State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

## Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 1 of 5

| BRRTS ID No. 02-71-250398   |              |            |  |                        | 1 dilli 4400 202 (1004/10) |  |                    |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Reviewer: Kevin McKnight    |              |            | Regio  | on: <u>NER</u>         | Review Date:               | 08/26/2020   |                    |  |
| Site Name                   | e: Stannar   | d Dry C    | leaners  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| follow up                   | ; ** denote  | e RP/pro   |  | up. If auditing a VF   | PLE site, use the          | <u>5242.pdf</u> . Steps with a<br>e applicable LUST or l<br>addressed. |                    |  |
| File Revie                  | :w:          |            |  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| 1. Review                   | BRRTS,       | and the    | file if needed, to ide   | ntify the File Revie   | w information:             |  |                    |  |
| Site Address                |              |            |  |                        | City                       |  | ZIP Code           |  |
| 653 N Main Street           |              |            |  |                        | Oshkosh                    |  | 54901              |  |
| County Pa                   | arcel Identi | fication l | Number (PIN)   |                        | FID Number                 |  |                    |  |
| 90700790                    | 0000         |            |  |                        | 471008450                  |  |                    |  |
| Original R                  | esponsible   | e Person   |  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| Joe Leroy                   | , Stannard   | d Cleane   | ers  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| Has the p                   | roperty be   | en transf  | erred since the contin   | uing obligation was    | recorded/applie            | d? ● No ○ Yes  |                    |  |
| If Yes: C                   | urrent Pro   | perty Ov   | vner   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              |            |  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| P                           | hone Num     | ber        |  | Email                  |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              |            |  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| Select all                  | continuing   | obligatio  | ns applied (at case clo  | sure or RAP approv     | al or letter to LG         | U):  |                    |  |
| Add to AC in BRRTS BRRTS AC |              |            |  | Actio                  | on Code (AC) M             | eaning   |                    |  |
|                             |              | 51         | Deed notice  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 52         | Deed restriction for so  | il                     |                            |  |                    |  |
| 一一                          |              | 730        | Groundwater use restriction  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 95         | Deed instrument cond   | itions met (for audits | , use if deed restr        | riction was updated by fili  | ing a deed notice) |  |
|                             |              | 101        | Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)  GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR letter sent |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 104        | Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 696        | Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 605        | Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             | $\boxtimes$  | 56         | Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 46         | Impacted Right-of-Way  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 220        | Soil at industrial use level   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             | $\boxtimes$  | 222        | Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 224        | Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 226        | Vapor mitigation/response  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 228        | Site-specific (identify in comment field)  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 230        | LGU was directed to take a protective action   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 232        | Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 234        | Monitoring well needs to be abandoned  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| _Ц_                         |              | 236        | Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             | ᆜᆜ           | 238        | Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 185        | Closure Compliance Review completed  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| <u> </u>                    |              | 186        | Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
|                             |              | 187        | Closure Compliance F   |                        |                            |  |                    |  |
| $\boxtimes$                 |              | 99         | Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection reports)  |                        |                            |  |                    |  |

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 2 of 5

| How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)  Vapor Mitigation AC 226 Green Space Grant AC 605 Age of Remedy  VPLE with AC 56 AC 220, 222, 224, 228, or 230 Complaint Received  Enforcement Follow-up Deed Restriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU) Regional Priority  Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of:    Final Closure   08/10/2004   Remedial Action Plan Approval     Certificate of Completion   General Liability Clarification Letter     Green Space Grant   Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter     Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address: |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site on BRRTS as having residual contamination and continuing obligations?  | etc.)*                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes No – Update BRRTS, use form 4400-246* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Was a maintenance plan required at closure? ( ) NA ( ) No ( ) Yes – It is: ( ) in the file ( ) PDF ( ) missing  If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the for up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit date                | ollow                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?   Yes No NA   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? ● No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No*                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Was the CO PDF updated? Yes  | No*                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Visit:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).</li> </ol>  | е   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?   Yes   No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?  No  Yes - Explain:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

BRRTS Number: 02-71-250398

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.

