State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921				Remediation & RedevelopmentContinuing Obligation ReviewForm 4400-232 (R 5/15)Page 1 of 6				
BRRTS I	O No. <u>●2-</u> 4	2-1982	94			rage roro		
Reviewer	Mae Wi	ilikom		Region: WCR	Review Date:	06/03/2016		
Site Name	e: <u>FORT N</u>	иссоу	FLAMM STOR BLDG 1150)				
follow up	; ** denote	e RP/pro	s <u>http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.t</u> perty owner follow up. If aud rea in each section to add info	iting a VPLE site, use t	he applicable LUST or			
File Revie	ew:							
1. Review	BRRTS,	and the	file if needed, to identify the F	File Review information	1:			
Site Addre	ess			City		ZIP Code		
FORT M	CCOY			SPARTA		54656		
County Pa	arcel Identi	fication	Number (PIN)	FID Number 642024900				
	esponsible			042024900				
0	Y FORT							
Has the n	ronerty her	en transf	erred since the continuing oblig	ation was recorded/appl	ied? No Yes			
	Current Pro		• •					
li res. C		peny Ov	viiei					
_	hone Num		Email					
P	none num	idei	Emai					
	A!!							
		obligatio	ons applied (at case closure or f	RAP approval or letter to	LGU):			
Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	AC		Action Code (AC)	Meaning			
DIKKIS	DIXITS	51	Deed notice		wearing			
		52	Deed restriction for soil					
2		730	Groundwater use restriction					
			Deed instrument conditions me	et (for audits use if deed	restriction was updated i	by filing a deed		
		95	notice)					
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - da	ate DNR letter sent				
		104	Site removed from GIS Registr	y - date DNR letter sent				
		696	Continuing obligation required	of LGU to maintain liabil	LGU to maintain liability exemption			
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)					
		56	Continuing Obligation applied ((use with codes 220-238)			
		220	Soil at industrial use level					
	\times	222	Cover/engineered containment	t system (pavement, soil	cover, etc.)			
		224	Structural impediment (building	gs or other structures)				
		226	Vapor mitigation/response					
		228	Site-specific (identify in comme	ent field)				
		230	LGU was directed to take a pro					
	X	232	Residual soil contamination > F	RCLs/SS RCLs (use with	n AC 220, 222, 224)			
		234	Monitoring well needs to be ab					
		236	Site closed with groundwater c					
		238	Maintenance and inspection do		be submitted			
X		185	Closure Compliance Review co					
		186	Closure Compliance Review - I					
-		187	Closure Compliance Review for					
		99	Use this code with comments, reports)	tor actions not listed und	ier AC 186 (i.e. submittal	of inspection		

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198294		Continuing Obligation Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)	Page 2 of 6
How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action	n Code)		
☐ Vapor Mitigation AC 226 ☐ Green S	Space Grant AC 605	Age of Remedy	
\Box VPLE with AC 56 \Box AC 220,	, 222, 224, 228, or 230	Complaint Received	
Enforcement Follow-up	estriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU)	Regional Priority	
Other:			
Date of:			
Final Closure 02/05/2008	Remedial Action	Plan Approval	
Certificate of Completion	General Liability (Clarification Letter	
Green Space Grant			
Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that	the site owner and/or respons	ible party needed to address:	
Is the site on the GIS Registry? () Yes ()) No – Add it to the GIS Regis	stry*	
Were neighboring properties affected? O Yes	No		
If yes, are these properties listed on the GIS Regi	stry and in BRRTS? O Yes	○ No – Update the GIS Reg use form 4400-246*	istry/BRRTS,
Was a maintenance plan required at closure? \bigcirc NA	A 🔿 No 💿 Yes – It is: () in the file PDF	ssing
If no maintenance plan was required, offer the pro up section of the audit that one was provided on t		lel with inspection log, and note	in the follow
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with	the Register of Deeds? OY	′es ○No ●NA	
Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified	by DNR? 🔿 No		
	⊖ Yes: Was BRR	TS updated? (95)	Yes 🔿 No*
	Was the G	SIS Registry PDF updated?	Yes O No*
Notes:			

Remediation & Redevelopment

Site Visit:

- 2. Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).
- 3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
- 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? • Yes • No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

💌 No	
🔿 Yes –	Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198294

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 3 of 6

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair? (•) No/NA

$\bigcirc Y$	es –	Should it be	replaced	or repaired?	$\bigcirc Y$	′es**	
\cup '	00	Onound it bo	opiaooa	or ropunou.	()	00	

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

• No

○ Yes - Explain:

Was the DNR notified?	⊖ Yes	🔿 No
-----------------------	-------	------

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

○ Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

- O No
- Yes Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

- No
- Yes Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

○ Yes - Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

- Yes Does sampling need to be performed?
 - 🔿 No

○ Yes** - Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

⊖ Yes

 \bigcirc No^{**} – Describe any follow up needed:

• NA

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

• NA

⊖ No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

🖲 No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198294

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 4 of 6

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

• No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

Notes:

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

- Yes
- No Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

Yes
 No - Describe:
 NA

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- Yes
- \bigcirc No Why not?

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

- ⊖ Yes
- \bigcirc No If no, why not?
- NA
- 6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)

No

○ Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 5 of 6

- 7.* Does the site require follow up by DNR?
- No
 Yes: Contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation
 Updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)
 reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)
 other:
- 8.* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: BRRTS#_COAUDIT_Year.pdf (example: 0365001149_COAUDIT_2008.pdf).
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Send a copy of the audit to your Regional EPA for updating ACs and uploading the PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.



Date added: 06/14/2016

Title: Former location of Fort McCoy Flamm Stor Bldg 1150; BRRTS #02-42-198294; 6/13/2016

BRRTS Number: 02-42-198294

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing ObligationReviewForm 4400-232(R 5/15)Page 6 of

Page 6 of 6

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}

Date added:

Title: