Five-Year Review Report

Third Five-Year Review Report

for

N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site

Appleton



Outagamie County, Wisconsin

April 2011

PREPARED BY:

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Jennifer Borski, Hydrogeologist 625 E Cty Rd Y, STE 700 Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901-9731

Approved by:

- - -

Douglas Ballotti, Acting Director

Superfund Division U.S. EPA. Region 5 Date:

4/26/11

2011 Five-Year Review Report - 1

[This page intentionally left blank.]

2011 Five-Year Review Report - 2

Five-Year Review Report

Table of Contents

List	of Acronyms	7
Exe	cutive Summary	9
Five	e-Year Review Summary Form	11
I.	Introduction	13
II.	Site Chronology	14
III.	Background Physical Characteristics and Land Use History of Contamination and Initial Response Basis for Taking Action	16 17 18 18
IV.	Remedial Actions Remedy Selection Remedy Implementation Additional Collection Trench Activities Implementation of Institutional Controls (ICs) and Other Measures. System Operations/Operation and Maintenance (O&M).	19 19 20 21 21 22
V.	Progress Since the Last Five-Year Review	23
VI.	Five-Year Review Process Administrative Components Community Notification and Involvement Document Review Data Review Site Inspection Interviews Conclusions	28 28 29 29 33 34 35
VII.	Technical Assessment	35 35 36 39 40

Issues	.40
Recommendations and Follow-up Actions	.40
Protectiveness Statement(s)	.41
Next Review	.41
	Issues Recommendations and Follow-up Actions Protectiveness Statement(s) Next Review

Tables

Table 1 – Chronology of Site Events	14
Table 3 – System Operations/O&M Costs	23
Table 4 – Actions Taken Since the Last Five-Year Review	23
Table 7 – Maximum Contaminant Concentrations in Groundwater and	
Groundwater Cleanup Goals	33
Table 8 – Changes in Chemical-Specific Groundwater Standards	

Appendices

Appendix A – Site Maps

- Figure 1 Site Location
- Figure 2 Site Detail
- Figure 3 Groundwater Elevation Contours, March 17, 2010
- Figure 4 Piezometric Groundwater Elevation Contours, March 17, 2010
- Figure 5 Iso-concentration Map, Total Chromium in Groundwater, March 17, 2010
- Historic Figure 1 Site Detail from RI/FS Report

Appendix B – Tables

- Table 2 Long Term Monitoring Plan
- Table 5 Groundwater Results Metals
- Table 6 Groundwater Results VOCs
- Table 9 Influent and Effluent Summary
- Table 10 Appleton POTW Discharge Limits

Appendix C – Fact Sheet

N W Mauthe Superfund Site, 725 South Outagamie Street, Appleton, WI, January 2011

Appendix D – Documents Reviewed

Appendix E – Concentration vs. Time Graphs

- Plot 1 Hexavalent Chromium in Collected, Untreated Groundwater
- MW-103 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-104 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-107 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-109 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-110 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-111 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-112 C. vs. T. for chromium
- MW-113 C. vs. T. for chromium
- Influent Hexavalent Chromium C vs. T. (Sump 1, Sump 2 & Combined)
- MW-107 C. vs. T. for VOCs

MW-110 C. vs. T. for VOCs

MW-113 C. vs. T. for VOCs

All wells – Groundwater Elevation vs. Time

Monthly Effluent (gallons per month) vs. Time

Appendix F – Site Inspection Checklist

Appendix G – Interview Records

Brian Wayner, OMNNI Associates, Inc.

Oscar Rodriguez, Private Resident at 1410 W Second St, Appleton, WI Carol Mauthe, Source Property Owner of 725 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI Bill Lecker and Tim McGuire, City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department, Appleton, WI

Rick Drewa, Options in Housing, LLC, Property Owner of 715 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI

Kurt Eggebrecht, City of Appleton Health Officer and Tim Mirkes, City of Appleton Health Sanitarian

Carol Mauthe and Mark Mauthe, Source Property Owner of 725 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI and son

David Lease, Facilities Manager for Miller Electric, Property Owner of 1515 W. Melvin St, Appleton, WI

Appendix H – City of Appleton Cooperative Agreement

Co-op Agreement 2010

Appendix I – Routine Site Inspection Sheets

Inspection Sheet

Operator Log Sheet

Appendix J – WDNR RR Sites Map

RR Sites Map – GIS Registry Theme, Scale 1:5,000

RR Sites Map – GIS Registry Theme, Scale 1:1,456

On-going Cleanups with Continuing Obligations Cover Sheet – April 2010

GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 151 W. Melvin St.

GIS Registry Site Report for 725 S. Outagamie St.

GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 801 S. Outagamie St.

GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1400 W. Second St.

GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1410 W. Second St.

GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1414 W. Second St.

GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1428 W. Second St.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

2011 Five-Year Review Report - 6

List of Acronyms

ACL	Alternative Concentration Limit
APR	City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department
ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CLEAN	Contaminated Lands Environmental Action Network
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ES	Enforcement Standard
ESD	Explanation of Significant Difference
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
HI	Hazard Index
IC	Institutional Control
LTRA	Long Term Response Action
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
МСО	Midwest Contract Operations, Inc.
NCP	National Contingency Plan
NPL	National Priorities List
NR	Natural Resources (e.g. ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code)
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
PAL	Preventive Action Limit
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QA/QC	Quality Assurance / Quality Control
RA	Remedial Action
RAO	Remedial Action Objective
RD	Remedial Design
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
ROD	Record of Decision
RPM	Remedial Project Manager
RR	Remediation and Redevelopment Program

2011 Five-Year Review Report - 7

VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
WDHS	Wisconsin Department of Health Services
WDNR	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
WDOJ	Wisconsin Department of Justice
WISC	Wisconsin's Initiative for Sustainable Cleanups

Executive Summary

The third five-year review of the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site at 725 South Outagamie Street in Appleton, Wisconsin revealed that the containment and treatment system installed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1995 and 1996 is operating as designed. The remedy at the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site is currently protective of human health and the environment in the short-term based on completion of the following actions: demolition and removal of contaminated buildings; removal of containerized wastes; excavation of contaminated soils greater than 500 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of chromium; installation of a clay cap over the remaining soil with levels below 500 mg/kg of chromium; installation of a contaminated groundwater collection and treatment system; installation or improvement of foundation drain systems for those residents or businesses located within the groundwater contaminated groundwater seepage into the residential buildings. As a result, the exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks in the short term are being controlled.

The remedy will be protective in the long-term once institutional controls (ICs) have been upgraded. IC options include long-term environmental easements and/or other use restrictions to prevent: access to, excavation or disturbance of the constructed cap, access to remaining soil contamination, disturbance of the groundwater collection and treatment system and installation of drinking water wells. An IC Plan will be developed and will specify which ICs will be upgraded at the site.

In 2005, OMNNI Associates, Inc. (OMNNI) began working with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) to perform a System Evaluation of the groundwater collection and treatment system, including a historical review of investigative and remedial work performed at the site and supplemental soil and groundwater analysis. In 2007, OMNNI confirmed that chromium levels in soils on site are below 500 mg/kg, chromium and cyanide are present in groundwater above the cleanup goals, and the current groundwater collection and treatment system will need to continue to operate for hundreds of years to meet cleanup goals. OMNNI recommended the remediation plan and cleanup goals for the site be reviewed.

In April 2006, the WDNR and OMNNI, working in cooperation with the City of Appleton, began a pilot study to discharge the combined influent from manhole no.1 and manhole no.2 directly to the sanitary sewer, bypassing the on-site treatment process. The combined influent has historically met discharge limits prior to on-site treatment from the start of the system; however, previous discharge permits required on-site treatment prior to discharge. Direct discharge of the combined influent was approved under a new discharge permit in May 2006. Since April 2006, the combined influent has been direct discharged without on-site treatment to the sanitary sewer.

