.30E-01 Yes No 7.30E-02 Vo No 7.30E-02 Vo No 7.30E-02 Vo No 7.30E-02 Vo Yes -	1.20E+00
VOC? Y es Y es Y es Y es No No No No No No No No No N	Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes
	Yes Yes Yes Yes
Mutage	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
CAS Number 71-43-2 106-93-4 107-06-2 100-41-4 7439-92-1 1634-04-4 83-32-9 120-12-7 56-55-3 50-32-8 205-99-2 207-08-9 218-01-9 53-70-3 192-65-4 57-97-6 206-44-0 86-73-7	91-20-3 57835-92-4 129-00-0 108-88-3 95-63-6 108-67-8
Chemical Benzene Dibromoethane, 1,2- Dichloroethane, 1,2- Ethylbenzene Lead and Compounds Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) Acenaphthene Anthracene Benz[a]anthracene Benzo[j/fluoranthene Benzo[b]fluoranthene Benzo[k]fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene Dibenz(a,e)pyrene	Nitropyrene, 4- Nitropyrene, 4- Pyrene Toluene Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5- Xylenes

Site-specific

Resident Screening Levels (RSL) for Soil

ca=Cancer, nc=Noncancer, ca* (Where nc SL < 100 x ca SL), ca** (Where nc SL > 10 x ca SL), max=SL exceeds ceiling limit (see User's Guide), sat=SL exceeds csat, Smax=Soil SL exceeds ceiling limit and has been substituted with the max value (see User's Guide). Ssat=Soil inhalation SL exceeds csat and has been substituted with the csat

Inhalation Carcinogenic SL
Dermal SL SL TR=1.0E-6 (mg/kg) 6.29E-01 6.29E-01 6.29E-01 6.29E-01 6.29E-01 1.58E-01 6.29E-01 6.29E-01 6.29E-01
Ingestion SL TR=1.0E-6 (mg/kg) 1.26E+01 3.48E-01 7.64E+00 6.32E+01 2.10E-02 2.10E-02 2.10E-00 2.10E-01 2.10E+00 2.10E-01 2.10E+00 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01 2.10E-01
Particulate Emission Factor (m³/kg) 1.56E+09
Soil (mg/kg) 1.82E+03 1.82E+03 1.34E+03 2.98E+03 4.80E+02 - 8.87E+03 8.87E+02 3.94E+02 3.94E+02
Volatilization Factor (m³/kg) 5.10E+03 1.25E+04 6.60E+03 8.18E+03 2.03E+05 7.08E+03 2.03E+06 6.37E+06 4.06E+04 8.37E+04 6.69E+04 6.69E+04 6.69E+04 8.37E+06 6.19E+03 1.14E+04 9.54E+03
GIABS ABS RBA 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Chemical Benzene Dibromoethane, 1,2- Dichloroethane, 1,2- Ethylbenzene Lead and Compounds Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) Acenaphthene Anthracene Benz(a)anthracene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(a)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Dibenz(a,c)anthracene Trincethylbenzene, 1- Pyrene Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5- Xylenes

Site-specific

Resident Screening Levels (RSL) for Soil
ca=Cancer, nc=Noncancer, ca* (Where nc SL < 100 x ca SL).
ca** (Where nc SL < 10 x ca SL), max=SL exceeds ceiling limit (see User's Guide), sat=SL exceeds csat, Smax=Soil SL exceeds ceiling limit and has been substituted with the max value (see User's Guide).
Ssat=Soil inhalation SL exceeds csat and has been substituted with the csat

Screening Level (mg/kg) 1160E+00 ca* 5 00E+02 ca* 6 52E-011 ca* 8 02E+00 ca* 8 02E+00 ca* 1 57E-01 ca 1 57E-01 ca 1 57E-01 ca 1 57E-02 ca 1 57E-01 ca 1 57E-01 ca 1 57E-01 ca 2 39E+03 nc 5 52E+00 ca* 4 24E-01 ca 2 39E+03 nc 5 52E+00 ca* 8 34E+01 ne 5 52E+00 ca* 8 34E+01 ne 8 34E+01 ne
Inhalation Noncarcinogenic SL SL Adult THQ=1 (mg/kg) (
Dermal Inhalation SL Adult Adult THQ=1 (mg/kg) (mg/kg) - 1.60E+02 - 1.17E+02 - 1.17E+02 - 4.82E+01 - 4.82E+01 - 8.53E+03 2.21E+04 9.12E+04 - 2.21E+04
Adult THQ=1 (mg/kg)
c Ingestion SL Adult THQ=1 (mg/kg) 3.34E+03 7.51E+03 5.01E+04 2.50E+05 4.334E+04 6.67E+04 1.67E+04 1.67E+04 1.67E+04 1.67E+04 4.6.67E+04 4.6.67E+05 1.67E+05
Child Child Adult THQ=1 THQ=
Inhalation SL Child THQ=1 (mg/kg) 1.60E+02 1.17E+02 4.82E+01 8.53E+03 - 2.21E+04 2.21E+04 2.09E+02 3.23E+04 8.34E+01
E+04 E+03 E+03 E+03 E+03 E+03
lngestion Do. S.L. Child C. THQ=:1 THQ=:1 THQ=:2 3.13E+02 7.04E+02 4.69E+02 7.82E+03 7.82E+02 7.82E+02 7.82E+04 7.82E+05 7.82E+05 7.82E+05 7.82E+05 7.82
Chemical Benzene Dibromoethane, 1,2- Dichloroethane, 1,2- Ethylbenzene Lead and Compounds Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) Acenaphthene Anthracene Benz[a]anthracene Benz[a]anthracene Benzo[b]fluoranthene Benzo[b]fluoranthene Benzo[b]fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene Dibenz(a,b]anthracene Dibenz(a,ca)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene Thuoranthene Fluoranthene Thuoranthene Toluene Trimethylbenzene, 1- Pyrene Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5- Xylenes

(22) "Wastewater and sludge storage or treatment lagoon" means a natural or man-made containment structure, constructed primarily of earthen materials for the treatment or storage of wastewater or sludge, which is not a land disposal system.

Wastewater or sludge, which is not a land disposal system. History: Cr. Register, September, 1985, No. 357, eff. 10-1-85; cr. (Im), am. (7), (17) and (18), Register, October, 1988, No. 394, eff. 11-1-88; am. (6), cr. (20h) and (20m), Register, March. 1994, No. 459, eff. 4-1-94; cr. (1s), (10e), (10s), (20k), r. and recr. (12), (13), Register, August. 1995, No. 476, eff. 9-1-95; cr. (14m), Register. October. 1996, No. 490, eff. 11-1-96; am. (20), Register, December. 1998, No. 516, eff. 1-1-99; correction in (9) made under s. 13.93 (2m) (b) 7., Stats., Register. April, 2001, No. 544; CR 02-134; cr. (1u), (1w), (1y) and (20s) Register June 2003 No. 570, eff. 7-1-03; correction in (20) made under s. 13.92 (4) (b) 6., Stats., Register January 2012 No. 673.

Subchapter II — Groundwater Quality Standards

NR 140.10 Public health related groundwater standards. The groundwater quality standards for substances of public health concern are listed in Table 1.

Note: For all substances that have carcinogenic, mutagenic or teratogenic properties or interactive effects, the preventive action limit is 10% of the enforcement standard. The preventive action limit is 20% of the enforcement standard for all other substances that are of public health concern. Enforcement standards and preventive action limits for additional substances will be added to Table 1 as recommendations are developed pursuant to ss. 160.07, 160.13 and 160.15, Stats.

Table 1 Public Health Groundwater Quality Standards

Substance ¹	Enforcement Standard (micrograms per liter – except as noted)	Preventive Action Limit (micrograms per liter – except as noted)
Acetochlor	7	0.7
Acetochlor ethane sulfonic acid + oxanilic acid (Acetochlor - ESA + OXA)	230	46
Acetone	9 mg/l	1.8 mg/1
Alachlor	2	0.2
Alachlor ethane sulfonic acid (Alachlor – ESA)	20	4
Aldicarb	10	2
Aluminum	200	40
Ammonia (as N)	9.7 mg/l	0.97 mg/l
Antimony	6	1.2
Anthracene	3000	600
Arsenic	10	1
Asbestos	7 million fibers per liter (MFL)	0.7 MFL
Atrazine, total chlorinated residues	32	0.3^{2}
Bacteria, Total Coliform	0^{3}	0^{3}
Barium	2 milligrams/liter (mg/l)	0.4 mg/i
Bentazon	300	60
Benzene	5	0.5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.2	0.02
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.2	0.02
Beryllium	4	0.4
Boron	1000	200
Bromodichloromethane	0.6	0.06
Bromoform	4.4	0.44
Bromomethane	10	1
Butylate	400	80
Cadmium	5	0.5
Carbaryl	40	4
Carbofuran	40	8
arbon disulfide	1000	200
arbon tetrachloride	5	0.5
hloramben	150	30
hlordane	2	0.2
hlorodifluoromethane	7 mg/l	0.7 mg/l
hloroethane	400	80
hloroform	6	0.6
hlorpyrifos	2	0.4
nloromethane	30	3
romium (total)	100	3 10
nrysene	0.2	
ii joone	U. ∠	0.02

Published under s. 35.93, Stats. Updated on the first day of each month. Entire code is always current. The Register date on each page
Register July 2015 No. 715

is the date the chapter was last published.

Table 1 – Continued Public Health Groundwater Quality Standards

	iblic Health Groundwater Quality Standa Enforcement Standard (micrograms	
Substance ¹	per liter – except as noted)	Preventive Action Limit (microgram per liter – except as noted)
Cobalt	40	8
Copper	1300	130
Cyanazine	1	1.0
Cyanide, free ⁴	200	40
Dacthal	70	14
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.05	0.005
Dibromochloromethane	60	6
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	0.2	0.02
Dibutyl phthalate	1000 -	100
Dicamba	300	60
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	60
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	600	120
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	15
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1000	200
I,I-Dichloroethane	850	85
1,2-Dichloroethane	5	0.5
I,1-Dichloroethylene	7	0.7
1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis)	70	7
1,2-Dichloroethylene (trans)	100	20
2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid (2,4-D)	70	7
,2-Dichloropropane	5	0.5
,3-Dichloropropene (cis/trans)	0.4	0.04
Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	6	0.6
Dimethenamid/Dimethenamid-P	50	5
Dimethoate	2	0.4
,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.05	0.005
,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.05	0.005
initrotoluene, Total Residues ⁵	0.05	0.005
rinoseb	7	1.4
4-Dioxane	3	0.3
ioxin (2, 3, 7, 8-TCDD)	0.00003	0.000003
ndrin	2	0.4
PTC	250	50
hylbenzene	700	140
hyl ether	1000	100
hylene glycol	14 mg/l	2.8 mg/l
uoranthene	400	80
uorene	400	80
aoride	4 mg/l	0.8 mg/l
ıorotrichloromethane	3490	698
rmaldehyde	1000	
ptachlor	0.4	100
ptachlor epoxide	0.2	0.04
xachlorobenzene		0.02
Hexane	1	1.0
rrexane drogen sulfide	600	120
	30	6
nd dana	15	1.5
dane	0.2	0.02
nganese	300	60
reury	2	0.2

Published under s. 35.93, Stats. Updated on the first day of each month. Entire code is always current. The Register date on each page is the date the chapter was last published.

Register July 2015 No. 715

Table 1 – Continued Public Health Groundwater Quality Standards

Pub	lic Health Groundwater Quality Standa	rds
Substance ¹	Enforcement Standard (micrograms per liter – except as noted)	Preventive Action Limit (micrograms per liter - except as noted)
Methanol	5000	1000
Methoxychior	40	4
Methylene chloride	5	0.5
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	4 mg/l	0.8 mg/l
Methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK)	500	50
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	60	12
Metolachlor/s-Metolachlor	100	10
Metolachlor ethane sulfonic acid + oxanilic acid (Metolachlor - ESA + OXA)	1.3 mg/l	0.26 mg/l
Metribuzin	70	14
Molybdenum	40	8
Monochlorobenzene	100	20
Naphthalene	100	10
Nickel	100	20
Nitrate (as N)	10 mg/l	2 mg/l
Nitrate + Nitrite (as N)	10 mg/l	2 mg/l
Nitrite (as N)	I mg/I	0.2 mg/l
<i>N</i> –Nitrosodiphenylamine	7	0.7
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	1	0.1
Perchlorate	1	0.1
Phenol	2 mg/l	0.4 mg/l
Picloram	500	100
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	0.03	0.003
Prometon	100	20
Propazine	10	2
Pyrene	250	50
Pyridine	10	2
Selenium	50	10
Silver	50	10
Simazine	4	0.4
Styrene	100	10
Tertiary Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	12	1.2
,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	70	7
,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.2	0.02
Tetrachloroethylene	5	0.5
Tetrahydro furan	50	10
Thallium	2	0.4
Coluene Coluene	800	160
oxaphene	3	0.3
,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	70	
, I, I – Trichloroethane	200	14
1,2-Trichloroethane	5	40
richloroethylene (TCE)	5	0.5
4,5-Trichlorophenoxy-propionic acid (2,4,5-TP)	50	0.5 5
2,3-Trichloropropane	60	12
ifluralin	7.5	0.75
imethylbenzenes	480	
(1,2,4- and 1,3,5- combined)	100	96
madium	30	6

Published under s. 35.93, Stats. Updated on the first day of each month. Entire code is always current. The Register date on each page
Register July 2015 No. 715

is the date the chapter was last published.

Table 1 - Continued Public Health Groundwater Quality Standards

Substance ¹	Enforcement Standard (micrograms per liter – except as noted)	Preventive Action Limit (micrograms per liter – except as noted)
Vinyl chloride	0.2	0.02
Xylene ⁶	2 mg/l	0.4 mg/l

Appendix I contains Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) registry numbers, common synonyms and trade names for most substances listed in T able 1.

History: Cr. Register, September, 1985, No. 357, eff. 10–1–85; am. table 1, Register, October, 1988, No. 394, eff. 11–1–88; am. table 1, Register, September, 1990, No. 417, eff. 10–1–90; am. Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2–1–92; am. Table 1, Register, March, 1994, No. 459, eff. 4–1–94; am. Table 1, Register, August, 1995, No. 476, eff. 9–1–95; am. Table 1, Register, December, 1998, No. 516, eff. 12–31–99; am. Table 1, Register, December, 1998, No. 516, eff. 12–31–99; am. Table 1, Register, March, 2000, No. 531, eff. 4–1–00; CR 03–063; am Table 1, Register February 2004 No. 578, eff. 3–1–04; CR 02–095; am. Table 1, Register November 2006 No. 611, eff. 12–1–06; reprinted to correct errors in Table 1, Register January 2008 No. 625, eff. 2–1–08; CR 09–102; am. Table 1 Register December 2010 No. 660, eff. 1–1–11.

NR 140.12 Public welfare related groundwater standards. The groundwater quality standards for substances of public welfare concern are listed in Table 2.

Note: For each substance of public welfare concern, the preventive action limit is 50% of the established enforcement standard.

