State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov <b>BRRTS Number</b> : <u>02-42-279996</u>	Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)	
Reviewer: <u>Mae Willkom</u>	Review Date: <u>5/20/2010</u>	
Site Name: Fort McCoy LF #7	Region: <u>WCR</u>	
See RR5242 for instructions. * Denotes DNR follow up. ** Den File Review: 1. Review the file and BRRTS, identify the followir Address/ City/Zip Code:Fort McCoy	ng:	
Address/ City/Zip Code: <u>Fort McCoy</u> County Parcel Identification Number (PIN):	FID Number: <u>642024900</u>	
Current Property Owner: <u>U.S. Army Fort McCoy</u> Original RP: <u>Same</u>		
Has the property been transferred since the restriction was $\square$ No $\square$ Yes	s recorded/condition applied?	
How was site selected for audit?  Complaint Received Compliance Follow-up Age of Remedy	Other:	
Date of:   Image: Date of: 4/12/2007   Image: Description 4/12/2007 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>		
<ul> <li>Check all continuing obligations applied at case closure or</li> <li>Industrial Land-Use Restriction</li> <li>Pavement, Soil or Other Cover</li> <li>Structural Impediment</li> <li>Vapor mitigation (sub-slab pressurization system (SSD</li> <li>Site Specific Condition (describe below)</li> <li>LGU directed to take action</li> </ul>	220 222 224	
<ul> <li>Residual soil contamination &gt; RCL/SSRCL</li> <li>Monitoring well (needs abandonment)</li> <li>GW &gt; ES (on GIS Registry)</li> <li>Vapor Migration (standard paragraph re. future potentia</li> <li>Other Performance Standard</li> <li>Describe any site-specific requirements that the site owne address.</li> </ul>	86 r and/or responsible party needed to	
Were neighboring properties affected by a continuing oblig No Yes - Are these properties listed on the GIS Registry?	o - Add it to the GIS Registry* gation? form 4400-246 for affected properties*.	

State of Wisconsin	
Department of Natural Reso	urces
dnr.wi.gov	
BRRTS Number:	02-42-279996

Was a maintenance plan required at elecure?

Was a maintenance plan required at closure?
No ⊠ Yes Is it in the file or PDF or missing ? *If none was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the file/audit that one was provided on the audit date.
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?
Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? No           Yes If Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95)
If Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95) No * Yes Was the GIS Registry PDF updated? No * Yes Site Visit: 2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access.
<ol><li>Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1.</li></ol>
<ul> <li>4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:</li> <li>Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?</li> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?
Examples: a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred, excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area
Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? ⊠ No/NA □Yes Should it be replaced or repaired? □ No □Yes **
If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?  No/NA  Yes Describe
If Yes - Was DNR notified?  Yes No
Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? No/NA Yes If Yes - Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?
No Yes Describe:

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

BRRTS Number: <u>02-42-279996</u>

**Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review** 

Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)

Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

No No Ves\*\* Describe:

For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed? No Yes Describe:

| No

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure	Э
routes)?	

🛛 No

Yes If Yes - Does sampling need to be performed?

Yes\*\* Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

$\boxtimes$	NA
	Yes

No\*\* – if not, describe any follow up needed.

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

	NA
$\boxtimes$	No

Yes - if yes, describe any follow up needed.

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist? Yes - If Yes, describe any follow up needed.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)

### Compliance and Follow-up:

#### 5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document? Yes No - Describe what's not in compliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

If the site is not in compliance, what are the reasons? \_\_\_\_\_

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

L NA	
⊠Yes	
No Describe:	

**6. \*\*Are additional actions by the RP or property owner warranted at the site**? (*The intent is to return site to compliance with continuing obligation. If further remedial action is needed, we'll need to determine if the site meets the reopening criteria.*)

⊠ No □ Yes

Describe action and identify who's responsible:

### 7. \*Does the site require follow up by DNR?



contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation updating BRRTS *(check all codes that apply on pg. 5)* updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)

reopen site

## 8. \*Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions.

## Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 8/09)

9. \*Identify and update any applicable codes.

Add to	BRRTS	Meaning
BRRTS:	Code	
	185	Closure Compliance Review completed
	186	Closure Compliance Review follow up needed
	187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed
	50	Site conditions merit placement on GIS Registry
	51	Deed notice (if code was missing)
	52	Deed restriction (if code was missing)
	95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was
		updated by filing a deed notice)
	101	GIS Registry PDF modified – date DNR letter sent
	104	Site removed from GIS Registry – date DNR letter sent
	56	Land Use Control applied (use with codes 220-230)
	220	Soil at industrial use level
	222	Cap/cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)
	224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)
	226	Vapor mitigation/other vapor control (SSDS or other pressure control
		system)
	228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)
	230	LGU was directed to take a protective action
	232	Site closed with residual soil contamination > RCLs or SS RCLs (use with codes 222 and 224, at a minimum)
	234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned
	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES

# **10.** Add a copy of this audit to the case file, and send one to Central Office.