# Lauridsen, Keld B

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: RICHARD BOICE[SMTP:BOICE.RICHARD@epamail.epa.gov] Tuesday, December 22, 1998 1:44 PM LAURIK@DNR.STATE.WI.US JFASSBENDER@HSIGEOTRANS.COM Better Brite QAPP



WordPerfect 6.0

WordPerfect 6.0

Attached are U.S. EPA's comments on the QAPP. L. Finkelberg's comment 2 on Section III is partially in error. Although U.S. EPA Region V should review and approve the QAPP, this can be done by the RPM rather than the FSS QA reviewer.

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

**DATE:** December 22, 1998

**SUBJECT:** QAPP for Better Brite Site

FROM: Richard Boice, RPM Superfund Division, U.S. EPA

TO: Keld B. Lauridsen, Hydrogeologist, WDNR

Following are my comments on the QAPP:

SECTION 1.5: Since the confirmational soil samples are critical in determining the success of the remedy, this sampling and analysis should be addressed in the QAPP, in addition to the ground water monitoring.

SECTION 1.7.2, and MONITORING PROGRAM PLAN: Low-flow sampling of the monitoring wells should be preferred. If low-flow sampling is not possible without pumping the monitoring wells dry, this should be explained.

SECTION 1.7.2.2: If after the first two years, you are confident of hydraulic capture at the Zinc Shop, why not reduce sampling to every four years like the Chrome Shop?

SECTION 2: The person or organization responsible for data validation should be identified.

SECTION 2.2: Since this is a State lead project, the RPM will only be involved at certain points in the process, such as review of the final design, and the prefinal and final inspections.

#### **MEMORANDUM** ·

#### SRT-4J

- **DATE :** December 09, 1998
- SUBJECT: Review of the QAPP for Groundwater Monitoring at Better Brite Plating, INC., De Pere, WI.
- FROM: L. Finkelberg, Chemist Field Services Section (FSS)
  - TO: R. Boice, RPM

I have reviewed the QAPP for Groundwater Monitoring at Better Brite Plating, INC, DePare, WI. The subject QAPP was submitted to FSS on November 01, 1998 (Log-in No. 2451).

Attached to this memorandum are FSS comments that describe the deficiencies and provide guidance for their resolution.

Title Page needs to be revised to correct name of the responsible US EPA Region
 RPM : Richard Boice instead of J. Peterson. Please make this correction throughout the QAPP.

2. Title Page lists the provided QAPP as revision"0", but all sections of the QAPP facilitate revision as "Revision 1". Please be consistent.

2

- II. Based on the description of the site history and site location, I think that the sample network design and Monitoring Program Plan should include monitoring of residential wells, located in the vicinity of the sites, as well.
- III. Project Organization and Responsibility.

1. Please revise this section to address the name of US EPA Region 5 RPM as R. Boice.

2. Please address in Section 2.3 that all QAPPs should be reviewed and approved by US EPA Region 5 FSS QA reviewer. The participation of the US EPA Region 5 QA Reviewer should be reflected in Fig.2-1.

3. Due to EPA Region 5 reorganization the Superfund Technical Support Section was renamed for Field Services Section (FSS). Please make corrections in Section 2.3.

4. Fig. 2-1 should be corrected according to comment III.1 of current memo.

IV. Quality Assurance Objectives for Measurement Data .

Section 3.6 needs to be revised to add that aqueous MS/MSD samples must be collected at triple the volume for VOCs, but not for metal analysis.

V. Analytical Procedure

Based on the information from Project Description the groundwater samples only will be collected during the evaluation of the remedial action for the selected remedy at the site. Section 7 of QAPP listed groundwater and surface soil sampling during field

### activities. Please be consistent.

VI. Two documents : Monitoring Program Plan (Appendix 1) and Field Sampling Plan (Appendix H) are provided with the QAPP. The information in both documents is not consistent. Section 4.0 of QAPP references Monitoring Plan for all sampling procedure information. The Field Sampling Plan presents the collection of treated soil samples for the S/S process and collection of soil samples of total lead from soil excavated for installation of the external residential foundation sumps. Please clarify which of these two documents are going to be followed.

#### VII. Tables

1

1.Two monitoring wells (MW10A and MW13A) will be sampled from Zinc Shop, but Table 1-1 lists only one sample collected for VOCs analysis. Please correct:

2.Table 1-2 lists required QC limits for Chrome by Method 6010 A as 7% precision and accuracy as 80-120% recovery.

The SOP from the laboratory based on Method 6010A outline the QC as 20 % precision and spike recovery should fall within 75-125%. The same discrepancies for Hexavalent Chrome analysis. Please be consistent.

3.According to Table 1-3 groundwater samples will be analyzed for "total dissolved chromium". This statement is incorrect: samples should be analyzed for "total" or dissolved Cr. Please correct.

VIII. SOPs deficiencies.

SOP No.44 Method 6010

1. The method detection limits and working range should be addressed in SOP.

2. The digesting procedure should be part of the SOP.

3. Reagents preparation should include preparation of spiking solution.

4. Please address the post-digestion spike procedure.

SOP for Hexavalent Cr determination.

The following is missing in the SOP:

1. Summary of the method.

2. The approximate working range and method detection limit.

3. Interferences

4. Sample handling and preservation.

5. The procedure should address the size of the sample to use for analysis.

4

6. The reagent preparation section should include preparation of turbidity blank and spike solution.

7. The QC requirements for duplicate and spike recovery in Table 1-2 are inconsistent with the information in SOP. Please revise the inconsistency. different



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

8Q-14J

#### MEMORANDUM

# DATE: JAN 28 1993

**SUBJECT:** Partial Approval of the Second Revision, Fund-Lead Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP) for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study at Better Brite Plating, Inc., De Pere, Wisconsin

- FROM: Curtis Ross Acting Regional Quality Assurance Manager
  - **TO:** James Mayka, Chief Michigan/Wisconsin Remedial Response Branch

#### ATTENTION: Dan Cozza, Remedial Project Manager

I am providing **partial approval** of the subject QAPjP. The Quality Assurance Section (QAS) received the subject QAPjP on January 6, 1993 (QAS SF Log-in No. 1854).

This partial approval covers all water matrix activities. A partial approval was previously given (memo dated November 18, 1992) for the sampling and analysis of all soil samples except those for hexavalent chromium analysis and sieved samples for selected TAL metals and cyanide which are still not approvable.

To facilitate this partial approval, the following corrections have been made to the QAPjP and the corrected pages are attached:

- 1. In Section 5.1.3, page 5 of 5, the following statement has been added, "The combination CLP Traffic Report/Chain of Custody and Combination SAS Packing List/Chain of Custody are in Appendix B.2."
- 2. The combination CLP Traffic Report/Chain of Custody and Combination SAS Packing List/Chain of Custody has been inserted into Appendix B.2.
- 3. In the SAS for the Total Organic Analysis of groundwater, surface water, and residential well samples, the statement in Item No. 1 has been revised to read, "all samples will be unfiltered (total)".

I have signed the attached signature page. Please have the remedial project manager provide final sign-off. We would like to receive a copy of the completed signature page within the next two weeks.

Attachments

cc: Kaushal Khanna, HSRLT-5J

#### **REVISION 1**

#### TASK 2

# QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/

#### FEASIBILITY STUDY

# BETTER BRITE CHROME AND ZINC SHOP SITES DE PERE, WISCONSIN

Robert J. Karnauskas, P.G., P.HG. Simon Hydro-Search Site Manager

Michael R. Noel Simon Hydro-Search QA Officer

Terry Koehn WDNR Project Manager

David Linnear U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager

U.S. EPA Central Regional Kaboratory Director

U.S. EPA Quality Assurance Manager

John Rather Ortek Quality Assurance Manager \*This partial approval covers all water matrix activities. A partial approval was previously given for sampling and analysis of all soil samples except those for hexavalent chromium analysis and sieved samples for selected TAL metals and cyanide which are still not approvable.

HEI SIMON HYDRO-SERRCH

Better Brite Quality Assurance Section: 5 Revision: 1 Date: 10/9/92 Page: 5 of 5

carriers are not required to sign off on the custody form as long as the custody forms are sealed inside the sample cooler and the custody seals remain intact.

The combination CLP Traffic Report/Chain of Custody and Combination SAS Packing List/Chain of Custody are in Appendix B.2. <u>5.2 Laboratory Chain-of-Custody Procedures</u>

The chain of custody procedures for the CLP laboratory are described in the Statements of Work (SOWs) for RASs. The same custody procedure applies to SASs. These custody procedures along with the holding time requirements for CLP samples are described in the appropriate SOW (OLM01.1 for organics and ILM01.0 for inorganics).

The chain of custody procedures for samples shipped to the CRL are described in the CRL's SOP. The chain-of-custody procedures for samples shipped to the CSL (Ortek) are included with the Ortek SOP as Appendix D. Chain-of-custody procedures for the samples sent to the material property testing laboratory will follow the Simon Hydro-Search Chain-Of-Custody Standard Operating Procedure 40500 (Appendix F).

5.3 Final Evidence Files Custody Procedures

Simon Hydro-Search is the custodian of the evidence file and maintains the contents of evidence files for the RI, including all relevant records, reports, logs, field notebooks, pictures, subcontractor reports, correspondence, laboratory logbooks, chain of custody form, and LSSS of CRL's data reviews in a secured, limited access area and under custody of the contractor's site manager.

# HEI SIMON HYDRO-SEARCH

#### COMBINATION CLP TRAFFIC REPORT/ CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND COMBINATION SAS PACKING LIST/ CHAIN OF CUSTODY (refer to attached examples)

A. GENERAL:

The combination traffic report/chain of custody is used to ship samples to the EPA contract lab for routine analytical services. The combination SAS packing list/ chain of custody is used to ship samples to the EPA Contract lab for special analytical services. These reports must be filled out and shipped with each code sent to the contract lab.

#### **B. DISTRIBUTION:**

1. First copy - Send to RSC	C.
-----------------------------	----

- 2. Second copy Mail to SMO.
- 3. Third and fourth copies Send to laboratory.

#### C. PREPARATION:

- 1. Case Number Supplied by SMO.
- 2. SAS Number Enter SAS number if applicable.
- 3. Project Code Optional
- 4. Account Code Optional
- 5. Regional Information Enter TFAIO2
- 6 Non-Superfund Program Leave blank
- 7. Site Name, City, State Enter site name and location.

8. Site Spill ID - Enter ZZ for all SI work unless the Site is listed on the NPL; in that case, enter the EPA
9. Region No. - Enter "5" site/spill ID (adigit) Cod

- 10. Sampling Company Enter "PRC"
- Sampler, Sampler Signature Print and sign your name.

- 12. Type of Activity Check appropriate activity, i.e. SSI
- 13. Date Shipped Enter the date samples were shipped to lab.
- 14. Carrier Federal Express
- 15. Airbill Number -- Enter the Federal Express airbill number.
- 16. Ship To Enter the lab name, address, and the person who is supposed to receive shipment.
- 17. Sample Numbers Enter the appropriate sample numbers.
- 18. Sample Description Enter appropriate number from box No. 7 on traffic report.
- 19. Concentration Enter the expected concentration of the sample (L, M, H).
- 20. Sample Type Indicate either grab or composite.
- 21. Preservative Enter the appropriate number orletter from box No. 6.
- 22. Analysis Check the appropriate analyses for each sample indicated on traffic report.
- 23. Sample Tag Numbers Indicate the sample tag numbers that correspond to each sample number:
- 24. Station Location Number -- Enter the assigned location number where each sample was collected, i.e. MW-01, MW-02.
- 25. Month/Day/Year/Time of Sample Collection -- Enter the date and time of sample collection.
- 26. Sampler Initials Optional

27. Corresponding CLP Sample Number - Enter the corresponding inorganic sample number on the organic traffic report and enter the corresponding organic sample number on the inorganic traffic report.

Use the space at the right of the traffic report to indicate which sample numbers are blanks and duplicates. Indicate the custody seal numbers in the box labeled "TR." On the SAS packing list, indicate custody seal numbers in the space between the sample information and the chain of custody record.

If all samples collected under an assigned case number were shipped on the same day, circle "Y" in the "shipment for Case Complete?" box, on the appropriate traffic reports, to indicate the shipment for the case number was complete. If a portion of the samples for a case number are collected and shipped, then circle "N" on the appropriate traffic reports to indicate that shipment is incomplete for the case number.

The sampler should sign their name in the "Relinquished by:" box prior to shipment. The date and time should also be entered. The "Split Samples" box should indicate whether split samples were accepted or declined.

See attached Examples of completed forms.

28. Identify the sample to be used for the US/USD or spike/duplicate analysis in the appropriate box.

# SAMPLE TAG (refer to attached example)

A'. GENERAL:

	A sample tag is completed attached to the sample co	for every sample collected and ontainer.
в.	PREPARATION: 1. Project Code/C45c#: 2. Station Number	The SMC assigned Case # is entered - for samples being shipped to the CLP. For CRL samples, the 1st 6 digits of the CRL - Enter sample point (station) log # code number; Code number must correlate with the sample plan. Some examples: Monitor well = MW Sediment = SE Existing well = GW Lake = LK Stream = SW Lagoon = LG Soil = SO Leachate = LE Sludge = SL Blank = BL
	<ol> <li>Month/Day/Year</li> <li>Time</li> <li>Designate</li> <li>Sample # ;</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Self explanatory</li> <li>Use military format</li> <li>i.e. 1430 for 2:30 P.M.</li> <li>Comp (Composite) or grab (Check only one.)</li> <li>Enter the CLP Sample #. For CRL Samples, enter the last three digits of the CRL log #.</li> </ul>
	<ol> <li>7. Samplers</li> <li>8. Preservative</li> <li>9. Analysis</li> <li>10. Remarks</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Enter signature of sampler.</li> <li>Check off the type of preservative used.</li> <li>Check analysis desired.</li> <li>Identify field blanks</li> </ul>
	11. Tag Number	<ul> <li>Enter number in logbook, on custody sheet and/or Sample Description Form.</li> </ul>
	12. MS/MSD :	Identify the sample to be used for the org. MS/USD or incrg spike/dup. by checking this box.

