

Smith, Ralph N - DNR

To: Dave Larsen
Cc: Smith, Ralph N - DNR
Subject: April 6, 2018 RE: April 2, 2018 Webster Burnett Oil Company (Fmr) PECFA Costs Approval of \$913.85 via Email ONLY

Hi Dave,

Thanks for the clarification and amended costs request yesterday, April 5, 2018. I've adjusted the PECFA Budget Approval upward to account for Task 12 Mob/Demob change from Consultant (\$512.10) to Commodity Provider (\$526.05) or DP80, which is for \$526.05 or a difference of \$13.95 tacked on to the PECFA Budget. Also amended is Task 16, Hand Auger Boring HA05 for 10 borings at \$89.90/boring for a grand total of \$899.90 is also added to the previous PECFA costs approval dated 4/2/2018. Bringing the total PECFA costs approval amendment dated today, April 6, 2018 to **\$913.85**.

This costs approval for these amendment items are via Email ONLY. Please include this email with the PECFA Claim at Claim Review time for consideration of PECFA reimbursement. A copy of this email and email string is to be posted on BRRTS on the Web, and is duly noted on Tracker on the Web.

If I can be of further assistance, contact me anytime.

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Ralph N. Smith

Northern Region Hydrogeologist
Remediation and Redevelopment Program
Division of Environmental Management
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
101 S. Webster St., PO Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921
Phone: (608) 261-6543
Fax: (608) 267-7646
Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov



From: Dave Larsen [<mailto:dlarsen@reiengineering.com>]
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 1:16 PM
To: Smith, Ralph N - DNR <Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>
Subject: RE: April 2, 2018 Webster Burnett Oil Company (Fmr) PECFA Costs Approval

Ralph, I reviewed the approval request and the approval authorization and realized we both missed tasks on the approval and thought I would amend the request and maybe we could start over. FYI – I had assigned the incremental

mob task to the commodity mobilization rather than the consultant mobilization (which gave the consultant 3 mobilizations tasks and none for commodity provider) and it looks like you omitted the hand auger collection task.

Intent is as follows:

- Mobilize to site and complete 3 GP borings to 12' each. Collect 2 samples from each boring for lab analysis (PVOC/N)
- Collect composite soil samples from 10 standalone hand auger borings from the soil stockpile at Hoffman Corners site. Intent is to use stockpile for Burnett Oil backfill post excavation. Submit 2 composite soil samples from stockpile (VOC/PAH RCRA metals).

Invoicing:

Mobilizations: Consulting mob covered under hand auger mob task (HA10), Geoprobe mob covered under incremental mob task (IMD05). Commodity mob covered under DP80 task

Site time:

- Consulting time for GP covered under GP05 task
- Commodity time for GP covered under DP35
- Commodity time for abandonment covered under DP60 task
- Consulting time for 10 proposed hand augers covered under HA05 task

Change Order

Variance for RCRA metals analysis (2 samples at \$69.00/sample)




Hopefully this addresses the intent of the proposed scope of services request, if you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G.

Senior Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us :   

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.

From: Smith, Ralph N - DNR [<mailto:Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:21 PM

To: Dave Larsen <dlarsen@reiengineering.com>

Subject: RE: April 2, 2018 Webster Burnett Oil Company (Fmr) PECFA Costs Approval

Hi Dave, OK. If the dollar amounts in the budget are correct, I can make a note in Tracker, and I hope that will resolve the issue of "Commodity" vs "Consulting" Providers. I'm sure it's happened before somewhere.

Ralph Smith

Phone: (608) 261-6543

Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov

From: Dave Larsen [<mailto:dlarsen@reiengineering.com>]

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:03 PM

To: Smith, Ralph N - DNR <Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>

Subject: RE: April 2, 2018 Webster Burnett Oil Company (Fmr) PECFA Costs Approval

Thanks Ralph, at 1st glance something is not right. Consulting has 3 mobs and commodity had none. Not sure if I sent it that way (I know I made multiple edits on this one) or not.



Consulting should get the hand auger mob and the incremental for GP work (and no GP mob) and commodity should have a GP mob also. Take a look and if I need to revisit this approval, let me know.

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G

Senior Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us :   

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.

