

From: Schultz, Josie M - DNR
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 12:33 PM
To: Andy Delforge
Subject: Revisions follow-up for V&L Stripping Closure, BRRTS # 02-05-216722

Hi Andy,

I followed up with the management team about the 30-day notification period and because we don't have a receipt that the original email(s) were received, we will have to wait for the 30 days to pass from the date the certified letter was accepted. Hopefully the City gets back to us soon to waive it.

I've reviewed the revised closure docs for V&L Stripping and noted the following items that need to be revised:

1. Table A.4.b
 - a. Needs VP3600 (soil gas at 714 Lincoln) added to the table and indicate this was a soil gas probe.
 - b. DHS sample for SS856M should say "VP3700" on the table to match up with what's shown on Figure B.4.a
2. Figure B.2.a
 - a. Need to expand soil contamination delineation around B3000 (apologies for missing this during my first revisions request)
3. Figure B.2.b
 - a. Need to expand soil contamination delineation around B2300 and B3000; B2300 is currently just outside of the isoconcentration.
4. Figure B.3.a
 - a. Include the upper portion of B3000 in the GW pathway delineation
 - b. Add ES plume around TW800, TW100, and TW1400.
5. Figure B.3.b
 - a. Needs to include ES and PAL plumes:
 - i. Isoconcentration for ES exceedance for CVOCs in groundwater should include: MW100, MW200, MW300, MW400, MW600, TW900, MW800, TW1100, TW1300, TW1400, MW1500
 - ii. Isoconcentration for PAL exceedance for CVOCs in groundwater and should include: TW1500, MW2100, MW3200, PZ1700
6. Figure B.3.d
 - a. Map is a little hard to read regarding which wells are planned to be abandoned, which were lost, and which were properly abandoned.
 - i. It's difficult to tell the difference between destroyed vs properly abandoned MWs.
 - ii. RMSC MWs that were properly abandoned are shown as destroyed.
 - iii. Recommend changing the symbols to be easier to tell the difference.
 - b. Recommend putting in legend "temporary monitoring well to be abandoned" and "monitoring well to be abandoned" rather than having it labeled on the map for each point.
7. Figure B.4.a
 - a. Needs to include residual groundwater contamination (see B.3.a revisions above).
 - b. 714 Lincoln
 - i. 714 Lincoln sump sample pit ID "SP714L" needs to be added

- ii. SS716L needs to be changed to say SS714L
 - c. 856 Mather
 - i. SS864M needs to be changed to say SS856M
- 8. Figure D.2.a
 - a. Include B3000 in soil delineation.
 - b. Indicate that the purple hashed area is soil contamination exceeding the Non-industrial DC and/or GW pathway RCLs for CVOCs
 - c. Indicate that the blue outline is ES exceedance for CVOCs.
 - i. Have this isoconcentration match figure B.3.a (see revisions above)
- 9. Attachment E
 - a. Remove TW1100, TW1500, TW3100 and TW3500 from the cover page
 - i. These are assumed to be properly abandoned.
 - b. Need to add MW600 to the first sentence of the last paragraph explaining efforts to find wells.
 - c. Remove E.1. from the cover page. This will be included in Attachment G, but no revisions need to be made to the Att. G cover page.

Please feel free to give me a call or reply to this email if you have any questions about the revisions above.

Thanks,
Josie

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Josie M. Schultz

Hydrogeologist – Northeast Region Remediation and Redevelopment Team

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

110 S. Neenah Avenue, Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235

Cell Phone: 920-366-5685

Josie.Schultz@Wisconsin.gov



dnr.wi.gov