2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-71-250398

### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 3 of 5

| disrepair?  No/NA  Yes - Should it be replaced or repaired? Yes** No  f a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N  |
|---|
| f a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?  No No Yes - Explain:  Was the DNR notified? Yes No Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?  No/NNA Yes - Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction? No Yes - Describe:  s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?  No Yes - Describe:  For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?  No Yes - Describe:  Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No Yes - Does sampling need to be performed? No  |
| <ul> <li>No Yes - Explain: Was the DNR notified?  Yes  No No-Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? <ul> <li>No/NA</li> <li>Yes - Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes - Describe:</li> </ul> </li> <li>s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes - Describe:</li> </ul> For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes - Describe:</li> </ul> Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes - Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul> Yes - Does sampling need to be performed? <ul> <li>No</li> </ul> |
| Was the DNR notified?   |
| Was the DNR notified?  Yes  No  Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?  No/NA  Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?  No Yes – Describe:  s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?  No Yes – Describe:  For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.  Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?  No Yes – Describe:  No Ooes a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?  No  |
| Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?  No/NA Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction? No Yes – Describe:  s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?  No Yes – Describe:  For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?  No Yes – Describe:  Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No Yes – Does sampling need to be performed? No   |
| <ul> <li>No/NA</li> <li>Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.</li> <li>Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> </ul> Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>No/NA</li> <li>Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.</li> <li>Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> </ul> Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.</li> <li>Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> </ul> Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul>   |
| s soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?  No Yes – Describe:  For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?  No Yes – Describe:  Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?  No  |
| <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Describe:</li> <li>For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.</li> <li>Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Describe:</li> <li>Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Describe:</li> <li>For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.</li> <li>Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Describe:</li> <li>Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>Yes − Describe:</li> <li>For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Describe:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes − Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |
| Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?  No Yes – Describe:  Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?  No   |
| Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?  No Yes – Describe:  Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?  No   |
| <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> <li>Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li> <li>No</li> </ul>  |
| Yes – Describe:  Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No  Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?  No  |
| Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No  Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?  No   |
| <ul><li>No</li><li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li><li>No</li></ul>   |
| <ul><li>No</li><li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li><li>No</li></ul>   |
| ○ No  |
|   |
| Yes** – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:   |
|   |
| s the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being  |
| maintained)   |
| O Yes   |
| NA No** – Describe any follow up needed:  |
| Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?   |
| NA  |
| ○ No  |
| Yes – Describe any follow up needed:  |
|   |
| las the land use at this site changed such that a vanor intrusion nathway may now exist?  |
| Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?   No   |

# Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 4 of 5

Page 4 of 5

| Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?  |
|---|
| Yes – Describe any follow up needed:  |
| Notes:  |
| Audit conducted by phone with drive by for photos due to Covid19.   |
| COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:   |
| 5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.  Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?  |
| <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No – Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:</li> </ul>  |
| (May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)   |
| Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?  |
| ○ No – Describe:  |
| Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?     Yes  |
| ○ NA ○ No – Why not?  |
| Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?  |
| ○ NA ○ No – If no, why not?   |
| 6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.) |
| <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:</li> </ul>  |

Notes:

BRRTS Number: 02-71-250398

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Date added: 08/26/2020

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 5 of 5


Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

| 7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR? |   |
|--|---|
| <ul><li>No</li></ul>                         |   |
|  | site to compliance with continuing obligation |
| updating BRRTS for the CO PD                 | F (adding or modifying a packet)              |
| reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 ar              | nd 13)  |

- 8. \* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. \* Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD\_185\_CO\_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD\_186\_Follow\_Up\_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.

BRRTS Number: 02-71-250398

other:



Title: Stannard Dry Cleaners, 02-71-250398. Taken 8/26/2020 View looking east at cap