State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 1 of

BRRTS II	D No. ●2-4	12-2799	83	ľ	FOITH 4400-252 (X 3/13)	rage 1010	
Reviewer: Mae Willkom				Region: WCR	Review Date:	06/03/2016	
Site Name	e: FORT l	MCCOY	LF #3 & GRIT AREA				
follow up	; ** denot	e RP/pro	s http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/ioperty owner follow up. If auditinger in each section to add inform	g a VPLE site, use the	e applicable LUST or I		
File Revie	ew:						
1. Review	BRRTS,	and the	file if needed, to identify the File	Review information:			
Site Addre	ess			City		ZIP Code	
FORT MCCOY				SPARTA		54656	
County Pa	arcel Identi	fication	Number (PIN)	FID Number			
				642024900			
Original R	Responsible	e Persor					
US ARM	Y FORT	MCCO'	<i>(</i>				
Has the p	roperty be	en trans	erred since the continuing obligation	on was recorded/applie	d? ● No  Yes		
If Yes: C	Current Pro	perty Ov	vner				
F	hone Num	ber	Email				
Select all	continuing	obligation	ons applied (at case closure or RAI	P approval or letter to L	.GU)ː		
Add to	AC in						
BRRTS	BRRTS	AC		Action Code (AC) Mo	eaning		
		51	Deed notice				
		52	Deed restriction for soil				
		730	Groundwater use restriction				
		95	Deed instrument conditions met (finotice)	for audits, use if deed re	estriction was updated t	oy filing a deed	
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date				
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry -	date DNR letter sent			
		696	Continuing obligation required of L	_GU to maintain liability	exemption		
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (dee	ed restriction)			
		56	Continuing Obligation applied (use	e with codes 220-238)			
	X	220	Soil at industrial use level				
	X	222	Cover/engineered containment sy		ov <b>e</b> r, etc.)		
		224	Structural impediment (buildings of	or other structures)			
		226	Vapor mitigation/response				
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment				
		230	LGU was directed to take a protect		4.0.000, 000, 00.41		
	X	232	Residual soil contamination > RCI	·	10 220, 222, 224)		
		234	Monitoring well needs to be aband				
		238	Site closed with groundwater cont Maintenance and inspection docu				
X		185	Closure Compliance Review comp	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e subiliilled		
		186	Closure Compliance Review Comp				
		187	Closure Compliance Review follow	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		00	Use this code with comments, for	<u> </u>	r AC 186 (i.e. submittal	of inspection	

reports)

BRRTS Number: 02-42-279983

# Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 2 of 6

Ho	w was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)						
	☐ Vapor Mitigation AC 226 ☐ Green Space Grant AC 605 ☐ Age of Remedy						
	☑ VPLE with AC 56						
	☐ Enforcement Follow-up ☐ Deed Restriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU) ☐ Regional Priority						
	Other:						
Dat	te of:						
-	∑ Final Closure						
	Certificate of Completion General Liability Clarification Letter						
	Green Space Grant Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter						
Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address:							
ls t	he site on the GIS Registry?   No – Add it to the GIS Registry*						
We	re neighboring properties affected? O Yes No						
	If yes, are these properties listed on the GIS Registry and in BRRTS? Yes No – Update the GIS Registry/BRRTS, use form 4400-246*						
Wa	s a maintenance plan required at closure? ONA ONO Yes – It is: O in the file PDF Omissing						
	If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the follow up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit date						
Wa	s/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds? Yes No NA						
	Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? O No						
	○ Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95) ○ Yes ○ No*						
	Was the GIS Registry PDF updated? O Yes No*						
Not	tes:						
	e Visit:						
2.	Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).						
3.	Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.						
<b>4</b> .	With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:						
Did	the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?   Yes   No						
	ve site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements sociated with the site?						
	No						
	Yes - Explain:						
	Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.						

2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-42-279983

### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 3 of 6

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair?  No/NA
○ Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired? ○ Yes** ○ No
If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?  No
Yes - Explain:
Was the DNR notified?  Yes  No
Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?  No/NA
<ul> <li>Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Describe:</li> </ul>
Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?   No
For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.
Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?
○ Yes - Describe:
Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?  No
<ul><li>Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?</li><li>No</li></ul>
Yes** - Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:
Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)  Or Yes
<ul> <li>No** − Describe any follow up needed:</li> <li>NA</li> </ul>
Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?
<ul><li>○ No</li><li>○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:</li></ul>
Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?    No
Voc. Describe any fellow un needed:

BRRTS Number: 02-42-279983

### Remediation & Redevelopment **Continuing Obligation Review**Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 4 of

Page 4 of 6

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?
<ul><li>No</li></ul>
Notes:
COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:
5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.
Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?
Yes
○ No − Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:
(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)
Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>
<ul><li>○ No - Describe:</li><li>○ NA</li></ul>
Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?
Yes
○ No − Why not?
○ NA
Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?
○ Yes
○ No − If no, why not?
● NA
6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)
No
○ Yes - Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:
Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Date added: 06/14/2016

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 5 of 6

7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR?					
<ul><li>No</li></ul>					
	contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation				
	updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)				
	reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)				
	other:				

- 8.\* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. \* Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: BRRTS#\_COAUDIT\_Year.pdf (example: 0365001149\_COAUDIT\_2008.pdf).

BRRTS Number: 02-42-279983

- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Send a copy of the audit to your Regional EPA for updating ACs and uploading the PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.



Title: Grit Area portion of Fort McCoy LF #3 & Grit Area site; BRRTS #02-42-279983; 6/13/2016

## Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Date added: 06/14/2016

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 6 of 6



Title: Historical location of former LF #3 area of Fort McCoy LF #3 & Grit Area site (now fully wooded area); BRRTS #02-42-279983; 6/13/2016