

Meridian Environmental Consulting, LLC

November 7, 2017

Carrie Stoltz Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 107 Sutliff Avenue Rhinelander, Wisconsin 54501

Subject:

Soil Vapor Extraction System: Annual Report and Recommendations

Autostop (former) 119 W. 9th Street North Ladymith, Wisconsin 54848 BRRTS No. 03-55-282548 PECFA No. 54848-1295-19 Meridian No. 05F630

Doug's Tire (former) 811 Lake Ave W. Ladysmith, Wisconsin 54848 BRRTS No. 03-55-000408 PECFA No. 54848-1215-11 Meridian No. 05F786

Dear Carrie:

This letter provides a summary of the remedial work completed at the above two sites in the past year.

This work included:

- Pumping (November 2016) to re-develop SVE wells and remove LNAPL
- SVE System Operation and Maintenance (monthly)
- Recommendations for further operation of SVE system and remediation

The remainder of this letter describes and documents the SVE operation and maintenance information and our recommendations. Form 4400-194 is provided in Appendix A.

Based on the data collected to date, we recommend continued operation of the SVE system for one more year. We also recommend the SVE vents be pumped quarterly to remove LNAPL.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The reader is referred to the project files for more detailed background information. Summary information is provided below.

A Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) system was installed in 2015 at the two properties known as Doug's and Autostop. The intent of the SVE system is to remove as much petroleum impacts as practicable from a targeted area (known as LNAPL Focus Area)(Figure 1). Petroleum vapors are removed from the LNAPL Focus Area by venting extraction wells RW-1, RW-2, RW-3, RW-4, RW-5, EX-2, EX-4, EX-5, M-1.

The extraction wells are individually connected to the SVE trailer via individual piping. Piping was installed under Highway 8 using directional boring equipment to connect to EX-2, EX-4, and EX-5, and M-1.

The SVE system is housed in a trailer located at the south end of the Autostop building (Figure 1). The mechanical system consists of a blower (5 hp) which pulls soil gas (including LNAPL vapors) from the subsurface and discharges these vapors to the atmosphere.

The SVE discharge was treated with a flame oxidizer for the first 4 months of operation. The VOC load decreased after the initial spike which is typical of soil vapor extraction. Therefore the flame oxidizer was removed February 29, 2016 and the air discharge vented directly to the atmosphere (25 ft stack). Discharge mass is subsequently controlled by regulating the air flow rate from the system using a VFD (variable frequency drive) on the blower motor.

SVE SYSTEM OPERATION

Regular (monthly) System Checks

The system is checked regularly (at least monthly). The air flow rate was measured and air samples collected. Water accumulation (i.e., condensation) in the piping sumps is removed as needed.

Air Sampling

The air discharge is subject to the following limits (25 ft stack):

Benzene

936 lbs/year

Total VOC

5.7 lb/hr

Appendix B contains the analytical reports for the air samples. The results are summarized in Table 1.

The system removed approximately 431 lbs of benzene and 19,548 lbs of VOCs (reported as gasoline) during the reporting period (September 2016 – September 2017). No discharge limits were exceeded.

A total of 53,187 lbs of VOC and 1006 lbs of benzene has been removed from the subsurface since system startup in October 2015. The first 19,625 lbs of VOC and 324 lbs of benzene were removed and treated by the oxidizer during the first 4 months of operation.

Soil Vapor Extraction System Annual Report Doug's and Autostop - Ladysmith Page 3

Graphs of the system air discharge are provided in Figure 2. The VOC concentration is reducing over time as the system continues to operate. However the current discharge indicates the system is still effectively removing hydrocarbons from the subsurface. In addition, the benzene concentrations in the discharge appear to remain stable.

Ground Water and LNAPL Measurements

Fluctuating ground water levels can influence soil vapor recovery. More of the vent screen is exposed when the ground water table is low and more vapors are expected during low water levels. In addition, LNAPL thicknesses vary with water table fluctuations.

Figure 3 is a hydrograph from MW-100. Note the ground water levels are consistent with past levels although the water levels were much lower in 2012/2013.

Table 2 summarizes the ground water and LNAPL measurements from the SVE vents. The depth to ground water and to LNAPL was measured using an Interface Probe. In addition, the product (or LNAPL) thickness was measured by using a clear bailer. Typically, the interface probe indicates more LNAPL because it measures the dissolved phase LNAPL present below the product layer.

LNAPL thicknesses have decreased since the SVE system started in late October 2015. Several key observations include:

- LNAPL is no longer measured in RW-1, RW-5, MW-200, and MW-800.
- LNAPL thickness has decreased significantly in RW-2, EX-2 and EX-5.
- The LNAPL thicknesses at vents EX-4 and M-1 have decreased although a spike was measured in EX-4 in September 2017. The spike in EX-4 may be due to northerly ground water flow and transport due to lower hydraulic pressure in EX-4 from venting.