In June 2006, the WDNR and OMNNI installed MW-109 through MW-113 to replace several key wells installed during the Remedial Investigation (RI) that were abandoned to perform the Remedial Action (RA) and not previously replaced. These replacement wells, located in areas of historically high groundwater contaminant concentrations, will assist in the on-going monitoring of the contaminant plume and evaluation of the progress of the remedy. The Record of Decision (ROD) identified chromium concentrations in groundwater exceeded 5,000

micrograms/liter (μ g/L) which corresponds to the Hazard Index (HI) of one for occupational dermal exposure. In the past five years, chromium has exceeded the concentration that represents a HI of one at the newly installed wells MW-110, MW-112 and MW-113.

AECOM began work under contract with the WDNR in 2007 to perform a Site-Specific Sustainable Remediation System Evaluation. AECOM identified several alternative supplemental remedies, system efficiencies and alternative energy sources that could be incorporated to increase efficiency and reduce long-term costs for operation and maintenance (O&M).

The groundwater cleanup goals do not appear to be attainable in a reasonable amount of time with the existing collection system and clay cap. System efficiencies and supplemental remedies identified by OMNNI and AECOM could be implemented to reduce the time necessary to meet the cleanup goals. Regardless, on-going O&M of the groundwater collection system and treatment building needs to continue.

The WDNR developed a Cooperative Agreement with the city of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department (APR) in 2008. The Cooperative Agreement allows APR to utilize the truck bay and office of the treatment building, currently not needed by the O&M contractor, in exchange for maintenance of the grounds and a daily presence to observe Site conditions. The current Cooperative Agreement expires in May 2012 and is anticipated to be renewed.

In April 2010, the WDNR's Remediation and Redevelopment Program (RR) placed all parcels that contain the treatment building and groundwater collection trenches were placed on the WDNR's RR Sites Map and noted the parcels have continuing environmental obligations. The RR Sites Map is part of WDNR's web-based mapping system that provides information and notice about contaminated properties and other activities related to the investigation and cleanup of contaminated soil or groundwater in Wisconsin. The RR Sites Map is part of the WDNR's <u>Contaminated Lands Environmental Action Network (CLEAN)</u>, an inter-linked network of WDNR databases tracking information on different contaminated land activities.

Five-Year Review Summary Form

SITE IDENTIFICATION						
Site name (from WasteLAN): N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site						
EPA ID (from Wa	steLAM: WID083	290981				
Region: 5	State: WI	City/County:	: Appleton/Outaga	amie		
		SITE	STATUS			
NPL status: √F	inal Deleted Other	r (specify)				
Remediation sta	itus (choose all tha	at apply): Under	r Construction √O	perating Complete		
Multiple OUs?*	YES √NO	Construction	n completion da	te: 03 / 27 / 1997		
Has site been pu	ut into reuse? 🗸	YES NO				
		REVIEV	N STATUS			
Lead agency: E	PA State Tribe C	ther Federal Ag	ency			
Author name: Je	ennifer Borski					
Author title: Hyd	lrogeologist		Author affiliation	on: WDNR		
Review period:**	* 01 / 13 / 2011 1	to 03 / 31 / 20	11			
Date(s) of site in	spection: 01/2	28 / 2011				
Type of review:	Type of review: Post-SARA Pre-SARA NPL-Removal only Non-NPL Remedial Action Site \frac{\mathbf{NPL State/Tribe-lead}}{\mathbf{Regional Discretion}					
Review number: 1 (first) 2 (second) √3 (third) Other (specify)						
Triggering action: Actual RA Onsite Construction at OU # Construction Completion Other (specify)						
Triggering action date (from WasteLAN): 04 / 26 / 2006						
Due date (five years after triggering action date): 04 / 26 / 2011						

* ["OU" refers to operable unit.]
 ** [Review period should correspond to the actual start and end dates of the Five-Year Review in WasteLAN.]

Five-Year Review Summary Form Continued

Issues:

No current issues were identified during the five-year review. Monitoring data show that the level of contaminants in the groundwater are declining, although at a slower rate than expected. WDNR is evaluating options to reduce the total time required to reach the groundwater cleanup goals and may propose modifications to the system. If significant modifications are needed, appropriate remedy decision documentation will be developed as appropriate. After potential modifications have been evaluated and implemented, efforts to improve long-term effectiveness of institutional controls (ICs) may be pursued.

Recommendations and Follow-up Actions:

• After potential remedy modifications are evaluated, EPA may initiate appropriate documentation of any modifications to be implemented. At that point WDNR and EPA will develop an IC Plan to address long-term effectiveness of ICs at the Site..

Protectiveness Statement:

The remedy at the N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site is currently protective of human health and the environment in the short-term based on completion of the following actions: demolition and removal of contaminated buildings; removal of containerized wastes; excavation of contaminated soils greater than 500 mg/kg of chromium; capping of remaining soils contaminated at levels below 500 mg/kg of chromium, fencing of the cap area, installation of a contaminated groundwater collection and treatment system; installation or improvement of foundation drain systems for those residents or businesses located within the groundwater contaminant plume; and cleaning, painting or sealing of affected basements to prevent future contaminated groundwater seepage into the residential buildings. Neighboring residents are connected to a public water supply and do not have wells. APR maintains and regularly observes the capped area and treatment building. As a result, exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks in the short term are being controlled. In addition, continuing obligations of the WDNR to maintain the cap, treatment building and collection system are recorded on the RR Sites Map on the internet providing notice to existing and future property owners of the presence of the cap, treatment building and/or collection system on the appropriate properties. The access agreements with the current property owners also help ensure that they will not disturb the portions of the collection system on their properties.

The remedy will be protective in the long-term once ICs have been upgraded. IC options include long-term environmental easements and/or other use restrictions to prevent: access to, excavation or disturbance of the constructed cap, access to remaining soil contamination, disturbance of the groundwater collection and treatment system and installation of drinking water wells. An IC Plan will be developed and will specify which ICs will be upgraded at the site.

Five-Year Review Report

I. Introduction

The Purpose of the Review

The purpose of the five-year review is to determine whether the remedy at a site is expected to be protective of human health and the environment. The methods, findings, and conclusions of reviews are documented in five-year review reports. In addition, five-year review reports identify issues found during the review, if any, and recommendations to address them.

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) is preparing this five-year review pursuant to Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) §121 and the National Contingency Plan (NCP). CERCLA §121 states:

If the President selects a remedial action that results in any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remaining at the site, the President shall review such remedial action no less often than each five years after the initiation of such remedial action to assure that human health and the environment are being protected by the remedial action being implemented. In addition, if upon such review it is the judgment of the President that action is appropriate at such site in accordance with section [104] or [106], the President shall take or require such action. The President shall report to the Congress a list of facilities for which such review is required, the results of all such reviews, and any actions taken as a result of such reviews.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) interpreted this requirement further in the NCP; 40 CFR §300.430(f)(4)(ii) states:

If a remedial action is selected that results in hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remaining at the site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, the lead agency shall review such action no less often than every five years after the initiation of the selected remedial action.

Who Conducted the Five-Year Review

The WDNR, Northeast Region has conducted a five-year review of the remedial actions implemented at the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site in Appleton, Wisconsin. This review was conducted from January through March 2011. This report documents the results of the review.

Other Review Characteristics

This is the third statutory five-year review for the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site. The triggering action for this review is the date of the second five-year review, as shown in EPA's WasteLAN database: April 26, 2006. Five-year reviews are required for the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site due to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants left onsite above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure.