Ŷ	EP,	Д°	United Contract Li	d States Fi Iboratory I PO (10± 8 703-551	rivinorini Tugrain 10 Alex 7-2490	entat Pro Sample andria, Vi FTS 551	Mana Mana N 223 1-2490	- I Agency Igenieni ( 13	)llice	& Cha	aľn o	nic Traffic of Custod organic CLP An	ly Record	SAS (il ap)	Mo. phcable)		UNU. 150 = = = ====
i. Projec Regionel			int Code	Seuno		(	า .ยุก		Name	1. Daio Si Dite Alibili Nui		Carrie	r Name		Preser- valivo (Enter in Solumn D) . HCl	D (L In	ample escription Enter Column A)
City, State	Nam	i)	Spill ID	4. 1ypo 5F 5 PRP			AL non rs M LD	M [] OIL [] $\gamma \tau \tau \eta , N \eta \eta e$					. 11NO3 . 11NO3 . NaO11 . H2SO4 . K2CH2O7 . Ico unly . Other (SAS) (Spoaty) . Not	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Surlace Water Ground Water Leachate Soit/Sediment Oil (SAS) Waste (SAS) Uther (SAS) Other (SAS)		
CLP Sample Numbers (from labels)	trom Box 7	B Conc Low Med	C Sainple Type: Comp./	D Proser- vative liom	Motals	Cyamde Nutrate/ Syl	<u>.</u>	rsis Ilyh Curcic Back	i Tracking	F al Spocilic g <u>Number</u> Numbers		G Station Location Number	H Mo/Day/ Yoar/Timu Sampto Colloction	l Sampler Initials	J Corresp. CLſ² Orq Samp. Nu	. 1	K Designaled Fluid OC
MEPAC		L	G	2	<u>X</u>					5712346			Date /fililary		EPADI		
MEPAC				3	_[_]	X	_[_	_		7712348			Dalc/Alliany Dalc/Tuny Dalc/Tuny Dalc/Tuny			-	
MEPA	逾	. _ _	_ _	2	赵_	_ _		_	5-123			102	Dak / Ting		ERVOZ	-	•
MEPAO		╎╤╎┯	<u> </u>	3	- -	<u> X</u> _	-	_	5-123		MN	102	Dale / Alilitary				a deadath
MEPAO	21_1_		╎╤┨┯	2	즤_	<u>x</u>				51	MU	10 <sup>5</sup> B <sup>11</sup>	Dale/ Allhitary		EP/103	- MELLI	13-MERAOL
MEPAC		_ _		3	- -	싀			5-12		ML	<u>003'''''</u>	Dale/Military		POANT	- riela	duplicatos
MEPAO	1-1-	]	· <b> </b>	2	싀_				5-12		A	003	Dale/Alilitary Dale/Ture Dale/Ture		EPAQY		
MEPAD			·[]	3	-1-	씨	[-	-	<u>5-123</u>	54		03	Date/in	· [	COLOC		
MEPAD		1-17	1	$\frac{1}{\chi}$	X				5-12:		FB		Dale/Alilitary	·	EP/105	-ltiela-	Blank
Shipment	<u></u>	Pana	1이 크	<u>1 – X – 1</u>		<u>N</u>	]_ a.sol	ko ondi	or duplice		EP	vnal Samplor (	Dilc/Ali li Iniy		n of Custor	ly Seal Nun	
complete? Circle	(Y/N)	9-				ADI				• •		• •			C Sea	·	5
		L								CITAIN OF	1 CUST(	ODY RECORD	 			<u> </u>	
Relinquist	od by: 15		e)	Date Date		e i litary	1000	vod by:	(Signatu			elinquished by		Dato /	Timo A	ocoivod by:	(Signalure)
Relinqui	ied by; (S	ignaturi	8)	Dati	e / Tim	0   Î	lecel	ved by:	(Signatu	y <b>e)</b>	n  	olinquished by	: (Signaturo)	Dato /	Timo A	ocoivod by:	(Siynaturo)
Received	by: (Signi	lure)		Dati	הודל <del>נ</del> 		loce Sign	vod lor ature)	Laborato	iy by:		Date / Time	. Romarks Is	custody s	oaf Intaci?	Y/N/none	
EPA Form ( DISTRIBUT Green - Rej	ION:	•	-		•				•	be uned '-luin to SM		pill Samples [	Accepted (Sig	nalure)		0144	0.1

•

Figure E8 - 2

1

.

.

<b>₽</b> E	P/	∠ c'	Urute Drikaci L	ed Sinies E aborniory PO (Ins fi 703-55	11001300 110 Alex 7-2490	ionial Pa Sampl iondua, FTS 5!	olaction e Mariag VA 2231 57-2490	Agency aniani O 3	<sup>lhco</sup> & Chai	anic Traffic R n of Custody for Organic CLP Analys	Record	SAS No (1 applica		Casu No. Case #F
l. Project Co Regional Infor		_	nl Code		<u>V</u> ianyler	 (Nam	Com	ng Co. <u>Pany</u> a MC	A. Date Name Alter Manne	Carrier Name. 6. Prosure 7. Sa valvo Di Carrier Name.				
Non-Superlun Sile Name Site A City, Siele City, S	Jam	e	Silo Sp Coc	S J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J		Signa S	Ally RIFS		EM MA MA Addie MA Atto	ratory Nai	2. Ground Water 3. Leachate 4. Alnsate 5. Soli/Sediment 6. Oit (SAS) 7. Weste (SAS) 0. Other (SAS) (Specily)			
CLP Sample Numbers (Irom labels)	A Enter N from Box 7	8 Conc. 1.ow Med High	C Sampi Typo: Comp Grab	vnilve		RAS A	E nalysis PosV PCB	High ARO/ TOX	F Regional Specific Tracking Number or (Tag Numbers)	G Station Location Number	li t.lo/Day/ Yoar/Linto Somple Colluction	Sampler Initials	J Corrasp, CLP Inorg, Samp, No,	K Dosignatod Field QC
EPAOL	2	L	G		X				5-123577 12362	MWOI	Dalc/ Time		MEPNOL	
EPAQL	_	-1-				X	X		5-12363-7/2366	MINOL	Dale / Tink			
EPAD2		<b></b>	_		X				5-12367-712368	MW02	Date / Alitary Date / Alitary Date / Alitary Date / Alitary Date / Alitary		MEPACZ	<u>.</u>
PAOZ				<u>                                     </u>		X	X		<u>5-12369-7/2370</u>		Dale/Time			1
PA03		<u></u>			X				<u>5-12371-&gt;12372</u>		Dat/Military		MEPA03	EPAU3-EPAU
<u> EPA03</u>	-					X	×		5-1237.37/23/14		Dale / This			Field duplic
EPA04_	4		_		X				5-12375-712376	MW03	Dale/filler	<u> </u>	MEPAOY	
PAQ4	<u>V</u>					X	X		<u>5-12317-712378</u>		1Date/4:55			
PA05	3		<u> </u>		$\mathbf{X}$				5-12379-712380		Datc/Anilitary Datc/Time		MEPADS	Field Blank
EPAO5	3	$\mathbf{V}$	V			X	X		5-12381-7 12382				<u> </u>	
Shipmeni lar C complete? ( Y/ Circle Di	N)	Paga	1 ol <u></u>		EPA		r a spik	o and/o		ddillonal Sampler Sly	naluros		Cuslody So	al Number
			·····	<u> </u>	- ( 1)		8			ISTODY HECORD		Data (The	10	Aline (Cincernal
Signa			<b>'</b>	Date	10/11m   Mi 2.   Tii	ilitar nie	110C01V	aa ny:	(Signature) :	Rollnquished by: f	Signature) i	Date / Tin	10 1100014	od by: <i>(Signature)</i>
telinquished b	y: (Slg	nature	,	Dat	io / Tim 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Naceiv	od by:	(Signature)	Rollinguisticod by: (	Signature) (	Dato / Tin	10 Nocuiv	od by: <i>(Signature)</i>
Received by:	(Signal	ure)		Dat	10) 11m	10	Recelv (Signa	ed lor L Ivre)	aboratory by:	Date / Timo	Aomaiks is cus	iody soal	Intaci? Y/N/n	000
	2 (Rev. )	5-91) Ac	places	EPA Form	2075-	7), prov	lous ed	ition wh	lch may be used	Spilt Samples	Accepted (Signal	ure)		
ISTRIBUTION: Iue - Region Co	ору Рі	nk • SM	O Copy	White	- Lob C	1004 1	Yeilow -	Lab Cor	tor Relimining SMO		Declined		•	•
-	•					••		•	•	· · ·			001	4202

٠

. . .

•

Figure E8 - 1

4

	Λ	United State	s Environmental	Protection		Snee	ial Analytic	al Sorvico	SAS No	•		
VEP/		1'O (10 703	es Environmental Dry Program San Dx 810 Aloxandri 1-557-2490 FTS	in, VA 2231 557-2490	3	Shee	Packing List/Chain of		11:	234 E	7	
. Project Code	Account		2. Region N				hlppsd Carrier	6. Sample		·   ·	······	
	<u> </u>		I	Your	- Compan		11. Fed Ex	Descriptio	n	7. Prose (Enter	r in Colui	nn C)
Regional Information	n		Sampler (Na	1110/			mber	(Enter In Column	A)			
			Your	Nam	e	12	345678	1: Surlace W	lator	1. 110		
Ion-Superlund Pro	gram		Sampler Sig	nature	tures .	5. Şhip T	0	2. Ground W 3. Leachate	laior	2. HNO 3. NAI		
			3. Type of A	<u>Sgn</u>	ature	- Lal	o Name	4. Alnsato		4. H2S	iO₄ ́	•
ite Namo			Load p			Add ا	lress " " :	5. Soli/Sedin 6. Oil	11001	5, NAC 6, Oth	or (SAS)	
Landfill						-11		7. Waste 8. Othur		(Spi 7, Ico	ecily) ooly	
lly, State Chicago, I		la Spill ID ZZ	ST SSI			] <u> </u>	1!	(Spocily)			l preserve	£
Samplo		B	C C	1.	D	E	F	G Station		H o/Day/	1	J
Numbers	Matrix Enter	Conc	Proserv- ailve	^	halysis	Sample used for	Regional Specific	Location	) Ye	ar/lino	Sampler Inlitais	Designated Field QC
	from Box 6	Med	Used from			spiko and/or	or Tag Number	klantill <b>or</b>		ample Nection		
		Fligh	Box 7		•	duplicate		[	<b>.</b>		1	
. E0	2	L	<u> </u>	TOC, N	litr., COD	· ·	5-12345	EB-01	3/1/91	9:00		Black
EOX	2		<u> </u>				5-12347	MW-02	<u> 3]1]9L</u>	10:00		
<u>. E03</u>	2	L	<u> </u>				5-12348	MW -03	3/1/91	11:00		
<u>. E04</u>	2		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	$\underline{\mathbf{v}}$	X	5-12349-12350	MW-04.	3/1/101	13:00		
5. EOI	2	2	7	S01.7	SS, TDS		5-12351	FB-01	3/1/9/	9:00	·	Blank
EO2	2	L	_7		<u> </u>		5-12352	MW-D2	3/1/21_	_10:00		
· E03	2	L	7				5-12353	MW-03	3)1/2/_	11:00	1	
··EU4	2	L	7		V V	Χ.	5-12351 + 12355	MWOY	3/1/21	13100		
•											[	
0.												
hipment lor SAS omplete? (YN)			<u>م، سي مسي م</u>	·	•		· <u> </u>	C	OC Se	al TFs	4567	8-4567
elingulshed by: <i>(Si</i>	analysal	·····	Dale / Timo	Ready	od by: (Sign		CUSTODY RECORD		Dalo / Nm	Bacalu	od by: <i>(Sk</i>	analyzal
Signatu	-		9118:00	1		aiure)					oo oy. Tog	jnalor oj
elinquisked by: (Si	gnature)		Date / Time	Receiv	od by: (Slgn	alure)	Relinquished by	: (Signature)	Date / Tim	o Rocolvi	od by: (Si	gnalure)
ecelved by: <i>(Sign</i> a	ture)• .		Dato / Time	Receiv (Signa	ed for Labora lure)	atory by:	Date / Time	Romarks Is cu	slody seal	Inlaci? Y/N/r	000	
A Ferm 9110-3 (4-91)			 ,	- <b> </b>	<u> </u>		Split Samples		niure)			- <u></u>
TRIBUTION:							' r	Declined				

Figure E8 - 3 .

PRESERVATIVE: H	tzSO4 🗆
AN	IALYSES
VOA	METALS
ABN	CYANIDE
PEST/PCB	
	Mercury
Pesticides	Fluoride
Herbicides	Nitrate/Nitrite
PCB	TOC
PCDC/PCDF	BOD
2,3,7.8-TCDD	CCS
Ame's Mutagen	TDS
Astesics	TSS
Phosphorus	O&G
TO1	Sultate
TO2	Chlcride
	Suifide
TOX	Ammonia
CBOD	Alkalinity
Bio-Acute	Acidity
Bio-Chronic	TKN
Remarks:	
1	
	<u> </u>
USE FOR MS/MSD	
	Lab Sample Number
- 169834	

S. Environmental Protection Agency CLP Sample Management Office P. O. Box 818, Alexandria, Virginia 22313	
PHONE: (703)/557-2490 or FTS/557-2490	

### SPECIAL ANALYTICAL SERVICES Client Request

۱.,

SAS Number

	Regional Trans	nittal Telephone Request
Α.	EPA Region/Client:	Region V
8.	RSCC Representative	•
C.	Telephone Number:	(312).353.2720
	Date of Request:	
٤.	Site Name:	Better Brite Chrome Ezine Shops

Please provide below a description of your request for Special Analytical Services under the Contract Laboratory Program. In order to most efficiently obtain laboratory capability fo your request, please address the following considerations, if applicable. Incomplete or erroneous information may result in delay in the processing of your request. Please continue r roomse on additional sheets, or attach supplementary information as needed.

2. General description of analytical service requested: Analysis for totalorganic Carbon in water (groundwater, surface water, and residential wells). all samples will be unfiltered (total). All samples will be preserved at time of collection. Results are reported as mgll C.

2. Definition and number of work units involved (specify whether whole samples or fractions; whether organics or inorganics; whether aqueous or soil and sediments; and whether low, medium, or high concentration):

166 low to medium level aqueous samples

.

3. Purpose of analysis (specify whether Superfund (Remedial or Enforcement), RCRA, NPDES, etc.):

Superfund-Remedial



State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

George E. Meyer Secretary Lake Michigan District Headquarters 1125 N. Military Avenue P.O. Box 10448 Green Bay, WI 54307-0448 TELEPHONE # (414)492-5869 TELEFAX # (414)492-5913

March 11, 1993.

File Ref: WIT-560010118 WID-006132088 Brown Co. SFND

Robert Karnauskas Simon Hydro-Search 175 N. Corporate Dr., Suite 100 Brookfield, WI 53045

Re: Better Brite - EPA QAPP Approval

Dear Mr. Karnauskas:

Please find attached two memoranda from EPA QAS providing partial approval for the Better Brite QAPP. The first memorandum, dated November 18, 1992 addresses soil matrix activities and the second dated January 28, 1993 addresses water matrix activities.

All soil matrix activities appear to be approved by EPA except for analytical methods for hexavalent chromium and analysis of sieved samples. Modification of aspects of the shipping (chain of custody) of samples and the sampling of test pits are also included. All water matrix activities appear to be approved by EPA. A few modifications to aspects of sample shipment (chain of custody) are noted.

WDNR comments to the QAPP will be completed as soon possible. These comments can then be incorporated into the most recent document. Any required changes associated with SAP modifications can then be addressed.

If you have any questions please call me.

Sincerely

Terry Koehn State Project Manager

cc:	G.	Edelstien	SW/3	with att.
	с.	Khazae	SW/3	with att.
	D.	Linnear	U.S. EPA	w/o att.



Hydro-Search, Inc. Brookfield Lakes Corporate Center XII 175 N. Corporate Drive, Suite 100 Brookfield, Wisconsin 53045 HYDROLOGISTS-GEOLOGISTS-ENGINEERS Phone (414) 792-1282 January 23, FAX (414) 792-1310

Mr. Terry Koehn Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 1125 N. Military Avenue Green Bay, WI 54307-0448

**RECEIVED DNR** 

JAN 24 1992

LAKE MICHIGAN DISTRICT

RE: Pre-QAPjP Meeting; Better Brite Site, DePere, WI

Dear Mr. Koehn:

Please find attached a Preliminary Draft copy of the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP) for the Better Brite site. This QAPjP is intended to be a working draft for discussion purposes in the development of analytical laboratory support needs through U.S.EPA, Region V. The tables have been formatted and partially completed pending finalizing the analytical needs dictated by the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP).

I have also enclosed a proposed pre-QAPjP meeting agenda for your review and comment. We understand the QAPjP and preliminary SAP will be issued to U.S.EPA on Monday or Tuesday next week, pending your review and authorization.

As always, please do not hesitate to contact us if questions arise on the documents.

Very truly yours,

HYDRO-SEARCH, INC.