Disposal of Remediation Waste

LNAPL was bailed from the SVE vents during each measurement event. The LNAPL is currently stored in the aboveground tank.

Water which accumulates in the knockout tank and pipe sumps is temporarily stored onsite in drums and subsequently disposed at the Bloomer Wastewater Treatment Plant.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The SVE system has effectively removed petroleum impacts from the subsurface at the two sites known as Dougs and Autostop (former). Substantial reduction in LNAPL is observed at the Autostop site with only RW-3 containing significant LNAPL. There is a decrease in LNAPL at the Dougs extraction wells EX-2, EX-5 and M-1. LNAPL remains in EX-4.

Soil Vapor Extraction System Annual Report Doug's and Autostop - Ladysmith Page 4

We recommend the system continue to operate for one more year based on the continuous removal of VOCs from the subsurface by the SVE system. We also recommend quarterly pumping of wells RW-3, EX-4, EX-5, and M-1 to remove LNAPL mass.

We recommend the following remedial actions during the next 12 months:

- The SVE system should continue operating. Monthly site visits should be conducted.
- · Monthly air sampling will continue.
- The SVE vents should be measured at least quarterly for LNAPL thickness measurements.
- We recommend the SVE vents with LNAPL be pumped at least quarterly to remove LNAPL mass and aid in remediation.
- Measurements of system operation will be recorded. This includes tables summarizing date, hour meter, air flow rate, air temperature, PID/LEL measurements, vacuum in vents, which vents are open, LNAPL/water level measurements (quarterly), etc.
- The monitoring well network at Doug's and Autostop should be sampled in the fall of 2018. This
 information will be useful to prepare Closure documents.
- An annual report will be prepared in fall 2018 summarizing the system operation, ground water sampling, and our remedial recommendations to achieve Closure with GIS Registry for Soil and Ground Water.

COST

A budget for the above recommendations will be submitted in separate correspondence.

Soil Vapor Extraction System Annual Report Doug's and Autostop - Ladysmith Page 5

SIGNATURES

I, Kenneth Shimko (Meridian Environmental Consulting, LLC), hereby certify that I am a hydrogeologist as that term is defined in s. NR712.03(1), Wis. Adm. Code, am registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. GHSS 2, Wis. Adm. Code, or licensed in accordance with the requirements of ch. GHss 3, Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the best of my knowledge, all of the information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Moridan Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date 11-7-17

I, Gary Gilbert (Meridian Environmental Consulting, LLC), hereby certify that I am a registered professional engineer in the State of Wisconsin, registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. A-E 4, Wis. Adm. Code; that this document has been prepared in accordance with the Rules of Professional Conduct in ch. A-E 8, Wis. Adm. Code; and that, to the best of my knowledge, all information contained in this document is correct and the document was prepared in compliance with all applicable requirements in chs. NR700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.