Table 2
Public Welfare Groundwater Quality Standards

Substance	Enforcement Standard (milligrams per liter – except as noted)	Preventive Action Limit (milligrams per lifer – except as noted)
Chloride	250	125
Color	15 color units	7.5 color units
Foaming agents MBAS (Methylene-Blue Active Substances)	0.5	0.25
Iron	0.3	0.15
Manganese	0.05	0.025
Odor	3	1.5
	(Threshold Odor No.)	(Threshold Odor No.)
Sulfate	250	125
Zinc	5	2.5

History: Cr. Register, September, 1985, No. 357, eff. 10-1-85; am. table 2, Register, October, 1990, No. 418, eff. 11-1-90; am. Table 2, Register, March, 1994, No. 459, eff. 4-1-94.

- NR 140.14 Statistical procedures. (1) If a preventive action limit or an enforcement standard for a substance listed in Table 1 or 2, an alternative concentration limit issued in accordance with s. NR 140.28 or a preventive action limit for an indicator parameter established according to s. NR 140.20 (2) is attained or exceeded at a point of standards application:
- (a) The owner or operator of the facility, practice or activity at which a standard is attained or exceeded shall notify the appropriate regulatory agency that a standard has been attained or exceeded; and
- (b) The regulatory agency shall require a response in accordance with the rules promulgated under s. 160.21, Stats. No response shall be required if it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the appropriate regulatory agency that a scientifically valid determination cannot be made that the preventive action limit or enforcement standard for a substance in Table 1 or 2 has been attained or exceeded based on consideration of sampling procedures or laboratory precision and accuracy, at a significance level of 0.05.
- (2) The regulatory agency shall use one or more valid statistical procedures to determine if a change in the concentration of a substance has occurred. A significance level of 0.05 shall be used for all tests.

- (3) In addition to sub. (2), the following applies when a preventive action limit or enforcement standard is equal to or less than the limit of quantitation:
- (a) If a substance is not detected in a sample, the regulatory agency may not consider the preventive action limit or enforcement standard to have been attained or exceeded.
- (b) If the preventive action limit or enforcement standard is less than the limit of detection, and the concentration of a substance is reported between the limit of detection and the limit of quantitation, the regulatory agency shall consider the preventive action limit or enforcement standard to be attained or exceeded only if:
- 1. The substance has been analytically confirmed to be present in the same sample using an equivalently sensitive analytical method or the same analytical method, and
- The substance has been statistically confirmed to be present above the preventive action limit or enforcement standard, determined by an appropriate statistical test with sufficient samples at a significance level of 0.05.
- (c) If the preventive action limit or enforcement standard is between the limit of detection and the limit of quantitation, the regulatory agency shall consider the preventive action limit or

² Total chlorinated atrazine residues includes parent compound and the following metabolites of health concern: 2-chloro-4-amino-6-isopropylamino-s-triazine (formerly deethylatrazine), 2-chloro-4-amino-6-ethylamino-s-triazine (formerly deisopropylatrazine) and 2-chloro-4.6-diamino-s-triazine (formerly diamino-trazine).

³ Total coliform bacteria may not be present in any 100 ml sample using either the membrane filter (MF) technique, the presence-absence (P-A) coliform test, the minimal medium ONPG-MUG (MMO-MUG) test or not present in any 10 ml portion of the 10-tube multiple tube fermentation (MTF) technique.

⁴"Cyanide, free" refers to the simple cyanides (HCN, CN⁻) and /or readily dissociable metal-cyanide complexes. Free cyanide is regulatorily equivalent to cyanide quantified by approved analytical methods for "amenable cyanide" or "available cyanide".

⁵ Dinitrotoluene, Total Residues includes the dinitrotoluene (DNT) isomers: 2,3-DNT, 2,4-DNT, 2,5-DNT, 2,6-DNT, 3,4-DNT and 3,5-DNT.

⁶ Xylene includes meta-, ortho-, and para-xylene combined.

APPENDIX E/PROJECT DOCUMENTS

4.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Notification of Petroleum Contamination from Underground / Aboveground Storage Tank Systems

Ple rele	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
OT): WONR, AND: JANIS O	Brack
	49 (920) 49	2 - 5 3 5 7
ELA	RASE TYPE of PRINT LEXHBLY:	
1,	Name, company, mailing address and p	dione munder of person repurbing the discharge;
	PLUID MANAGEMENT	INC.
	850 AWY 153 50 /2	E M
	MOSINEE WI 545 (715) 693-1750	by cif
2.	Site Information	
	Name of site at which discharge residence):	occurred (local name of site/business — not responsible party name, unless a
	MANY TOO COM WHAT CALL BY CHECK ONE AND AND	t PO box; if so street address, describe as precisely as possible, i.e., 1/4 mile NW of 60): MENN 57
	Municipality (city, village, townsh Aows 802	ip in which the site is located — not mailing address):
	County: SHAWANG	
	Legal Description: NE 114,	5 W 1/4, Section 36, To 28, Range 12 (D) W
% .	Responsible Party (RP) and/or RP Repre	Section to Information O Z SALES AND SERVICE for food R. SEFF THOMA ALL TO 1854
	RP / Business Name: A	C Z SALES AND SERVICE FOR SOLD SINCE
	Contact Person (if different):	2. SEFF THOMA
	Mailing Address (with zip code):	ase to
		f first salt
	resphone unimper:	Horn oil WI .54929 Fuel oil Warre oil
	(715) 793-	13/4 mile
1. .		hazardous substance discharged (check all that apply):
	Unleaded gasoline	Florida in the Control of the Contro
	Leaded gasoline	— Fuel oil Waste oil
	Diesel	Other

5.	Impusts to the environment fenter "E" for known/confirmed or "E	Fr for potential for all that apply):
6.	Contanioning was discovered as a result of:	
	Tank closure assessment 5ite assessment	(opter)
	On what date: 5/21/98	•
Addition	ed Chements	
	NOTIFICATION IS BEING PROC	LESSED NOW THAT
	LAG RESULTS HAVE BEEN O	
	GRO = 5,560 ppm	

PLEASE FORWARD A CODY OF THE "RO" LETTER TO GERALD JOHNSON AT THE ADDRESS PROVIDED ONCE A WOORR 10 = 1445 BEEN ASSIGNED

FAX numbers to repeat leaking tank sites in DNR's five regions are as follows:

Northeast Region (920-492-5859)

Underground Tunks: Attention - Janis DeBrock

Aboveground Tanks: Attention - Rozanne Chronert

Brown, Calumet, Door, Fond du Lac (except City of Waupun - see South Central Region), Green Lake, Kewaunee, Manitowoo, Marinette, Marquette, Menominee, Oconto, Outagamie, Shawano, Waupaca, Waushara, Winnebago Counties

Northern Region (715-365-5932); Attention - Janet Kazda:

Ashland, Barron, Bayfield, Burnett, Douglas, Forest, Florence, Iron, Langlade, Lincoln, Oneida, Polk, Price, Rusk, Sawyer, Taylor, Vilas, Washburn Counties

South Central Region (608-275-3338); Attention - Marilyn Jahnke:

Columbia, Crawford, Dane, Dodge, Fond du Lac (City of Waupun only), Grant, Green, Iowa, Jefferson, Lafayette, Richland, Rock, Sauk Counties

Southeast Region (414-229-0810); Attention - Mike Farley:

Kenosha, Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Racine, Sheboygan, Walworth, Washington, Wankesha Counties

West Central Region (715-839-6076); Attention - John Grump:

Adams, Buffalo, Chippewa, Clark, Dunn, Eau Claire, Jackson, Juneau, LaCrosse, Marathon, Mouroe, Pepin, Pierce, Portage, St. Croix, Trempealeau, Vernon, Wood Counties



ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING, INC.

libiation Division STIZ COMMINENCE UNVO P.O. Box 2019 Made IVI , its dieday

Tei: (830) 261-1660 Fee: (920) (281-0120 WDNR No. 125053530

ANALYTICAL AND QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Mr. John Zajakowski fluid manacément, inc. 850 Hwy 153, Suite F Mosines, WI 54455

06/08/1998

Job No: 98.04371

Enclosed are the Analytical and Quality Control reports for the following samples submitted for analysis:

Sample Number

Sample Description

Date Taken

Date Received

298120

GP-1 98.0230/010

05/21/1998

05/22/1998

CASE NARRATIVE

The result for GRO analysis for NET sample 298120 is flagged as having been improperly handled. According to Section 4.2 of the LUST QA Guidance. "If the amount of soil added to the vial exceeds...35 g for a 60 mL vial then the samples cannot be analyzed by the laboratory. Flagging data for soil sample weights above these maximums will not be acceptable. Results from soil samples exceeding the weight maximums will be rejected." This sample contained approximately 37 g of soil, and is being reported at the client's request.

