State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

BRRTS Number: __0346001656____

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)

Reviewer: Site Name:	Jim Walden Corky's Union 76	Review	Date:1/28/10 Region:) SER
File Review: 1. Review tl Address/ City/Zip	he file and BRRTS, ic code:W62 N245 entification Number (P	lentify the following Washington Ave Ce	j: edarburg WI 5301	12
Original RP:	Owner: _Colin Van Sl Colin Van Slubeen transferred since]Yes	uys	 recorded/conditic	on applied?
Compliance F	ected for audit? ceived	Code 52	Other:	
☐ General Liabil☐ Green Space	ion Plan Approval lity Clarification Letter			
☐ Industrial Lan☐ Pavement, So☐ Structural Imp☐ Vapor mitigati	ion (sub-slab pressuriz Condition (describe be	zation system (SSDS	22 22 22	20 22 24 26 28
☐ Monitoring we☐ GW > ES (on☐ Vapor Migration☐ Other PerformDescribe any site	on (standard paragrap	nt) h re. future potential s that the site owner	86	34 86 x (not tracked) 6
⊠ No	g properties affected b se properties listed on	y a continuing obliga		

State of Wisconsin Remediation & Redevelopment Department of Natural Resources **Continuing Obligation Review** dnr.wi.gov Form 4400-232 (R 8/09) BRRTS Number: Was a maintenance plan required at closure? \square NA \boxtimes No ☐ Yes Is it in the file☐ or PDF☐ or missing☐? *If none was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the file/audit that one was provided on the audit date. Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds? \square NA □No ⊠ Yes Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? ⊠ No ☐ Yes If Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95) No * Yes Was the GIS Registry PDF updated? ∃No * Yes Site Visit: 2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access. 3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records: Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? X Yes ☐ No Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site? \boxtimes No Yes Explain. Examples: a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred, excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? ⊠ No/NA ☐Yes ** Yes Should it be replaced or repaired? If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered? ⊠ No/NA Yes Describe If Yes - Was DNR notified? ☐ Yes ☐ No Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? ⊠ No/NA Yes If Yes - Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

Yes Describe:

□No

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

BRRTS Number:	Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)
Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the contact threat exists? No Yes** Describe:	final remedy has been modified such that a direct
For example, an asphalt cap has been removed upgradient, etc.	or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present
Has additional monitoring or remediation bee ☑ No ☐ Yes Describe:	
routes)? ⊠ No □ Yes If Yes - Does sampling need to be poor in the poor in t	erformed? one to address the problem, and by whom:
Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab dedesigned? (pressure gradient being maintain NA Yes No** – if not, describe any follow up need	ed)
Have any of the exposure assumptions used NA No Yes - if yes, describe any follow up need	•
No	nat a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov BRRTS Number:	Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)					
Compliance and Follow-up:						
5. Identify compliance and any follow up ne	eded.					
Is the site in compliance with the continuing obl ☐Yes ☐ No - Describe what's not in compliance:						
(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-mail ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, if or enforcement, as needed.)	intenance of remedy or changed t should be prioritized by the region, for new casework					
If the site is not in compliance, what are the rea	asons?					
Has the maintenance agreement required at clo NA Yes No Describe:						
	perty owner warranted at the site? (The intent is on. If further remedial action is needed, we'll need to responsible:					
7. *Does the site require follow up by DNR? ☑ No ☐ Yes						

8. *Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions.

reopen site

updating BRRTS (check all codes that apply on pg. 5) updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)

Structural impediments consisting of the on-site building and underground utilites prevented a complete investigation. As can be seen in the photos, these impediments still exist.

contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation

State of Wisconsin	
Department of Natural Resources	
dnr.wi.gov	
BRRTS Number	

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)

9. *Identify and update any applicable codes.

Add to	BRRTS	Meaning
BRRTS:	Code	
Χ	185	Closure Compliance Review completed
	186	Closure Compliance Review follow up needed
	187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed
	50	Site conditions merit placement on GIS Registry
	51	Deed notice (if code was missing)
	52	Deed restriction (if code was missing)
	95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)
	101	GIS Registry PDF modified – date DNR letter sent
	104	Site removed from GIS Registry – date DNR letter sent
	56	Land Use Control applied (use with codes 220-230)
	220	Soil at industrial use level
	222	Cap/cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)
	224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)
	226	Vapor mitigation/other vapor control (SSDS or other pressure control system)
	228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)
	230	LGU was directed to take a protective action
	232	Site closed with residual soil contamination > RCLs or SS RCLs (use with codes 222 and 224, at a minimum)
	234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned
	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES

10. Add a copy of this audit to the case file, and send one to Central Office.