Robert J. Karnauskas, P.G., P.HG. Director of Hydrogeology

RJK/gf

Encl.

cc: Gary Edelstein



### Hydro-Search, Inc.

Brookfield Lakes Corporate Center XII 175 N. Corporate Drive, Suite 100 Brookfield, Wisconsin 53045 HYDROLOGISTS-GEOLOGISTS-ENGINEERS Phone (414) 792-1282 FAX (414) 792-1310

### PROPOSED AGENDA PRE-QAPjP MEETING BETTER BRITE SITE DE PERE, WISCONSIN

#### February 6, 1991

- I. Project Organization
- II. Preliminary Schedule for Sampling
- III. Overview of Sampling Tasks
- IV. Review Data Quality Objectives
- V. Proposed Analytical Parameters
  - \* TAL Metals, Cyanide
  - TCL VOCs (medium and low level)
  - Availability of pre-approved SASs for Hexavalent and Trivalent Chromium, Total Organic Carbon, Soil pH, Readily Reducable Manganese
  - Field Screening for Total and Hexavalent Chromium
- VI. Laboratory Selection
  - CRL vs. CLP
- VII. Laboratory Coordination
- VIII. QAPjP Review and Finalization
  - \* U.S.EPA Contact Person
  - \* Schedule for Draft Submittal

#### SIMON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Reno • Denver • Milwaukee • Irvine • Sacramento

DHR, BUREAU OF SOLID & HAZARDOUS MASTE MANAGEMENT

2~4.92

TELEFAX COVER SHEET

2.3. DATE: Tany Kochn TO:\_\_\_\_ Char Chazae FROM: SUBJECT: Better Brite QAPP/SAP comments ?'s PAGES TO FOLLOW (EXCLUDING COVER SHEET) I will checkin at the Nampton Weds. pm + travel w/ You + HSI to Chicago. Call me if this is not right w/you. Thanks

BETTER BRITE QAPP and SAP Questions, comments, and concerns

QAPP

/ Section 2

- Health and Safety Officer should be mentioned. If an individual is not named at this time, at least recognize the position.
- Section 3
- $\sim$  page 1 While it is true that MS/MSD's are only collected for organic analysis such as VOC's, it is necessary to collect 2X volume for laboratory QC for inorganics as well according to CLP protocol. Also, samples should be designated on all paperwork for lab QC, organic as well as inorganic.

page 2 - Extractable organics such as semivolatiles and PCB/Pesticides, are not being analyzed, therefore mention of Them may be eliminated.

 $\checkmark$  page 3 - What is Eh?

 $\nu$  page 3 - Writer needs to use the current dates for SOW's.

Section 5

- y page 1 Tags-and labels should not be confused. SAS samples do not have labels, only an assigned number and a tag.
- page 4 item c I am not familiar with the term "colocate". Does the writer mean split samples with other agencies?

page 1 - In addition to a spare electrode, spare batteries

Section 6

V page 2 - Rinse electrode before placing in sample, also.

and buffers should be mentioned.

page 4 - There is no CRL SOW. CRL has Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) and also a guidance document "United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, Central Regional Laboratory, SARA/Superfund Sample Handling Manual, March 1989". They were in the process of updating this manual, so Jan Pels at CRL can be contacted for a newer version.

Section 7

- page 1 The contractor should realize that CRL is not a CLP
   lab. The paperwork is different, QC procedures are
   different, and the data is returned in a different format.
   They may not have any choice where their samples go, but I
   would like to eliminate any surprises.
  - page 1 Mention needs to be made here regarding physical soil tests. Is there any chance that these samples can go outside the CLP and would the contractor want this option?

 $\checkmark$  page 1 - TCLP, TOC, and CEC have also been left out.

Section 8

- 7 page 1 CRL SOW=CRL SOP?
- page 1 The last statement only applies to samples that may go to CRL. Not appropriate for other samples.

Section 9

page 1 - CKL SOW=CRL SOP?

- page 1 Not all SAS's are validated. For example, Atterberg limits and grain size distribution are not validated, but data may be screened for contractual compliance by SMO.
- page 2 Writer should include dates for the guidance documents. Organic=February, 1988 and Inorganic-July, 1988.
  - page 2 Close-support lab data is not generally validated since the time factor and lack of QC procedures will not allow.

7 page 2 - VOC's are not extractable organics.

- Section 10 / Do not understand this. Writer may use the Model QAPP for guidance.
- Section 13 7 Does WDNR have direct contact with CLP labs or do we also have to go through RSCC and SMO? I'm not sure of this function; will discuss with Jan Pels.
- Table 1-1 Explain material properties with a footnote, stating which analyses are to be included.

Isn't hydraulic conductivity a field parameter and not a lab parameter?

Table 3-1 Note 1 is out of line since blanks should not be used for MS/MSD.

Note 2 is incorrect as explained previously.

page 2 - I can't tell from the text if new wells are a Phase II activity or if they will be installed as a result of field/close support lab data.

- page 3 There seems to be a great deal of confusion regarding DQO levels. The texts of the QAPP and SAP contradict each other, stating in the QAPP that no level II's would be used and the SAP has chromium tests (field?) as level II. Also, the SAP has a number of inconsistencies on DQO levels. It was my understanding that all SAS's were level V. This needs to be clarified.
- page 4 Why are there two sampling events for groundwater one month apart? If more than one sampling is to take place, would not a guarterly schedule be more useful?

page 5 - Writer seems confused about CLP terminology. Better to refer to TAL inorganics and TCL VOC's as RAS (Routine Analytical Services) and all others as SAS. They will all be CLP.

- Section 6 / page 3 The testing of VOC's on test pit soil is a tricky issue. The writer needs to develop text to indicate that soil collected for this purpose will be non-aerated and disturbed as little as possible. Analysis could be rendered useless otherwise.
- Section 7 / page 6 I can not tell from text where these soils are coming from.
- Section 8 page 2 Same as above; where are soils coming from?
- Section 9 It would be helpful if writer was more specific about residential well sampling. How many, what analyzed for, why data needed, and that lower detection limits are needed to accommodate this purpose, for example.

Contractor should mention that the SAS Requests and SOP for non-routine analyses will be included in an appendix.

Section 11 page 2 - VOC analysis of surficial soil is inappropriate.

page 6 - Storm water methods have been left out.

Section 14 14.3 and 14.5 Why are VOC's now being referred to as low level? Does this mean lower CRDL's used for residential wells? If the writer includes in the text that the expected levels of contamination are low to medium, this will not be necessary for non-residential well samples.

SENT BY:

SAP

女 井上

Section 4

Section 17

page 3 - This is not a true statement regarding trip blanks. All trip blanks going through the CLP must be labeled with a traffic report number, tagged, and must be so noted on all paperwork.

Tables

".·

Footnotes for material properties.

Include a section on each table for field parameters where applicable.

No Risk Assessment subtask 6 tables?

Subtask 5-C has a private well. Is this the same as subtask 6-C residential wells for RA?

**SAP and QAPP Review Meeting - Better Brite Project** February 5, 1992

General Questions/Comments

- 1. Does the agenda require modification prior to the Pre-QAPP meeting?
- 2. Need Assurance in SAP that, although we are looking at separate Operable Units, work on-site will be performed with a "single' mobilization. (Section 1, Page 7)
- 3. G.E. Who will perform treatability studies?
- 4. Is TCLP analysis necessary as part of the RI/FS?
- 5. Would use of local lab be preferable to use of Hach Test for chromium?
- 6. G.E. Can the WDNR approve a QAPP?
- 7. G.E. Is it necessary to get EPA approval of QAPP?
- 8. G.E. Do we want HSI to represent us at public meetings?
- 9. G.E. Who will summarize/validate lab data?
- 10. G.E. Opinions on analysis of pesticides.
- 11. G.E. Opinion on in home sampling for risk analysis.

<u>Sampling and Analysis Plan</u> (Zinc Shop and Chrome Shop)

Zinc Shop Subtask 1 - Soils beneath Foundations Metals and VOCs and Cyanide Chromium species Physical characteristics Manganese, TOC Hach, PID, Lab

> 8 Borings - 4 to water table - 2 to water table - 2 to bedrock - underground tanks 1 potential monitoring well

Subtask 2 - Surficial Soils Soils/Sediment: Metals and Cyanide Chromium Species Manganese, TOC, pH Storm Water: Metals and Cyanide and VOCs Chromium Species 2 Catchment Basin Sediments ? Surface Water ? Surface Soils (50 foot Grid - Specific Areas) Subtask 3 - Subsurface Soils On and Off Site Metals and VOCs and Cyanide Chromium species Physical characteristics Manganese, TOC, pH Hach, PID, Lab 6 to 11 test pits (3 samples each) 3 borings (3 samples per boring)

Subtask 4 - Groundwater in Vicinity of Foundations

2 water table wells 2 piezometers (4 samples each) Sampling 4 old wells and Sump Two Rounds (one month apart) Hydraulic Testing

Subtask 5 - Other Groundwater Impacts On and Off Site

Subtask 6 - Private Residences

DNR, DUREAU OF SCLID & HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

# TELEFAX COVER SHEET

DATE: 2-11-92 TO: Teny Kochn Iml FROM: Charlone Chazae SUBJECT: Better Brite ne WAPP PAGES TO FOLLOW (EXCLUDING COVER SHEET) Teny - let me know if this is OK. any comments / questions / additions would be welcome. Thanks

2-11-92

TO: File

FROM: Charlene Khazae

SUBJECT: NOTES ON BETTER BRITE preQAPP MEETING February 6, 1992 Attendees: see attached sheet

DATE: February 11,1992

- 1. Bob Karnauskas began by giving a brief introduction and referred to the proposed agenda. He was interrupted by Dr. Tsai who made it clear that HSI had been given the wrong Model QAPP by the RPM. During the course of the meeting, Judy Fassbender was given the current, approved Model QAPP on disk and I received a hard copy.
- 2. The topic of field screening for hexavalent chromium was discussed. HSI would like to utilize a close-support laboratory in Green Bay (Ortech) to provide fast turn around data to help determine sample locations of soil and water. Ida Levin indicated that CRL would have to be included in the decision to use a CSL and determine all criteria, however, Ida would want this laboratory to have laboratory procedures and QA/QC protocol as close to CLP practices as possible. ("The closer to CLP the better.") Terry Koehn and Bob Karnauskas argued that such rigors would not be necessary for field screening purposes. I proposed that if the CSL data would in fact have to be of CLP caliber, then perhaps the data from the CSL could be used for risk assessment purposes if 10-20% of these field samples would also be sent through CLP for confirmational data. Ida Levin stated that there would be a problem if the data from these two sources did not agree. (Note: This solution would not be appropriate if data would be needed for legal reasons; the site's confidentiality/anonymity would need to be intact.) It was suggested that the SOP from the proposed CSL be obtained.

The issue of using a Hach colorimeter method for field analysis of hexavalent chromium was also discussed. It was determined that the method, especially for soil samples, was difficult due to interferences.

After the preQapp meeting, Terry Koehn made it clear to HSI and me that in order to meet the requirements of field screening data needs and budgetary considerations, several methods and CSL alternatives should be explored.

- 3. Dr. Tsai suggested that all water samples for hexavalent chromium analysis be included with the TAL inorganic analysis on a RAS plus SAS contract. It was later determined that all water samples for hex. chrom. will be sent to CRL.
- 4. Since total chromium was included in the TAL inorganic analysis and hexavalent chromium would be done, would it be necessary for samples to

be analyzed for trivalent chromium as well? Dr. Tsai said that trivalent chromium was not necessary and it could be eliminated.

.5. All SAS's for chemical analyses are to be DQO level V.

÷

.

- 6. Engineering samples for Atterberg limits and grain size distribution, for example, could be determined outside the CLP as a DQO level III with CRL approval.
- 7. Cation exchange capacity was added to page 11 of section 1 of the QAPP.
- 8. It was stated that the people at CRL who had knowledge of metals analysis are John Morris and Chuck Elly.

(Since CRL was not represented at this meeting , it was necessary for HSI, WDNR, and the RPM to relocate to the CRL facilities. Jan Pels and Patrick Churilla were present.)

- 9. I asked Jan Pels if CRL had pre-approved SOP's that could be provided for SAS analysis/requests such as hexavalent chromium for soil and water; readily reducible manganese; soil pH; TOC and CEC. She was certain that she had on file all hex. chrom. methods and TOC; CEC was an SW-845 method; soil pH would not be necessary since it is a part of the RAS package; soil % moisture was not necessary for physical tests since it would be included in the grain size determination; and that readily reducible manganese would be tricky. She will search for a method.
- 10. She agreed that the Hach test may have interferences but mentioned another site, Avon Town, and the RPM, Mary Lou Martin, could be contacted by David Linnear for assistance/information.
- 11. I asked if there was any guidance available on CSL selection or QA/QC criteria. Jan stated that there was none but that her office could help determine the approval of lab and methods.
- 12. It was determined that the short holding time waters for hex. chrom. would NOT be a RAS plus SAS, that all hex. chromes were to be sent to CRL for analysis.
- It was established that the few residential well samples (approximately 4) would most likely be analyzed at CRL for organic and inorganic RAS's due to the new statements of work not yet being approved. This was not firm.
- 14. The updated version of Region V's Sample Handling Manual is not available, however Jan had supplemental handouts for the old document that she could make available.
- 15. Jan suggested that soil permeability be added to the physical tests.
- 16. I had methods available from another site (Mauthe) for the determination of selected metals and cyanide in air samples and fugitive dust. Perhaps this method could be used with modification. Jan will review and let us know.

#### MEETING FORM

NATURE	of me	eting:	Pr	e-oapil	<u>Meet</u>	ing			
DATE:	Febru	ary 6,	1992	_; TI	(5:	10:00	AM		
PLACEI	ESD	Confe	rence ro	oom #14	14 (77	W. Jag	<u>kson Blyd</u>	. Chicago	2
PROJEC	i name	:B	etter Br	ite. W	iscons	in		·	

CURRENT STATUS: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ŝ

#### ATTENDEE:

Ϋ ີ

NAME	ORGANIZATION AFFILIATED	TELEPHONE
Cheng-Wen Tsai (W	US EPA ESD/MOAB/OAS	(312) 886-8220
Ida Levin	US EPA ESD/MOAB/OAS	(312) 886-6254
Terry Koehn	WONR-LMD/SW	<u>(414) 492-5869</u>
Bob Karnanskag	Hydro-Search, Inc	(414) 792-1282
Charlene Khacae	WINR-Madison SW	(608)267-0543
Judy Fassbender	Hydro. Seprech The	(414) 792-1282
DAV. Q LINNEAR	U.S. EPA	312 886-1841
		,
		· · ·
- <u></u>		
	الم - خخ <sup>ب</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·	

### CORRESPONDENCE MEMORANDUM\_

STATE OF WISCONSIN

DATE: February 20, 1992

**TO:** . Better Brite Files

FROM:

т

Terry Koehn - LMD Y Koolin

SUBJECT: Better Brite Project Pre-QAPP Meeting

A Pre-QAPP Meeting was held on February 6, 1992 in Chicago, IL at the EPA office. Besides myself, C. Khazae (WDNR), R. Karnauskas (HSI), J. Fassbender (HSI), Dr. Tsia (EPA), I. Levin (EPA) and D. Linnear (EPA) were in attendance. The majority of the meeting addressed quality control concerns and analytical questions as expected. However, one significant problem was brought to our attention. The purpose of this memo is to document this problem.

At the meeting, we were informed that the model QAPP, provided to us by EPA, was in fact only an unapproved draft version (A revised version was supplied to us at the meeting by Dr. Tsai). In preparation for the this meeting, HSI was directed to prepare a rough draft of the Better Brite QAPP using the model originally provided. At this time, it appears that a significant portion of this rough draft may prove to be unusable. An effort will be made to make use of as much of this work as possible, however, there is a very good chance that the budget for QAPP preparation could be exceeded.

I would estimate that as much as one full week of work performed by HSI and the associated expense (\$2000 ?) has been spent in working on the unapproved model QAPP.

