State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921				Remediation & RedevelopmentContinuing Obligation ReviewForm 4400-232 (R 5/15)Page 1 of 6				
BRRTS II	O No. <u>●2-4</u>	2-1982	87			-		
Reviewer: Mae Willkom				Region: WCR	Review Date:	06/03/2016		
Site Name	e: <u>FORT N</u>	иссол	FLAMM STOR BLDG 1147	e e				
See RR52	242 for ins	truction	ns http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us	/int/aw/rr/guidance/l	RR5242.pdf . Steps with	an * denote DNR		
follow up	; ** denote	e RP/pro	operty owner follow up. If auditin	ng a VPLE site, use	the applicable LUST or I			
		OTES a	rea in each section to add inforr	mation not otherwis	e addressed.			
File Revie						l.		
		and the	file if needed, to identify the File		n:			
Site Addre	ess			City		ZIP Code		
FORT M	CCOY			SPARTA		54656		
County Pa	arcel Identi	fication	Number (PIN)	FID Number				
Original R	esponsible	e Persor	1					
US ARM	Y FORT	MCCO	Y					
Has the p	roperty be	en trans	ferred since the continuing obligati	ion was recorded/app	olied? 💿 No 🛛 Yes			
If Yes: C	urrent Pro	perty O	wner					
P	hone Num	iber	Email					
Soloat all	continuina	obligati		Papproval or latter t				
-		obligati	ons applied (at case closure or RA		0 LGU).			
Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	AC		Action Code (AC)	Mooning			
DKK13	DKK13	51	Deed notice	Action code (AC)	meaning			
		52	Deed restriction for soil					
		-						
		730	Groundwater use restriction					
		95	notice)	t conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed				
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date	dified - date DNR letter sent				
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry -	d from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent				
		696	Continuing obligation required of	ontinuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption				
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (de	ed restriction)				
		56	Continuing Obligation applied (us	se with codes 220-23	8)			
		220	Soil at industrial use level					
	X	222	Cover/engineered containment sy	ainment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)				
-		224	Structural impediment (buildings					
		226	Vapor mitigation/response					
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment					
		230	_GU was directed to take a protective action					
	X	232	Residual soil contamination > RC		h AC 220, 222, 224)			
		234	Monitoring well needs to be aban					
		236	Site closed with groundwater con					
		238	Maintenance and inspection docu		o be submitted			
X		185	Closure Compliance Review com					
		186	Closure Compliance Review - RF	•				
		187	Closure Compliance Review follo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		99	Use this code with comments, for	r actions not listed un	der AC 186 (i.e. submittal	of inspection		
		- 55	reports)					

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198287		Continuing Obligati Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)	on Review Page 2 of 6
How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code	e)		
Vapor Mitigation AC 226 Green Space	Grant AC 605	Age of Remedy	
VPLE with AC 56 AC 220, 222,	224, 228, or 230	Complaint Received	
Enforcement Follow-up Deed Restrict Other:	tion AC 52 or 696 (LGU)	Regional Priority	
Date of:			
Final Closure 02/05/2008	Remedial Action R	Plan Approval	
Certificate of Completion	General Liability (Clarification Letter	
Green Space Grant	🔲 Local Gov't Unit (I	LGU) Letter	
Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the si	te owner and/or responsi	ible party needed to address	5.
Is the site on the GIS Registry? • Yes	- Add it to the GIS Regis	stry*	
Were neighboring properties affected? \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No			
If yes, are these properties listed on the GIS Registry a	nd in BRRTS? () Yes	○ No – Update the GIS R use form 4400-24	
Was a maintenance plan required at closure? O NA	No () Yes - It is: () in the file PDF	missing
If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property up section of the audit that one was provided on the au		el with inspection log, and n	ote in the follow
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Re	egister of Deeds? OY	es 🔿 No 💿 NA	
Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by Dt	NR? O No		
	⊖Yes: Was BRR	TS updated? (95)	⊖Yes ⊖ No*
	Was the G	IS Registry PDF updated?	⊖Yes ⊖ No*
Notes:			

Remediation & Redevelopment

Site Visit:

- 2. Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).
- 3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
- 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

() No	
🔿 Yes –	Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198287

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 3 of 6

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair? (
No/NA

\bigcirc	Yes –	Should i	it be replaced	or repaired?	─ Yes**	() No

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

• No

○ Yes - Explain:

Was the DNR notified?	⊖ Yes	🔿 No
-----------------------	-------	------

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

○ Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

O No

○ Yes - Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

- No
- Yes Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

○ Yes - Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

○ Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?

🔿 No

○ Yes** - Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

⊖ Yes

 \bigcirc No^{**} – Describe any follow up needed:

• NA

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

• NA

⊖ No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198287

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 4 of 6

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

• No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

```
Notes:
```

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

- Yes
- No Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

- Yes
 No Describe:
- \bigcirc NA

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- Yes
- \bigcirc No Why not?

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

- ⊖ Yes
- \bigcirc No If no, why not?
- NA
- 6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)
 - No
 - Yes Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 5 of 6

- 7.* Does the site require follow up by DNR?
- No
 Yes: Contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation
 Updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)
 reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)
 other:
- 8.* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: BRRTS#_COAUDIT_Year.pdf (example: 0365001149_COAUDIT_2008.pdf).
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Send a copy of the audit to your Regional EPA for updating ACs and uploading the PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)} Date added: 06/14/2016

Title: Former location of Fort McCoy Flamm Stor Bldg 1147; BRRTS #02-42-198287; 6/13/2016

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198287

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing ObligationReviewForm 4400-232(R 5/15)Page 6 of

Page 6 of 6

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}

Date added:

Title: