

Site or Facility Name: C.M. Christiansen

Location: Phelps, Vilas Co. SE SW Sec 35 T42N R11E

DNR District: NCD

Person(s) in charge of the site or facility:

Name of Reviewer: Joan Loduha

Date: September 17, 1990

General description of the site or facility:

(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, waste pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)

C.M. Christiansen Co. operated a pole-dipping business where telephone poles were treated in wood preservative. The solution was 5% PCP in a carrier of AMOCO #2 fuel oil. The site is approximately 120 feet from stream and wetland area. This operation was from 1954 - 1978.

A spill was reported by an anonymous caller. The material appears to be some type of solvent or paint. Unknown VOCs. 31 Drums were found by WDNR. There was leaking containers. Date of incident was 8/29/89. Detects of benzene, ethylbenzene, Methlethylhetone, Toluene, xylenes, lubricating oil. Soil beneat drum S-23 may contain compounds listed below according to computer identification from gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy analysis: acetone, naptilalene, methyl naphthalenes. Phelps has a population of 1200 people. Soil consists of thin lenses of sand & gravel within ore beneath till or clay. Area is mostly rolling ground moraine but includes one area of hilly moraine.

SCORES: Sm = 23.40

(Sgw = 39.56 Ssw = 8.62 Sa = 0)

EF COVER SHEET

**WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING
THE MIGRATION SCORE, S_m**

	S	S^2
GROUNDWATER ROUTE SCORE (S_{gw})	39.56	1564.99
SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE (S_{sw})	8.62	74.30
AIR ROUTE SCORE (S_a)		0
$S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2$		1639.29
$(S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2)^{0.5}$		40.48814641
$(S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2)^{0.5} / 1.73$	$S_m = 23.40$	

Groundwater Route Worksheet

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (circle one)	Multi-plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. Section
[1] Observed Release	0 (45)	1	(45)	45	sub. (1)
If observed release is given a score of 45, proceed to line [4]. If observed release is given a score of 0, proceed to line [2].					
[2] Route Characteristics					sub. (2)
Depth to Groundwater	0 1 2 3	2		6	
Infiltration Potential	0 1 2 3	1		3	
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	1		3	
Physical State	0 1 2 3	1		3	
Total Route Characteristics Score				15	
[3] Containment	0 1 2 3	1		3	sub. (3)
[4] Waste Characteristics					sub. (4)
Toxicity/Persistence	0 3 6 (12) 15 18	1	12	18	
Leachate Strength	0 2 4 6 8 10	1		10	
Waste Quantity/Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 (2) 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	2	8	
Total Waste Characteristics Score				(14)	26
[5] Potential Impacts					sub. (5)
Groundwater Use	0 1 (2) 3			6	
Distance to Nearest Well/Population Served	0 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 (30) 32 35 40	3 1		9 40	
Total Potential Impacts				(36)	49
[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5]					
If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5]					
			22680 ÷	57,330	
[7] Divide line [6] by 57,330 and multiply by 100				S _{gw} = 39.56	

Figure 2
GROUNDWATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

Surface Water Route Worksheet

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (circle one)		Multi-plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
[1] Observed Release	0	45	1	0	45	sub. (1)

If observed release is given a score of 45, proceed to line [4].
 If observed release is given a score of 0, proceed to line [2].

[2] Route Characteristics						sub. (2)
Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain	0	1	2	3	1	3
Run-off Potential	0	1	2	3	1	3
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0	1	2	3	2	6
Physical State	0	1	2	3	1	3
Total Route Characteristics Score				11	15	

[3] Containment	0	1	2	3	1	3	sub. (3)
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------

[4] Waste Characteristics							sub. (4)
Toxicity/Persistence	0	3	6	9	12	15	18
Leachate Strength	0	2	4	6			
Hazardous Waste Quantity/ Total Waste Quantity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
					7	8	
Total Waste Characteristics Score				14	26		

[5] Potential Impacts							sub. (5)
Surface Water Use	0	1	2	3	3	6	9
Distance to a Sensitive Environment	0	4	2	3	2	6	6
Population Served/ Distance to Water	0	4	6	8	10	1	40
Intake Downstream	24	30	32	35	40		
Total Potential Impacts				12	55		

[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5]
 If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5] $5544 \div 64,350$

[7] Divide line [6] by 64,350 and multiply by 100 $S_s = 8.62$

Figure 5
 SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

Air Route Worksheet

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (circle one)	Multi- plier	Max. Score	Ref. Score (Section)
[1] Observed Release	0 45	1	45	sub. (1)

Date and Location:

Sampling Procedures:

If line [1] is 0, then $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5].
If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2].

[2] Waste Characteristics				sub. (2)
Reactivity and Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	1	3	
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	3	9	
Hazardous Waste Quantity/ Total Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	8	
Total Route Characteristics Score			20	

[3] Potential Impacts				sub. (3)
Population Within 4-Mile Radius	0 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30	1	30	
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	2	6	
Land Use	0 1 2 3	1	3	
Total Potential Impacts Score			39	

[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]	35,100
------------------------------	--------

[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100	$S^* = 0$
---	-----------

Figure 6
AIR ROUTE WORKSHEET

C.M. Christiansen Co.

SE SW Sec 35 T42N R11E Phelps Vilas Co.

Reported by anonymous caller. Spill.
Material appears to be some type of solvent
or paint. Unknown VOCs, 31 drums. Leaking
containers. Date of incident 8/29/89

Detects of benzene, ethylbenzene, Methyl ethyl ketone
Toluene, xylene, Lubricating oil

Soil beneath drum S-23 may contain
compounds listed below according to computer
identification from gas chromatography/mass
spectroscopy analysis: acetone, naphthalene,
methyl naphthalenes. Phelps pop = 1200

Per Water resources of Wis. survey: Thin
lenses of sand & gravel within or beneath till
or clay. Area is mostly rolling ground moraine
but includes one area of hilly moraine

C.M. Christiansen

C.M. Christiansen Co. operated a pole-dipping business where telephone poles were treated in wood preservative. The solution was 5% PCP in a carrier of AMCO #2 fuel oil. Dips tank is 10 x 48' x 4' deep. approx. 120' from stream & wetland area. Just So. of area is drying area where logs were placed after being lifted from dips tank. This operation was from 1954-1978. Results of samples taken - slight fuel oil odor in & a visible oil film observed. Levels of PCP found in soil samples are 3 x greater than toxic levels for aquatic life. This could be critical to aquatic life in creek if C.W. is discharged into creek.

anonymous complaint of leaking drums
blind Sylvan bldg. DNR found 31 drums.
see next page

UMD
I.D. # 68

9/17/90

District: NCD County: Vilas Co.
Site Name: C.M. Christiansen #1
1/4 mile NE of Hwy 17 on Hwy E
Address: Phelps WI 54554
Legal Municipality: _____
T V C

Case No.: _____ PMN: _____
FID: _____
Proj. Mgr: CRP
Support Person: CRP
Legal Desc: SE 1/4 SW 1/4 Sec 35, T 42, R 11 (EW)
Lat: N _____ Long: W _____

Date of Discovery: 8/19/87

Date of RP Contact: 9/25/87

PRIORITY SCREENING:
 1 = High
 3 = Low
 4 = Unknown

FUNDING SOURCE:
 1 = RP
 2 = LTF
 3 = EF
 4 = SF
 5 = None
 6 = Other (Describe in Comments)
 7 = EPA Emergency Resp.

ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY:
 1 = Spill Law s. 144.76, Wis. Stats.
 2 = Envir Repair Law s. 144.442, Wis. Stats.
 3 = Hazardous Waste Rules NR 600 Series
 4 = Solid Waste Rules NR 500 Series
 5 = CERCLA
 6 = Abandoned Container s. 144.77, Wis. Stat.
 7 = Other (Describe in Comments)

PRE-SCORE
23 · 40

PROGRAMS INVOLVED: (L - LEAD S - SUPPORT)
 Aban Containers NR 500 Solid Waste Water Supply
 Lust Spills Water Resources Mgt
 NR 600 Hazardous Waste Superfund Env. Repair

RESPONSIBLE PARTY:
Business Name: C.M. Christiansen
Owner/Mgr.: P.C. Christiansen
Address: PO Box 100
Phelps WI
Phone: 715 / 545-2333
Contact Person: _____

Business Name: _____
Owner/Mgr.: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____ / _____
Contact Person: _____

	KNOWN IMPACTS (X)	POTENTIAL IMPACTS (X)
No Threat	_____	_____
Fire/Explosion threat (1)	_____	_____
Contaminated Private Well (2)	_____	_____
Contaminated Public Well (3)	_____	_____
Groundwater Contamination (4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
Soil Contamination (5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
Direct Contact (10)	_____	_____
Contaminated Surface Water (7)	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contaminated Air (8)	_____	_____
Other (6)	_____	_____

CONSULTANT INFORMATION:
Company: White Water Assoc.
Contact Person: _____
Address: PO Box 27
Anasa MI 49907
Phone: 906 / 822-7889
(List additional on separate sheet & attach.)

Company: _____
Contact Person: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____ / _____

Groundwater Route Worksheet

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (circle one)	Multi-plier	Max. Score	Ref. Section
[1] Observed Release	0 (45)	1	(45) 45	sub. (1)

If observed release is given a score of 45, proceed to line [4].
If observed release is given a score of 0, proceed to line [2].

[2] Route Characteristics				sub. (2)
Depth to Groundwater	0 1 2 3	2	6	
Infiltration Potential	0 1 2 3	1	3	
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3	1	3	
Physical State	0 1 2 3	1	3	

Total Route Characteristics Score 15

[3] Containment	0 1 2 3	1	3	sub. (3)
-----------------	---------	---	---	----------

[4] Waste Characteristics				sub. (4)
Toxicity/Persistence	0 3 6 9 12 15 18	1	12	18
Leachate Strength	0 2 4 6 8 10	1		10
Waste Quantity/Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 (2) 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	2	8

Total Waste Characteristics Score (14) 26

[5] Potential Impacts				sub. (5)
Groundwater Use	0 1 (2) 3		6	9
Distance to Nearest Well/Population Served	0 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 (30) 32 35 40	3 1		40

Total Potential Impacts (36) 49

[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5]

If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5]

$$22680 \div 57,330$$

[7] Divide line [6] by 57,330 and multiply by 100

$$S_{gw} = 39.56$$

Figure 2
GROUNDWATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

Surface Water Route Worksheet

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (circle one)		Multi-plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
[1] Observed Release	0	45	1	0	45	sub. (1)

If observed release is given a score of 45, proceed to line [4].
 If observed release is given a score of 0, proceed to line [2].

[2] Route Characteristics						sub. (2)
Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain	0	1	2	3	1	3
Run-off Potential	0	1	2	3	1	3
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0	1	2	3	2	6
Physical State	0	1	2	3	1	3
Total Route Characteristics Score				11	15	

[3] Containment	0	1	2	3	1	3	sub. (3)
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------

[4] Waste Characteristics							sub. (4)
Toxicity/Persistence	0	3	6	9	12	15	18
Leachate Strength	0	2	4	6	1		
Hazardous Waste Quantity/ Total Waste Quantity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	8			1	2	8
Total Waste Characteristics Score				14	26		

[5] Potential Impacts							sub. (5)
Surface Water Use	0	1	2	3	3	6	9
Distance to a Sensitive Environment	0	4	2	3	2	6	6
Population Served/ Distance to Water Intake Downstream	0	4	6	8	10	1	40
	0	12	16	18	20		
	24	30	32	35	40		
Total Potential Impacts				12	55		

[6] If line [1] is 45, multiply [1] x [4] x [5]
 If line [1] is 0, multiply [2] x [3] x [4] x [5] $5544 \div 64,350$

[7] Divide line [6] by 64,350 and multiply by 100 $S_s = 8.62$

Figure 5
 SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORKSHEET

Air Route Worksheet

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (circle one)	Multi- plier	Max. Score	Ref. Score (Section)
[1] Observed Release	0 45	1	45	sub. (1)

Date and Location:

Sampling Procedures:

If line [1] is 0, then $S_a = 0$. Enter on line [5].
If line [1] is 45, then proceed to line [2].