All soil samples are reported on a dry weight basis. UNR Guidence for soil suggests uncertainty for volatiles results between 25 and 60 ug/kg. The above sample(s) may have a result flag shown on the report. The following are the

- A = Analyzed/extracted past hold time
- c = Standard outside of control limits G = Received past hold time
- I = Improperly handled sample L = Common lab solvent and contaminant
- P = Improperly preserved sample T = Does not match typical pattern
- 2 = Internal standard outside limits
- B = Blank is contaminated
- D Diluted for analysis
- H = Late eluting hydrocarbons present
- J = Estimated concentration
- M = Matrix interference
- Q = Result confirmed via re-analysis
- X = Unidentified compound(a) present

Project Manager

QA Coordinator



NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING, INC.

Waterieum Division 002 Commerce Drive P.O. Box 205 Welmiown, Wi 52094

Tel: (980) 201-1860 Fax: (920) 261-6180 WOMINC. 128953530

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Mr. John Zajakowski PLUID MANAGEMENT, INC. 850 Ewy 153, Suite P Mosines, WI 54455

06/08/1998

Job No: 98.04371 Sample No: 298120 Account No: 28501


Page 2

JOB DESCRIPTION: 98.0230/010 PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Soil Analysis
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: GP-1 96.0230/010
Rec'd on Ice

Date Taken: 05/21/1998 09:00

Date Received: 05/22/1998

					. 1 mm - 1 mm - 2 mm - 2 mm	
Parameter	Reeult	s Drits	Reportin Limit	g Method	Date Analyzed	Prep/Run Batch
Solida, Total PVOC - NONAQUEOUS Benzens	82.7	*	n/a	SW SD30	06/03/1998	2220
Ethylbanzene Mathyl-t-butyl ather Toluene 1.2.4-Trimethylbanzene 1.3.5-Trimethylbanzene Tylenes, Total TPH - GRO Surr: Bromofluorobenzene	71,300 139,000 <6000 365,000 260,000 79,800 566,000 6,560 93.5	ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 75 5.0	SW 8020 SW 8020 SW 8020 SW 8020 SW 8020 SW 8020 WICHR SW 8020	05/05/1996 06/05/1998 06/05/1998 06/05/1998 06/05/1998 06/05/1998 06/05/1998	1935 1935 1935 1935 1935 1935 1935







State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Tommy G. Thompson, Governor George E. Meyer, Secretary William R. Selbig, Regional Director Northeast Region Remediation & Redevelopment PO Box 10448, 1125 N. Military Avenue Green Bay, WI 54307-0448 TELEPHONE 920-492-5916 TELEFAX 920-492-5859

July 2, 1998

Mr. Jeff Thoma 69 Brix Street Clintonville, WI 54929

SUBJECT:

Petroleum Contamination from Underground Storage Tank System

A Two Z Sales & Service, 100 W. Main Street, Bowler

WDNR LUST ID #03-59-190963

Dear Mr. Thoma:

On June 8, 1998, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) received notification from Gerald Johnson of Fluid Management that petroleum contamination was discovered on May 21, 1998, while performing a site assessment at the above-referenced location.

Based on the information received by the DNR, we believe that you are responsible for restoring the environment at this site under Section 292.11, Wisconsin Statutes (hazardous substances spills law). This responsibility includes first investigating the extent of the contamination, then selecting and implementing the most appropriate remedial action. Enclosed is information to help you understand what you need to do to ensure your compliance with the spills law.

The purpose of this letter is threefold: (1) to describe your legal responsibilities; (2) to explain what you need to do to investigate and clean up the contamination; and (3) to provide you with information about cleanups, environmental consultants, and working cooperatively with the DNR.

Legal Responsibilities

Your legal responsibilities are defined both in statute and administrative code. The hazardous substances spill law, Section 292.11(3) Wisconsin Statutes, states:

RESPONSIBILITY. A person who possesses or controls a hazardous substance which is discharged or who causes the discharge of a hazardous substance shall take the actions necessary to restore the environment to the extent practicable and minimize the harmful effects from the discharge to the air, lands, or waters of the state.

Wisconsin Administrative Codes NR 700 through NR 728 establish requirements for emergency and interim actions, public information, site investigations, design and operation of remedial action systems, and case closure. Chapter NR 708 includes provisions for immediate actions in response to limited contamination. Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 140 establishes groundwater standards for contaminants that reach groundwater.



Unless otherwise requested, <u>please send only one duplexed copy of all plans and reports</u>. Correspondence and reports should be identified with the assigned WDNR ID number and name of the site, which can be found on the first page of this letter.

Information for Site Owners

Enclosed is a list of environmental consultants and some important tips on selecting a consultant. If you are eligible for reimbursement of costs under Wisconsin's PECFA program (see last paragraph), you will need to compare at least three consultants' proposals before hiring a consultant. Consultants and laboratories working in the PECFA program are required to carry errors and omissions insurance to help protect you against unsuitable work. Also enclosed are materials on controlling costs, understanding the cleanup process, and choosing a site cleanup method. This information has been prepared to help you understand your responsibilities and what your environmental consultant needs to do. Please read this information carefully.

Financial Information

Reimbursement from the Petroleum Environmental Cleanup Fund (PECFA) is available for the costs of cleaning up contamination from eligible petroleum storage tanks. The fund is administered by the Wisconsin Department of Commerce (DCOM). Please contact DCOM at (608) 266-2424 for more information on eligibility and regulations for this program.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Janis DeBrock, Program Assistant

anii De Brock

(920-492-5878)

Enc:

Selecting An Environmental Consultant; Consultant List

Controlling UST Cleanup Costs Factsheets

Quarterly Updates for Cleanup of Contaminated Properties

Cleanup Process for Emergency & Remedial Response Program

Cleanup Methods for Petroleum Contaminated Soil & Groundwater

cc: Gerald Johnson, Fluid Management Inc, 850 Hwy 153, Suite F, Mosinee, WI 54544

State of Wisconsin
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Northeast Region Headquarters
2984 Shawano Avenue
Green Bay WI 54313-6727

Scott Walker, Governor Cathy Stepp, Secretary Telephone 608-266-2621 Toll Free 1-888-936-7463 FAX 920-662-5197 TTY Access via relay - 711



April 5, 2016

Village of Bowler Mr. Otto Maves, Trustee 303 E Main St Bowler WI 54416

Subject:

Reported Contamination at A TO Z Sales & Service - LGU, 100 W Main St, Bowler, WI

BRRTS Activity # **03-59-190963**

Dear Mr. Maves:

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) has received information from the Shawano County Register of Deeds office that the Village of Bowler is the current owner of the property described above. On June 8, 1998 the WDNR was originally notified of the petroleum contamination at this property through soil sample analysis.

