State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 1 of 10

BRRTS I	No. <u>02-1</u>	0-0000	18			(**************************************	g
Reviewer: Duabchi Vang			Regior	: WCR	Review Date:	08/31/2020	
Site Name	e: <u>Neillsvi</u>	lle Four	dry				
follow up	; ** denote	e RP/pro	s http://intranet.dnr.sta perty owner follow up. ea in each section to a	. If auditing a VPL	.E site, use the	applicable LUST or I	an * denote DNR ERP BRRTS
File Revie	ew:						
1. Review	BRRTS,	and the	file if needed, to identi	fy the File Reviev	information:		
Site Addre	ess			C	ity		ZIP Code
1200 East 15th St				N	eillsville		54456
County Pa	arcel Identi	fication l	Number (PIN)	F	ID Number		l
				6	10031400		
Original R	esponsible	Person					
Neillsvill	e Foundry	,					
Has the p	roperty be	en transf	erred since the continuir	ng obligation was r	ecorded/applied	? ● No ○ Yes	
If Yes: C	urrent Pro	perty Ov	/ner				
P	hone Num	ber	Er	mail			
Select all	continuing	obligatio	ns applied (at case closu	re or RAP approva	or letter to LGU):	
Add to	AC in	AC		Action	Code (AC) Mar	ning	
BRRTS	BRRTS	Αυ	Action Code (AC) Meaning				
		51	Deed notice				
<u> </u>		52	Deed restriction for soil				
┝╘	ᆜ	730	Groundwater use restriction				
┝ᆜ	ᆜᆜ	95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)				
┝╠	<u> </u>	101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR letter sent				
┝╠┈	<u> </u>	104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent				
┝╠		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption				
⊢		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)				
├	\boxtimes	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)				
┝╠	片	46	Impacted Right-of-Way				
┝╫┈		220	Soil at industrial use level				
┝┼		222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)				
┝╬┈		224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)				
├- - -		226	Vapor mitigation/response				
⊢井		228 230	Site-specific (identify in comment field)				
片片		232	LGU was directed to take a protective action				
┝┼		234	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)				
⊢∺		234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned Site closed with groundwater contemination > ES				
┝┼		238	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted				
		185	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted				
		186	Closure Compliance Review completed Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed				
片片		187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed				
		99	Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection reports)				

BRRTS Number: 02-10-000048

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 2 of 10

Page 2 of 10

How was site selected for audit? (A	AC = BRRTS Action Code)					
☐ Vapor Mitigation AC 226	Green Space G	rant AC 605	Age of Remedy			
	AC 220, 222, 22	AC 220, 222, 224, 228, or 230				
☐ Enforcement Follow-up	Deed Restrictio	n AC 52 or 696 (LGU)	Regional Priority			
Other:						
Date of:						
	01/17/2008	Remedial Action I	Plan Approval			
Certificate of Completion		General Liability Clarification Letter				
Green Space Grant		Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter				
Describe any site-specific requiren	nents (AC 228) that the site	owner and/or respons	ible party needed to add	dress:		
Is the site on BRRTS as having re	sidual contamination and co	ontinuing obligations?				
	Yes	○ No – Add to BRF	RTS using applicable act	tion codes (56, etc.)*		
Were neighboring properties affect	ted? • Yes	○ No				
If yes, are these properties list	ed in BRRTS with AC 56?	● Yes ○ No – U	pdate BRRTS, use form	4400-246*		
Was a maintenance plan required	at closure? ONA No	● Yes – It is: ○ ir	n the file PDF	missing		
If no maintenance plan was re up section of the audit that one			lel with inspection log, a	nd note in the follow		
Was/were the appropriate restriction	on(s) recorded with the Reg	ister of Deeds? y	res ○No ○N	A		
Has a restriction been amende	ed, or been nullified by DNF	R?				
		○ Yes: Was BRR	TS updated? (95)	○ Yes ○ No*		
		Was the C	O PDF updated?	○ Yes ○ No*		
Notes:			•			
Cap location extends across two	parcels: PID 261.1381.000	0 is owned by Threesi	ix LLC and has the dee	ed restriction. PID		
261.1381.001 is owned by City of		responsibility to main	tain cap. Both owners	were contacted for		
interviews before the site inspect	ion.					
Due to Covid-19, drive-by visits	will be performed to keep	the audit contactless	RPs/POs are interview	ved before the site		
visit is performed.	,,,,,, oo personneu to neep		, TEL S/T OS WIS INVOLVIO			
Site Visit:						
2. Contact the site owner for a conducted for a CO which w plan was required at closure	ould now require a maint	enance plan, provide				
3. Walk the site (ideally with th documented at closure/othe or template.						
4. With the site owner/RP (if po	ssible), answer the follov	ving for DNR RR reco	ords:			
Did the site owner know about the	continuing obligation(s)?	Yes				
Have site conditions changed sinc associated with the site?	e closure that would affect o	either a deed restriction	n or other restrictions or	requirements		
No						