[2] Waste Characteristics				sub. (2)
Reactivity and Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	1	3	
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	3	9	
Hazardous Waste Quantity/ Total Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	8	
Total Route Characteristics Score			20	

[3] Potential Impacts				sub. (3)
Population Within 4-Mile Radius	0 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30	1	30	
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	2	6	
Land Use	0 1 2 3	1	3	
Total Potential Impacts Score			39	

[4] Multiply [1] x [2] x [3]			35,100	
[5] Divide line [4] by 35,100 and multiply by 100			$S^* = 0$	

Figure 6
AIR ROUTE WORKSHEET

**WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING
THE MIGRATION SCORE, S_m**

	<u>S</u>	<u>S²</u>
GROUNDWATER ROUTE SCORE (S_{gw})	39.56	1564.99
SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE (S_{sw})	8.62	74.30
AIR ROUTE SCORE (S_a)		0
$S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2$		1639.29
$(S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2)^{0.5}$		40.48814641
$(S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2)^{0.5} / 1.73$	$S_m = 23.40$	

Site or Facility Name: C.M. Christiansen #1

Location: Phelps, Vilas Co. SE SW Sec 35 T42N R11E

DNR District: NCD

Person(s) in charge of the site or facility:

Name of Reviewer: Joan Loduha

Date: September 17, 1990

General description of the site or facility:

(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, waste pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)

C.M. Christiansen Co. operated a pole-dipping business where telephone poles were treated in wood preservative. The solution was 5% PCP in a carrier of AMOCO #2 fuel oil. The site is approximately 120 feet from stream and wetland area. This operation was from 1954 - 1978.

A spill was reported by an anonymous caller. The material appears to be some type of solvent or paint. Unknown VOCs. 31 Drums were found by WDNR. There was leaking containers. Date of incident was 8/29/89. Detects of benzene, ethylbenzene, Methlethylhetone, Toluene, xylenes, lubricating oil. Soil beneat drum S-23 may contain compounds listed below according to computer identification from gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy analysis: acetone, naptilalene, methyl naphthalenes. Phelps has a population of 1200 people. Soil consists of thin lenses of sand & gravel within ore beneath till or clay. Area is mostly rolling ground moraine but includes one area of hilly moraine.

SCORES: Sm = 23.40 (Sgw = 39.56 Ssw = 8.62 Sa = 0)

C. M. Christiansen

#1 C.M. Christiansen Co. operated a pole-dipping business where telephone poles were treated in wood preservative. The solution was 5% PCP in a carrier of AMCO #2 fuel oil. Dips tank is 10 x 48' x 4' deep.

approx. 120' from stream & wetland area.

Just So. of area is drying area where logs were placed after being lifted from dips tank. This operation was from 1954-1978

Results of samples taken - slight fuel oil odor in & a visible oil film observed.

Levels of PCP found in soil samples are 3 x greater than toxic levels for aquatic life. This could be critical to aquatic life in creek if G.W. is discharged into creek

Anonymous complaint of leaking drums
blind Sylvan bldg. DNR found 31 drums.
see next page

C.M. Christiansen Co.

SE SW Sec 35 T42N R11E Phelps Vilas Co.

Reported by anonymous caller. Spill.
Material appears to be some type of solvent
or paint. Unknown VOCs, 31 drums. Leaking
containers. Date of incident 8/29/89.

Detects of benzene, ethylbenzene, Methyl ethyl ketone,
Toluene, xylene, Lubricating oil

Soil beneath drum S-23 may contain
compounds listed below according to computer
identification from gas chromatography/mass
spectroscopy analysis: acetone, naphthalene,
methyl naphthalenes. Phelps pop = 1200

Per Water resources of Wis. survey: Thin
lenses of sand & gravel within or beneath till
or clay. Area is mostly rolling ground moraine
but includes one area of hilly moraine.