Based on the information that has been submitted to the WDNR regarding this site, we believe you are responsible for investigating and restoring the environment at the above-described site under Section 292.11, Wisconsin Statutes, known as the hazardous substances spills law.

This letter describes the legal responsibilities of a person who is responsible under Section 292.11, Wis. Stats., explains what you need to do to investigate and clean up the contamination, and provides you with information about cleanups, environmental consultants, possible financial assistance, and working cooperatively with the WDNR or the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP).

Legal Responsibilities:

Your legal responsibilities are defined both in statute and in administrative codes. The hazardous substances spill law, Section 292.11 (3) Wisconsin Statutes, states:

• RESPONSIBILITY. A person who possesses or controls a hazardous substance which is discharged or who causes the discharge of a hazardous substance shall take the actions necessary to restore the environment to the extent practicable and minimize the harmful effects from the discharge to the air, lands, or waters of the state.

Wisconsin Administrative Code chapters NR 700 through NR 754 establish requirements for emergency and interim actions, public information, site investigations, design and operation of remedial action systems, and case closure. Wisconsin Administrative Code chapter NR 140 establishes groundwater standards for contaminants that reach groundwater.

The method of acquisition for the village was through tax delinquency with a Land Conveyance Agreement between Shawano County and the Village of Bowler, dated December 9, 2008, which gives the village the LGU Liability Exemption from Wisconsin's Spill Law. However, on December 21, 2015, a meeting was held between the Village of Bowler and representatives of the WDNR. As a result of the meeting, the village indicated they will move forward with clean up on this property utilizing the Petroleum Cleanup Fund Award (PECFA) that is set to expire June 30, 2020.



Steps to Take:

The longer contamination is left in the environment, the farther it can spread and the more it may cost to clean up. Quick action may lessen damage to your property and neighboring properties and reduce your costs in investigating and cleaning up the contamination. To ensure that your cleanup complies with Wisconsin's laws and administrative codes, you should hire a professional environmental consultant who understands what needs to be done. The following information provides the timeframes and required steps to take. Unless otherwise approved by WDNR in writing you must complete the work by the timeframes specified.

It is the understanding of the WDNR that the Village of Bowler has hired METCO, Mr. Ron Anderson, 709 Gillette St, STE 3, La Crosse Wisconsin as their consultant on this project.

- 1. Within **60 days**, by June 3, 2016, you must submit a work plan for completing the investigation. The work plan must comply with the requirements in the NR 700 Wis. Adm. Code rule series and should adhere to current WDNR technical guidance documents.
- You must initiate the site investigation within 90 days of submitting the site investigation work plan. If a fee for WDNR review has been submitted, the site investigation must begin within 60 days after receiving WDNR comments.
- 3. Within 60 days after completion of the field investigation and receipt of the laboratory data, you must submit a Site Investigation Report to the WDNR or other agency with administrative authority. For sites with agrichemical contamination, your case will be transferred to the DATCP for oversight.
- 4. Within 60 days after submitting the Site Investigation Report, you must submit a remedial actions options report (RAOR). The RAOR shall include an evaluation of Green and Sustainable Remediation opportunities as required by s. NR 722.09 (2m), Wis. Adm. Code.

Sites where discharges to the environment have been reported are entered into the Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment Tracking System (BRRTS), a version of which appears on the WDNR's internet site. You may view the information related to your site at any time (http://dnr.wi.gov/botw/SetUpBasicSearchForm.do) and use the feedback system to alert us to any errors in the data.

If you want a formal written response from the department on a specific submittal, please be aware that a review fee is required in accordance with ch. NR 749, Wis. Adm. Code. If a fee is not submitted with your reports, you must complete the site investigation and cleanup to maintain your compliance with the spills law and chapters NR 700 through NR 754. The timeframes specified above are required by rule, so do not delay the investigation of your site. We have provided detailed technical guidance to environmental consultants. Your consultant is expected to know our technical procedures and administrative rules and should be able to answer your questions on meeting cleanup requirements.

All correspondence regarding this site should be sent to:

Alex Edler
Remediation and Redevelopment Program
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
2984 Shawano Avenue
Green Bay WI 54313-6727
alex.edler@wisconsin.gov

Unless otherwise directed, submit one paper copy and one electronic copy of plans and reports. To speed processing, correspondence should reference the BRRTS and FID numbers (if assigned) shown at the top of this letter.

Site Investigation and Vapor Pathway Analysis

As you develop the site investigation workplan, we want to remind you to include an assessment of the vapor intrusion pathway. Chapter NR 716, Wisconsin Administrative Code outlines the requirements for investigation of contamination in the environment. Specifically, s. NR 716.11(3)(a) requires that the field investigation determine the "nature, degree and extent, both areal and vertical, of the hazardous substances or environmental pollution in all affected media". In addition, section NR 716.11(5)(g) and (h) contains the specific requirements for evaluating the presence of vapors in the subsurface as well as in indoor air.

You will need to include documentation with the Site Investigation Report that explains how the assessment was done. If the vapor pathway is being ruled out, then the report needs to provide the appropriate justification for reaching this conclusion. If the pathway cannot be ruled out, then investigation and, if appropriate, remedial action must be taken to address the risk presented prior to submitting the site for closure. The WDNR has developed guidance to help responsible parties and their consultants comply with the requirements described above. The guidance includes a detailed explanation of how to assess the vapor intrusion pathway and provides criteria which identify when an investigation is necessary. The guidance is available at: http://dnr.wi.gov/files/pdf/pubs/rr/RR800.pdf.

Additional Information for Site Owners:

We encourage you to visit our website at http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Brownfields/, where you can find information on selecting a consultant, financial assistance and understanding the cleanup process. You will also find information there about liability clarification letters, post-cleanup liability and more.

Information to help you select a consultant, materials on controlling costs, understanding the cleanup process, and choosing a site cleanup method are enclosed. In addition, *Fact Sheet 2 – Voluntary Party Remediation and Exemption from Liability* is enclosed and provides information on obtaining protection of limited liability under s. 292.15, Wis. Stats.

If you have questions, call Alex Edler and 920-662-5149 for more information or visit the RR web site at the address above.

Thank you for your cooperation.

,Siηcerely,

Denise D. Danelski

Environmental Program Associate/Outreach Remediation & Redevelopment Program

Enclosures:

- 1. Remediation & Redevelopment Program
- 2. CLEAN (Contaminated Lands Environmental Action Network)
- 3. Environmental Contamination The Basics
- 4. Selecting an Environmental Consultant
- Environmental Services Contractor List
- 6. Fact Sheet 2, VPLE

cc: Ron Anderson, METCO, 709 Gillette St, STE 3, La Crosse WI 54602

Alex Edler - NER



R + R - OSH RECEIVED

44, 30 2002

Phase 2.5 Environmental Sampling Investigation



A-Z Sales and Service 100 West Main Street Bowler, Shawano County, Wisconsin

DNR BRRTS No. 03-59-190963

Prepared for: Wisconsin Department of Transportation - Bureau of Environment WisDOT Project I.D. 9308-04-00

Prepared by: Earth Tech, Inc. 200 Indiana Avenue Stevens Point, WI 54481

July 2002

Earth Tech Project No. 52065


TABLE 2-1 SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS A-Z SALES AND SERVICES 100 WEST MAIN STREET BOWLER, SHAWANO COUNTY, WISCONSIN WISDOT PROJECT I.D. No. 9308-04-00

72-1-10-2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1					
	Boring No.:			B-2	B-3
	Sample Depti	h Interval (feet):	2.0 to 3.0	2.0 to 3.0	2.0 to 3.0
		Date Collected:	04/29/02	04/29/02	04/29/02
		PID (i.u.):	0.0	0.0	0.0
	NR 720	COMM 46			
	RCL	SSL			
Analyte					
GRO (mg/kg)	100	N/A	<5.18	<5.77	<5.29
DRO (mg/kg) 100 N/A			125.0	28.4	<5.29
PVOCs (mg/kg)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Benzene	0.0055	8.5	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025
Ethylbenzene	2.9	4.6	< 0.025	<0.025	0.0299
Methyl-tert-butyl ether	N/A	N/A	< 0.025	< 0.025	<0.025
Toluene	1.5	38	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	N/A	83	<0.025	<0.025	0.106
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	N/A	11	< 0.025	<0.025	0.0355
Xylene (total)	4.1	42	<0.050	< 0.050	< 0.171
Lead (mg/kg)	50	N/A	3.37	4.21	6.89

Notes:

- 1. "RCL" means applicable "Residual Contaminant Level" for non-industrial sites as listed in Tables 1 and 2 of NR 720.
- 2. "SSL" means applicable "Soil Screening Level" as listed in Table 1 of COMM 46.
- 3. Bold type indicates an analyte which exceeds NR 720 RCL.

 $L: \WORK \Projects \S2065 \wp\reports \a-z\ sales \Iable 2-1_mwm.xls\]A$



Attachment A.1.3: Groundwater Analytical Table Former Mary's Place 101 West Main Street Bowler, WI

		Date>	7/30/14	7/30/14	7/30/14	7/30/14	7/30/14	7/30/14
The state of the s		Sample>	MWIR	MW2R	MW3R	MW4R	MW5	PZI
		Sampler>	REI	REI	REI	REI	REI	REI
VOC's (µg/L)	ES	PAL					\$ 15 	
Benzene	5	0.5	<0.4	5,650	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Ethylbenzene	700	140	<0.39	3,500	1.1	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39
Toluene	800	160	<0.39	27,200	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39
Xylenes (Total)	2,000	400	<0.8	16,830	3.8	8:0>	<0.8	<0.8
Trimethylbenzenes (Total)	480	96	<0.42	3,720	37.1	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether (MTBE)	09	12	<0.48	<121	<0.48	<0.48	<0.48	<0.48
Naphthalene	100	10	<0.42	957	7.5	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42
Field Parameters			di e	T N	V			
Conductivity		1	710	2,528	774	1,196	442	1.031
ORP	•)	7.6	-238.7	-94.5	26.7	-117.6	3.9
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		*	2.43	2.16	0.92	1.31	0.73	1.47
Нď	.1		7.22	6.95	7.04	6.54	7.87	7.76
Temperature		1	59.32	56.59	57.81	57.95	55.64	55.88

Notes:

PAL = Preventative Action Limit

ES = Enforecement Standards

	Bold		Italic
m.		-	* ** **

NA - Not Analyzed

<- Concentration less than listed detection limit

Attachment A.6.2 Water Level Elevations Former Mary's Place 101 West Main Street Bowler, WI


	MW1R	MW/B	MW73D	MIXIAD	NATA / E	100
		XX7 11 X17	٦,	T+ AA TAT	CWIN	171
Reference Elevation* (TOC)	98.32	98.51	04.86	98.24	93.16	97 99
			١		0.1.0.	11:11
Ground Elevation	. 98.93	98.97	80.66	98.51	93 43	98.41
					0	7
Top of Well Screen Elevation	NA	NA	NA	ΑN	NA	ΝĀ
				2 2	112.5	7 7 7
Length of Well Screen	NA	NA	NA	Ϋ́	NA	ΑN
** *** C					A 44.E	X 7 1 7
Depth of Well	NA	NA	ΝĄ	Ϋ́Z	Z	ΝA
					7717	7 7 7


Depth to Groundwater (feet)

(1.00mm)	
	9.94
	4.78
	9.21
	9.82
	10.60
	8.94
	4.
ate	70/707

Water Level Elevation (feet MSL)


TOTAL 1001) HOMEN AND TO LOW YOUR !!	ì						
	,						
17,00,001							
4 (17/1/C//	XC 7X	27.01	00000	00 00	000		
	07:70	17:70	00:00	07.00	X X X	C XX	





MAIN STREET

♦ MW-2R



Y SPERMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF

GRAVEL DRIVE

2015 - 4:4.7PM PLOTTED BY: NATHANP

PLOTTED: JUL 08,

FILE: P:\6600-6699\6684AXUC - MARY'S PLACE\DWG\6684-6W-073014.DWG LAYOUT: GW

DRAWING

ASPHALT

VILLAGE HALL & FIRE DEPT. ₩V-5

GRASS

GARAGE

MAPPING BASED OFF AECOM MAF DATED 12/01/09

REI Engineering, INC.

FORMER MARY'S PLACE 101 WEST MAIN STREET BOWLER, WISCONSIN

FIGURE B.3.c.2.:	GROUNDWAT	ER FLOW DI	RECTION	(07/30/14)
PROJECT NO.	.,	DRAWN BY:		DATE:
	6684AxUC	NAP	0	7/06/15

APPENDIX F/HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

Safety Plan Information

Company Name:	METCO	
Contact Information:	Jason Powell 709 Gillette Street, Suite 3 La Crosse, WI 54603 (608) 781-8879	
	Site Information	
METCO Project #:	C2567	
Site Name: Site address:	A to Z Sales & Service 100 W Main Street Bowler, WI 54416	
County:	Shawano	
WDNR Contact:	Alex Edler 2984 Shawano Avenue Green Bay, WI 54313 (920) 662-5149	
WDNR BRRTS Case #:	03-59-190963	
<u>Pu</u>	rpose of Activity (Check all that apply)	
Petroleum Release Investigation	on X	
Ag Chemical Release Investiga	ation	
Install Soil Borings/Monitoring \	Vells X	
Tank/Piping Removal		
Tank/Piping Closure Assessme	nt	
Phase 1/Phase 2 Environmenta	al Site Assessment	
Install Remedial System		

Other

Tank Information

Tank Size (Gallons)	Contents	Age
1,000	Leaded Gasoline	Removed (1988)
1,000	Leaded Gasoline	Removed (1988)

Potential Health and Safety Hazards (Check all that apply)

Handling/Transfer of Product (Fire, Explosions)	
General Construction (Electrical Hazards, Physical Injury)	X
Confined Space Entry (Explosions)	
Heavy Equipment	X
Noise	X
Underground and Overhead Utilities	X
Site Traffic	X
Oxygen Depletion	
Excavation (Cave Ins, Falls, Slips)	
Poisonous Plants	
Snakes, Insects, Rodents	
Heat, Cold	Х
Other	

Evaluation of Chemical Hazards

Name	Physical State	Route of Entry	TWA/STEL	Symptoms of Exposure
Gasoline	Vapor/Liquid	Inhalation/Skin	300/500 ppm	Irritation, Nausea, Vomiting, Dizziness, Unconsciousness

On-Site Personnel Responsibilities

	Team Member	Responsibility
1.	Ron Anderson	Senior Project Manager
2.	Jason Powell	Site Project Manager
3.	Eric Dahl	Hydrogeologist
4.	Jon Jensen	Staff Scientist
5.	Matt Michalski	Hydrogeologist
6.	Bryce Kujawa	Hydrogeologist

Method to Control Potential Heath and Safety Hazards

Monitoring Instruments	
Photoionization Detector (PID) X	
Flame Ionization Detector (FID)	
Combustible Gas Indicator	
Four Gas Meter	
Detector Tubes	
Action Levels 0-10% LEL (No Explosion Hazard) Oxygen Deficient (Less Than 21%) Oxygen Deficient (Less Than 19%)	Action None Notify Health & Safety Officer Evacuate
<u>Pe</u>	ersonal Protective Equipment
Minimum Requirements: 1. Hardhat 2. Safety Glasses/Goggles 3. Steel Toe Shoes or Boots 4. Flame Retardant Coveralls 5. Hearing Protection (Muffs or E	Ear Plugs)
Is additional PPE required? No	
Additional Requirements	
Uncoated Tyvek Coveralls Saranex Tyvek Coveralls Rubber Boots Overboots Surgical Inner Gloves Butyl Neoprine/Nitrile Outer Gloves Full Face Respirators	
Type of Cartridge:	
SCBA/SAR	
Other	
Level of Protection Designated: D	

Site Control

Work Zones

Support Zone: Beyond a 25 foot radius of drilling or excavation and upwind of operation. Contamination Reduction Zone: Between 15 and 25 foot radius of drilling or excavation.

