

State of Wisconsin
 Department of Natural Resources
 PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

**Remediation & Redevelopment
 Continuing Obligation Review**

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 1 of 8

BRRTS ID No. 02-32-279690

Reviewer: Tim Zeichert

Region: WC

Review Date: 09/26/2022

Site Name: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse St

See RR5242 for instructions <http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf>. Steps with an * denote DNR follow up; ** denote RP/property owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS number. Use the NOTES area in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.

File Review:

1. Review BRRTS, and the file if needed, to identify the File Review information:

Site Address 1227 La Crosse Street	City La Crosse	ZIP Code 54601
County Parcel Identification Number (PIN) 17-20139-110	FID Number 632002470	

Original Responsible Person

Phyllis Miletto - Dorprop, LLC

Has the property been transferred since the continuing obligation was recorded/applied? No Yes

If Yes: Current Property Owner

Kevin Biondo - 1241 La Crosse St, LLC

Phone Number

(608) 386-7392

Email

kevin@biondoproperties.com

Select all continuing obligations applied (at case closure or RAP approval or letter to LGU):

Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	AC	Action Code (AC) Meaning
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	51	Deed notice
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	52	Deed restriction for soil
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	730	Groundwater use restriction
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR letter sent
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	46	Impacted Right-of-Way
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	220	Soil at industrial use level
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	226	Vapor mitigation/response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	230	LGU was directed to take a protective action
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	232	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	238	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	185	Closure Compliance Review completed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	186	Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	99	Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection reports)

How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)

- Vapor Mitigation AC 226
- VPLE with AC 56
- Enforcement Follow-up
- Other: _____
- Green Space Grant AC 605
- AC 220, 222, 224, 228, or 230
- Deed Restriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU)
- Age of Remedy
- Complaint Received
- Regional Priority

Date of:

- Final Closure 03/21/2013
- Certificate of Completion _____
- Green Space Grant _____
- Remedial Action Plan Approval _____
- General Liability Clarification Letter _____
- Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter _____

Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address:

Is the site on BRRTS as having residual contamination and continuing obligations?

- Yes
- No – *Add to BRRTS using applicable action codes (56, etc.)**

Were neighboring properties affected?

- Yes
- No

If yes, are these properties listed in BRRTS with AC 56? Yes No – *Update BRRTS, use form 4400-246**

Was a maintenance plan required at closure? NA No Yes – It is: in the file PDF missing

If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the follow up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit date

Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds? Yes No NA

Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? No

Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95) Yes No*

Was the CO PDF updated? Yes No*

Notes:

A maintenance plan was not required for the source property; however, a SSDS was required for the affected property across the street. Annual inspections were required for the SSDS and are to be recorded on the inspection log. At the time of case closure, the affected property was 1228 La Crosse Street. That address is currently 1230 La Crosse Street.

Site Visit:

2. **Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).**
3. **Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.**
4. **With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:**

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? Yes No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

No

Yes – Explain: New property owner constructed an apartment complex that encompasses the southern portion of the site. No vapor assessment or installation of vapor control technologies were completed. RP has an email from RR stating "review have determined that measures for vapor intrusion do not need to be implemented with the building that is to be built at this site".

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.
2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair? No/NA

Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired? Yes** No

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

No

Yes – Explain:

Was the DNR notified? Yes No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

No

Yes – Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

No

Yes – Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

Yes – Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?

No

Yes** – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Sub-slab vapor sampling should be completed beneath the apartment complex. By RP or DNR based on further discussion with management.

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

Yes

NA

No** – Describe any follow up needed:

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

NA

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Apartment complex with basement unit occupied by UW-La Crosse students.

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

New property owner constructed an apartment complex that encompasses the southern portion of the site. No vapor assessment or installation of vapor control technologies were completed.

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

New property owner constructed an apartment complex that encompasses the southern portion of the site. No vapor assessment or installation of vapor control technologies were completed. Housing is primarily occupied by UW-La Crosse students.

Notes:

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

Yes

No – Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

New property owner constructed an apartment complex that encompasses the southern portion of the site. No vapor assessment or installation of vapor control technologies were completed. Housing is primarily occupied by UW-La Crosse students.

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

Yes

NA

No – Describe:

A maintenance plan was not required for the source property; however, a SSDS was required for the affected property across the street. Annual inspections were required for the SSDS and are to be recorded on the inspection log. At the time of case closure, the affected property was 1228 La Crosse Street. That address is currently 1230 La Crosse Street.

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

Yes

NA

No – Why not?

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

Yes

NA

No – If no, why not?

6. Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? *The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.***

No

Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

By RP or DNR based on further discussion with management.

Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR?

No

Yes: contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation

updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)

reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)

other: Further discussion with DVIT and management.

8. * Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)

9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention:

YYYYMMDD_185_CO_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD_186_Follow_Up_Needed.pdf.

10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.

11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 09/29/2022



Title: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse Street/0232279690/20220926/ Looking NW

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 09/29/2022



Title: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse Street/0232279690/20220926/ Looking W

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 09/29/2022



Title: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse Street/0232279690/20220926/ Looking SE

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 09/29/2022



Title: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse Street/0232279690/20220926/ Looking E

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 09/29/2022



Title: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse Street/0232279690/20220926/ Affected property manometer with correct reading

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 09/29/2022



Title: One Hour Cleaners La Crosse Street/0232279690/20220926/ Affected property SSDS exhausting to roof