BRRTS Number: 02-10-000048

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 3 of 10

	Examples:	 a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred. excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.
Has a paven disrepair?	nent (asphalt o No/NA	r concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in
	•	ould it be replaced or repaired? O Yes** O No
If a performa	nce standard v	was the final remedy, has it been altered?
No		
○ Yes -	- Explain:	
	Was the DNR	R notified? Yes No
	_	occurred since closure?
No/N		
_		ar to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?
\bigcirc \bigcirc	lo ′es – Describe	
O I	es – Describe	
ls soil sampl	ing needed to	determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?
No		
O Yes -	- Describe:	
Has addition No	-	over has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. or remediation been done since the site was closed?
Does a new	threat to public	c health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?
_	_	ng need to be performed?
	○ No ○ Yes** – D	Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:
Is the vapor maintained) Yes NA	mitigation syst	em or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being
○ No**	 Describe any 	y follow up needed:
	the exposure a	assumptions used for closure changed at this site?
○ NA		
No Yaa	Dagar!!	follow up moded:
Yes −	escribe any טר	follow up needed:
Has the land	use at this site	e changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

BRRTS Number: 02-10-000048

Remediation & Redevelopment **Continuing Obligation Review** Page 4 of 10

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues? No
Yes – Describe any follow up needed:
Notes:
COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY: 5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.
Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?
 Yes No – Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:
(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)
Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed? Output Outp
Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections? • Yes • NA • No – Why not?
Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit? • Yes • NA • No – If no, why not?
6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)
 No Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Page 5 of 10

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Notes:

BRRTS Number: 02-10-000048

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

/. ^ Does	the site require follow up by DNR?
No	
○ Yes	s: Contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation
	updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)
	reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)

- 8. * Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD_185_CO_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD_186_Follow_Up_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.




Date added: 08/31/2020

Title: Neillsville Foundry, 02-10-000048, 08/21/2020. Photo taken from center north of property. Facing directly south.

Date added: 08/31/2020

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 6 of 10

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}



Title: Neillsville Foundry, 02-10-000048, 08/21/2020. Photo taken from center of fill location, facing east. Vegetated cap in good condition.

Date added: 08/31/2020

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 7 of 10

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}



Title: Neillsville Foundry, 02-10-000048, 08/21/2020. Photo taken at the south end of property, facing south. No visible cracks/erosion.

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 8 of 10

Date added: 08/31/2020

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}



Title: Neillsville Foundry, 02-10-000048, 08/21/2020. Photo taken from center of property. Facing west. Cap in good condition.

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 9 of 10

Date added: 08/31/2020

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}



Title: Neillsville Foundry, 02-10-000048, 08/21/2020. Photo taken from northwest edge of cap. Facing northeast. Cap in good condition.

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 10 of 10

Date added: 08/31/2020

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}



Title: Neillsville Foundry, 02-10-000048, 08/21/2020. Photo taken at the northern edge of cap. Facing directly west-southwest. Cap in good condition.