Exclusion Zone: Within 15 foot radius of of drilling or excavation.

Site Entry Procedure: Obtain all approval and instructions from project manager.

Decontamination Procedures:

Personnel: Remove protective equipment and wash hands prior to eating. Equipment: Wash with brush and Alconox soap, rinse with fresh tap water.

Investigation Derived Material Disposal:

Stockpiling: The soils will be placed on and covered with plastic. The client will determine the stockpile location, but will have to be approved by the project manager. Soils will be disposed of by the most efficient and cost effective approved method.

DOT drums: Label drums as to content and date filled. Routinely inspect drums for leakage or spills. Place together in area where movement is at minimum.

Work Limitations: Daylight hours. No eating, drinking, or smoking in the exclusion zone or contamination reduction zone.

Employ	vee	Lim	itat	ions:

Site Resources:

Shower
Water Supply X

Contingency Planning

Emergency Contacts	Phone Number
Ambulance: Bowler	911
Hospital Emergency Room: Shawano Medical Center	. (715) 526-2111
Poison Control Center: Milwaukee	(800) 222-1222
Police: Bowler	911 ´
Fire Department: Bowler	911
Hazardous Waste Response Center: Wisconsin	(800) 943-0003
EPA	(800) 424-8802

Location Address: 100 W Main Street, Bowler, WI 54416

Hospital:

Shawano Medical Center 309 N Bartlette Street Shawano, WI 54166 (715) 526-2111

Emergency Route:

1.	Head east on W Main St toward Almon St	59 ft
2.	Turn right at the 1st cross street onto County Rd D/Almon St Continue to follow County Rd D	6.1 mi
3.	Turn left onto WI-29 E/WI-29 Trunk E	12.4 mi
4.	Turn left onto WI-29 BUS E	2.6 mi
5.	Turn left onto N Bartlett St - Destination will be on the left	0.2 mi

Emergency Procedures:

If an emergency develops at the site, the discoverer will take the following course of action:

Notify the proper emergency service (fire, police, etc.) for assistance.

Notify other personnel on the site. Notify project manager.

Contact METCO and the client representative to inform them of the incident as soon as possible.

Prepare a summary report of the incident for METCO and the client representative.

On-Site Organization		Phone Numbers	
METCO Project Manager: Jason Powell	work cell	(608) 781-8879 (608) 385-1467	
METCO Safety Officer: Brian Hora	work cell	(800) 236-0448 (608) 604-2933	
METCO Corporate Contact: Paul Knower	work cell	(800) 236-0448 (608) 604-2931	
Client Contact: Kerry Breitick		(715) 793-4910	


Daily Safety Plan Check

- 1. Hard Hat
- 2. Visible Fire Extinguisher
- 3. Safety Glasses
- 4. Hearing Protection
- 5. No Smoking On Site
- 6. Safety Data Sheet
- 7. Route to Hospital
- 8. Barricades (Cones, Flags, Fences, Vehicle)
- 9. Emergency Phone Numbers
- 10. Know Where the Site Safety Plan Is

US Hospital Finder (/)™: **Directions**

From: 100 W Main Street, Bowler, WI

To: Shawano Medical Center 309 North Bartlette Street Shawano, WI 54166



Warrens Walk-In Clinic

Open 7 days/week. Medical care with no appointment needed. Just off I-94 at Warrens. Go to tomahhospital.org



Find Who Owns This Number

Enter Any Phone Number Now. Get Full Owner Info. Try Freel Go to spokeo com/Cell-Phone-Lookup




100 W Main St, Bowler, WI 54416, USA

US Hospital Finder (/)™: Directions

From: 100 W Main Street, Bowler, WI

To: Shawano Medical Center 309 North Bartlette Street Shawano, WI 54166



Warrens Walk-In Clinic

Open 7 days/week. Medical care with no appointment needed. Just off I-94 at Warrens. Go to tomahhospital.org



Find Who Owns This Number

Enter Any Phone Number Now. Get Full Owner Info. Try Freel Go to spoked com/Cell-Phone-Lookup




100 W Main St, Bowler, WI 54416, USA

US Hospital Finder (/)™: **Directions**

From: 100 W Main Street, Bowler, WI

To: Shawano Medical Center 309 North Bartlette Street Shawano, WI 54166



Warrens Walk-In Clinic

Open 7 days/week. Medical care with no appointment needed. Just off I-94 at Warrens. Go to tomahhospítal.org



Find Who Owns This Number

Enter Any Phone Number Now. Get Full Owner Info.
Try Free! Go to spokeo com/Cell-Phone-Lookup



100 W Main St, Bowler, WI 54416, USA

APPENDIX G/QUALIFICATIONS

Ronald J. Anderson, P.G.

Professional Titles

- Senior Hydrogeologist
- Project Manager

Credentials

- · Licensed Professional Geologist in Wisconsin
- · Licensed Professional Geologist in Minnesota
- Recognized by the State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (Chapter NR712) as a qualified Hydrogeologist
- Certified by State of Wisconsin to conduct PECFA-funded LUST projects
- · Certified tank closure site assessor (#41861) in Wisconsin
- · Member of the Wisconsin Groundwater Association
- · Member of the Minnesota Groundwater Association
- · Member of the Federation of Environmental Technologist, Inc.

Education

Includes a BA in Earth Science from the University of Minnesota-Duluth. Applicable courses successfully completed include Hydrogeology, Applied Hydrogeology, Environmental Geology, Geological Field Methods, Geology Field Camp, Geomorphology, Structural Geology, Stratigraphy/Tectonics, Mineralogy/Petrology, Glacial/Quaternary Geology, Geology of North America, Oceanography, General Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, and Environmental Conservation

Post-Graduate Education

Includes Personnel Protection and Safety, Conducting Comprehensive Environmental Property Assessments, Groundwater Flow and Well Hydraulics, Effective Techniques for Contaminated Groundwater Treatment, and numerous other continuing education classes and conferences.

Work Experience

Includes nine months with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program regulating LUST sites and since June 1990, with METCO as a Hydrogeologist and Project Manager. Duties have included: managing, conducting, and reporting tank closure assessments; property assessment, LUST investigations; spill investigations; agricultural chemical investigations, dry cleaning chemical investigations, general geotechnical/environmental investigations; Geoprobe projects (soil, groundwater, soil gas sampling); drilling projects (soil boring and monitoring wells); and remedial projects. Since 1989, METCO has sampled/consulted over 1,465 environmental sites.

Jason T. Powell

Professional Title

Staff Scientist

Credentials

 Recognized by the State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (Chapter NR712) as a qualified Scientist.