From: Smith, Ralph N - DNR [<mailto:Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:53 PM

To: Dave Larsen <dlarsen@reiengineering.com>

Subject: April 2, 2018 Webster Burnett Oil Company (Fmr) PECFA Costs Approval

Hi Dave,

Good Afternoon. Here is the costs approval letter with attachments, and the hard copy is in the mail.

Any questions or concerns, call anytime.

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Ralph N. Smith

Northern Region Hydrogeologist
Remediation and Redevelopment Program
Division of Environmental Management
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
101 S. Webster St., PO Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921
Phone: (608) 261-6543
Fax: (608) 267-7646
Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov




Amended version. Since we have 2 proposed mobilization tasks, I included the hand auger mobilization and covered the GP mobilization as an incremental mob.

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G

Senior Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us : 

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.

From: Smith, Ralph N - DNR [<mailto:Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 9:21 AM
To: Dave Larsen <dlarsen@reiengineering.com>
Subject: RE: SOW's Requests

Please amend, we want it to be transparent mainly.

Thanks,

Ralph Smith

Phone: (608) 261-6543

Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov

From: Dave Larsen [<mailto:dlarsen@reiengineering.com>]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 9:08 AM

To: Smith, Ralph N - DNR <Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>

Subject: RE: SOW's Requests




I'm fine with that, no one knows the sources of the dirt and this will be more of a pass/fail approach and not as expensive as what I proposed. Can you reduce the soil lab charges from 10 to 2, or should I amend and resubmit?

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G.

Senior Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us :   

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.

From: Smith, Ralph N - DNR [<mailto:Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:53 AM

To: Dave Larsen <dlarsen@reiengineering.com>

Subject: RE: SOW's Requests

Thanks, I don't see why we couldn't use composite soil samples? I would be fine with the ten soil samples being composited; down to two soil samples being analyzed? It's not like there are distinctly ten different sources – and we want to characterize it as one soil mass for it's intended potential use? What are your thoughts?

Ralph Smith

Phone: (608) 261-6543

Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov

From: Dave Larsen [<mailto:dlarsen@reiengineering.com>]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:31 AM

To: Smith, Ralph N - DNR <Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>

Subject: RE: SOW's Requests




Burnett Oil cost request. FYI – there is no backup documentation for the variance (RCRA metals analysis), I just provided the costs. I proposed 10 hand auger borings on the stockpile, I am not sure if we will need all 10, but I don't think we will need more than 10.

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G

Senior Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us :   

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.

From: Smith, Ralph N - DNR [<mailto:Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 3:41 PM

To: Dave Larsen <dlarsen@reiengineering.com>

Subject: RE: SOW's Requests

Hi Dave,

- Bay Dental - it would be desirable to get costs estimates for monitoring well abandonments included with the costs to closure PECFA costs estimate. This case was reviewed previously by the Closure Committee and I think it would be appropriate to include those costs (incl. all costs to closure, of course) in this budget request at this time.
- Burnett Oil – GP soil proposal, I think we are waiting on the estimates to properly characterize the proposed soil pile as backfill which is located at Hoffman Corner/Hoffman Oil in Webster and in turn, use as backfill for Burnett Oil, and that this costs estimate would be added to the GP soil proposal is how I understood where things are at?
- Hedlund – I hope to review it and get it approved as early as EOB this week, knock on wood.

Ralph Smith

Phone: (608) 261-6543

Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov

From: Dave Larsen [<mailto:dlarsen@reiengineering.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 3:28 PM
To: Smith, Ralph N - DNR <Ralph.Smith@wisconsin.gov>
Subject: SOW's Requests

Hello Ralph, just trying to stay on top of the PECFA work I have submitted for your review.

I believe we have SOW's submitted for the following projects:

- Bay Dental – closure approval request
- Burnett Oil – GP soil proposal
- Hedlund – just submitted soil excavation proposal

I am getting rebid numbers back on demo and excavation work at Kinbloms – hope to have estimate to you soon.

If you could update me on status, it would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you,

David N. Larsen P.G

Senior Hydrogeologist / Professional Geologist



Connect with us : [in](#) [f](#) [g+](#)

Confidentiality Notice: This message is intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please disregard.