State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921					Remediation & RedevelopmentContinuing Obligation ReviewForm 4400-232 (R 04/19)Page 1 of 8			
BRRTS II) No. <u>02-0</u>	2-0000	02	D.	NOD	Daview Date	08/24/2021	
Reviewer:	Jeff Pad	ldock		Ke	gion: <u>NOR</u>	Review Date:	08/24/2021	
Site Name	e: <u>Harbor</u>	Bait Bu	lk Plant					
						R5242.pdf . Steps with		
follow up	; ** denote	e RP/pro	operty owner follow u rea in each section to	p. If auditing a '	VPLE site, use the vise	he applicable LUST or I	ERP BRRTS	
File Revie					in not otherwise	aduressed.		
		and the	file if needed, to iden	tify the File Rev	view information	:		
Site Addre					City		ZIP Code	
	: & 4th Av	onuo W	l'est		Ashland			
			Number (PIN)		FID Number			
201-0196		noadon			802059830			
	s-0000 esponsible	Person	1		802039830			
Louetta E	•							
		on transf	iorrad aince the continu	uing obligation w	a recorded/appli			
·			erred since the continu	ung obligation wa	as recorded/appri	ed? No Yes		
ii res: C	urrent Pro	peny Ov	vner					
	hone Num	bor		Email				
F	none Num	ibei						
Salaat all	oontinuing	obligatio	ns applied (at case clos		aval ar lattar to 1 (<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
Add to	AC in	obligatio	lis applied (at case clos	sure of RAP appr		30).		
BRRTS	BRRTS	AC		Ac	tion Code (AC) N	Meaning		
		51	Deed notice					
		52	Deed restriction for soil					
		730	Groundwater use restri					
		95		•		triction was updated by fil	ing a deed notice)	
		101	GIS Registry PDF mod					
		104	Site removed from GIS	v ,				
<u> </u>		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption					
		605		Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)				
		56	Continuing Obligation a		codes 220-238)			
		46	Impacted Right-of-Way					
		220	Soil at industrial use lev					
		222	Cover/engineered cont			/er, etc.)		
		224	Structural impediment		structures)			
		226	Vapor mitigation/respon					
		228	Site-specific (identify in					
		230	LGU was directed to ta	-				
<u> </u>		232	Residual soil contamina		KULS (USE with AC	, 220, 222, 224)		
		234	Monitoring well needs t					
		236	Site closed with ground					
		238	Maintenance and inspe		ion required to be	submitted		
		185	Closure Compliance R					
<u> </u>		186	Closure Compliance R					
<u> </u>		187	Closure Compliance R		-	NO 400 /: 1 ···· 1 ···		
		99	Use this code with com	iments, for actions	s not listed under /	AC 186 (i.e. submittal of in	spection reports)	

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Page 2 of 8

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action C	ode)	
□ Vapor Mitigation AC 226□ Green Spanner□ VPLE with AC 56☑ AC 220, 2	ce Grant AC 605	e of Remedy omplaint Received egional Priority
Date of:		
 ➢ Final Closure 05/17/2010 ☐ Certificate of Completion ☐ Green Space Grant 	Remedial Action Plan Appr	on Letter
Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that th N/A		needed to address:
Is the site on BRRTS as having residual contamination a		applicable action and as (56, ata)
Were neighboring properties affected?	Ŭ,	applicable action codes (56, etc.)*
If yes, are these properties listed in BRRTS with AC	56?	RTS, use form 4400-246*
Was a maintenance plan required at closure? $ \bigcirc $ NA (No \bigcirc Yes – It is: \bigcirc in the file	○ PDF ○ missing
If no maintenance plan was required, offer the prope up section of the audit that one was provided on the		spection log, and note in the follow
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the	Register of Deeds? O Yes)No 🔿 NA
Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by	DNR? 🔿 No	
	○ Yes: Was BRRTS update	ed? (95)
	Was the CO PDF up	pdated? O Yes O No*
Notes:		
Based on previous audit, former adjacent property ow	er refused to have deed restriction	added.

Site Visit:

- 2. Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).
- 3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
- 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?	Yes	🔿 No
---	-----	------

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

🖲 No

○ Yes – Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 3 of 8

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair? No/NA

loropan	•	ullet	r
		-	

⊖ Yes –	Should it be replaced or repaired?	─ Yes**	
0	1 1	0	0

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

- No
- Yes Explain:

Was the DNR notified? () Yes () No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

- No
- Yes Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

- No
- Yes Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

- No
- Yes Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

- No
- Yes Does sampling need to be performed?
 - () No
 - Yes** Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

- Yes
- NA
- No^{**} Describe any follow up needed:

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

- \bigcirc NA
- No
- Yes Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

- No
- Yes Describe any follow up needed:

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 4 of 8

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

No

○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Notes:

Adjacent property (201-01969-0000) was sold on July 1, 2021.

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

• Yes

O No – Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

- ⊖ Yes
- NA
- No Describe:

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- ⊖ Yes
- NA
- No Why not?

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

-) Yes
- NA
- No If no, why not?
- **6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site?** The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)

No

○ Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 5 of 8

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR?

No	
⊖ Yes:	contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation
	updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)
	reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)
	other:

- 8.* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD_185_CO_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD_186_Follow_Up_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.

Date added: 09/02/2021

Title: Harbor Bait Bulk Plant/02-02-000002/8.24.2021/View of subject property looking West.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 6 of 8



Title: Harbor Bait Bulk Plant/02-02-000002/8.24.2021/View of adjacent, impacted property looking Northeast.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 7 of 8



Title: Harbor Bait Bulk Plant/02-02-000002/8.24.2021/View of impacted adjacent property looking Northeast.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Date added: 09/02/2021

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 8 of 8



Title: Harbor Bait Bulk Plant/02-02-000002/8.24.2021/View of adjacent impacted property looking East.

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}