Education

Includes a BS in Groundwater Management from the University of Wisconsin- Stevens Point. Applicable courses successfully completed include Hydrogeology, Applied Hydrogeology, Environmental Geology, Hydrogeology-Groundwater Flow Modeling, Groundwater Management, Structural Geology, Mineralogy, Glacial Geology, Soils, Soil Physics, Hydrology, Geochemistry, Water Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, General Chemistry, Environmental Issues.

Post-Graduate Education

40-hour OSHA Hazardous Materials Safety Training course with 8-hour refresher course.

Work Experience

With METCO since May 1992 as a Geoprobe Assistant and Geoprobe Operator. In June 1995 to July 1996 as a Environmental Technician. In July 1996 as a Staff Scientist. Duties have included: LUST investigations; general geotechnical/environmental investigations; Geoprobe projects (soil, groundwater sampling); drilling projects (soil boring and monitoring wells); remedial projects (sampling, pilot tests, system operation/maintenance) and project management.

Eric J. Dahl

Professional Title

Hydrogeologist

Credentials

- Recognized by the State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (Chapter NR712) as a qualified Hydrogeologist.
- Registered through the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services as a PECFA consultant (#823519).

Education

Includes B.S. in Geology from the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire. Applicable courses successfully completed include Environmental Geology, Physical Hydrogeology, Chemical Hydrogeology, Computer Modeling in Hydrogeology, Aqueous Geochemistry, Field Geology I and II, Mineralogy and Petrology I and II, Sedimentology and Stratigraphy, Petroleum and Economic Geology, Earth Resources, Earth History, and Structural Geology.

Post-Graduate Education

40-hour OSHA Hazardous Materials Safety Training course with 8-hour refresher course.

Work Experience

With METCO since November 1999 as a Hydrogeologist. Duties have included: Site Investigations, Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, Case Closure Requests/GIS Registry, Geoprobe projects (oversight, direction, and sampling), drilling projects/monitoring well installation (oversight, direction, and sampling), soil excavation projects (oversight, direction, and sampling), Geoprobe operation, and operation and maintenance of remedial systems.

Thomas P. Pignet, P.E.

Professional Titles

- Chemical Engineer
- · Industrial Engineer

Credentials

Licensed Professional Engineer in Wisconsin

Education

Undergraduate: B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Wisconsin. Applicable courses include the standard chemistry curriculum - basic, physical, organic, etc. - plus engineering transport phenomena, chemical unit operations (e.g. separations), fluid mechanics, etc.

Post-Graduate Education

Ph.D. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Minnesota - with applicable special training in absorption & catalysis; M.S. in Industrial Engineering from the University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee - with special emphasis on statistical techniques and data analysis. Applicable further training: continuing education, semester-length courses in [1] Understanding Environmental & Safety Regulation; [2] Hazardous & Toxic Waste Management; plus a number of 1-2 day workshops - Fire & Explosion Safety; Small Quantity Generations of Hazardous Waste.

Work Experience

Includes ten years as a research chemical engineer with a large chemical manufacturer; one year as process development engineer and demonstration-scale test analyst on a unique coal gasification project; ten years in association with UW-M, teaching and consulting to industry on energy efficiency, waste minimization and productivity improvement. One year working with a small engineering consulting firm on energy, environmental, and process improvement projects, including LUST Investigations and Remediations. With METCO since February 2000. Duties include Remedial Action Plan preparation, pilot test design and performance, remedial systems design and implementation, and general management of METCO's remedial projects.

Jon Jensen

Professional Title

Staff Scientist

Credentials

 Registered through the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services as a PECFA consultant (#1294924).

Education

Includes B.S. in Geography with and Environmental Science minor from University of Wisconsin – La Crosse: Applicable courses successfully completed include Interpretation of Aerial Photographs, Intro to GIS, Advanced Remote Sensing, Fundamentals of Cartography, Biogeography, and Conservation of Global Environments.

Work Experience

With METCO since July, 2014 as Staff Scientist. Duties include: soil and groundwater sampling, operation and maintenance of remedial systems, Geoprobe projects (oversight, direction, and sampling), site mapping, data reduction and analysis, and reporting.

Matthew C. Michalski

Professional Title

Hydrogeologist

Credentials

- Registered through the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services as a PECFA consultant (#1261443).
- Member of the Wisconsin Groundwater Association
- · Member of the Minnesota Groundwater Association
- Member of the National Groundwater Association
- Member of the American Institute of Professional Geologist
- Member of the Geological Society of America

Education

Includes B.S. in Geology with an emphasis in Hydrogeology and Water Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, completion of Western Michigan University's Hydrogeology Field Camp, a B.S. In Geography from the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse. Applicable courses successfully completed include Hydrogeology, Contaminant Hydrogeology, Aqueous Geochemistry, Geomorphology and Aerial Photograhy interpretation, Sedimentology and Stratigraphy, Structural Geology, Mineralogy and Petrology, Hazardous Waste Operation and Emergency Response, Surface Geophysics, Principles and Practices of Groundwater Sampling and Monitoring, Principles and Practices of Aquifer Testing, Principles of Well Drilling and Installation, Remediation Design and Implementation, Water Resources, Environmental Hazards and Land Use, and Advanced Map Design.

Post-Graduate Education

40-hour OSHA Hazardous Materials Safety Training course.

Work Experience

With METCO since May 2016 as a Hydrogeologist and from August 2012 to August 2014 as a Staff Scientist. Duties have included: soil and groundwater sampling, Site Investigations, Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessments, Case Closure Requests/GIS Registry, Geoprobe projects (oversight, direction, and sampling), drilling projects/monitoring well installation (oversight, direction, and sampling), and operation and maintenance of remedial systems, site mapping, data reduction and analysis, and reporting.

Bryce Kujawa

Professional Title

Staff Scientist

Credentials

- Registered through the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services as a PECFA consultant (#17138).
- Member of the Geological Society of America

Education

Includes B.S. in Geology from the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire. Applicable courses successfully completed include Hydrogeology, Contaminant Hydrogeology, Field Geology I and II, Mineralogy and Petrology I and II, Sedimentology and Stratigraphy, Petroleum and Economic Geology, Earth History, Physical Geology, Structural Geology, Computers in Geology, Geographic Informational Systems, Global Environmental Change, and General Chemistry.

Work Experience

With METCO since June, 2016 as Staff Scientist. Duties include: soil and groundwater sampling, operation and maintenance of remedial systems, Geoprobe projects (oversight, direction, and sampling), site mapping, data reduction and analysis, and reporting.

APPENDIX H/LIST OF ACRONYMS

AST - Aboveground Storage Tank

ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials

Cd - Cadmium

DOT - Department of Transportation

DRO - Diesel Range Organics

ES - Enforcement Standards

gpm - gallons per minute

GRO - Gasoline Range Organics

HNU - brand name for Photoionization Detector

ID - inside-diameter

LAST - Leaking Aboveground Storage Tank

LUST - Leaking Underground Storage Tank

MSL - Mean Sea Level

MTBE - Methyl-tert-butyl ether

MW - Monitoring Well

NIOSH - National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health

NR - Natural Resources

OD - outside-diameter

PAH - Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

PAL - Preventive Action Limits

Pb - Lead

PECFA - Petroleum Environmental Cleanup Fund

PID - Photoionization Detector

POTW - Publicly Owned Treatment Works

ppb ug/kg - parts per billion

ppm mg/kg - parts per million

psi - pounds per square inch

PVC - Polyvinyl Chloride

PVOC - Petroleum Volatile Organic Compounds

RAP - Remedial Action Plan

scfm - standard cubic feet per minute

SVE - Soil Vapor Extraction

USCS - Unified Soil Classification System

USGS - United States Geological Survey

UST - Underground Storage Tank

VOC - Volatile Organic Compounds

WDNR - Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

WPDES - Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System