II. Site Chronology

Table 1: Chronology of Site Events

Event	Date		
Wisconsin Chromium Corp, owned by Norbert W. Mauthe, operated hard chrome plating at site.	1960 -1976		
Norbert W. Mauthe owner of Wisconsin Chromium Corp	1946 - 1976		
Plating facility operated electroplating of zinc and cadmium and possibly copper and silver at site	1978 – 1987		
Complaint of environmental contamination received by WDNR	Mar 1982		
Initial investigation by WDNR and temporary groundwater collection	Apr – May 1982		
WDNR pursued Norbert W. Mauthe for remedial action at site	1982 – 1985		
WDOJ initiated legal action against Norbert W. Mauthe	Oct 1982		
WDNR site investigation of soil and groundwater	Nov 1982		
Superfund Field Investigation Team performed site inspection	Oct 1984		
Initial soil removal and liquid asphalt applied to site by WDNR	Oct 1984		
Outagamie County Circuit Court ordered Norbert W. Mauthe to develop a cleanup plan for the site	1985		
Norbert W. Mauthe's consultant proposed cleanup plan which was rejected by WDNR	1986		
Norbert W. Mauthe's insurance carrier rejected claims for costs and remedial action	1986		
Norbert W. Mauthe passed away	1986		
Health reaction to exposure of contaminated soils by Sprint field worker	Aug 1987		
WDOJ settled with Norbert W. Mauthe's estate	1988		
EPA Technical Assistance Team conducted site assessment	June 1988		
Cooperative agreement signed between EPA and WDNR for state-lead RI/FS	Sept 1988		
EPA Technical Assistance Team conducted second site assessment for immediate threats	Jan 4, 1989		
Site listed on NPL	Mar 1989		
WDNR begins RI/FS	Sept 1989		

2011 Five-year Review Report - 14

Event	Date		
EPA installed fence around Mauthe property to prevent access and excavated highly contaminated soils as an emergency remedial response action	1991		
WDNR installed groundwater diversion system in basement at 1414 W. Second St.	1991		
RI initiated with public meeting	1991		
RI complete	Feb 4, 1993		
FS complete	May 1993		
ROD selecting remedy signed	March 31, 1994		
Site buildings removed by EPA	1995		
Soil with chromium > 500 mg/kg removed and three groundwater collection trenches installed by EPA in Phase I RA	1995		
Groundwater treatment building and system constructed by EPA in Phase II RA	1996		
Phase I RA closure report	July 31, 1996		
Groundwater treatment system start-up by CH ₂ MHill	Jan 14, 1997		
Groundwater treatment operation by MCO	Feb 20, 1997		
Phase II RA closure report	July 29, 1997		
Final O&M Manual	April 29, 1997		
Plans for LTRA	Sept 5, 1997		
WDNR assumes management of O&M of groundwater collection trenches and groundwater treatment system and building. MCO is O&M Operator.	1998		
EPA approval of reduction in groundwater monitoring program	Jan 18, 2000		
Signature of first five-year review performed by WDNR (lead) & EPA	April 26, 2001		
EPA approval of reduction in groundwater monitoring program	April 17, 2003		
Start of WDNR contract with OMNNI Associates for Evaluation of Collection and Treatment System and Proposal for Modifications at N. W. Mauthe Site	Jan 26, 2005		
Four deep piezometers installed by WDNR to delineate vertical extent of chromium and VOCs in groundwater	June 2005		

 Table 1: Chronology of Site Events

Event	Date	
Direct discharge pilot study begins.	April 18, 2006	
Signature of second five-year review performed by WDNR (lead) & EPA	April 26, 2006	
City of Appleton Industrial User Permit No. 06-21 issued to allow direct discharge of combined influent without on- site treatment.	May 26, 2006	
Five monitoring wells installed by WDNR to evaluate metals and VOCs in groundwater in the area of the former plating buildings	May 2006	
Simulation of Solute Movement at a Chromium- Contaminated Site Report, OMNNI Associates, LLC	March 16, 2007	
Addiitonal Site Investigation Report, OMNNI Associates, LLC	April 24, 2007	
OMNNI Associates, LLC starts as O&M Operator.	October 14, 2007	
Cooperative Agreement between WDNR and City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department for use of building for a satellite work station in exchange for maintenance of grounds.	May 30, 2008	
Properties with treatment building and groundwater collection trench system placed on WDNR's RR Sites Map for Continuing Obligations	April 2010	
Cooperative Agreement between WDNR and City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department renewed.	June 4, 2010	

III. Background

The N. W. Mauthe site is located at 725 South Outagamie Street in Appleton, Wisconsin. (See **Figure 1 in Appendix A**.) Appleton has a population of 72,623 (2010 Census). Electroplating of chromium took place at the site from 1960 until 1976 by Norbert W. Mauthe. Mr. Mauthe then performed electroplating of zinc and cadmium and possibly copper and silver at the site from 1978 until 1987 when all operations at the site ceased. The property is currently owned by Carol Mauthe, widow of Mr. Mauthe. The site also includes adjacent areas where groundwater contamination from the plating operations has migrated.

Physical Characteristics and Land Use

The site is approximately a one-acre triangular shaped parcel. It is bound to the north by Melvin Street, to the west by a parking lot for Miller Electric and Manufacturing Company and to the southeast by Canadian National Railroad. Immediately adjacent to the railroad are three residences (801 South Outagamie Street, 1410 West Second Street and 1414 West Second Street), one business/residence (1428 West Second Street) and one business (1400 West Second Street). The surrounding area is a mix of residential/commercial. Land use at the properties immediately surrounding the site is the same as land use prior to remedial action at the site.

The Fox River is located approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ mile southeast of the site. The depth to groundwater at the site ranges from 6 to 15 feet below ground surface. (See the **groundwater elevation vs. time graph** in **Appendix E**.) The City of Appleton is served by a municipal water system.

History of Contamination and Initial Response

The WDNR received a complaint of yellow-green surface water in a ditch along the railroad tracks adjacent to 725 South Outagamie Street in March 1982. The WDNR also received a complaint of yellow-green water being pumped from a residential foundation drain sump south of the site. The WDNR took immediate action in April and May 1982 by installing a shallow drain system to collect contaminated groundwater and surface water. The system operated until late 1984.

The WDNR initiated an investigation into groundwater in November 1982. The investigation confirmed that plating operations contributed metals, cyanide and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) to the groundwater above WDNR standards and impacted soils above WDNR direct contact residual contaminant levels. The amount of contamination released to the environment is not known.

In October 1984, the WDNR installed a temporary asphalt cover to limit infiltration of surface water while continuing to pursue Mr. Mauthe for cleanup of the contamination. In 1985, Mr. Mauthe was ordered by the Outagamie County Circuit Court to develop a cleanup plan. The consultant for Mr. Mauthe proposed a cleanup plan in 1986, which was subsequently rejected by the WDNR. Mr. Mauthe's insurance carrier then rejected claims for costs associated with cleanup of the contamination, rendering Mr. Mauthe and his company financially unable to perform any significant cleanup work. Mr. Mauthe passed away in 1986.

In May 1987, a contractor of AT&T installed a fiber optics cable along the railroad right-of-way adjacent to the site. In August 1987, a contractor of U.S. Sprint also installed a fiber optics cable in a trench between the railroad tracks. Several workers developed skin irritation and rashes, apparently caused by contact with the water and soil in the U.S. Sprint trench. In November 1987, AT&T and U.S. Sprint installed a joint conduit system for the fiber optics cables outside of the contaminated areas. They abandoned the two initial trenches and antiseep plugs were installed.

In March 1989, the site was listed on the National Priorities List (NPL). WDNR initiated the Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI/FS) in September 1989. In November 1991, CH₂M Hill under contract with the WDNR, began the RI/FS. The RI/FS included the installation of monitoring wells, surface and subsurface soil sampling, test pit excavation, groundwater, residential sump pump and sewer water sampling, hydraulic conductivity testing, surface water sampling and videotaping of the sanitary and storm sewer lines.

In 1991, the EPA installed a fence around the site and excavated some of the highly contaminated soil adjacent to the chrome building and from a tank pit within the building as part of a time-critical removal action. EPA also steam cleaned the walls, floors and ceilings of the office areas and the floors and uninsulated portions of the zinc and chromium buildings. Miscellaneous debris was decontaminated and disposed of or placed in containers stored in the buildings onsite.