To address this unexpected expenditure, it may prove to be necessary to prepare a Change Order. This need will be evaluated through further discussion with HSI and the development of an estimate of costs associated with trying to use the unapproved model QAPP rather than the correct version.

cc: G. Edelstein SW/3 J. McLimans SW/3 C. Khazae SW/3



175 N. Corporate Drive Suite 100 Brookfield, WI 53045

Telephone (414)792-1282 Facsimile (414)792-1310

March 5, 1992

Mr. Mark Giesfeldt, Chief Environmental Response and Repair Section Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 101 S. Webster Street Madison, WI 53707-7866 RECEIVED DWR

MAR 0 9 1992

RE: Better Brite NPL Site, DePere, Wisconsin

Dear Mark:

A conversation today with Terry Koehn of the Green Bay office reminded me of the need to express my appreciation of the support we received from Charlene Khazae at our pre-QAPP meeting with U. S. EPA in Chicago in February, 1992. Charlene's previous experience with Dr. Tsai and others within the U. S. EPA Quality Assurance Section proved to be invaluable in a very difficult situation during that meeting. I was highly impressed with her abilities to interact with key U. S. EPA staff, and knowledge of QAPPs in general. Charlene's support during this meeting was most appreciated and look forward to continuing to work with her through finalizing the Better Brite QAPP.

Neither of us receive many letters like this and, in this case, it is particularly well deserved.

Sincerely,

SIMON HYDRO-SEARCH

Robert J. Karnauskas, P.G., P.HG. Director of Hydrogeology

RJK:gf

cc: Terry Koehn, WDNR, Lake Michigan District Office



# \* 3-23-72

# CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

State of Wisconsin

-

FILE REF:

DATE: March 20, 1992

TO: Better Brite File

FROM: Charlene Khazae SW/3

SUBJECT: Conversation with Jan Fels, et al, regarding laboratory methods

On March 17, 1991 I had a conversation with Jan Pels, EPA/CRL concerning the laboratory methods for the above site.

I informed Jan that HSI and DNR are no longer interested in collecting samples for fugitive dust (wipe test) or large volume air for the analysis of selected metals, cyanide and hexavalent chromium. It was no longer necessary for her to review the methods that I submitted to her last month.

Jan had also reviewed the method for readily reducible manganese that Judy Fassbender from HSI had sent to me. She questioned the purpose of the analysis, as it appears to only relate to agricultural situations. I explained that recent literature indicated that the presence of readily reducible manganese in soil helped to enhance the likelihood of chromium in the hexavalent state. Hexavalent chromium is more toxic than other forms and therefore this data might be useful for risk assessment. She will confer with her supervisor on this. This is not a high-priority item with me or Terry.

It has been established that EPA's CRL will accept the water samples only for hex. chrom.. Soil samples will be sent to labs through the CLP on a SAS contract. Currently there is no approved/reliable method for soil hex. chrom. analysis, however the RFM for Better Brite, David Linnear, is to contact John Morris. Morris is familiar with other sites that required this analysis. Judy will contact David.

Confirmed that a pre-approved SOP existed for TOC's, however HSI has received the SAS request and SOP for this, lifted from the Mauthe Site, from Terry Koehn. This will be used.

The cation exchange capacity procedure is in the SW-846. HSI can easily lift this and attach to a SAS request, provided all necessary information is included.

For the surficial soil issue, this is how it has been resolved. Surficial soils will be "split". The first portion will be sent to lab for analysis of TAL metals, cyanide, and hexavalent chromium. The second portion will be sent to lab to be dried and sleved. The fraction that is < 35 micron will be analyzed for cadmium, total chromium, and hexavalent chromium. The drying process will invalidate cyanide results and will therefore not be tested for. Terry Koehn said he wanted lead added to the list of analytes for the dried fraction, however we agreed that there was no reason to include any other analytes. Jan would like HSI to write separate SAS requests for each of these tests and specify that the same lab will have to do all analyses. This is to insure comparable data. Judy at HSI was confused about the need for a SAS for TAL inorganics. It was my understanding based on the conversation with Jan Pels, CRL, that this was necessary because of the special request for lab procurement. I saw no reason why the SAS request could not simply reference the EPA/CLP Statement of Work. Attaching an SOP was not necessary.

Judy Fassbender and I discussed the above during a phone conversation on March 19th. Terry Koehn and I spoke on the phone about these matters on March 20th. I informed Judy F. of HSI of the lead addition via FAX on March 20th. DNR, BUREAU OF SOLID & HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

# TELEFAX COVER SHEET

3.20.92 DATE: ny Koehn LMD **TO:** hazae SW/3 FROM: Chan & FYZ ßB SUBJECT : PAGES TO FOLLOW (EXCLUDING COVER SHEET) any additions / corrections welcomed.

FROM: Charlene Khazae SU/3 Terry Koehn LMD SUBJECT-MESSAGE Better Brite QAPP As per our discussion please find a Hoched copies of: 1) Mauflie der Chrome in Soils Addenduin with all a Hachments a) SOP for Her in Soils b) SOP for analysis of metals in Soils by ICP c) SAS ton TOC a) sta for to solids Y Ros DATE 3.31.92 SIGNED

REPLY

JSCRL

The method

Conve Soi |

S

MEMORANDUM

Date: 6 Mar 92

Subject: Analysis of Soil Samples Collected at the <u>N. W. Mauthe</u> Superfund Site on December 3, 1991 for <u>Hexavalent</u> <u>Chromium</u>

From: John V. Morris, Chemist

To: File: SF0833

cc: John Peterson, HSRW-6J Kaushal Khanna, HSRLT-5J

Twenty-eight soil samples were recoived at CRL on December 17, 1991  $\sim$ from the N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site in Appleton, Wisconsin for S analysis of hexavalent chromium, or Cr(VI). These samples had been collected on December 3. They were also analyzed for total cadmium, chromium, and sing, with the total chromium results being to used to bracket the spikes of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) that are used to evaluate each sample result in the Cr(VI) method.

The results, together with the micrograms of chromium used for the spikes, and the percent recoveries for the hexavalent and trivalent spikes, are presented in Table 1. The detection limits used "reflect only the detection limit of the ICP used to detect the chromium, not the detection limit of the antire procedure, which is as yet undetermined. <u>All samples show negative for Cr(VI)</u>, Let all spikes show reduction taking place. It is impossible to tell if the reduction is taking place in the extraction, or if the sample is inherently reducing in the solid phase, such that Cr(VI) could not exist in the sample in the field. All that can be said is that with this method, if Cr(VI) existed in these samples, one would be unable to extract it.

One blank did not recover the Cr(VI) spike, but the only conclusion is that the analyst forgot to add the spike in this case.

Poet-it* brand fax transmittal memo 7671 # of pages >			
TerryKochn	"Char Chazae		
C.LMD	<sup>ca</sup> Madison		
WDNR	Phone #		
fax #	Fax #		

4-29-92

## **State of Wisconsin**

# CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 28, 1992

TO: Terry Koehn, Lake Michigan District

FROM: Charlene Khazae, SW/3

SUBJECT: Better Brite Draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP), and Data Management Plan (DMP) comments

I have reviewed the above mentioned plans. Please present the following comments to Hyro-Search as you deem appropriate. The texts should reflect the procedures described in the guidance "United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, Central Regional Laboratory, SARA/Superfund, Sample Handling Manual, March 1989" with the included handouts. This must be followed exactly for all samples sent through the CLP. This guidance material was sent to HSI in February. I will be available to help HSI with all CLP details (lab procurement, sample labeling and packaging, paperwork), if necessary, but only after a more exacting review of the guidance provided.

#### COMMENTS ON DRAFT QAPP

3	<u>Section</u>	Page .	Comment
J	"sign-off"	page	It is inappropriate to have a signature for "Laboratory QA Officer" since several CLP and non-CLP labs will be utilized. It is sufficient to have the U.S. EPA CRL Laboratory Director's signature.
۷	1	11	Under DQO Level 5, analysis for TCL VOCs and TAL inorganics for all residential wells including the municipal well should appear here because of the lower detection limits.
1	2	4	First item- "and field laboratory staff" could be substituted with "field measurements" since on-site lab analysis will not be done.
J	3	1	The writer followed the model QAPP exactly, however the text is misleading and the following changes (underlined) would make it more correct: "Trip blanks are used to assess the potential for contamination of samples due to <u>VOC</u> contaminant migration during sample shipment and storage." Also, "All matrix spikes <u>for organic analysis</u> are performed in duplicate and are hereinafter referred to as MS/MSD samples. <u>Similar laboratory QC is performed on inorganics as</u> well. <u>One laboratory QC sample will be collected/designated for</u> every 20 investigative sample collected for organic or inorganic analysis." This is CLP protocol.
.1,	3	2	Second full paragraph-Surface water and basement sump water should be included. Also, HSI should be advised that we have a commitment from CRL to accept the aqueous hexavalent chromium samples only, not the soils. Residential well samples for VOCs and inorganics <u>may</u> be sent to CRL or a CLP lab.

The accuracy and precision requirements for the CSL data should be referenced specifically.

FILE REF: 4440

Need SO Por Surficial Soil

3

	<u>Section</u>	Page	Comment
specit	3	3	The model QAPP <u>suggests</u> 95% completeness. If you can make your decisions on 90% valid data, this is fine with me also.
1	5	4	Item c <u>may</u> be eliminated. Samples collected will not be split.
V	6	2	Typo-"it will be returned <u>to</u> the manufacturer for service."
v	6	5	The model also says SOW but it should be SOP.
	7		statement, "Because CRL will be used" should appear in the SAS sub-section since they have accepted aqueous hex. chrome samples only. Also in the SAS sub-section CEC and residential well analysis should be included. Section 7 should also have information regarding non-CLP lab analysis such as the CSL and the lab(s) doing the physical soil tests. Since a PID will be used
V	8	1	8.2-Include information on PID.
/	8	2	A sub-section on CSL internal quality control checks should be included. SOP may be referenced.
V	9		9.1.1 Model has syntax error. The word "summarized" doesn't fit. 9.1.2 "at the Better Brite <u>site</u> "
✓	9	2	9.2 The statement regarding the CSL data validation should be reworded to better reflect our needs. Suggest, "The CSL QC officer will be responsible for reviewing the close support laboratory data. Since this fast turn-around data will be used for field screening purposes only, validation will not be required."
V	9	3	I know that physical soil test data are handled totally different. HSI <u>may</u> wish to include information regarding deliverables here.
$\checkmark$	10	<b>1</b> .	Typo-"These audits will <u>be</u> occur" (eliminate be).
	۰		I am not familiar with Central District Office. Is this EPA? Would like to have the opportunity for WDNR to audit also. With my familiarity with EPA/CLP protocol, it may be beneficial. Let's discuss.
✓	10	2	Syntax error. Might better read "The system audits will include"
v	10 .	2	It would be helpful if HSI included the CSL "credentials" such as State certification and any other certifications they hold or performance evaluations in which they participate.
1	11	1	11.1 Include PID information here.

<u>Section</u>

<u>Page</u>

#### <u>Comment</u>

√ 13 3 A statement must be added similar to the following, " Any changes or deviations in the field that effect the number of samples, matrices, expected level of contamination, or sampling schedule must also be relayed to the Regional Sample Control Center (RSCC) of CRL promptly." This could effect lab services.

✓ 13 5 Corrective action for CSL and non-CLP labs should be included. Section 6.3 of CSL SOP may be referenced. I am not familiar enough with ASTM methods or data needs to offer guidance on material properties tests corrective action. Since HSI has the opportunity to interact with this lab directly, it may be less formal.

✓ Figure QA2-1 Project Organizational chart-I do not understand the function of "Laboratory QA Task Coordinator" and the text in Section 2 of QAPP does not mention this. This should be defined.

#### Table QAl-1

# <u>MS/MSDs (and other laboratory QC)</u>

Samples that are designated (and extra volume collected when appropriate) as MS/MSDs or other lab QC are not considered separate samples according to EPA protocol. Regardless of volume, they are given the same sample number as the "parent" sample. In most cases the table reflects the number of QC samples required, however the <u>Total Sample</u> column should not include these samples.

#### <u>Soil pH</u>

V

During the pre-QAPP meeting we were assured by Pat Churilla that separate analysis for soil pH would not be necessary since this will be done as a part of the regular RAS. If this is the case, all references to SAS soil pH can be eliminated. Let's get this resolved.

#### Surficial Soils

Table does not indicate that there will be 2 samples for each location; one fraction analyzed for TAL inorganics plus hex. chrome, and the other fraction dried, sieved and analyzed for selected metals and cyanide. The total number of field samples in the SAS requests are 62. Separate field duplicates are to be collected (they may some from the same location) and separate 0 samples must be designated for lab QC (they may come from the same location also).

#### <u>Field Blanks</u>

For <u>surface</u> water and <u>basement</u> sumps, the SAP gives several methods for collection. If these samples are collected directly into the proper containers and they are <u>not filtered</u> (and they should not be) no field blank is required for these matrices.

#### / <u>Trip Blanks</u>

One trip blank is required for each cooler containing aqueous VOC samples. Surface water, groundwater, extraction well, and sump water that are being shipped together may share the same trip blank. Residential well and municipal well samples may share a

	Section	Page	Comment
	Decerga	<u></u>	trip blank because they are both analyzed using lower detection limits. Are the numbers of trip blanks that appear in the table guesses?
			<u>Frequency</u> Since more than one sampling event is proposed, monitoring wells or extraction wells that will be resampled at a later time must have notation to this effect. A footnote will do. Field and lab QC requirements must be met for <u>each sampling event.</u>
	Table QA3-	4 V	Cyanide for res. wells has been left out.
•	Table 11-1	<b>.</b> .	This table can be eliminated. I believe the model QAPP suggests this for enforcement lead projects. Routine maintenance procedures are included in the SOWs.
	Table ?	V	A table must be included which shows the proper style and numbers of containers, sample size, preservation, and analytical holding times. All RAS, SAS, CSL, and non-CLP parameters must be included.
	<u>COMMENTS</u> C	N DRAFT SAP	
	<u>Section</u>	Page	Comment
<b>v</b>	4	2	The word "quantity" is incorrect. Quantify?
V	4	3	Typo-"collected and submitted to <u>a</u> laboratory"
V	<b>v</b> 4 5		The PID instrument will give a reading to alert the samplers to elevated VOC levels, but a visual test for chromium bearing material is more subjective. For this reason a brief description in the text as to what the samplers should look for would be helpful.
r	5	4	The hexavalent chromium for the soils have a DQO Level of 5.
v V	5	5	If the CSL data results warrant additional sample being sent to CLP, the text needs to state so here.
V	6	3	TOC and CEC are both DQO Level 5. Again, a separate test for soil pH may not be necessary. If it is, DQO Level 5.
r	6	7	The separate soil pH analysis may not be necessary.
~	8	2	All residential well and municipal well samples have a DQO Level 5 because of lower detection limits.
•	9	1&2	A number of questions and concerns regarding the subject of drums have been raised. Firstly, if a magnetometer test for buried drums will be conducted, pertinent information regarding standard operating procedures, calibrations, and maintenance must be included in all appropriate sections of the QAPP and SAP. If drums are in fact discovered text should include what action
	•		۰ ۰

•

•

4 <sup>.</sup>

•

·

•

•

	Section	n <u>Page</u>		Comment
				should be taken. For example, will excavation and sampling take place immediately, during the proposed Phase II, or will EPA/WDNR conduct an emergency removal? HSI should be advised that special laboratory services will be required for heavily contaminated material. We have made no provisions for this.
$\checkmark$	9	6		Separate analysis for soil pH may not be necessary.
~	10	3	·	Define CSL as close support lab.
r	10	3		Third paragraph-Will the storm water run-off be sampled <u>in</u> <u>addition to</u> the three basins? Please clarify.
۲	10	5	r	If the data from the CSL on these additional samples warrants sending extra samples to CLP, it should be mentioned here.
			۷.	Text should indicate the number of storm water samples anticipated.
1	11	1		End of first paragraph-"A separate part of the Subtask-3C" might better read "Subtask-5C", since information regarding residential well sampling is presented in 5C.
V	11	3		TOC, CEC, and pH (if required) are all DQO Level 5.
~	11	4		Typo-"In Subtask 1C one additional water table well <u>was</u> also proposed."
. V	11	6		Typo-"interpretation of this data will be the preparation <u>of</u> three"
$\checkmark$	11	7		Separate soil pH analysis may not be necessary.
<b>v</b>	13	2		The TCL VOCs for res. wells should also be noted as low level and all res. well analyses have a DQO level 5 because of lower detection limits.
r	13	4		Sampling of air particulates has been eliminated from this project.
✓	13	5		The media "groundwater" should read "residential wells". ( This may need to be corrected elsewhere.) The VOCs for res. wells are also CLP-SAS.
~	15	1		15.1 Text would better read, "Each sample container will be tagged with the following" EPA sample <u>labels</u> are only used for the RAS parameters.
V	15	3	~	First paragraph-This is an untrue statement regarding trip blanks. Trip blanks are not supplied by CLP labs. They are prepared prior to mobilization and carried into the field along with all other VOC samples/containers. They are labeled, tagged, and preserved, as if the were an investigative sample. They are designated on

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>

#### <u>Comment</u>

EPA paperwork (chain of custody/traffic report) as a trip blank. HSI is required to use the same high quality water for their trip blanks that they intend to use for decontamination and field blank purposes.

- ✓ 15 3 While it is a good idea to designate MS/MSD and other lab QC samples in the log books and paper work in the manner described at the bottom of this page, HSI must be aware that these QC samples will receive the same EPA sample number as the field sample.
  - - ✓ Tests included in "Material Properties" need to be specified. A footnote will do.
- ✓ Table 6-1 Footnotes are needed here; one to indicate the number of samples per location for groundwater will happen during two separate sampling events and the other to list tests for material properties.
- V Table 7-1 See previous note regarding sampling events.
- ✓ Table 8-1 See previous note regarding sampling events.
- $\checkmark$  Table 9-1 See previous notes regarding zero samples and material properties.
- ✓ Table 10-1 Referring to Section 10, page 3, third paragraph-The number of surface water samples is in question. If there is a chance for more than three surface water samples, the table should state so.
- ✓ Table 11-1 The number of monitoring wells I believe should be 33 and not 32. (There are 29 new wells, 3 existing and one installed during Subtask-1C.) This will change numbers across the entire row.

See previous notes regarding sampling events and material properties.

Table 12-1See previous notes regarding sampling events.

#### Appendix A / Standard Operating Procedures of SAP

SOP 40100 General comments: This section does not need to be included in the SAP. It is, however, necessary for the QAPP/SAP to include a statement similar to the following: "All environmental samples will be packaged, labeled, and shipped according to current Federal DOT regulations." This is important so that the contractor will be responsible for any consequences of <u>not</u> complying with the regulations. For example, if a commercial Page

carrier refused shipment because of improper labeling, etc., and critical holding times were exceeded, HSI would be responsible. For HSI's convenience I would like to recommend that they call Federal Express's toll-free telephone number (1-800-238-5355) and ask for the "Dangerous Goods Hotline". The purpose is two-fold. Firstly, the categories listed in Table 1, page 3 most likely do not apply to the investigative samples from Better Brite. Federal Express has trained personnel who will be able to help classify these samples, based on historical data, and provide information on packaging, labeling, etc.. I expect them to come under ORM (other regulated material). Secondly, commercial carriers sometimes have more stringent rules than the Federal Government, and their policies can also be discussed. A very important detail that HSI should be aware of is that, as of 1-1-91, DOT regulations require that in order to ship potentially dangerous materials a 24-hour telephone number must be included on the paperwork so that information can be provided on the materials being shipped.

3.1 Packaging-Proper CLP packaging procedures are included in guidance/handouts. Please include these procedures in the text.

- Paper work such as chain of custody forms must be completed according to the manual provided.
- ✓ 6.0-Transportation. HSI may not transport any samples to a CLP laboratory.
- ✓ 2.0-Please do not confuse labels with tags. All sample containers are tagged but only RAS samples are labeled.
- Suggest that suffixes be added to identification numbers which denote depth for soil samples or sampling event for groundwater samples.
- Item (a)- I can not find an Attachment A. There will be several chain of custody forms for this project and examples of each should be included. HSI may use their own chain of custody form for samples being sent to the CSL and all non-CLP labs. EPA/CLP requires the use of either the organic traffic report/chain of custody, an inorganic traffic report/chain of custody, or a SAS packing list/chain of custody as described in the handouts provided.
- ✓ Item (c)-May be eliminated since sample will not be split.
- V Item (d)-All EPA chain of custody forms have four pages and instructions are given for where each one is sent.

This entire SOP in invalid for all CLP samples. CLP labs do not provide sample containers or preservatives. HSI is encouraged to contact Jan Pels, Region V CRL, to get guidance on container/preservative procurement.

40400 ्

2

2

40500

40600

				8
	Section	Page	Comment	
		$\checkmark$	As previously stated, a Table must be included which lists all containers, preservatives, and holding times for all parameters.	
	40700	1 🗸	Typo-" <u>A</u> sample location"	
		V	What wetland?	
	50100 .	/	I do not know if a portable gas detector is necessary for health and safety purposes but it does not need to be included in the SAP.	,
	50200	2 🗸	Please indicate the energy level of the PID lamp being used.	
		5 V	Please indicate how often duplicates will be taken.	
		6 V	It is suggested that unusually high PID readings be included on EPA paperwork to alert the analyst.	
	50300	.2 🗸	Include a step for rinsing and drying electrode between samples.	
		3 V	4.1-Please indicate how often duplicates will be taken.	
	50400	2 🗸	Table 1-Please check if 0.01M KC should be KCl.	
		3 4	Many conductivity meters require a deionized water blank for "zeroing" the instrument. Please check the instruction manual to make sure that this step has not been eliminated.	D
		4 1	4.1-Please indicate how often duplicates will be taken.	
	60200	2 🗸	Phosphate-free detergent is to be used. Also suggest that if the tap water rinse is followed by an <u>isopropanol</u> and then followed b a distilled water rinse, that evaporation of equipment will not b necessary.	by
		V	Typo-"and disposed of in <u>an</u> appropriate manner."	
	60204	r	Is mapping a part of this project?	
×.	70100	2 1	Eliminate "laboratory-prepared". This is not EPA/CLP protocol.	
		V.	Use the word tagged instead of labeled. Also, it is inappropriat to composite VOC samples.	:e
	70500	1 -	1.0-The determination of LNAPLs and DNAPLs is not appropriate for this project.	٢,
		V	Typo-"Construction and installation"	
		3 🗸	Where is Figure 1?	
		V	Syntax error-"If the packing material bridges, it will <u>be</u> tamped into place." This same error appears in other sections.	
			?	

• • • •

*e*.

.

Section	Page	Comment
	4 🗸	Where can we find examples of the well forms mentioned? In $\mathcal{DMP}$
	5	Where is Figure 2?
	:7 v	Where is Figure 3?
80400		<u>Subsurface Soil Sampling.</u> There is no text describing the collection of soil samples from test pits. It is necessary to include here or in a separate SOP to especially insure the integrity of the VOC analysis. VOC samples that are aerated will be useless.
91000	2 🗸	Second paragraph is inappropriate for CLP samples as stated previously.
	/	3.1-How will such samples be identified and will this be the responsibility of the field crew or lab? Please clarify.
	3 🗸	3.3-Not CLP protocol.
	•	3.4-Not CLP-RAS. SAS Turnaround times are specified in the SAS request forms. This subsection is not necessary.
	1	3.5-This is not necessary for samples sent through CLP.
	Ń	3.6-HSI must follow the manual provided for this information.
	8 V	4.4-This entire sub-section must be rewritten to reflect CLP protocol.
	10 🖌	4.5-Same as comment above.
	12 🛩	5.0-According to CLP procedures, many forms may be necessary. Examples of these should be included.
	13 <b>r</b>	5.2-Purging information. It is not required on CLP paperwork to include this information. A CLP lab does not care if a sample was not collected nor why.
	20 🖌	6.3-Two typos at bottom of page.
	28 🗸	Third paragraph-When field measurements are in error, instruments should also be recalibrated. This should be added to the text.
	29 🖌	8.3-Eliminate TOX. Please state that samples for organic analysis (VOCs) are not filtered. See previous statements on sample bottle procurement. HSI should be advised that pre-filtration jugs obtained commercially are seldom cleaned according to EPA's highest standards.
	30 V	Sample bottles obtained commercially usually do not have a preservative added. CLP labs do not provide bottles nor preservatives.

•

.

· · ·

• •

.

r

9

.

•

.

#### Section Page

#### <u>Comment</u>

✓ Typo-Item (1) " put <u>on the</u> ground"

31 V

Items 5 & 6-Eliminate TOX and Coliform references.

- Item 7-CLP manual stipulates that samples are to be sent out within 24 hours of collection.
- Typo-Item (9) "fall on the ground"
- 32&33

8.4-Second paragraph-This needs to be reworded since trip blanks are not prepared by the lab receiving the samples. Should indicate that they are for VOCs only. HSI is advised to have extra trip blanks in the field. If one is accidentally opened it should be discarded.

Regarding field blanks: When bottles are obtained commercially and are cleaned according to EPA's highest standards, they come with a certificate of analysis indicating the absence of contamination. For this reason, "bottle blanks" are not necessary. The purpose of a field blank is to determine if decontamination procedures are being carried out properly and there is no "carry over" from one aqueous sample to another. When sample bottles are filled directly and do not come in contact with sampling or a filtering apparatus, field blanks are not required. Even with dedicated sampling equipment, such as bailers, a field blank is required for every 10 samples to determine if the dedicated bailers were clean to begin with. Field blanks are analyzed for all parameters that the field samples are analyzed for including SAS parameters.

Items (1), (2), and (3)-This is incorrect. See above statement regarding field blanks. One trip blank is required for each cooler containing samples for VOC analysis. Each field QA sample serves a specific purpose and they can not be substituted for each other. This needs to be reworded.

- 34 ✓ 8.5-This is not necessary for this project. Text can more simply state that field duplicate samples will be collected at a frequency of one for every 10 samples or fewer, for each matrix, at the same time, and in the same manner as the original sample.
- 36 Second note-This is a good precautionary step, however, if filtering equipment is decontaminated properly, cross contamination should not happen. (See comment for page 38.)
- 37 V Third item-Not necessary to include this information on EPA/CLP paperwork.
- 38 Item (1)-Filtering equipment should be decontaminated in the same manner as other field equipment: Tap water and phosphate-free detergent, tap water rinse, isopropanol, DI water rinse. If filtering apparatus contains components that should not come in contact with alcohols or other solvents, at least detergent should be used.

<u>Section</u>	Page	•	Comment
	39	?	Many typos on upper half of page.
		r	Item (12)-This decon. procedure is deficient. See previous notes.
		~	"unless analytical data is available" should be eliminated. Loss of organic analytes is of major concern.
	40	v	9.1-This sub-section must be rewritten to reflect CLP protocol as given in the manual.
		r	Typo-Third paragraph-eliminate the word <u>in</u> .
	41-43	r	9.2-Same as comment above. (9.1)
	44-46	V	9.3-Same as comment above. (9.1)
92000	2		Syntax error-The word <u>prelude</u> should be substituted with <u>preclude</u> .
	3	~	4.0-Where is Attachment A?
		V	5.0-Typo-"The <u>tap</u> will be turned on"
		V	The last sentence in first paragraph-Should this read "The sample bottles will be filled as required in order to decrease (prevent) volatility."?
94000	<sup>.</sup> 1	r	2.0-The McLane, et al, method is not attached to the SOP as stated.
	2	V	Third paragraph-Syntax error; tenses.
100100	1	ν.	There is no Figure 1.
		~	Since VOC analysis of surface water is proposed for this site, I'm not sure that compositing of surface water is valid. This requires discussion.
General Co	mment	V	There is no text describing the sampling procedure for basement sumps. This should be included somewhere.

#### Special Analytical Service Requests and SOPs

I haven't contacted HSI regarding the changes that you and I discussed so I am including them here for your confirmation. For all SAS requests that deal with metals analysis, the second item which asks for expected level of contamination should be changed from "low" to "low to medium". The surficial soil fraction that is dried and sieved will be <u>air</u> dried instead of oven dried. The purpose of this is to be able to include cyanides and also to insure that hexavalent and trivalent chromium will not undergo any changes due to heat. I will supply Judy Fassbender with a new attachment to the SOP soon.

# <u>Data Management Plan</u>

<u>Section</u>	Page	Comment
3	1 V	Second paragraph-EPA <u>tags</u> are retained as documents and it is important to include this in text. EPA sample labels are not as important since only RAS parameters are labeled.
	6 · √	3.2.3.13-Please refer to previous statements regarding specific chain of custody forms. Examples of each should be included.

cc: Gary Edelstein



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD

CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF: **SQ-14J** 

# DATE: NOV 18 1992

**SUBJECT:** Partial Approval of the First Revision, Fund-Lead Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP) for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study at Better Brite Plating, Inc., Dg Pere, Wisconsin

Curtis Ross FROM: Acting Regional Quality Assurance Manager

**TO:** James Mayka, Chief Michigan/Wisconsin Remedial Response Branch

#### ATTENTION: Dan Cozza, Remedial Project Manager

I am providing partial approval of the subject <u>QAPjP</u>. The Quality Assurance Section (QAS) received the subject QAPjP on November 4, 1992 (QAS Log-in No. 1820). All activities are <u>approved except</u> the sampling and analysis of <u>soil samples</u> for <u>hexavalent chromium</u>. The Central Regional' Laboratory will work with the RPM to get the analytical method into a workable form.

To facilitate this partial approval, the following corrections have been made to the QAPjP and the changed pages are attached:

- 1. In Section 5.1.3, page 5 of 5, the following statement has been added, "The combination CLP Traffic Report/Chain of Custody and Combination SAS Packing List/Chain of Custody are in Appendix B.2."
- 2. The combination CLP Traffic Report/Chain of Custody and Combination SAS Packing List/Chain of Custody has been inserted into Appendix B.2

I have signed the attached signature page. Please have the remedial project manager provide final sign-off. We would like to receive a copy of the completed signature page within the next two weeks.

Attachments

cc: Kaushal Khanna, HSRLT-5J Charles Elly, SL-10C

# **REVISION 1**

# TASK 2

# QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/ FEASIBILITY STUDY BETTER BRITE CHROME AND ZINC SHOP SITES

# DE PERE, WISCONSIN

Robert J. Karnauskas, P.G., P.HG. Simon Hydro-Search Site Manager

Michael R. Noel Simon Hydro-Search QA Officer

Terry Koehn WDNR Project Manager

U.S. EPA Remedial Project Manager

U.S. EPA Central Regional Laboratory Director

NOV 18 1200

U.S. EPA Quality Assurance Manager

\*Partial Approval for all activities except the sampling analysis of soil samples for hexavalent chromium.

John Rather Ortek Quality Assurance Manager

HELSIMON HYDRO-BERRCH

Better Brite Quality Assurance Section: 5 Revision: 1 Date: 10/9/92 Page: 5 of 5

carriers are not required to sign off on the custody form as long as the custody forms are sealed inside the sample cooler and the custody seals remain intact.

The combination CLP Traffic Report/Chain of Custody and Combination SAS Packing List/Chain of Custody are in Appendix B.2. <u>5.2 Laboratory Chain-of-Custody Procedures</u>

The chain of custody procedures for the CLP laboratory are described in the Statements of Work (SOWs) for RASs. The same custody procedure applies to SASs. These custody procedures along with the holding time requirements for CLP samples are described in the appropriate SOW (OLM01.1 for organics and ILM01.0 for inorganics).

The chain of custody procedures for samples shipped to the CRL are described in the CRL's SOP. The chain-of-custody procedures for samples shipped to the CSL (Ortek) are included with the Ortek SOP as Appendix D. Chain-of-custody procedures for the samples sent to the material property testing laboratory will follow the Simon Hydro-Search Chain-Of-Custody Standard Operating Procedure 40500 (Appendix F).

#### 5.3 Final Evidence Files Custody Procedures

Simon Hydro-Search is the custodian of the evidence file and maintains the contents of evidence files for the RI, including all relevant records, reports, logs, field notebooks, pictures, subcontractor reports, correspondence, laboratory logbooks, chain of custody form, and LSSS of CRL's data reviews in a secured, limited access area and under custody of the contractor's site manager.

HELSIMON HYDRO-SERRCH

## COMBINATION CLP TRAFFIC REPORT/ CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND COMBINATION SAS PACKING LIST/ CHAIN OF CUSTODY (refer to attached examples)

#### A. GENERAL:

The combination traffic report/chain of custody is used to ship samples to the EPA contract lab for routine analytical services. The combination SAS packing list/ chain of custody is used to ship samples to the EPA Contract lab for special analytical services. These reports must be filled out and shipped with each code sent to the contract lab.

#### B. DISTRIBUTION:

- 1. First copy Send to RSCC.
- 2. Second copy Mail to SMO.
- 3. Third and fourth copies Send to laboratory.

#### C. **PREPARATION:**

- 1. Case Number Supplied by SMO.
- 2. SAS Number Enter SAS number if applicable ...
- 3. Project Code Optional
- 4. Account Code Optional
- 5. Regional Information Enter TFAIO2
- 6 Non-Superfund Program Leave blank
- 7. Site Name, City, State Enter site name and location.
- 8. Site spill ID Enter ZZ for all SI work unless the Site is listed on the NPL; in that case, enter the EPF
  9. Region No. Enter "5" site/spill ID (2 digit) Coc
- 10. Sampling Company Enter "PRC"
- 11. Sampler, Sampler Signature Print and sign your name.

12.	Type of	Activity	- Check	appropriate	activity,	i.e.
	SSI					

- 13. Date Shipped Enter the date samples were shipped to lab.
- 14. Carrier Federal Express
- 15. Airbill Number -- Enter the Federal Express airbill number.
- 16. Ship To Enter the lab name, address, and the person who is supposed to receive shipment.
- 17. Sample Numbers Enter the appropriate sample numbers.
- 18. Sample Description Enter appropriate number from box No. 7 on traffic report.
- 19. Concentration Enter the expected concentration of the sample (L, M, H).
- 20. Sample Type Indicate either grab or composite.
- 21. Preservative Enter the appropriate number or letter from box No. 6.
- 22. Analysis Check the appropriate analyses for each sample indicated on traffic report.
- 23. Sample Tag Numbers Indicate the sample tag numbers that correspond to each sample number:
- 24. Station Location Number -- Enter the assigned location number where each sample was collected, i.e. MW-01, MW-02.
- 25. Month/Day/Year/Time of Sample Collection -- Enter the date and time of sample collection.
- 26. Sampler Initials Optional

27. Corresponding CLP Sample Number - Enter the corresponding inorganic sample number on the organic traffic report and enter the corresponding organic sample number on the inorganic traffic report.

Use the space at the right of the traffic report to indicate which sample numbers are blanks and duplicates. Indicate the custody seal numbers in the box labeled "TR." On the SAS packing list, indicate custody seal numbers in the space between the sample information and the chain of custody record.

If all samples collected under an assigned case number were shipped on the same day, circle "Y" in the "shipment for Case Complete?" box, on the appropriate traffic reports, to indicate the shipment for the case number was complete. If a portion of the samples for a case number are collected and shipped, then circle "N" on the appropriate traffic reports to indicate that shipment is incomplete for the case number.

The sampler should sign their name in the "Relinquished by:" box prior to shipment. The date and time should also be entered. The "Split Samples" box should indicate whether split samples were accepted or declined.

See attached Examples of completed forms. 28. Identify the sample to be used for the us/usD or spike/duplicate analysis in the appropriate box.

# SAMPLE TAG (refer to attached example)

A. GENERAL:

•••

	A sample tag is completed attached to the sample co	
в.	PREPARATION: 1. Project Code/Case#:	The SMC assigned case # is entered - for samples being shipped to the CLP. For CRL samples, the 1st G digits of the CRL - Enter sample point (station) log #
	2. Station Number	<pre>For CRL samples, the 1st &amp; digits of the Call samples point (station) for the content of the content is code number; code number must correlate with the sample plan. Some examples: Code number well = MW sediment = SE Existing well = GW Lake = LK Stream = SW Lagoon = LG Soil = SO Leachate = LE Sludge = SL Blank = BL</pre>
	3. Month/Day/Year 4. Time	- Self explanatory - Use military format - i.e. 1430 for 2:30 P.M.
	5. Designate	- Comp (Composite) or grab (Check only one.)
	6. Sample # :	- Enter the CLP sample #. For CRL samples, enter the last three digits of the CRL 100 #.
	7. Samplers 8. Preservative	- Enter signature of sampler. - Check of the type of preservative used,
	9. Analysis 10. Remarks	- Check analysis desired. - Identify field blanks
	11. Tag Number	- Enter number in logbook, on custody sheet and/or Sample Description Form.
	12. MS/MSD :	Identify the sample to be used for the org. MS/USD or inorg spike/dup. by checking this box.

	PO Iba c 10 Acesandris VA 22313 & Chail 103-557-2490 FIS 557-2490 (Fo									cy 1 Olfice	rganic Trallic hin of Cuslod (For Inorganic CLIP An	y Record	No. picable)	Case NU. Case =#=		
		Project Code Account Code 2. Region No. Sampling Co. 4. Date Sh V Cumpting Name Date									4. Dato Si Date	Carrie	r Name		Proset- valivo (Enter in Solvain D)	7. Samplu Description (Entur
-	Non-Superlund Program				Sampler Name Airbi							ill Numb	- 2 3 4	. 11Cl . 11NO3 . NaO11 . NaO11 . 112SO4 . K2CF12O7 . Ico uniy	1. Surlace Water 2. Ground Water 3. Leachate	
	Sile Name Site A Cily, State City_S	Sile Name 4. Type of Activity nem Milat ne Sile Name 4. Type of Activity nem Milat new Miles 20 CLEM SF 20 CLEM 110 CLEM 110 CLEM 110 CLEM 110 CLEM							CLEM Address					5. Soil/Sedimont 6. Oil (SAS) 7. Wastu (SAS) 8. Other (SAS) <i>(Specily)</i>		
	CLP CLP Sample Numbers (from labels)	A	8	l c	D Preser- vative from	Motal	· //	NCLP S Ana Tow Conc.		Trackle	F nat Spocilic ng <u>Number</u> Numbers	G Stailon Locailon Number	H Mo/Day/ Yoar/Husu Sanıplu Collection	t Samptor Initials	prosorvod J Corrusp. CLP Org. Samp. No	Fluid QC
	MEPAOI MEPAOI	2	L	G	23	<u>×</u> _	- -  x -		- 	5-1234	57/2346	MWOI	Date / Alilitary		EPHOI	
. [	MEPADZ MEPADZ	╼╼╂╼╸ ╺┯┥╼		_ _		X_	  X		 	5-12		MWOZ	Dale / Juli liany Dale / July Dale / Juli lar Dale / Juli lary Dale / Jule	·	EIZOZ	
·	MEPA03 MEPA03				<u>っ</u> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>×</u> _	 X		 		351"" 352	MWOZ MWOZ	Dale/Time Dale/Time Dale/Time Dale/Time		EPA03	MEPAU3-MEPAUIA Field duplicatos
	MEPAOY	~~~			2	<u>x</u> _				5-12	353	MW03	Dale/ Time		EPA04	- rien nabirances
	MEPADY MEPADS	3			37	<u>x</u> [	¥			<u>5-12</u> <u>5-12</u>	355	MW03 FB01	Date/filing Date/filing Date/filing		EPAOS	
	MERAUS Shipment lor ( complete? ( Y/	N)		비미크	_				pike an	J/or duplic	356 alo	EBQ1 Additional Semplor S	Dill/Ali li Iniy Dill/Turd Signatures			l l l ly Seal Number
ļ	Circle C	ne_l			^	1E	<u> </u>	<u>//</u>				CUSTODY RECORD	·····		<u>C Sei</u>	<u>,  ====</u>
	Relinguished			,	Date		no ilita	Rec	elved b	y: (Signal		Fielinquisited by:		Date /	Time A	ocoivod by: (Signature)
	Relinquithed			;! ;	Dali	/ 5/ Tin }	18	Roc	elvod b	y: (Signal	ure)	Rolingulshed by:	(Signaluro)	Dato /	Tim <b>o</b> R	ocoivod by: <i>(Signaturo)</i>
·	Received by:	(Signat	ure)		Dale	57117 	18	l·loc (Sig	elvod (c n <i>ature)</i>	r Laborat	by by:	Date / Time	. Remarks Is o	custody s	oāŊ <u>ŋ</u> jāci?	Y/N/none
1	EPA Form 9110 DISTRIBUTION; Dieen - Region			-						•	•		Accepted (Sign	nature)	······	014401

Figure E8 - 2

Non-Superfund Program     Image: Sile Name       Sile Name     Sile Name										nber	<u>mier N</u> umber ny Nar	laime.		(E Ca 1.1 2.1 3.1 4.1 5.0	rosor- nivo inter in blumn D) ICI INO3 VatiSO4 I2SO4 Ditur (SAS) (Spocily) Ice only Not	Cuise ## 7. Sample Description (Enter In Column A) 1. Surface Water 3. Loachate 4. Rinsate 5. Soil/Sedimont 6. Oil (SAS) 7. Waste (SAS) 8. Other (SAS) (Specily)
City,S CLP Sample Numbors (Irom Iabels)	A	8	Cod Sample Typo: Comp. Grab	D Preso valive		RAS Anelysis High onlight Number					G Station .ocation Yumber	11 • Mo/Day/ Yoar/Tinio Sninpto Colluction	' .		J J	K Doslgnatod Field QC
EPAOI EPAOI EPAO2	2		G 		X X	X	X		5-12357-7 1236 5-12363-7/236 5-12367-7/236	E MILLI B MW	21 <u>.</u>	Dale/fine Dale/fine Dale/fine Dale/fine Dale/fine	2		MEPNOL MEPNO2	
EPADZ EPADJ EPADJ EPADJ					X	× ×	×		5-12369-7/23 5-12371 <i>-</i> 7/23 5-123737/23 5-123757/23	12 MW	03 03 03	Dale/file Dale/file Dale/file Dale/file	419 419 419		MEPAU3 MEPAO4	EPAU3-EPAU4 Field duplicate
EPAD4 EPAD5 EPAD5	1/2013				X	X	X		5-12317-7123 5-12317-7123 5-12381-71231 5-12381-71231	18 MW	<u>1</u>	Dale/4	y y 9		MEPADS	Field Blank
complete? ( Y/	Shipment for Cese complete? (Y/N) Circle One Circle One Circle One							Additional	dditional Sampler Signatures Chain of Custody Soal Numb							
Ralinquished by: (Signature) Date / Time Received by: (Signature) Signature, Date Time									:		Rollingulshod by: (Signature) Date / Time Rocoived by: (Signature					
RelingUished by: (Signature) Dato / Timo Roceived by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Dato / Timo Received for Leboratory by: (Signature)								• • •		Rollingulshod by:     (Signature)       Date / Time     Remarks       Date / Time     Remarks						
EPA Form 9110- DISTRIBUTION: Blue - Region C	-	i-91} Ro	places (	EPA For		l 7), prov	love ed	illon wh	lch may be used	Spill S		l Accepted (Si Declined	gnalur	e)		<u></u>

.

٠.

														····			
VEP/		United State esct Laborato PO fia 202	s Environnienial Ny Program Sar Dia 010 Alexandri 557-2490 FTS	Protecti nple Mar in, VA 22	on Ag 12968 2313	ency tent Of	lice	Special Analytical Service Packing List/Chain of Curstody									
1. Project Code	Account		2. Region N						hipped Cattler	·····			$\frac{20}{1}$				
			T.	1.		-	and			6. Sample Descriptio	n		7. Proso		na Cl		
Regional Information Sampler (Name)								I AlrUill Nu	mber ·	- (Enter in Column				(Enter in Column C)			
Your Name								12:	345678		•						
Non-Superlund Prog	Iram		Sannoler Sig	nalure		,		5. Ship To	345678	1: Surface V 2. Ground V			1. HCI 2. HNC 3. NAI	03			
			Your	Sig	na	tar	е	1 1 01	Name	3. Loachato 4. Niasato	3. Loachato						
Sile Name	i		"13. Tvoe of A	clivity	<b>Negur</b>	41.1	Remain		Iress " "	5. Soll/Sedi	nent		4. 112S 5. NAC	)H'			
Landfill				re- RII	·S >	- INE		7100		6. Oil 7. Wasto			Spe	6. Other (SAS) (Specily)			
City, State		lo Spill ID	IPRPI_IPATINA (TIREM ( ))					II		0. Other (Specity	0. Other			7. Ice onlý N. Not preserved			
Chicago,I		<u>ZZ</u>			<u>id</u>		<u>r [</u>	<u> </u>	1!								
Samplo	. A	8	C C			D.		E	F	G Station		м	H lo/Day/		J		
Numbers	Matrix Enter	Conc Low	Proserv- alive	1	Ana	alysis	•	Sample used for	Regional Specific	Location		Ye	ar/Timo	Sampler Initials	Designated		
	from Box 6	Med High	Used from				•	spiko and/or	or Teg Number	Iclantillor			iample Mection	}	ļ		
•			Box 7					duplicato									
1. EOI	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	TOC	Nit	<u>r, (</u>	<u>COP</u>	· .	5-12345	_EB-01	_]]	<u>1]91</u>	9:00		_Black_		
2. EOZ	3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				-		5-12317	MW-02	_þ/	lifal	10:00				
3. E03	2	L	4				<u> </u>		5-12348	MW -03	_ 3]	1/91.	_11:00				
4. E04	2	<b>_</b>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>v</u>		<u>v</u>	<u> </u>	5-12349712350		_3/	1/91	13:00				
5. EOI	2	<u> </u>	7	<u>So'l</u>	<u>T5</u>	<u>s,1</u> 1	<u>)5</u>	<u> </u>	5-12351		_3	11/01	9:00		Blank		
6. <u>F0:2</u>	2	_ <u>L</u>	_7			•			<u>5-12352</u>	MW-D2	_3	<u>jg</u>	aiou		·		
7. EO3	2		_7						5-12353	MW-03	_3)	:/ <u>/</u>	11:00				
EDL	2		_7	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	<u></u>	· ·	<u>+</u>	<u>X</u> .	<u>5-12351/7 12355</u>	MWOY	Ŀ.	h fai	13100				
9												· ·					
10.		•															
ihipment lor-SAS omplete? (Y)N)							_			(	!0(	2 50	al the	4567	8-456		
Subrata L Out		•															
									CUSTODY RECORD								
Iolinguished by: (Sig	nalure)		Dato / Timo		olvod	i by:	(Signal	ure)	Rolinquished by:	(Signaturo)	Dale	o / Tîn	io Rocolvo	od by: (Sh	gnature)		
Signature 3/1/9/18:00																	
Relinquished by: (Signature) Dato / Timo Received by: (Sign					Signal	we)	Relinquished by:	(Signature)	(Signature) Date / Tim			Received by: (Signature)					
		Í	I	i		• 1	•			}		1					
Rocelved by: (Signal	Dato / Timo	-	olvad	l tor L	aborate	arv by:	Date / Time	Romarks is cu	sloch	 y seal	Inlaci7 Y/N/n	000					
ticcoved by: (Signature) Dato / time (Signature)					re)			1									
								<u></u>							•		
A Form \$110-3 (4-81)			,					•	Split Samples	∧cceptod (Signa	nturøj	ļ					
STRIBUTION:					,				l r	Declined							

Figure E8 - 3

DESIGNATE Grab Comp. Samplers (signatures) Month/Day/Year Time Sample Number Station Number and Location Case # or Project Code

# 

PRESERVATIVE: H2SO4 ANALYSES METALS VOA ABN CYANIDE PEST/PCB Mercury Pesticides Fluoride Herbicides Nitrate/Nitrite PCB TOC PCDC/PCDF BOD 2.3.7.8-TCDD CCS TDS Ames Mutagen TSS Asbestos 0%G Phosphorus TOI Sultate TO2 Chlcride Sulfide TOX Ammonia CBOD Alkalinity **Bio-Acute** Acidity **Bio-Chronic** TKN Remarks:

USE FOR MS/MSD

Tag Number *169834* 

5

Lab Sample Number

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:4Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:1 of 7

# 4.0 SUBTASK-1Z DOCUMENTED AND SUSPECTED IMPACTS TO SUBSURFACE SOILS

## 4.1 Subtask Description

Subtask-1Z involves source and physical characterization activities necessary to define the nature and extent of impacted subsurface soils located under the Zinc Shop building as well as soils in the area immediately adjacent to the building. The soils under and around the building (Figure 4-1) are suspected source areas based upon previous investigative work, WDNR interviews with former Better Brite personnel, and review of historical spill and release records. Information obtained from investigations at plating facilities with similar histories indicate that the foundation slab has likely been penetrated by the chromium solutions especially in areas with floor drain trenches and floor drain basins. An additional source of impacts may be underground plating tanks which are suspected at the Shop and have been tentatively confirmed by U.S. EPA (WDNR, 1991). The building and the foundation will be removed and the source of the source of the source from the excausion will be sampled.

These impacted soils are contained by a relatively impermeable cover (Zinc Shop building and slab). During previous investigations, subsurface soil impacts were detected around the perimeter of the Zinc Shop building but extent of contamination was not determined. Completion of the characterization requirements for Subtask-1Z consist of source affer + be elecaterized in a complete.exploration activities primarily at known or suspected release areas. These include soils a + de bofform of the elecaterized areaunder the building foundation, especially at the suspected UST plating tanks, along theformer floor drains and floor drain trench, and in the north end of the building where drumshad been stored. Additional areas of concern include the loading dock to the north, nearthe drum storage area where accumulations of plating rinse water were previously noted byWDNR, to the east near the sanitary sewer manway, to the east near the current extractionsystem near the area with documented releases, along the southern building wall wherehistorical records indicate leakage of solutions into the soils from discharges along the sill

HEI SIMON HYDRO-SEARCH

Sampling and Analysis Plan Section: 4 Revision: 1 Date: 10/13/92 Page: 2 of 7

plate, and west of the Zinc Shop where service laterals enter the shop. Liquid solutions and waste are known or likely to have been released in these vicinities. Probable response actions, if any, cannot be defined at this time. Data needed for alternative screening includes definition of the areal extent of impacts to subsurface soils.

Limited information has been collected during previous investigations to determine the chemical and physical characteristics of the soils and ground water at the site. Samples collected in 1987 through 1991 have detected elevated levels of organic and inorganic compounds. This subtask will include performing a source characterization to evaluate the magnitude and extent of contaminants present at the site. Activities necessary to conduct  $\int_{a_{i}}^{a_{i}} \int_{a_{i}}^{a_{i}} \int_{a_{i}}^{a_{i}}$ 

# 4.2 Sampling Objectives

The objectives of the sampling and analysis plan for Subtask-1Z include the following:

- 1. Determine metals and VOC concentrations in the unsaturated zone under the building where liquid waste releases are likely to have covered;
- 2. Evaluate the existence of impacted soils at known or suspected source areas or potential spill sites or in contaminant migration pathways in areas not covered by the building foundation, including along service laterals exiting the west side of the former building.
- 3. Determine the quantity, extent, and magnitude of impacted soils not covered by the building foundation.

# HEI SIMON HYDRO-SERECH

1. Evaluate the levels of contaminants remaining after the removal of the building, foundantima & underlying

Sampling and Analysis Plan Section: 4 Revision: 1 Date: 10/13/92 Page: 3 of 7

- Evaluate the presence of subsoil fractures and their effect on chromium distribution within source areas and chromium mobilization resulting from geochemical reactions in the subsurface.
- **7**-5. Determine chromium species present; and
- 45.
- Determine the physical characteristics of the subsurface soils to enable evaluation of remedial alternatives, if appropriate.

Discharge of liquids from the plating procedure to the ground surface has been documented in earlier investigations. Discharge of liquid within the building or under the building (from USTs) is also likely to have occurred during the operation of the plant. The presence of metal and/or VOC-impacted soils under the building foundation and around the building will be evaluated directly through soil sampling, visual analysis, field screening, and laboratory analysis. Soil-borings will be completed through the building-foundation to evaluate soils under the building, and test pits will be used to allow more thorough visual analysis of subsurface conditions beyond the building foundation. Soil samples will be collected and submitted to a laboratory for analytical testing and for testing of material properties to determine the physical properties of the soil.

# 4.3 Data Ouality Objectives

DQOs for Subtask-1Z will encompass a combination of field screening and analytical laboratory quality objectives as follows:

HEI SIMON HYDRO-SE

Sampling and Analysis Plan Section: Revision: Date: 10/13/92 Page: 4 of 7

# Sampling Objective

Determine potential presence of impacts in soils

Determine extent and magnitude of impacted soil

## Parameter

Photoionizable VOCs

TAL Metals and Cyanide TCL VOCs Soil pH

## **Data** Ouality Objective

**PID** Field Screening (DQO Level I)

Establish presence/absence and vertical/horizontal boundaries of impacts.

Provide data for risk assessment. (DQO Level IV and V)

Determine the physical characteristics of the soil

Testing

will be remain

Material Properties Provide data for determine contaminant migration potential (DQO Level III)

sed along with all contamingted

The by Idiy (alreidy, removed) and the foundation

soils as determined vin screening and visual observation. The bottom of the

4.4 Technical Approach

4.4.1 Scope of Investigation

4.4.1.1 Impacts Under the Building

excuvation will be sampled To evaluate impacts under the building, five test borings will be completed in the suspect area of releases within the Zinc Shop building as shown on Figure 4-1. Three borings will be completed to the water table, approximately 4 feet below ground surface (bgs). A silt or sand zone has been noted at a depen of approximately 15 feet bgs at some areas across the site so one boring will be extended to a depth of 20-feet and a second will be extended to bedrock (approximately 30 feet bgs) so a determination can be made as to whether this zone is continuous across the site.

HEI SIMON HYERG=

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:4Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:5 of 7

The boring which extends to bedrock will be drilled in an area of suspected USTs where plating solutions may have potentially been released. The boring will be extended to the bedrock surface to evaluate chromium levels with depth in the potential source area. The boring which is advanced to bedrock will be sealed following NR141 abandonment procedures. This will be done to reduce the potential for contaminants in the soils to migrate to the bedrock surface through the borehole.

Sample s will be taken with a backhoe and The test borings will be advanced using hollow stem augers with continuous samples collected using split-spoon samplers. The split-spoon samples will be visually inspected for the presence of chromium-bearing materials and screened for VOC content using a PID. A 2-foot maximum field screening interval will be used targeting zones with visual impacts. 50 sg H with a fact erecord and two samples from the deeper borings will be submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Samples which exhibit elevated PID response or visible chromium bearing materials, if any, will be preferentially selected for analysis. One sample from each stratigraphic unit encountered in the borings will be submitted for material property testing, up to a maximum of six samples.

# 4.4.1.2 Impacts Adjacent to the Building

For evaluating impacts adjacent to the building, one boring and four test pits will be completed to determine the subsurface conditions in four areas with known or suspected impacts. Boring and test pit locations are indicated on Figure 4-1. The boring will be completed to 15 feet following the procedures documented in Section 4.4.1.1. Each test pit location may be investigated using more than one pit in close proximity to provide necessary detail but limit the amount of disturbance to impacted soil. The pits will be dug no closer than 5 feet from the building foundation to avoid potential structural damage to the foundation and to the approximate depth of the water table (estimated at 4 feet).

HSI SIMO

ťŸ[0!\$\©

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:4Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:6 of 7

Representative samples from each boring and test pit will be examined for the presence of chromium bearing materials and screened for VOC content. Chromium compounds are visible in soils and can be differentiated in the field using a hand lens. These compounds are found predominantly on the fracture planes in clay. Crystalline dichromate is a platey mineral which is bright orange in color. Trivalent chrome precipitates are black or dark green and chromium staining on calcium carbonate turns the crystals bright yellow, making cree for 50 sp. Let within the five locations will be submitted for laboratory analysis of the parameters listed in Section 4.5. A total of up to three boring and test pit samples will be submitted for material-property testing to define subsurface conditions at the site.

## 4.4.2 Sampling Procedures

Field investigation procedures applicable to the activities described above are summarized in Section 15.0 of this SAP. Detailed procedures are contained in Appendix A.

## 4.5 Analytical Requirements

Analysis of samples described above will be performed as follows:

<u>Media</u>	<u>Parameters</u>	Method
Soil	VOCs	HNu PID Model PI-101 (Field Screening)
	TAL Metals and Cyanide	CLP-RAS
	TCL VOCs	CLP-RAS
	pH	CLP-SAS
		-Non-CLP-ASTM
•	hex chrome	clp GAS

HEI SIMON HY CRO-SERE

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:4Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:7 of 7

# 4.6 Summary

A summary of site investigation activities for Subtask-1Z is shown on Table 4-1. The results of this activity will be reported in Technical Memoranda #1, Initial Investigative Results, and #2, Source Characterization.

HEI SIMON HYDRO-BERRCH

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:15Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:1 of 3

# 15.0 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Sampling procedures and protocols necessary to conduct the RI activities described for each of the subtasks are summarized on Table 15-1. The specific details of each of the sampling procedures referenced are contained in Appendix A. This appendix contains Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) which will be uniformly adhered to for sample collection and handling activities.

A summary table of sample matrices, analytical parameters, and frequencies of sample collection are shown on Table 15-2.

## 15.1 Sample Identification and Documentation

Each sample container will be tagged with the following information as required by CLP:

- Sample identification code,
- Date/time of collection,
- Preservative, and
- Any special information, including potential level of contamination.

The sample identification code is an alpha-numeric code used to specify the material type, location, and sampling interval (i.e., depth), where appropriate, for each sample. Listed below are the standard codes to identify the type of material to be sampled. To an extent, these codes also identify the sampling location.

- SB Soil borehole
- MW Water table monitoring well (soils and ground water)
- P Piezometer Monitoring well (soils and ground water)

# HEI SIMON HYDRO-SEARCH

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:15Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:2 of 3

•	ST	-	Trench or test pit soil
•	SS	-	Surficial soil
•	SW	-	Surface water
. ♦*	SD	-	Sediment
<b>♦</b> .	ŚP	-	Sump
·	FX		Sump Execution
1-	CD11		

For example, SB110-3 to 5 refers to a soil sample from borehole location 110 over the three to five-foot interval; and MW-203 refers to a ground-water sample from monitoring well location 203. Additional guidance for sample identification includes the following:

- Subsurface exploration involving drilling or hand augering to depths exceeding 12 inches will be identified as soil borings (SB). Samples collected from the ground surface to a depth of 12 inches, or less, will be identified as surficial soil samples (SS).
- Soil boring logs for boring locations instrumented as monitoring wells will be identified as monitoring wells (MW).
- Exploration locations will be numbered consecutively so that each sampling location is unique (e.g., SB-1, SB-2, MW-3, MW-4, ST-5). The numeric code will not be duplicated, except to identify sample locations vertically or differing media (e.g., SB-1, 3'; SB-1, 5'; or SB-1, 3'SG).
- If a sample is a composite, the letter "C" will follow the depth intervals over which the composite was collected. An appropriate description of the sample will be recorded on the chain-of-custody record and field notebook.

# HEJ SIMON HYDRO-SEARCH

Sampling and Analysis PlanSection:15Revision:1Date:10/13/92Page:3 of 3

Trip blanks will be prepared prior to field work using laboratory-grade deionized water in laboratory quality sample vials. Trip blanks will be labelled, tagged, and preserved as if they were investigative samples and they will be designated as trip blanks on U.S. EPA paperwork.

To further reduce the potential for sample identification errors and duplication of previous site investigation sample locations, each series of subtasks has been assigned numbers for use in identifying sampling locations. The numbers available for each subtask are as follows:

Subtasks Associated with the Better Brite Zinc Shop:

Subtasks

1Z through 5Z

Sample Location Numbers Z001 through Z900

Subtasks Associated with the Better Brite Chrome Shop:

Subtasks 1C through 5C Sample Location Numbers C001 through C900

Sample location numbers Z901 through Z999 and C901 through C999 are reserved for duplicate and field blank QA samples. For example, a duplicate ground-water sample from monitoring well MW-Z001 would be identified as MW-Z901. Other duplicate samples or field blanks will be numbered in succession. Samples collected for matrix spike duplicates analysis will be identified with the code MSD (e.g., MWZ001-MSD). Only soil samples are being sent to the CSL, so trip blanks and MS/MSD sample volumes will not be sent to the CSL, but a limited number of duplicate samples will be sent.

HEI SIMON HYDRO-SEARCH



Description: Subtask-1Z: Documented and suspected impacts to subsurface soils at the Zinc Shop.

		<u></u>	Maximum Numbe	er of Samples		
Media/Activity	No. of Locations	Depth (ft.)	per location	total	Analytical Parameters	
· / I sample/	so ff bo	Hom of excai	atus Soil	·		
Soil Borings		4 20 30 18	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 - 2 \\ 1 - 2 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}3\\1-2\\1/2\\7-3\end{array}$	TAL Metals and Cyanide, TCL VOCs, pH	
	6	4 - 50	· Å			
Test Pits	4	(4	1.3	A-12-	TAL Metals and Cyanide, TCL VOCs, pH	
	:			A	Material Properties <sup>1</sup>	

HSI SIMON HYDRO-SE

5

Material properties includes grain size distribution.

1

Table SAP15-2 Table of Samples and Matrices

.

											r
Matrix	· Test Parameter	# of Samples		<sup>1</sup> Field Dup.		<sup>1</sup> Field Blank		<sup>2</sup> Trip Blank			Total
MATCIX	Test Parameter	Zinc	Chrome	Zinc	Chrome	Zinc	Chrome	Zinc	Chrome	Chrone SHS/HSD	
SOIL E	OR   NGS										
Soil	RAS CLI JAL Metals and Cyanide RAS CLIP TCL WOA SAS CLIP PH	10	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.	18
TEST P	Ts Excavation			•							
Soil ·	RAS CLP TAL Metals and Cyanide RAS CLP TCL VOA SAS CLP pH	•	-15-	1	E,	0	æ	0	S.	1 =	#
HONITO	R WELL INSTADLATIONS							4	_		
Soil	RAS CLP TAL Metals and Cypelide RAS CLP TCL VOA SAS CLP PH	10	16	<b>1</b>	2 :	<u>0</u>	•	0		2	29
	SAS CLP Nexavalorit Chronium SAS CLP Cation Exchange Capabity SAS CLP Total Organic Carbon	•••		1	1	0	0	0	•	1	20
SURFI	TAL SOIL								· ·		
Soil	CSL SOP - Total Chromium	72.38	140.00	74	14 æ	0 ·	0	0	0	10 =	243 100-
	RAS CLP TAL Metals and Cyanide (Total Sample) SAS CLP Mexavalent Chromium (Total Sample)	30 **	38 🍽	3, P-	4 B	0	0	0	0	42	79 🕶
	SAS CLP Selected IAL Hatals and Gyanide (Steved Sample)	10-		<u> </u>				••			
SURFA	CE WATER RUNOF		•								
Vater	RAS CLP TAL Netals and Cyanide RAS CLP TCL VOA SAS CLP Hexavalent Chromium	5	7		1		0		1	1	14
MONIT	JR VELLS			•		A					
Vater	Round 1: RAS CLP TAL Netels and Cyanide <sup>5</sup> RAS CLP TCL VOA CRL SOP Hexavajent Chromium	26	33	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	80
•	SAS CLP Total Organic Carbon <u>Round 2</u> : RAS CLP TAC Metals and Cyanide <sup>5</sup> RAS CLP VCL VOA	26	33	. 3		3	4	3	4	4	80
	CRL SOP Mexavalent Chromium SAS CLP Total Organic Carbon			1			<u> </u>				

.

