

**BRRTS Number:** 02-46-279670

**Remediation & Redevelopment  
 Continuing Obligation Review**

Form 4400-232 (Revised 11/11)

Reviewer: John Feeney & Lee Delcore  
 Site Name: OHM Butler, Inc.

Review Date: 8/14/13  
 Region: SER

**See RR5242 for instructions. Steps with an \*denote DNR follow up; \*\* denote RP/property owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS number.**

**FILE REVIEW:**

**1. Review the file and BRRTS, identify the following:**

Address/ City/Zip Code: 108 E Freistadt Rd, Mequon, WI 53092

County Parcel Identification Number (PIN): 12-050-01-23-003 FID Number: 246007520

Current Property Owner: Plank Road Development LLC

Original RP: Thomas Grimm – OHM of Butler, Inc.

Has the property been transferred since the restriction was recorded/condition applied?  No  Yes

How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)

- X Vapor Mitigation AC 226  Green Space Grant AC 605 X Age of Remedy  
 VPLE with AC 56 X AC 220, 222, 224, 228 or 230  Complaint Received  
 Enforcement Follow-up  Deed Restriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU)  Regional Priority  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of:

- X Final Closure July 24, 2007 X Remedial Action Plan Approval October 13, 2003  
 Certificate of Completion \_\_\_\_\_  General Liability Clarification Letter \_\_\_\_\_  
 Green Space Grant \_\_\_\_\_  Local Gov't Unit (LGU) letter \_\_\_\_\_

\*Check all continuing obligations applied (at case closure or RAP approval or letter to LGU):

Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	Action Code	Action Code Meaning
		51	Deed notice
		52	Deed restriction for soil
		730	Groundwater use restriction
		95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified – date DNR letter sent
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry – date DNR letter sent
		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)
	X	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)
		220	Soil at industrial use level
	X	222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)
	X	224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)
X		226	Vapor mitigation/response
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)
		230	LGU was directed to take a protective action
	X	232	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 222,224)
		234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned
	X	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES
		238	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted
X		185	Closure Compliance Review completed
		186	Closure Compliance Review – RP follow up needed
		187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed

BRRTS Number 02-46-279670

### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)

Describe any site-specific requirements that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address.  
Will suggest filling separation crack between east building wall and asphalt drive

Is the site on the GIS Registry?  Yes  No - Add it to the GIS Registry\*

Were neighboring properties affected?

No

Yes - Are these properties listed on the GIS Registry and in BRRTS?

Yes  No Update the GIS Registry/BRRTS, use form 4400-246\*.

Was a maintenance plan required at closure?

NA

No

Yes Is it in the file X or PDF  or missing  ?

If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the follow up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit date.

Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?

NA

No

Yes

Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR?

No

Yes

If Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95)

No \*

Yes

Was the GIS Registry PDF updated?

No \*

Yes

#### Site Visit:

2. Contact the site owner for access.

Done

3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

Lee Delcore and John Feeney Walked Site

4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?

Yes

No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

No

Yes Explain. \_\_\_\_\_

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred. 2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair?

No/NA

Yes Should it be replaced or repaired?  No

Yes \*\*

**BRRTS Number** 02-46-279670

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

No/NA

Yes Describe \_\_\_\_\_

If Yes - Was DNR notified?  Yes  No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

Yes If Yes - Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

No

Yes Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

No

Yes\*\* Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

*For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.*

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

Yes Describe: \_\_\_\_\_

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

Yes If Yes - Does sampling need to be performed?

No

Yes\*\* Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

NA

Yes

No\*\* - if not, describe any follow up needed.

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

NA

No

Yes - if yes, describe any follow up needed.

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

No

Yes - If Yes, describe any follow up needed. \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP:**

**5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.**

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

Yes

No - Describe what's not in compliance: \_\_\_\_\_

*(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)*

If the site is not in compliance, what are the reasons? \_\_\_\_\_

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

NA

Yes

No Describe: No documentation or evidence that required annual inspections have been conducted nor that the require maintenance log been kept.

**6. \*\*Are additional actions by the RP or property owner warranted at the site?** *(The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)*

No

Yes Describe any actions needed to return the site to compliance, and identify who's responsible:  
(Add AC 186, use AC 99 for actions not listed under AC186)

**7. \*Does the site require follow up by DNR?**

No

Yes

contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation

updating BRRTS *(check all codes that apply on pg. 1)*

updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)

reopen site (add ACs 186 and 13)

other: \_\_\_\_\_

**8. \*Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions.**

**9. \*Identify and update any applicable action codes (Table on page 1).**

**10. \*Add a copy of this audit to the case file. Follow the instructions in RR 5242, (<http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/Guidance/RR5242.pdf>). Send a copy electronically (PDF) to Central Office, using the following naming convention: BRRTS#\_COAUDIT\_Year.pdf (example: 0365001149\_COAUDIT\_2008.pdf)**



Interior Pictures (August 14, 2013)

Magnehelic Meter Reading at ~6 inches of Water



Close-up of Internal System Components



Internal System from S to N




3 Monitoring Well Covers in Building from N to S





Exterior Pictures (August 14, 2013)

Exterior VI System Components – Distance



Exterior System Components – Close-Up



Asphalt Condition on N Side of Building



Separation Crack on East Side of Building





Monitoring Well Abandonment (August 14, 2013)

MW-4 Abandonment Scar



MW-3 Abandonment Scar



SB-7 Abandonment Scar



MW-5 Abandonment Scar



3 "Infiltration Gallery" Well Covers



DATE: August 19, 2013

FILE REF: BRRTS #0246279670, FID #246007520

TO: file

FROM: John Feeney

SUBJECT: infiltration gallery abandonment, former OHM of Butler

Lee and I inspected the site and observed what looks to be monitoring well covers inside the building. Those are covers for the former infiltration gallery. I called Rich Gnat at KPRG, He said that those were all properly abandoned by filling them with grout. He said that they left the covers in place.