In 1991, the WDNR installed a groundwater diversion system called an Electro-Pulse Shield in the basement at 1414 West Second Street. Installation of the shield reduced seepage of contaminated water into the basement.

A final RI Report, dated February 4, 1993 and final FS Report, dated May 1993, were approved by the EPA and the WDNR in 1993. The RI found significant contamination of concern in soil and groundwater both on and off the Mauthe property. The greatest concentrations of contamination were in the area around the zinc and chromium buildings. The contaminants most often detected include total and hexavalent chromium, zinc, cadmium, cyanide, trichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethene and toluene.

Basis for Taking Action

The contaminants of concern identified were generally those associated with the plating industry and include metals (cadmium, chromium, copper, mercury, manganese, and zinc), cyanide, and organic solvents (primarily 1,2, dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloroethene, benzene, and xylene).

Subsurface soil contamination at the site was detected to a maximum depth of 25 feet. Soil contamination extended across the entire Mauthe property and south to the south side of the railroad tracks and onto property at 1414 West Second Street. Chromium was the most widely distributed contaminant.

Chromium, primarily hexavalent chromium, was found in groundwater above WDNR standards at the Mauthe property and adjacent properties. The groundwater impacts were limited to the area bounded on the north by Melvin Street, to the east by Outagamie Street, to the south by West Second Street and to the west by the parking lot for Miller Electric located just west of the Mauthe property.

Public health was threatened by the contamination through direct contact with contamination in and around the buildings on-site, and by impacted surficial soils and groundwater on and adjacent to the Mauthe property and impacted surface water on and off-site. Public health was also threatened by contamination in groundwater through seepage of water into basements and surficial discharge of water from foundation sumps. The Record of Decision (ROD) selecting a remedy was signed on March 31, 1994.

IV. Remedial Actions

Remedy Selection

Remedial Action Objectives (RAOs) and the selected remedy are outlined in the ROD, signed March 31, 1994. Details of the remedy are described in the Final Design Submittal, dated May 1995.

RAOs identified include:

- Prevent migration of contaminants in groundwater and in the long term, to remediate the groundwater to protect human health and the environment and to meet state and federal standards;
- Prevent human exposure to contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water that pose unacceptable risks.

The selected remedy includes the following activities:

- Demolition and removal of the buildings on the N. W. Mauthe property, with proper management and disposal of the building debris;
- Removal and proper disposal of the containerized waste stored onsite at the time of the ROD;
- Excavation of soils with a total chromium concentration greater than 500 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), removing approximately 80% of the chromium contaminant mass, including the removal of soils beneath the railroad tracks;
- Off-site treatment and proper disposal of excavated soils in excess of 500 mg/kg;
- Backfilling of the excavation with excavated soils less than 50 mg/kg and clean soils;
- Capping of the site with two feet of clay soil and topsoil with a vegetative cover;
- Installation of three groundwater collection trenches and construction and operation of a
 groundwater treatment facility with discharge to the sanitary sewer to contain and/or
 control groundwater contamination with ultimate compliance with groundwater
 Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs);
- Improvement or installation of foundation drain systems and cleaning, painting or sealing basement walls and floors for homes or businesses in the area of the site, to prevent seepage of contaminated water into the buildings;
- ICs, such as deed restrictions or easements and site access controls that are intended to prevent access, excavation, disturbance of the newly constructed cap, future soil excavation in the railroad corridor for areas in the corridor where contaminated soils will remain and installation of drinking water wells;
- Monitoring of the effectiveness of the groundwater treatment system and groundwater quality; and
- Operation and maintenance (O&M) of all systems.

Remedy Implementation

Demolition of the site buildings and the removal and disposal of the containerized waste was accomplished in the fall of 1994 during remedial design (RD). The RD was split into two parts to allow a trench test to be completed on a portion of the groundwater collection system before design of the groundwater treatment facility. Excavation, trench installation and related activities took place in 1995 (Phase I). The treatment building and clay cap were constructed in 1996 (Phase II). The system began operation in January 1997 and has operated continuously since that time.

Phase I took place between June 9 and November 18, 1995. In July 1995, 25 monitoring wells were abandoned. MW-26R, located in the northwest corner of the Mauthe property could not be located and was assumed to have been previously abandoned.

The excavation of a "hot spot" area with soils at greater than 500 mg/kg chromium removed a majority of the contaminant source area and occurred between July and October 1995. Additional soils were excavated on and off the Mauthe property to access the soils identified for removal. Excavated soils greater than 50 mg/kg were transported off-site for proper disposal. Excavated soils less than 50 mg/kg were replaced onsite along with clean soils. Two feet of clay and a vegetative cover were installed in June 1996. The **excavation area** is shown on **Figure 2** in **Appendix A**. The APR maintains the vegetative cover over the clay cap through a **cooperative agreement** (see **Appendix H**)

The groundwater collection trench system was designed with several purposes. The west trench, located on Miller Electric property, and the southeast trench, located along Outagamie Street and West Second Street, were designed to prevent further migration of contamination by surrounding the delineated plume. The central trench, located along the south side of the railroad corridor, was designed to prevent further migration of contamination from the Mauthe property and reverse the groundwater gradient between the site and residences to the south. In addition to the collection trenches, two 33-foot deep sumps (manholes) with pumps were constructed in June and August 1995. Associated piping was installed in October 1995 to transport the collected groundwater to the treatment building.

Residential foundation drains were installed at 1410 and 1414 West Second Street in October 1995. A sealant was applied to the exteriors of the foundations. The new residential foundation drains at 1410 and 1414 West Second Street along with the existing foundation drain at 801 South Outagamie Street were connected to the southeast collection trench. The interior floors and walls of the foundations at 1410 and 1414 West Second Street and 801 South Outagamie Street were seal coated in October and November 1995.

The existing foundation drain at 1428 West Second Street was not connected to the collection trench as initially planned. This was discovered in May 2002 and the drain at 1428 West Second Street was connected to the southeast trench at that time.

Eight new monitoring wells were installed in October 1995. Four piezometers were installed within the filter material of the groundwater collection trenches to assist with evaluation of the groundwater collection. These piezometers were scheduled to be abandoned after initial system evaluation. These piezometers were abandoned by WDNR in May 2004 due to the poor condition of the wells.

Phase I of the RA is documented in the report, Phase I Remedial Action Closure Report, dated July 31, 1996. Final as-builts design documents were not submitted with the Phase I report. As-builts were received on November 6, 1996 but did not accurately reflect actual construction details. Ms. Borski spoke with Ike Johnson with CH₂MHill on January 20, 2005 and learned that final as-builts were not generated. Instead, post-construction notes were written on construction plans and submitted. This is confirmed in a letter from Cathy Barnett with CH₂M Hill dated November 1, 1996. Sheets # 3, 4, 5A, 5B, 5C (four different sheets), 5D, 7, 13, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 17 were submitted in November 1996 to document construction of Phase I. The estimated location of the **existing collection system** is shown on **Figure 2** in **Appendix A**.

Phase II of the RA took place between August 1996 to February 1997 and April 1997 to May 1997 and included construction of the treatment building and treatment system. The final landscape work took place in April 1997. The perimeter fence at the Mauthe property was also installed in April 1997. This fence is locked and provides for general security of the property, including the cap, and portions of the ground water collection system.

The asphalt drive north of the treatment building was constructed in November 1996. Phase II is documented in the report, Phase II Remedial Action Construction Documentation Report, dated July 29, 1997.

Based on existing data, the groundwater collection trench is containing the migration of groundwater contamination. (See **Figure 5**, **Appendix A**.) Since April 2006, the combined influent from manhole no. 1 and manhole no. 2 are directly discharged to the sanitary sewer without on-site pre-treatment under a permit. The combined influent has historically met discharge limits prior to on-site treatment from the start of the system operations, so the City of Appleton revised the discharge permit so that treatment was no longer required. There have been no additional removal actions since 1995/1996.

Additional Collection Trench Activity

Additional construction activity took place in May 2002 when the WDNR received a complaint of yellow-green water ponding in the grass at 1428 West Second Street. The RD called for connection of residential drain sumps to the groundwater collection system for 801 South Outagamie Street, 1410, 1414 and 1428 West Second Street. While post-construction reports documented that all drain sumps for the residences listed were tied to the collection system, the drain sump at 1428 West Second Street was, in fact, not tied into the collection system. WDNR contacted the railroad for permission to excavate within the right-of-way to connect 1428 West Second Street to the central collection trench, however, this access was not granted. Therefore, a lateral connection from the drain sump at 1428 West Second Street to the southeast collection trench was constructed in May 2002. The updated collection system is shown on all current site figures.

Implementation of Institutional Controls and Other Measures

Institutional Controls (ICs) are required to ensure the protectiveness of the remedy. ICs are non-engineered instruments, such as administrative and/or legal controls, that help minimize the potential for exposure to contamination and protect the integrity of the remedy. Compliance with

ICs is required to assure long-term protectiveness for any areas which do not allow for unlimited use or unrestricted exposure (UU/UE).

The ROD called for ICs, such as deed restrictions or easements and site access controls that are intended to prevent access, excavation, disturbance of the newly constructed cap, future soil excavation in the railroad corridor for areas in the corridor where contaminated soils will remain and installation of drinking water wells. WDNR is currently working with Ms. Mauthe, the site property owner, to implement an environmental easement that permanently meets the objectives called for in the ROD.

In the short term, adequate controls are in place and have been effective. All affected property owners are aware of the property use limitations imposed by the remedy. Appropriate signage is currently posted within the treatment building. All properties that contain the treatment building and groundwater collection trenches are marked on the **RR Sites Map** which is part of WDNR's web-based mapping system that provides information and notice about contaminated properties and other activities related to the investigation and cleanup of contaminated soil or groundwater in Wisconsin. The RR Sites Map is part of the WDNR's <u>Contaminated Lands Environmental Action Network (CLEAN)</u>, an inter-linked network of WDNR databases tracking information on different contaminated land activities. RR Sites Map includes (but is not limited to) the following environmental data:

- completed and ongoing investigations and cleanups of contaminated soil and/or groundwater;
- public registry of sites where continuing obligations have been put in place;
- cleanup of sites under the federal Superfund (CERCLA) statute;
- liability exemptions and clarifications at contaminated properties (i.e. brownfields); and
- WDNR funding assistance.

Continuing obligations of WDNR to maintain the cap, treatment building and collection system are recorded on the RR Sites Map on the internet providing notice to existing and future property owners of the presence of the cap, treatment building and/or collection system on the appropriate properties.

Neighboring residents are required by City ordinance to be connected to a public water supply and do not have wells. APR maintains and regularly observes the capped area and treatment building under a Cooperative Agreement with WDNR, and so ensures that the integrity of the remedy is protected. The current property owners are aware that they can not disturb the portions of the collection system on their properties. Further ICs will likely be necessary, however, once the current consideration of remedy modifications is completed, to ensure the long-term protectiveness of the remedy. Those additional measures will be developed under an IC Plan.

System Operations/O&M

Monthly and annual O&M activities are performed at the treatment building and manholes. These activities are detailed in the Final O&M Manual dated April 29, 1997. In addition, existing wells are inspected annually. Monthly O&M activities are summarized in the **Routine Site Inspection** Sheet and **Operator Log Sheet** in **Appendix I**. The current **groundwater monitoring plan** is shown in **Table 2** in **Appendix B**. **Table 3** below details O&M costs for each WDNR fiscal year (July 1st through June 30th) since July 1, 2005. **Table 3** does not include WDNR salaries for oversight of the site or additional costs paid directly by WDNR (e.g. permit renewals, fourth quarter compliance sampling, utility invoices, repairs beyond the O&M contract, etc.).

D	Total Cost rounded to				
From	То	nearest \$1,000			
7/1/05	6/30/06	\$36,000			
7/1/06	6/30/07	\$22,000			
7/1/07	6/30/08	\$27,000			
7/1/08	6/30/09	\$24,000			
7/1/09	6/30/10	\$23,000			
7/1/10	12/31/10	\$11,000			

 Table 3:
 System Operations/O&M Costs

These costs include payment to the O&M contractor for routine O&M only.

V. Progress Since the Last Review

The second five-year review performed in 2006 concluded that the remedy is protective of human health and the environment. Several issues with the monitoring network, collection system and treatment system were identified with recommendations for follow up. These recommendations are detailed in **Table 4** below and include actions taken since the second five-year review report.

 Table 4: Actions Taken Since the Last Five-Year Review

Issues from	Recommendations/	Party	Milestone	Action Taken and	Date of Action
Previous Review	Follow-up Actions	Responsible	Date	Outcome	
O&M	Continue O&M of groundwater collection and treatment system.	WDNR	On-going	WDNR maintained O&M contract with local consultants for continuous system operation.	Midwest Contract Operations (MCO) contract for O&M through 10/13/07. OMNNI contract for O&M 10/14/07 through 9/30/11 (one-year contract and three one-year renewals). O&M contract to be rebid for 10/1/11.

Issues from Previous Review	Recommendations/ Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible	Milestone Date	Action Taken and Outcome	Date of Action
Evaluate contaminant trends in groundwater and determine optimal monitoring well configuration and frequency of sampling for site remedy monitoring.	Prepare a trend analysis report and analysis of current monitoring network.	WDNR	None established	 WDNR continues to evaluate the collection and monitoring network. WDNR contracted with OMNNI Associates to conduct the evaluation. Four piezometers (PZ-5 – PZ-8) to delineate vertical extent of chromium and VOCs in groundwater were installed in June 2005. Four additional monitoring wells were installed in the source area in 2006 to delineate the horizontal extent of chromium and VOCs in groundwater and adequately monitor contamination until ARARs are met. 	January 2005 to April 2007
It is unclear if ICs are in place.	 Perform a title search to determine what ICs are currently in place. Evaluate ICs identified in the ROD to determine appropriate means to adequately protect the remedy. 	EPA EPA and WDNR	July 2006 October 2006	Title Search ordered in 2006 but may not have been completed; followup ongoing. 2. WDNR placed continuing obligations on RR Sites Map on internet.	April 2010
Corrosion within manhole no. 2. Presence of hydrogen sulfide.	Investigate the source of hydrogen sulfide. Identify and implement the most cost-effective option to address the corrosion within manhole no. 2 and odor issues.	WDNR	October 2006	No action taken due to insufficient funds and workload.	Issue being addressed through ongoing O&M obligations above.

Issues from Previous Review	Recommendations/ Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible	Milestone Date	Action Taken and Outcome	Date of Action
No exit strategy exists for collection and treatment system components This issue does not affect the protectiveness of the remedy.	Develop an exit strategy that determines the appropriate disposition of the residential sump laterals, groundwater collection trenches, manholes, groundwater treatment facility and associated piping upon completion of the remedy.	WDNR	TBD.	Action does not impact the protectiveness of the remedy and has been suspended until completion of Wisconsin's Initiative for Sustainable Cleanups (WISC) - A Practical Guide to Green Remediation in the State of Wisconsin (WISC Guide) and N. W. Mauthe Site- Specific Sustainability Analysis (Sustainability Analysis) by AECOM under separate contract by WDNR	On-going
Alternative technologies may be available for a more cost- effective and efficient treatment process.	1. Achieve long-term approval for direct discharge to the City of Appleton POTW.	WDNR	June 2006	1. As shown in Plot 1 in Appendix E, historical influent hexavalent chromium concentrations were consistently well below the POTW discharge limits. A Pilot study for direct discharge to sanitary initiated. Final approval for direct discharge achieved.	April 2006 June 27, 2006
	 Complete the system evaluation. Review System Evaluation proposals for a more cost- effective and efficient treatment process. 	WDNR EPA and WDNR	April 2007 June 2007	 OMMNI's Simulation of Solute Movement at a Chromium- Contaminated Site. OMNNI's Additional Site Investigation Report. 	March 16, 2007
	4. Implement amendments to the system for a more cost- effective and efficient treatment process.	WDNR	October 2008	3&4. Action suspended until completion of WISC Guide and Sustainability Analysis by AECOM under separate contract by WDNR.	April 24, 2007 July 2007 – On- going

Issues from Previous Review	Recommendations/ Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible	Milestone Date	Action Taken and Outcome	Date of Action
Groundwater cleanup goals likely unable to be met in reasonable amount of time.	 Identify and implement modifications to the system to increase efficiency and reduce the amount of time necessary to achieve remedial action objectives. 	WDNR	October 2008	1&2. Action suspended until completion of WISC Guide and Sustainability Analysis by AECOM under separate contract by WDNR.	July 2007
	of whether ACLs may be appropriate for the site conditions.	EPA and WDNR	2008		
Monitoring network should be enhanced.	Install additional monitoring wells in former source areas to enhance the network and assist in the on- going monitoring of the contaminant plume and evaluation of the progress of the remedy.	WDNR	June 2006	Installation of monitoring wells (MW 109-MW 113) in former source areas and wells added to long-term monitoring plan.	May 26, 2006 On-going
Filtering procedures, analyzing laboratory, analytical methods and QAPP need to be clarified for consistency.	 Identify filtering procedures with O&M contractor. Identify appropriate analyzing laboratory for 	WDNR EPA and WDNR	May 2006 June 2006	1. O&M contractor informed to filter total chrome and not filter hex chrome water samples.	February 26, 2006
	soil and groundwater analysis.3. Identify appropriate analytical methods for	EPA and WDNR	June 2006	 According to QAPP (12/94), Sec 9, WI certified lab to be used. Pace is WI certified. According to QAPP (12/94), Sec 9.1, EPA analytical methods to be used. 	June 5, 2006
	soil and groundwater analysis. 4. Identify and implement necessary items in the QAPP critical for the on-going evaluation of the progress of the remedy.	EPA and WDNR	October 2006		
Changes to WDNR PALs in 2004.	Track changes to PAL standards as O&M and System Evaluation continues.	WDNR	On-going	1. Reviewed current NR 140 PALs.	February 2011
	Re-evaluate changes to PAL standards during the next five-year review.	WDNR	April 2011		April 2011 and On-going
Influent/effluent sampling points not labeled.	Label influent and effluent sampling points in the treatment building.	WDNR	June 2006	Sample point signs installed.	August 21, 2006

Issues from Previous Review	Recommendations/ Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible	Milestone Date	Action Taken and Outcome	Date of Action			
General maintenance and building upkeep.	Maintain groundwater collection system and treatment building.	WDNR	VDNR TBD. This should be evaluated during	TBD. This should be evaluated during	TBD. This should be evaluated during	TBD. This should be evaluated during	1. Sample ports installed on each manhole line (#1 and #2).	June 2006
	each five- year review.	each five- year review.	e- 2. Submersible pumps were installed in MW 109-MW113. MCO installed new locks on MW 109-MW 113 and piezometers PZ5-PZ8.	December 2006				
				 Influent flow meters and flow totalizers installed on each manhole line (#1 and #2) by Ogden Plumbing and OMNNI. 	April 15, 2008			
				4. Address numbers replaced on fencing and doors. Front and back doors replaced by La Force, Inc.	May 20, 2008			
				5. Keller, Inc. repaired gutters and replaced snow guards.	July 10, 2008			
				 Exterior overhead doors newly painted. 	August 21, 2008			
				7. La Force, Inc. replaced front and back lock cylinders.	November 4 and 7, 2008			
				8. Ogden Plumbing and Town and Country Electric retrofitted Manhole #1 and #2's piping and electrical components.	November 24-26, 2008			
				9. Dampers fixed and two exit signs replaced.	November 26, 2008			
				10. Bracket replacement on overhead truck door.	August 12, 2009			
				11. Ogden Plumbing replaced the water valve in the truck bay.	November 2, 2009			
				12. Ogden Plumbing replaced four cracked heating units in treatment building.	November 11-12, 2009			
				13. The flow totalizer for Manhole #2 was reassembled and fixed	August 4, 2010			

Issues from Previous Review	Recommendations/ Follow-up Actions	Party Responsible	Milestone Date	Action Taken and Outcome	Date of Action
General Maintenance and Building Upkeep.	Maintain groundwater collection system and treatment building.	WDNR	TBD. This should be evaluated during each five- year review.	14. Ogden Plumbing replaced two cracked heating units in truck bay.	Ongoing
Update Access Agreements.	Access agreements will expire and property turnover will occur. Maintain access and pursue long-term easements with property owners.	WDNR	None established	Access agreements updated.	January 2011

VI. Five-Year Review Process

Administrative Components

Jennifer Borski of WDNR and David Seely, Remedial Project Manager (RPM), of EPA met at the site on January 28, 2011 to discuss the status of the site and process and time schedule for the second five-year review. Brian Wayner, Environmental Engineer with OMNNI Associates and O&M contractor also participated in the site inspection to discuss system issues.

Ms. Borski discussed the community involvement appropriate for the third five-year review with Mr. Seely on January 28, 2011. Ms. Borski also consulted with Kurt Eggebrecht and Tim Mirkes of the City of Appleton Health Department, Alderperson Peter Stueck and Rob Thiboldeaux of Wisconsin Department of Health Services (WDHS).

Community Notification and Involvement

A press release regarding the start of the third five-year review was issued to the Appleton Post Crescent by WDNR on January 20, 2011. The press release appeared on the WDNR website on January 20, 2011. Ms. Borski updated the fact sheet titled *N W Mauthe Superfund Site, 725 South Outagamie Street, Appleton, Wl* in January 2011 for distribution to adjacent property owners and persons interested in the status of the site. On January 27, 2011, the fact sheet was sent along with a letter to owners of property on which the groundwater collection system is constructed to inform them of the start of the five-year review process (715, 725 and 801 South Outagamie Street, 1400, 1410, 1414 and 1428 West Second Street and Miller Electric regarding 1515 West Melvin Street). An electronic mail message was also sent to the Wisconsin Department of Health Services (WDHS), City of Appleton Health Department, City of Appleton Parks and Recreation (APR) Department, and the local Alderman.

On January 31, 2011, at the request of Mr. David Seely, EPA RPM, a separate letter and fact sheet were sent to nearby property owners and occupants of 1354, 1357, 1407, 1407.5 and 1417 West Second Street and 901 South Outagamie Street to inform the immediate community of the start of the five-year review process. Mayor Tim Hanna of the City of Appleton was also

notified electronically on January 31, 2011.

The property owner at 1410 West Second Street contacted Ms. Borski and was previously unaware of the presence of the site or that his foundation drained passively to the collection system. The owner apparently purchased the property at auction after foreclosure. The property owner at 715 South Outagamie Street contacted Ms. Borski and was also previously unaware of the presence of the site or a monitoring well on his property. Ms. Borski updated both access agreements.

Document Review

Ms. Borski performed review of documents listed in **Appendix D** during January through March 2011 along with ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code for current groundwater cleanup standards.

Data Review

The original groundwater monitoring plan is outlined in the Field Sampling Plan (FSP) within the *Site-Specific Plans for N. W. Mauthe Long Term Response Action*, (LTRA Plans) dated September 5, 1997. The LTRA Plans call for quarterly sampling at all wells and analysis of groundwater for cadmium, chromium, cyanide, copper, mercury, manganese, zinc and VOCs. The sample collection procedures called for VOCs to be unfiltered and metals filtered during collection of groundwater. Monitoring wells referenced in the LTRA Plans include W-2, W-8, W-15, MW-101, MW-102, MW-103, MW-104, MW-105, MW-106, MW-107 and MW-108. These wells are located both upgradient and downgradient of the groundwater collection system as well as within the area where contaminated groundwater flow is being contained.

Two reductions to the original monitoring plan have been requested since 1997. On December 3, 1999, Jennifer Huffman with the WDNR requested a reduction to the monitoring plan:

- 1. Elimination of quarterly sampling for copper, zinc, mercury and cyanide at all site wells;
- 2. Reduction in VOC sampling frequency from quarterly to annual;
- 3. Elimination of weekly testing for total suspended solids on the treated effluent.

EPA approved the 1999 request on January 18, 2000.

On March 24, 2003, Jennifer Borski with the WDNR requested a reduction to the monitoring plan:

- 4. Elimination of quarterly cadmium sampling at all site wells;
- Reduction in the frequency from quarterly to annual sampling of manganese at all site wells. Manganese detections did not appear to be related to contamination from the plating operations;
- 6. Reduction in the frequency from quarterly to annual sampling of total dissolved chromium at W-2, W-8, W-15, MW-101, MW-102, MW-105, MW-106 and MW-108.
- 7. Elimination of annual VOC sampling at W-2, W-8, W-15, MW-101, MW-102, MW-103, MW-104, MW-105, MW-106 and MW-108.

EPA approved the 2003 request on April 17, 2003.

In June 2005, WDNR installed four piezometers within the groundwater containment area (PZ-5 and PZ-6 in the fomer source areas; and PZ-7 and PZ-8 in the adjacent residential/commercial area south of the railroad tracks) to evaluate the potential veritical migration of groundwater contamination. Groundwater samples were taken from these piezometers and analyzed for

chromium and samples taken from PZ-5 and PZ-6 were also analyzed for VOCs. These samples did not have any detections above ARARs indicating that the groundwater contamination was not migrating vertically. WDNR continues to monitor water levels annually.

In May 2006, WDNR installed five monitoring wells (MW-109, MW-110, MW-111, MW-112 and MW-113) in the source area on the Mauthe property and analyzed for cadmium, chromium, hexavalent chromium, copper, cyanide, manganese, mercury, zinc and VOCs. WDNR continues to monitor all wells for chromium and VOCs semi-annually and manganese every four years. WDNR also monitors MW-110 and MW-112 semi-annually and MW-111 annually for cyanide.

With the approved monitoring reductions, and installation of the four piezometers and five monitoring wells, the current monitoring plan consists of the following:

- Recording of pH, temperature, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen, redox potential and ferrous iron at all monitoring wells;
- Recording of water level measurements at all monitoring wells and piezometers;
- Analysis for total dissolved chromium semi-annually at MW-103, MW-104, MW-107 and MW-110 through MW-113, annually at MW-101 and MW-102 and every four years at W-2, W-8, W-15, MW-105, MW-106 and MW-108;
- Analysis for manganese every four years at all monitoring wells;
- Analysis for cyanide semi-annually at MW-110 and MW-112 and annually at MW-111;
- Analysis for VOCs semi-annually at MW-107 and MW-109 through MW-113.

The current long-term monitoring plan is detailed in Table 2 in Appendix B.

Historical groundwater data from the RI was reviewed and compared with post remedy groundwater data from 1997 through March 2010 and is summarized below. All wells included in the LTRA Plans for sampling are present and in good condition. **Appendix E** provides a series of graphs showing the progress of the groundwater cleanup for various monitoring locations.

Cadmium, copper, mercury and zinc are not present above 1992 State Preventative Action Limit (PALs) of 1 μ g /L, 500 μ g /L, 0.2 μ g /L and 2,500 μ g /L respectively, in any well and sampling for these parameters was discontinued as detailed above. Manganese is consistently present above the PAL at W-15, MW-105, MW-110 and MW-112 and intermittently at MW-101, MW-102, MW-103, MW-106, MW-108, MW-109, MW-111 and MW-113. It does not appear that the presence of manganese is related to contamination from plating operations, therefore, it is monitored every four years to assist in evaluation of the geochemistry of the site.

Cyanide was not detected above the 1992 PAL ($40 \mu g / L$) in any of the wells included in the original monitoring plan. Therefore, sampling for cyanide was discontinued as detailed above. However after installation of five new monitoring wells within the source area on the Mauthe property, cyanide was detected in wells, MW-110, MW-111 and MW-112. Therefore, sampling for cyanide at these three locations was added back into the monitoring plan.

Chromium is sampled every four years at W-2, W-8, W-15, MW-105, MW-106 and MW-108 where chromium has been below the 1992 PAL (5 μ g /L) for more than five years and wells are located outside the collection trench areas. Chromium is sampled annually MW-101, MW-102 where chromium has been below the 1992 PAL for more than five years but the wells are located inside the collection trench areas. Chromium is sampled semi-annually at MW-103,

MW-104, MW-107, MW-109, MW-110, MW-111, MW-112 and MW-113 where chromium is present above the 1992 PAL. Analysis of hexavalent chromium began in December 2003 to determine the percentage of hexavalent chromium present. It appears that all chromium present in groundwater is in the hexavalent state. Therefore, hexavalent chromium analysis in monitoring wells was discontinued after September 2006 as a cost savings measure.

Total dissolved chromium (chromium) in MW-103 ranged from 6.3 to 720 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) between 1998 and 2001, from 1.2 to 350 μ g/L between 2002 and 2006 and from 16.4 to 380 μ g/L between 2007 and 2010 A **concentration versus time graph for chromium** at MW-103 is included in **Appendix E**.

Chromium at MW-104 generally increased over time through 2004, with one outlier result of 1,200 μ g/L in September 2003, and then decreased and remained relatively stable. Chromium ranged from 62 to 840 μ g/L between 1998 and 2001, from 2.8 to 790 μ g/L between 2002 and 2006 (with the one outlier of 1,200 μ g/L) and ranged from 1.3 to 719 μ g/L between 2007 and 2010. A **concentration versus time graph for chromium** at MW-104 is included in **Appendix E.**

Chromium at MW-107 has generally been stable over the past five years. Since 1998, with the exception of a spike in concentration in 2000, chromium has been decreasing. Chromium ranged from 3,200 to 11,200 μ g/L between 1998 and 2001, from 2,400 to 7,000 μ g/L between 2002 and 2006, and from 2,000 to 4,410 μ g/L between 2007 and 2010. A **concentration versus time graph for chromium** at MW-107 is included in **Appendix E**.

OMNNI installed five source-area wells in May 2006 (MW-109 through MW-113) and found significantly higher concentrations of chromium at MW-110, MW-112 and MW-113, located within the former plating buildings:

- MW-109 is located between former MW-26R in the northwest corner of the Mauthe property where chromium was present at 150,000 μg/L and former MW-25R on the west side of the Mauthe property where chromium was present at 124,000 μg/L in May 1992. Chromium ranged from 450 to 2,700 μg/L at MW-109 between 2006 and 2010;
- MW-110 is located at former MW-17 between the former zinc plating building and the former chrome plating building where chromium was present at 73,300 μg/L in May 1992. Chromium ranged from 3,200 to 51,000 μg/L at MW-110 between 2006 and 2010;
- MW-111 is located in the northeast corner of the Mauthe property, west of former MW-13R, where chromium was present at 18,600 µg/L in May 1992. Chromium ranged from 6.7 to 2,300 µg/L at MW-111 between 2006 and 2010;
- MW-112 is located within the former zinc plating building in the location of the collection pit where chromium was present in soil at 15,000 mg/kg, zinc at 14,900 mg/kg, cadmium at 3,660 mg/kg and cyanide at 2,960 mg/kg in January 1992. Chromium ranged from 7,150 to 150,000 μg/L at MW-112 between 2006 and 2010;
- MW-113 is located at former MW-15 at the southeast corner of the former chrome plating building where chromium was present at 860,000 µg/L in February 1992 and 789,000 µg/L in May 1992. Chromium ranged from 11,000 to 55,000 µg/L at MW-113 between 2006 and 2010.