Std. Operating ProceduresNumber:40400Revision:0Date:7/27/92Page1 of 3

### 40400 SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

### 1.0 Purpose

Locations for collection of samples are affixed alpha-numeric codes which are used to track affixed laboratory results and enable presentation of date on maps and drawings. Each planview location where a sample is collected is issued a unique numeric code (number) which corresponds to a <u>specific map location</u> at a site. An alpha-code (letter) is used to describe the type of sampling activity performed at the specific numeric location.

The following alpha codes will be used:

•	<b>B</b> '	-	Borehole (no monitoring well installed)
♦ <sup>1</sup>	MW	-	Water table monitor well
<u>م</u>	P	-	Piezometer well
•	PW	-	Private residential well
٠	Т	-	Test pit
٠	S	-	Surface soil
٠	SW	-	Surface water sampling station
♦.	Α	-	Air sampling station
•	D	-	Sediment

Each number used at a site should correspond to one, and only one, location. A typical series of alpha numeric codes for a site might include test pit locations T-1 through T-12; borings B-13, B-14, B-15; monitor wells MW-16, MW-17, MW-18, etc. A borehole drilled with the intent of installing a monitor well or piezometer will be identified as MW or P. There should <u>not</u> be a borehole log B-1 for monitor well MW-1.

Std. Operatin	g Procedures
Number:	40400
Revision:	0
Date:	7/27/92
Page	2 of 3

If previous work has been performed at a site, the alpha-numeric code should continue with previous successive numbers. If there is any potential for conflict with identified sample number identifiers, the proposed sample number should begin with series 101, 10001, or other appropriate system.

### 2.0 Container Labeling

Each sample container, tag, and/or label will contain the following information:

- Project number;
- Sample type identification code and number,
- Media (soil, water, air, sediment),
- Date/time of collection, and
- Preservative.

The sample identification code will be an alpha-numeric code used to specify the material type, location, and sampling interval (i.e., depth), where appropriate, for each sample. For example: SB110-3 to 5 refers to a soil sample from borehole location 110 over the 3 to 5-foot depth interval, and MW-203 refers to a ground-water sample from monitor well location 203.

Listed below are the standard codes to identify the type of material to be sampled. To an extent, these codes also identify the sampling location.

<b>♦</b> •	SB.	•	Sample from a soil borehole
↓ '	WB	-	Water sample from a borehole with no monitor well
<b>♦</b>	MW		Water table monitor well (soils and ground water)
<b>♦</b>	P	-	Piezometer well (soils and ground water)
<b>♦</b> •	PW	-	Private residential well water samples

Std. Operati	ing Procedures
Number:	40400
Revision:	0
Date:	7/27/92
Page	3 of 3

•	ST ·	•	Trench or test pit (soil)
•	WT	-	Trench or test pit (water)
•	<b>SS.</b>	-	Surficial soil
٠	SW	-	Surface water
<b>♦</b> •	SD	•	Sediment
•	Α	-	Air

If a sample is a composite, the letter "C" should follow the depth intervals over which the composite was collected. An appropriate description of the sample should be recorded on the chain-of-custody record and field notebook.

HSI SIMON HYDRO-

Std. Operating	rocedures
Number:	40500
Revision:	0
Date:	8/7/92
Page	1 of 3

## 40500 CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES

#### 1.0 Purpose

Chain-of-custody procedures are established to provide sample integrity. Sample custody protocols will be based on procedures as described in "NEIC Policies and Procedures", EPA-330/9-78-DD1-R, Revised June, 1985. This custody is in two parts: sample collection and laboratory analysis. A sample is under a person's custody if it meets the following requirements:

- \* It is in the person's possession;
- It is in the person's view, after being in the person's possession;
- It was in the person's possession and it was placed in a secured location; or
- It is in a designated secure area.

#### 2.0 Field Specific Custody Procedures

The sample packaging and shipment procedures summarized below will assure that the samples will arrive at the laboratory with the chain-of-custody intact.

Field procedures are as follows:

- (a) The field sampler is personally responsible for the care and custody of the samples until they are transferred or properly dispatched. As few people as possible should handle the samples.
- (b) All bottles should be tagged with sample numbers and locations.
- (c) Sample tags should be filled out using waterproof ink for each sample.

Std. Operating ProceduresNumber:40500Revision:0Date:8/7/92Page2 of 3

(d) The Project Manager should review all field activities to determine whether proper custody procedures were followed during the field work and decide if additional samples are required.

Transfer of Custody and Shipment Procedures are as follows:

- (a) Samples should be accompanied by a properly completed chain-of-custody form. The sample numbers and locations will be listed on the chain-ofcustody form. When transferring the possession of samples, the individuals relinquishing and receiving will sign, date, and note the time on the record. This record documents transfer of custody of samples from the sampler to another person, to a mobile laboratory, to the permanent laboratory, or to/from a secure storage area.
- (b) Samples will be properly packaged for shipment and dispatched to the appropriate laboratory for analysis with a separate signed custody record enclosed in each sample box or cooler. Shipping containers will be locked and secured with strapping tape in at least two locations for shipment to the laboratory.
- (c) Whenever samples are split with a source or government agency, a separate Sample Receipt is prepared for those samples and marked to indicate with whom the samples are being split. The person relinquishing the samples to the facility or agency should request the representative's signature acknowledging sample receipt. If the representative is unavailable or refuses, this is noted in the "Received By" space.
- (d) All shipments will be accompanied by the Chain-of-Custody record identifying the contents. The original record will accompany the shipment, and the pink and yellow copies will be retained by the sampler for returning to the sample office.

Std. Operating ProceduresNumber:40500Revision:0Date:8/7/92Page3 of 3

(e) If the samples are sent by common carrier, a bill of lading should be used. Receipts of bills of lading will be retained as part of the permanent documentation. If sent by mail, the package will be registered with return receipt requested. Commercial carriers are not required to sign off on the custody form as long as the custody forms are sealed inside the sample cooler.

 Std. Operating Procedures

 Number:
 40600

 Revision:
 0.

 Date:
 6/25/92

 Page
 1 of 1

## 40600 SAMPLE CONTAINER, PREPARATION, PRESERVATION AND MAXIMUM HOLDING TIMES

### 1.0 Purpose

Sampling containers and preservatives will be provided in laboratory-quality containers. The general requirements for sample containers, preservatives, and analytical holding times are shown on the attached table.

All containers will be obtained from one or more of the CLP laboratories to be used, or from I-Chem, Hayward, California, or be of equivalent quality. All I-Chem containers are cleaned in accordance with U.S. EPA protocols. Each lot of these containers is analyzed in accordance with I-Chem quality control requirements and is not shipped by I-Chem unless the QC requirements are met. The types of containers that will be provided for each analyses are listed on Table 1, along with required volumes and preservatives required for each analysis.

All sample containers will be shipped with chain-of-custody records. These chain-of-custody records will be compiled by the field sampling personnel and returned with the samples.

HSI SIMON HYDRO-SEER

Preservatives will be reagent grade or better.

Hatrix	Analysis	Container	Preservation	Holding Time	Volume of Samples	Shipping	Normal Packaging
SOIL/SED IMENT	Total Chromium (screening)	One 8-oz, wide mouth glass bottle	lced to 4°C	6 months	Fill 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier or deliver by courier	Vermiculite
	TCL VOA	Two 120 ml wide mouth glass vials	iced to 4°C	14 days	Fill completely no headspace	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
	TAL Hetals and Cyanide (Total Sample)	One 8-oz. wide mouth glass bottle	lced to 4°C	6 months (26 days Hg, 14 days CN)	Fill to 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
	Selected TAL Metals and Cyanide (Sieved portion)	One 8-oz, wide mouth glass bottle	Iced to 4°C	6 months (14 days CN)	Fill to 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
	Hexavalent Chromium	One 8-oz. wide mouth glass bottle <sup>3</sup>	lced to 4°C	None established prior to extraction; 24 hours after extraction	Fill to 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
	pH	8-oz. wide mouth glass bottles	None	Analyze immediately	Fill to 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
	ТОС	8-oz. wide mouth glass bottle	None	28 days	Fill to 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
	CEC	8-oz. wide mouth glass bottle	None	Not established	Fill to 3/4 full	Ship Daily by Overnight Carrier	Vermiculite
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	Grain size, Hoisture content	Two 8-oz. wide mouth glass jars	None	Not established	Fill 3/4 full	Ship by Carrier	Bubble wrap and packing peanuts
	Atterburg Limits, Permeability	3-inch Shelby tube	None	Not established	Fill completely	Ship by Carrier	Vermiculite or bubble wrap in upright position

Notes:

۰.

۰\$

\* Detection limits appropriate for drinking water

\*\* Sample will require special handling if residual chlorine or sulfide is suspected

HDPE High density polyethylene

TOC Total organic carbon

CEC Cation exchange capacity

1 Only the samples collected from monitor wells for metals analysis should be field filtered.

2 Mercury preservative: Dissolve 250 ml of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> and 25 g of K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sup>7</sup> in deionized distilled water and dilute to one liter. Collect approximatel 500 ml of sample and add 10 ml of this preservative. (Caution: Do not store the preservative solution in plastic containers.)

3 If TAL metals and cyanide analysis is also done, no additional sample volume is needed for hexavalent chromium. The soil quantity collected for the TA metals analysis is adequate to include hexavalent chromium.

For QA/QC:

No additional volume is required for soil samples

3 times the sample volume is required for organic analyses of aqueous samples done by CRL. No additional volume needed for aqueous samples analyze by CLP.

2 times the sample volume is required for inorganic analyses on aqueous samples.

Here and an uours is the second of the secon

Std. Operating ProceduresNumber:80300Revision:0Date:6/25/92Page1 of 3

## EXCANATION 80300 TEST PIT SAMPLING

## Excavation 1.0 Test Pit/Trench Sampling

Test pit excavations are usually constructed using backhoes from which soil samples can be the excavation will obtained. Test pits expose shallow soil units in order to obtain detailed soil descriptions and multiple samples from specific soil horizons. Backhoes equipped with front end loader attachments are generally used for excavation. The front end bucket facilitates backfilling of the test pit following completion of work. The excavation will be shared up in the Sheet pity

Test pits are excavated by incrementally removing soil material and placing it away from the edge of the test pit. Test pits usually are not excavated to depths greater than five feet unless the walls are properly braced or stoped as described in OSHA regulations. Test pits exhibiting evidence of headwall cracking or slumping should not be entered until properly stabilized.

Le Escavation

Test pits which will remain open for longer than one day will be barricaded, using a snow fence or other appropriate material, to minimize the risk of inadvertent entry of unauthorized personnel or animals. The fence should be erected at a distance no less than the excusion will be soon as practicable following completion of sampling and soil profile description, and construction of a ground will be collection of sampling and soil profile description, and construction of a ground will be collection.

At all hazardous waste sites, air quality within the test pit should be determined to ensure proper personal protection is donned prior to entry into the pit. Soil sampling within test excanded prior to entry into the pit. Soil sampling within test puts is accomplished using any of the devices described in Section 80200, including trowels, shovels, core samplers, or augers. Core samplers may be used to obtain vertical or horizontal soil samples for use in hydraulic conductivity determinations from test pits.

Sud. Operating ProceduresNumber:80300Revision:0Date:6/25/92Page2 of 3

#### 2.0 Sample Collection

*...* 

Soil samples may be collected for field screening and/or laboratory analysis. Under no circumstances will the same soil sample be used for screening and for laboratory analysis; separate samples will be collected.

#### Field Screening Samples

Samples collected for field PID screening will be visually observed and placed in a glass mason jar sealed with two layers of aluminum foil.

#### Laboratory Samples

Unless otherwise specified, all samples obtained for laboratory analysis will be discrete grab samples which are representative of the material under consideration. Composite samples are only obtained when it has been demonstrated that the data generated will provide useful information in site conditions. Field screening may include visual inspection, photoionization detector (PID) screening, or other appropriate techniques. PID screening of soil samples in described in SOP 50200.

The volume of soil necessary for grab samples is determined by the parameter(s) to be analyzed. The appropriate number and size of laboratory-quality sample jars will be obtained prior to initiation of sampling. Each container is filled to 75 to 100 percent by volume, depending upon the analytical parameter(s). For parameters which may decrease due to volatilization loss, every attempt will be made to fill the jar 100 percent by volume. Soil samples will be immediately sealed, tagged, and placed in a cooler at approximately 40°C for potential submission to an analytical laboratory.

Std. Operating ProceduresNumber:80300Revision:0Date:6/25/92Page3 of 3

## 4.0 Decontamination

All sampling implements must be decontaminated between samples to minimize potential for cross-contamination of soil samples. Equipment decontamination procedures are described in SOP 85000.

### State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES



Carroll D. Besadny Secretary Lake Michigan District Headquarters 1125 N. Military Avenue P.O. Box 10448 Green Bay, Wisconsin 54307-0448

August 21, 1991

File Ref: WID-560010118 Brown Co. SW/SFND

Ms. Judy L. Fassbender, Hydrogeologist Hydro-Search, Inc. Brookfield Lakes Corporate Center XII 175 N. Corporate Drive, Suite 100 Brookfield, WI 53045

Re: Better Brite RI/FS

Dear Ms. Fassbender:

Please find enclosed a disk containing the model QAPP provided by the EPA. The model uses Word Perfect.

It is my intention to provide you with a private well survey prior to September 12, 1991. This survey will utilize well construction forms (WDNR Records) and other information obtained from the City of DePere. The survey information is to be presented in table form and on a map of the area.

I also plan to perform an inspection of the monitoring wells at the site(s) and to provide you with a summary of this information prior to September 12, 1991.

If you have any questions regarding the above please call me at (414) 492-5869.

Sincerely,

Terry Koehn State Project Manager

cc: David Linnear EPA Region V Darsi Foss SW/3 w/o enclosure



## Hydro-Search, Inc.

Brookfield Lakes Corporate Center XII 175 N. Corporate Drive, Suite 100 Brookfield, Wisconsin 53045 HYDROLOGISTS-GEOLOGISTS-ENGINEERS Phone (414) 792-1282 FAX (414) 792-1310

## PROPOSED AGENDA PRE-QAPjP MEETING BETTER BRITE SITE DE PERE, WISCONSIN

February 6, 1991

I. **Project Organization** 

- II. Preliminary Schedule for Sampling
- III. **Overview of Sampling Tasks**
- **Review Data Quality Objectives** IV.
- V. **Proposed Analytical Parameters** 
  - TAL Metals, Cyanide
  - TCL VOCs (medium and low level)
  - Availability of pre-approved SASs for Hexavalent and Trivalent Chromium, Total Organic Carbon, Soil pH, Readily Reducable Manganese SAS on SOP
  - Field Screening for Total and Hexavalent Chromium
- VI. Laboratory Selection
  - CRL vs. CLP

Local (Screening

- VII. Laboratory Coordination
- VIII. QAP PReview and Finalization

U.S.EPA Contact Person - Nevo DL to Ide Levies

Schedule for Draft Submittal