Meridian Environmental Consulting, LLC

Date 11 9/17



Table 1 Benzene and Total VOC Emissions

SVE System

Dougs/Autostop Ladysmith, Wisconsin Meridian Nos. 05F630/786

	Sample	Lab Result		Hours Operation	Discharge	Emission Rate	Emission Rate		ss Removed (lbs) oxidizer)
ample Date	Parameters	(ug/m3)	Hour Meter	During Reporting Period	Flow Rate (SCFM)	(ug/sec)	(ibs/hr)	Benzene	Gasoline*
10/26/2015	Benzene Gasoline*	1,100,000	.4	4	160	83,072 3,247,360	0.66 25.72	2.63	102.88
10/27/2015	Benzene Gasoline*	650,000 34,000,000	12.55	8.55	160	49,088 2,567,680	0.39	5.96	276.75
0/28/2015	Benzene Gasoline*	43,000,000 43,000 29,000,000	24.23	11.68	160	3,247	0.03 17.35	6.26	479.34
11/6/2015	Benzene Gasoline*	360,000 21,000,000	238.62	214,39	125	21,240	0.17	42.32	2583.13
1/16/2015	Benzene	290,000	481.5	242.88	135	1,239,000	9.81 0.15	77.87	
1/18/2015	Gasoline* Benzene	20,000,000	525.32	43,82	144	1,274,400	0.11	82.58	5034,58
2/17/2015	Gasoline* Benzene	11,000,000	1222.7	697,38	135	747,648 14,018	5.92 0.11	160,01	5294,05
1/4/2016	Gasoline* Benzene	12,000,000 290,000	1537,7	315	170	764,640 23,270	6.06 0.18	218.06	9517.35
1/22/2016	Gasoline* Benzene	15,000,000 76,000	1925.3	387.6	138	1,203,600 4,950	9.53 0.04	233,26	12520.09
2/15/2016	Gasoline* Benzene	4,700,000 340,000	2499	573.7	125	306,139 20,060	0.16	324.41	13459.87
	Gasoline* Oxidizer Offgas	23,000,000 Treatment F	Removed 2/29	/16 - Replace	d with 25 ft s	1,357,000	10.75	Cumulative Disc	19625.68 charge (untreated ro - March 1)
	Ommer Origin				u 20.11 3	-		Benzene	Gasoline*
3/29/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	420,000 8,800,000	3529	1030	90	17,842 373,824	0.14 2.96	146	3050
4/20/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	120,000	4055	526	110	6,230 519,200	0.05 4.11	171	5212
5/23/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	99,000 7,300,000	4765.8	710.8	65	3,037 223,964	0.02 1.77	189	6473
6/30/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	71,000 7,200,000	5675.1	909.3	44	1,475 149,530	0.01	199	7550
8/1/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	150,000 14,000,000	6181	505.9	50	3,540 330,400	0.03	213	8874
9/26/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	140,000	7521	1340	54	3,568 484,272	0.03	251	14013
10/12/2016	Benzene Gasoline*	46,000 7,100,000	7907	386	135	2,931 452,412	0,02	260	15397
11/3/2016	Benzene	140,000	8435	528	120	7,930	0.06	293	
12/21/2016	Gasoline* Benzene	12,000,000	9290	855	50	679,680 3,068	5.38 0.02	314	18239
1/19/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	14,000,000 210,000	9580	290	50	330,400 4,956	0.04	326	20476
2/9/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	18,000,000	9999	419	90	424,800	0.03	340	21452
3/8/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	9,200,000 95,000	10643	644	90	390,816 4,036	0.03	360	22749
4/8/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	7,300,000 16,000	11387	744	80	310,104 604	0.00	364	24330
5/15/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	2,300,000	12274	887	90	86,848 9,770	0,69	432	24842
6/14/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	5,700,000 32,000	12994	720	110	242,136 1,661	0.01	442	26543
7/11/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	4,600,000 300,000	13620	626	110	238,832 15,576	0.12	519	27905
8/9/2017	Gasoline* Benzene	6,500,000	14319	699	125	337,480 12,980	2.67	591	29578
	Gasoline*	4,900,000				289,100	2.29		31179
9/12/2017	Benzene Gasoline*	270,000 7,100,000	15135	816	110	14,018 368,632	0.11 2.92	682	33561
9/22/2017	Shut system down to measure GW/LNAPL		15366	231					
9/27/2017	Restart system		15366	0					

Totals

(9/26/16 - 9/12/17) Since Startup

Benzene (lbs)

430 1006

VOC (lbs)

19548 53187

N	r	v	n	p:	S	

* Lab report - "Gasoline" = Total VOC Hour Meter wired incorrectly by vendor. Corrected January 2016. Difference is estimated to be minimal.

Discharge Limits		
Benzene	936	lb/yr
Total VOCs	= 5.7	lb/hr

AUTOSTOP SVE WELLS


RW-1 (instal	led Augu	st 23, 20:	12)			
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1144		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1143.49		
Top of screen	elevation			1125.5		
Bottom of Scr	reen Eleva	tion		1115.5		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft)
10/26/2015	21.45	23.4	1.95	NM	1122.04	1120.09
7/30/2016	20.38	20.42	0.04	0	1123.11	1123.07
11/3/2016	20.95	21	0.05	0	1122.54	1122.49
4/8/2017	21.6	21.65	0.05	0	1121.89	1121.84
6/14/2017	19.8	19.84	0.04	0	1123.69	1123.65
9/27/2017	21.22	21.27	0.05	0	1122.27	1122.22


RW-2 (instal	led Augu	st 23, 20	12)			
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1144		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1143.85		
Top of screen	elevation			1126		
Bottom of Scr	een Eleva	tion		1116		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft
10/26/2015	21.78	23.5	1.72	NM	1121.71	1119.99
7/30/2016	21.02	22	0.98	12	1122.47	1121.49
11/3/2016	21.45	23	1.55	1	1122.04	1120.49
4/8/2017	22.01	22.15	0.14	0.5	1121.48	1121.34
6/14/2017	20.03	20.08	0.05	0	1123.46	1123.41
9/27/2017	21.8	22.25	0.45	0.5	1121.69	1121.24


led Augu	st 23, 20	12)			
tion (appr	ox)		1144		
Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1143.96		
elevation			1125.5		
een Eleva	tion		1115.5		
DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft)
21.92	24	2.08	NM	1121.57	1119.49
20.84	23.05	2.21	21	1122.65	1120.44
21.3	23.2	1.9	12	1122.19	1120.29
21.8	23.4	1.6	16	1121.69	1120.09
20.67	23	2.33	3	1122.82	1120.49
21.65	23.2	1.55	16	1121.84	1120.29
	tion (appr Elevation elevation een Eleva DTP(ft) 21.92 20.84 21.3 21.8 20.67	tion (approx) Elevation (surveyed elevation een Elevation DTP(ft) DTW(ft) 21.92 24 20.84 23.05 21.3 23.2 21.8 23.4 20.67 23	Elevation (surveyed) elevation een Elevation DTP(ft) DTW(ft) PT (ft) (IP)* 21.92 24 2.08 20.84 23.05 2.21 21.3 23.2 1.9 21.8 23.4 1.6 20.67 23 2.33	tion (approx) 1144 Elevation (surveyed) 1143.96 elevation 1125.5 een Elevation 1115.5 DTP(ft) DTW(ft) PT (ft) (IP)* PT(inch)(bailer) 21.92 24 2.08 NM 20.84 23.05 2.21 21 21.3 23.2 1.9 12 21.8 23.4 1.6 16 20.67 23 2.33 3	tion (approx) 1144 Elevation (surveyed) 1143.96 elevation 1125.5 een Elevation


RW-4 (instal	led June	12, 2015				
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1146		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed)	1145.62		
Top of screen	elevation			1131		
Bottom of Sci	reen Eleva	tion		1116		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft
10/26/2015	23.63	23.67	0.04	NM	1119.86	1119.82
7/30/2016	22.3	22.34	0.04	NM	1121.19	1121.15
11/3/2016	22.65	22.7	0.05	NM	1120.84	1120.79
4/8/2017	23.51	23.8	0.29	0	1119.98	1119.69
6/14/2017	21.58	21.62	0.04	0	1121.91	1121.87
9/27/2017	22.63	22.68	0.05	0	1120.86	1120.81

RW-5 (instal	led June	12, 2015)				
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1144.5		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1144.11		
Top of screen	elevation			1129.5		
Bottom of Scr	een Eleva	tion		1114.5		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft)
10/26/2015	21.82	22.4	0.58	NM	1121.67	1121.09
7/30/2016	21.05	21.11	0.06	0	1122.44	1122.38
11/3/2016	21.65	21.7	0.05	0	1121.84	1121.79
4/8/2017	22.25	22.29	0.04	0	1121.24	1121.2
6/14/2017	20.42	20.5	0.08	0	1123.07	1122.99
9/27/2017	21.9	21.94	0.04	0	1121.59	1121.55










RW-4



DOUGS SVE WELLS

M-1 (installe	ed June 1	1, 2015)				
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1145		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1144.89		
Top of screen	elevation			1130		
Bottom of Sci	reen Eleva	tion		1115		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft)
10/26/2015	NM	NM		NM		
7/30/2016	21.84	23.3	1.46	9	1121.65	1120.19
11/3/2016	NM	NM		14		
4/8/2017	22.65	23.7	1.05	6	1120.84	1119.79
6/14/2017	20.63	22	1.37	8	1122.86	1121.49
9/27/2017	22.82	23.78	0.96	2	1120.67	1119.71

EX-2 (installe	ed 1/19/	1992)				
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1144.25		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed)	1144.08		
Top of screen	elevation			1124		
Bottom of Scr	een Eleva	tion		1109		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft
10/26/2015	NM	NM		NM		
7/30/2016	21.1	21.5	0.4	2	1122.39	1121.99
11/3/2016	NM	NM		0		
4/8/2017	21.85	22.3	0.45	1	1121.64	1121.19
6/14/2017	19.44	19.6	0.16	0.5	1124.05	1123.89
9/27/2017	21.92	22.09	0.17	0.25	1121.57	1121.4

EX-4 (install	led 11/2/	2002)				
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1145		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1144.89		
Top of screen	elevation			1131		
Bottom of Sci	een Eleva	tion		1111		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft)
10/26/2015	NM	NM		NM		
7/30/2016	21.25	23	1.75	17	1122.24	1120.49
11/3/2016	NM	NM		12		
4/8/2017	22,48	24.6	2.12	24	1121.01	1118.89
6/14/2017	20.93	21.2	0.27	0.5	1122.56	1122.29
9/27/2017	22.18	26.6	4.42	>36	1121.31	1116.89

EX-5 (install	ed 11/2/	1992)				
Surface Eleva	tion (appr	ox)		1145		
Top of Casing	Elevation	(surveyed	1)	1144.77		
Top of screen	elevation			1130		
Bottom of Screen Elevation				1110		
Meas. Date	DTP(ft)	DTW(ft)	PT (ft) (IP)*	PT(inch)(bailer)	TOF Elev (ft)	GW Elev (ft)
10/26/2015	NM	NM		NM		
7/30/2016	21.75	24.05	2.3	7	1121.74	1119.44
11/3/2016	NM	NM		12		
4/8/2017	22.52	23.9	1.38	8	1120.97	1119.59
6/14/2017	20.55	21.7	1.15	6	1122.94	1121.79
9/27/2017	22.8	23.5	0.7	1.5	1120.69	1119.99




DTW - depth to water (interface probe)


PT (IP) - product thickness using interface probe (IP) (feet)


PT (bailer) - product thickness measured visually with bailer (inches)


TOF - top of fluid elevation (LNAPL and/or GW)

GW - ground water elevation using interface probe














FIGURES














APPENDIX A

Form 4400-194

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921 dnr.wi.gov

Remediation Site Operation, Maintenance, Monitoring & Optimization Report

Form 4400-194 (R 11/14)

Page 1 of 28

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS, PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY OF THIS FORM: Completion of this form is required under s. NR 724.13(3), Wis. Adm. Code. A narrative report or letter containing the equivalent information required in this form may be submitted in lieu of the actual form. Failure to submit this form as required is a violation of s. NR 724.13(3), Wis. Adm. Code, and is subject to the penalties in s. 292.99, Wis. Stats. This form must be submitted every six months for soil or groundwater remediation projects that report operation and maintenance progress in accordance with s. NR 724.13(3), Wis. Adm. Code.

Note: Long-term monitoring results submitted in accordance with s. NR 724.17(3), Wis. Adm. Code are required to be submitted within 10 business days of receiving sampling results and are not required to be submitted using this form. However, portions of this form require monitoring data summary information that may be based on information previously submitted in accordance with s. NR 724.17(3), Wis. Adm. Code.

Note: Responsible parties should check with the State Project Manager assigned to the site to determine if this form is required to be submitted at sites responded to under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response and Compensation Act (commonly known as Superfund) or an equivalent State lead Superfund response.

Note: Responsible parties should check with the State Project Manager assigned to the site to determine if any of the information required in this form may be omitted or changed and obtain prior written approval for any omissions or changes.

Submittal of this form is not a substitute for reporting required by Department programs such as Waste Water or Air Management. Personally identifiable information on this form is not intended to be used for any other purpose than tracking progress of the remediation by the Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment.

Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.). Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.

Note: There is a separate semi-annual report required under s. NR 700.11(1), Wis. Adm. Code. Reporting under that provision is through an internet-based form:

http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Brownfields/documents/regs/NR700progreport.pdf

Section GI - General Site	Information					13.0	1313	
A. General Information 1. Site name						1		
Autostop & Doug's (two	sites combined)							
2. Reporting period from:	10/01/2016	To:	10/01/2017	Days in			365	
Regulatory agency (enter	DNR, DATCP and/	or other)	4. BRRTS ID I	No. (2 digit pr	ogram-2 digit	county-6	digit site	e specific)
DNR			03-55-28254	8- Autos	tob 4 o	3-55	-00	0408 -
5. Site location	10		la us		as the text			
Region	County		Address					
Northern Region	Rusk		Hwy 8 & 2			1		I was
Municipality name City	○ Town ○ Villag	е		Township	The second second	Section	1/4	1/4 1/4
Ladysmith				N	Ow			
6. Responsible party Name			7. Consultan			m ar ynn		DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
			Select if submitta	the following	information h	as chanç	ged since	the last
V. Richardson & S. Clark Mailing address			Company na					
walling address			- A		al Consultin	a II C		
			Mailing addr		ai Consultin		Phone no	ımber
Phone number					11.00 1.50		nono m	arribor
			2/11 North	Elco Rd, F	all Creek, 54	1/42	(715)8	332-6608
8. Contaminants								
Petroleum								
Soil types (USCS or USD)	A)							
silty sand								
10. Hydraulic conductivity(cr	m/sec):		11. Average	linear velocit	y of groundwa	ater (ft/yr)	
.0001								
12. If soil is treated ex situ, i	s the treatment loca	ition off site?	O Yes O No					
If yes, give location: Reg	gion	**************************************		unty				
The second secon								
Municipality name	City O Town O V	illage		Township	Range OE	Section	1/4	1/4 1/4
	man a man a man or man			N	OW			300.5042
				1.4	1	1		1

Site name: Autostop & Doug's (two sites combined) Reporting period from: 10/01/2016 To: 10/01/2017	Remediation Site Operation, Maintenance, Monitoring & Optimization Report
Days in period: 365	Form 4400-194 (R 11/14) Page 2 of 28
B. Remediation Method	
Only submit sections that apply to an individual site. Check all that apply:	
Groundwater extraction (submit a completed Section GW-1). Free product recovery (submit a completed Section GW-1).	
In situ air sparging (submit a completed Section GW-1).	
Groundwater natural attenuation (submit a completed Section GW-3).	
Other groundwater remediation method (submit a completed Section C	
Soil venting (including soil vapor extraction building venting and biover	
Soil natural attenuation (submit a completed Section IS-2).	
Other in situ soil remediation method (submit a completed Section IS-	3).
Biopiles (submit a completed Section ES-1).	
☐ Landspreading/thinspreading of petroleum contaminated soil (submit a	a completed Section ES-2).
Other ex situ remediation method (submit a completed Section ES-3).	
Site is a landfill (submit a completed Section LF-1).	
C. General Effectiveness Evaluation for All Active Systems	经济达纳基本的
If the remediation is active (not natural attentuation), complete this subsection	otion.
	○ No
If the answer is no, explain whether or not modifications are necessary	to achieve the goal that was previously established in design.
Are modifications to the system warranted to improve effectiveness If yes, explain:	○ Yes No
3. Is natural attenuation an effective low cost option at this time?	Yes No
4. Is closure sampling warranted at this time? Yes No	
5. Are there any modifications that can be made to the remediation to imp	prove cost effectiveness? Yes No
If yes, explain: Pumping of extraction wells EX-4, EX-5, M-1, RW-3 will enha	nce removal of LNAPI
t amping of extraction wens DX-4, DX-3, M-1, RX+3 will clinia	nee removal of Ervir E
D. Economic and Cost Data to Date	
Total investigation cost:	
2. Implementation costs (design, capital and installation costs, excluding in	investigation costs:
Total costs during the previous reporting period:	et.
4. Total costs during this reporting period: Autostop - 20,3	95.78 + Dougi 12,903.29
5. Total anticipated costs for the next reporting period: SAME	
6. Are any unusual or one-time costs listed in the reporting periods covered	ed by D.3., D.4. or D.5. above? Yes No
If yes, explain:	
7. If closure is anticipated within 12 months, estimated costs for project cl	loseout: a A

Site name: Autostop & Doug's (two sites Reporting period from: 10/01/2016	To: 10/01/2017	Monitoring & Optimization	n Report
Days in period: 365	10/0/12017	Form 4400-194 (R 11/14)	Page 3 of 2
E. Name(s), Signature(s) and Date	e of Person(s) Submitting F	orm	
Legibly print name, date and sign. C	Only persons qualified to submation, monitoring or an investig	it reports under ch. NR 712 Wis. Adm. Code gation. Other persons may sign this form for	are to sign this form for sites with no response
Registered Professional Engineer	s:		
of ch. A-E 4, Wis. Adm. Code; that the	nis document has been prepar best of my knowledge, all infor	State of Wisconsin, registered in accordance ed in accordance with the rules of Professio mation contained in this document is correct 700 to 726, Wis. Adm. Code.	nal Conduct in ch. A-E
Print name		Title	
Gary Gilbert		Engineer	
Signature Hydrogeologists:		Date 1/9/17	
	in this document is correct an	n s. NR 712.03(1), Wis. Adm. Code, and that the document was prepared in compliance. Title	
Kenneth Shimko		Project Manager	
Signature	-	Date 11-7-17	
	ment is correct and the docum	R 712.03(3), Wis. Adm. Code, and that, to the nent was prepared in compliance with all appropriate. Title Date	
Other Persons:		TOTAL .	
Print name		Title	
Signature		Date	
Professional Seal(s), if applicable:			

Site name: Autostop & Doug's (two sites of	combined)	itemediation one of	peration, Maintenance,
Reporting period from: 10/01/2016	To: 10/01/2017	Monitoring & Optim	ization Report
Days in period: 365		Form 4400-194 (R 11/14)	Page 9 of 28
Section IS-1, Soil Venting (Includ	ling Soil Vapor Extraction, I	Building Venting and Bioventing	9)
A. Soil Venting Operation			
Note: This form is not required for be and are not considered part of ongoin		s that are installed proactively to pro	otect building occupants/users
1. Number of air extraction wells avai	lable and number of wells actu	ally in use during the period:	9
 Number of days of operation (only 365 	list the number of days the sys	stem actually operated, if unknown e	explain):
System utilization in percent (days 91	of operation divided by reporti	ng time period multiplied by 100). If	f < 80%, explain:
Average depth to groundwater:	21 Fl. gpm		
B. Building Basement/Subslab Ve	enting System Operation	建筑双关约翰里蒙州省9万代	
		in use during the period:	(A)
1. Number of venting points available	and number of points actually		explain):
1. Number of venting points available	and number of points actually		explain):
Number of venting points available Number of days of operation (only	and number of points actually list the number of days the sys	stem actually operated, if unknown e	Deficiency (Control of the Control o
Number of venting points available Number of days of operation (only System utilization in percent (days)	and number of points actually list the number of days the sys	stem actually operated, if unknown e	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Number of venting points available Number of days of operation (only System utilization in percent (days)	and number of points actually list the number of days the sys	stem actually operated, if unknown e	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
1. Number of venting points available 2. Number of days of operation (only 3. System utilization in percent (days C. Effectiveness Evaluation	e and number of points actually list the number of days the sys s of operation divided by report	stem actually operated, if unknown e	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
1. Number of venting points available 2. Number of days of operation (only 3. System utilization in percent (days C. Effectiveness Evaluation 1. Average contaminant removal rate	e and number of points actually list the number of days the system of operation divided by report a for the entire system:	stem actually operated, if unknown earling time period multiplied by 100).	f < 80%, explain:
1. Number of venting points available 2. Number of days of operation (only 3. System utilization in percent (days C. Effectiveness Evaluation 1. Average contaminant removal rate 2. Average contaminant removal rate	e and number of points actually list the number of days the system of operation divided by report a for the entire system: a per well or venting point:	ing time period multiplied by 100). 60 pounds per day pounds per day per day for the entire system, or if the	If < 80%, explain:
1. Number of venting points available 2. Number of days of operation (only 3. System utilization in percent (days C. Effectiveness Evaluation 1. Average contaminant removal rate 2. Average contaminant removal rate 3. If the average contaminant removarate per well is less than one tenth	e and number of points actually list the number of days the system of operation divided by report of the entire system: a per well or venting point: all rate is less than one pound per of a pound per day, evaluate the	ing time period multiplied by 100). 60 pounds per day pounds per day per day for the entire system, or if the	If < 80%, explain: lay e average contaminant removal
1. Number of venting points available 2. Number of days of operation (only 3. System utilization in percent (days C. Effectiveness Evaluation 1. Average contaminant removal rate 2. Average contaminant removal rate 3. If the average contaminant removarate per well is less than one tenth	e and number of points actually list the number of days the system of operation divided by report of the entire system: a per well or venting point: all rate is less than one pound per of a pound per day, evaluate the biodegradable and confirmation	stem actually operated, if unknown extensions time period multiplied by 100). It is a second of the following:	If < 80%, explain: lay e average contaminant removal
2. Number of days of operation (only) 3. System utilization in percent (days) C. Effectiveness Evaluation 1. Average contaminant removal rate 2. Average contaminant removal rate 3. If the average contaminant removal rate per well is less than one tenth a. If contaminants are aerobically	e and number of points actually list the number of days the system of operation divided by report of the entire system: a per well or venting point: all rate is less than one pound prof a pound per day, evaluate the biodegradable and confirmation of a percent	stem actually operated, if unknown extensions time period multiplied by 100). It is a few pounds per day pounds per day pounds per day for the entire system, or if the following: In borings have not been drilled in the	If < 80%, explain: lay e average contaminant removal

- Or, perform an in situ respirometry test in a zone of high contamination. Do not perform the test in an air extraction well, use a gas probe or water table well. If a zero order rate of decay based on oxygen depletion is less than 2 mg/kg per day, then you should drill confirmation borings, if the entire site should be considered for closure. If the rate of decay is between 2 and 10 mg/kg, operate for one more reporting period before evaluating further. If the zero order rate of decay is greater than 10 mg/kg total hydrocarbons, continue operating the system in a manner than maximizes aerobic biodegradation.
- b. If contaminants are not aerobically biodegradable and confirmation borings have not been recently drilled during the past year, you should drill confirmation borings during the next reporting period if the entire site should be considered for closure.
- c. If soil borings were drilled during the past year and soil contamination remains above acceptable levels, explain if the system effectiveness can be increased and/or if other options need to be considered to achieve cleanup criteria.

D. Additional Attachments

Attach the following to this form:

- Well and soil sample location map indicating all air extraction wells. If forced air injection wells are also in use, identify those
- If water table monitoring wells are present at the site, a map of well locations.
- Time versus vapor phase contaminant concentration graph.
- Time versus cumulative contaminant removal graph.
- Groundwater elevations table, if water table wells are present at the site; also list screen lengths and elevations.
- Table of soil contaminant chemistry data.
- Soil gas data, if gas probes are used to monitor subsurface conditions in locations other than where air is extracted.
- System operational data table.

APPENDIX B

Analytical Reports (results page only)

Client: REI Engineering, Inc. Project/Site: Meridian, #6763 TestAmerica Job ID: 310-90615-1

Client Sample ID: Off-Gas

Date Collected: 09/26/16 12:15 Date Received: 09/30/16 09:00 Sample Air Volume: 2 L Lab Sample ID: 310-90615-1

Matrix: Air

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	140	69	22		11	10/06/16 13:50	1	JCM
M-41-1-4550 NIGOLINA	athed 1550 (Madified)							
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M	ethou 1550 (Modified)							
Wethod: 1550 - NIOSH M	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M Analyte		Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC

Project/Site: SVE, Ladysmith, #630/78b

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-91818-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 10/12/16 00:00 Date Received: 10/17/16 10:00 Lab Sample ID: 310-91818-1

Matrix: Air

Sample Air Volume: 1.01 L

Analyte	Result ug/Sample	Result mg/m3	Result ppm	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	46	46	14		11	10/26/16 11:57	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOS	SH Method 1550 (Modified	d)						
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
	roout							
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3		Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC

Project/Site: Autostop, Doug's, #630/#786, SVE Exhaust

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-93415-1

Lab Sample ID: 310-93415-1

Matrix: Air

Date Collected: 11/03/16 00:00 Date Received: 11/08/16 10:45 Sample Air Volume: 1.01 L

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Analyte	Result ug/Sample	Result mg/m3	Result ppm	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	140	140	42		11	11/17/16 10:10	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOS	H Method 1550 (Modified	i)						
					control of the			
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	Result ug/Sample	Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC Project/Site: Autostop, Doug's, SVE Exhaust

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-96591-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 12/21/16 00:00

Lab Sample ID: 310-96591-1

Matrix: Air

Date Received: 12/27/16 11:10 Sample Air Volume: 1.01 L

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	130	130	42		11	01/06/17 09:00	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH	Method 1550 (Modified)							
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3		Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC Project/Site: Autostop, Dougs, SVE Exhaust TestAmerica Job ID: 310-98190-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 01/19/17 00:00

Lab Sample ID: 310-98190-1

Matrix: Air

Date Received: 01/24/17 11:12 Sample Air Volume: 1.02 L

Sample Container: IH - Coconut Shell Charcoal Tube, 150 mg

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	210	210	65		11	02/01/17 12:06	1	JCM
	sthad 4FEO (Madisiad)							
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M	etnoa 1550 (Modified)							
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M Analyte	그러 보고 있는데 이렇 사이들이 없어 얼마를 하게 되었다.	Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

4

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC

Project/Site: Doug's Autostop

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-99433-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 02/09/17 00:00

Lab Sample ID: 310-99433-1

Matrix: Air

Date Received: 02/14/17 10:50 Sample Air Volume: 1.01 L

Analyte	Result ug/Sample	Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	100	100	32		11	02/16/17 11:00	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Met	hod 1550 (Modified)							
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Met	hod 1550 (Modified) Result	Result	Result		RL			
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Met Analyte	personal proprietation and the second	Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC

Project/Site: Autostop

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-101208-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 03/08/17 00:00 Date Received: 03/13/17 11:40

Sample Air Volume: 1.05 L

Lab Sample ID: 310-101208-1

Matrix: Air

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	95	90	28		11	03/16/17 14:14	1	DLK
Method: 1550 - NIOSH	Method 1550 (Modified)							
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
		4		O IIII	unit amaila	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3		Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzeu	Dirac	Milalyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC Project/Site: Autostop, Benzene/Gasoline

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-103287-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Lab Sample ID: 310-103287-1

Matrix: Air

Date Collected: 04/08/17 00:00 Date Received: 04/12/17 09:10 Sample Air Volume: 1.01 L

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	16	16	5.1		11	04/20/17 13:03	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M	ethod 1550 (Modified)							
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
	Result	resuit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	1,300,110	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC Project/Site: Meridian Air Sampling Project

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-106070-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 05/15/17 00:00

Lab Sample ID: 310-106070-1

Matrix: Air

Date Received: 05/18/17 11:55 Sample Air Volume: 1.04 L

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	230	220	70		11	05/26/17 09:09	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIO	SH Method 1550 (Modified	d)						
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3		Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC

Project/Site: Auto Stop, Dougs

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-108131-1

Lab Sample ID: 310-108131-1

Matrix: Air

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust Date Collected: 06/14/17 00:00

Date Received: 06/19/17 11:00 Sample Air Volume: 1.05 L

Analyte	Result ug/Sample	Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	32	31	9.6		11	06/22/17 12:20	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH M	ethod 1550 (Modified)							
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3		Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
		Andrews .			150	06/23/17 10:38	-	JCM

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC

Project/Site: Autostop, Doug's

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-109948-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 07/11/17 00:00

Date Received: 07/14/17 11:20

Sample Air Volume: 1.01 L

Lab Sample ID: 310-109948-1

Matrix: Air

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	300	290	92		11	07/20/17 10:25	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Me	ethod 1550 (Modified)							
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Me	ethod 1550 (Modified) Result	Result	Result		RL			
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Me		Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC Project/Site: Autostop, Doug's, SVE Exhaust TestAmerica Job ID: 310-112055-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Lab Sample ID: 310-112055-1

Date Collected: 08/09/17 00:00 Date Received: 08/14/17 11:00 Matrix: Air

Sample Air Volume: 1.03 L

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	220	210	67		11	08/21/17 12:55	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH	Method 1550 (Modified)							
	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3		Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Gasoline	4900	4800			150	08/21/17 12:57	4	JCM

Client: Meridian Environmental Consulting LLC Project/Site: Autostop, Doug's, SVE Exhaust

TestAmerica Job ID: 310-114432-1

Client Sample ID: SVE Exhaust

Date Collected: 09/12/17 00:00

Lab Sample ID: 310-114432-1

Matrix: Air

Date Received: 09/15/17 11:10 Sample Air Volume: 1.03 L

	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte	ug/Sample	mg/m3	ppm	Qualifier	ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst
Benzene	270	260	82		11	09/21/17 14:28	1	JCM
Method: 1550 - NIOSH Method:	thod 1550 (Modified)							
Method. 1990 - MIOSIT ME	mod 1550 (modified)							
metriou. 1550 - NIOSH Me	Result	Result	Result		RL			
Analyte		Result mg/m3	Result	Qualifier	RL ug/Sample	Analyzed	Dil Fac	Analyst