Historic Figure 1 from the RI/FS reports is included in **Appendix A** for reference to former well locations. A chromium iso-concentration map for March 2010 is shown on **Figure 5 in Appendix A**. **Concentration versus time graphs for chromium** at MW-109 through MW-113

are included in Appendix E.

MW-109 through MW-113 were initially analyzed for cadmium, copper, cyanide, manganese, mercury and zinc in addition to chromium in 2006. Cadmium and mercury were not detected in any well. Copper was detected at all wells at very low concentrations, well below the 1992 PAL. Manganese was detected at all wells above the 1992 PAL and appears to be indicative of background concentrations. Zinc was not detected in any well with the exception of the initial event at MW-112 in June 2006, which was well above the 1992 PAL. Subsequent sampling confirmed zinc is not present above the1992 PAL. The concentration of zinc detected in the initial event at MW-112 following construction and well development is attributed to turbidity. As a result, analysis of cadmium, copper, mercury and zinc has been discontinued. Manganese continues to be analyzed every four years but it is not believed to be associated with the site contamination.

Cyanide was detected below the 1992 PAL at MW-109 and MW-113 and has been discontinued. Cyanide was detected below the 1992 PAL (40 ug/l) at MW-111 but is continued to be analyzed annually since its concentration (27 ug/l) was greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ of the PAL. Since 2007, the concentration has consistently been below $\frac{1}{2}$ of the PAL and it may be appropriate to consider discontinuing analysis of cyanide at MW-111. Cyanide was detected above the 1992 PAL at MW-110 and MW-112 and continues to be analyzed semi-annually. Cyanide ranged from 6.6 to 79 µg/L at MW-110 and from 35 to 450 µg/L at MW-112 between 2006 and 2010.

VOCs are no longer sampled at W-2, W-8, W-15, MW-101, MW-102, MW-103, MW-104, MW-105, MW-106 and MW-108 since they were not detected or were consistently well below the PALs. VOCs remain in groundwater at MW-107, which is located in a source area, and their concentrations were relatively stable from 1997 through 2010. VOCs detected at MW-107 include 1,1-dichroloethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 1,1,2-trichloroethane and trichloroethene. A **concentration versus time graph for VOCs** at MW-107 is included in **Appendix E**.

MW-109 through MW-113 were installed in the former source areas on the Mauthe property were initially analyzed for VOCs in 2006. VOCs routinely detected above 1992 PALs include: 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloroethane and trichloroethane at MW-109, 1,1-dichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane and trichloroethene at MW-110, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane and trichloroethene at MW-111, trichloroethene at MW-112 and 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane and trichloroethene at MW-113. VOCs are analyzed semi-annually at MW-109 through MW-113. **Concentration versus time graphs for VOCs** at MW-110 and MW-113 are included in **Appendix E.**

Evaluation of the historical groundwater data provided in the RI report and the latest Operation and Maintenance Report reveals the existing network is currently adequate to delineate the horizontal extent of the contaminant plume. Groundwater data from 1997 through 2010 for metals and VOCs is shown in **Tables 5 and 6** in **Appendix B**.

Table 7 compares maximum historic concentrations prior to cleanup action, concentrations following the remedy in May 1997, concentrations in December 2005 after eight years of system operation, concentrations in March 2010 after installation of wells in the source area and the current cleanup goals. Based on the data provided in the table, it appears the excavation significantly reduced contaminant concentrations in groundwater. However, continued operation of the system does not appear to have produced substantial continued reduction of

contaminant concentrations. It should be noted that the table includes data from the newly installed wells within the former source areas and these wells represent the significant increase of the maximum concentrations detected in March 2010.

Contaminant	Maximum concentration according to FS report, May 1993	Maximum concentration in May 1997	Maximum concentration in Dec 2005	Maximum concentration in March 2010	Current Cleanup Goal (1992 WDNR PAL)			
Chromium ¹	860,000	3,600	2,400	31,300	5			
Cyanide ²	?	<0.78	Not analyzed	110	40			
1,1- dichloroethane ³	?	36	42	159	85			
1,1- dichloroethene ⁴	190	40	26	169	0.7			
Cis-1,2- dichloroethene ⁵	?	3.1	< 4.1	47.3	10			
1,1,1- trichloroethane ⁶	2,100	390	250	718	40			
Trichloroethene ⁷	1,800	420	490	556	0.18			

Table 7: Maximum Contaminant Concentrations in Groundwater and Groundwater Cleanup Goals (units in $\mu g/L$)

¹ FS report chromium data is from MW-15. May 1997 and Dec 2005 chromium data is from MW-107 as no well was located at former MW-15 during that time. March 2010 chromium data is from MW-113, located at former MW-15. ² May 1997 cyanide data was from W-15. March 2010 cyanide data is from MW-112.

³ Dec 2005 1,1-dichloroethane data is from MW-107. March 2010 1,1-dichloroethane data is from MW-110.

⁴ Dec 2005 1,1-dichloroethene data is from MW-107. March 2010 1,1-dichloroethene data is from MW-110.

⁵ Dec 2005 cis-1,2-dichloroethene data is from MW-107. March 2010 cis-1,2-dichloroethene data is from MW-110.

⁶ Dec 2005 1,1,1-trichloroethane data is from MW-107. March 2010 1,1,1-trichloroethane data is from MW-110.

⁷ Dec 2005 trichloroethene data is from MW-107. March 2010 trichloroethene data is from MW-112.

In 2006, approval to discharge collected groundwater directly to the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) was granted. Ongoing chromium monitoring of the groundwater influent an discharge (as shown in **Table 9** of **Appendix B**) shows that the chromium concentrations have remain well within the POTW discharge limitations. Therefore, treatment of the collected contaminated groundwater remains unnecessary prior to discharging to the POTW.

Site Inspection

Ms. Borski performed a site inspection on January 28, 2011. Mr. Brian Wayner, O&M Operator, and Mr. David Seely, EPA RPM, accompanied Ms. Borski. The **Site Inspection Checklist** is included in **Appendix F**. The inspection included a walk-through of the treatment building, opening the covers to manhole no. 1 and manhole no. 2 and walking along the sidewalk in front of the following properties to observe the condition of each property: 725 and 801 South Outagamie Street, 1400, 1410, 1414 and 1428 West Second Street.

While the condition of the vegetative cover at the site was not visible on January 28, 2011 due to snow cover, Ms. Borski observed in multiple site visits in the summer of 2010 that the

vegetative cap is in good condition and being maintained by APR under the Cooperative Agreement, discussed above. Generally, the treatment building is in good condition. The treatment building is locked when the O&M Operator or APR staff are not on site. Mr. Wayner and Ms. Borski have keys to the treatment building along with APR Department Director and the lead APR staff at the satellite office

Interviews

The following people were interviewed during the five-year review process:

- Brian Wayner, OMNNI Associates O&M Operator
- Oscar Rodriguez Private Resident at 1410 West Second Street
- Carol Mauthe Owner of Source Property at 725 South Outagamie Street
- Bill Lecker, City of Appleton Director of Parks and Recreation Department
- Tim McGuire, City of Appleton Park Foreman
- Rick Drewa Owner of 715 South Outagamie Street
- Kurt Eggebrecht, City of Appleton -Health Officer
- Tim Mirkes, City of Appleton Health Sanitarian
- David Lease, Miller Electric Facilities Manager

Interview records are included in Appendix G.

On January 18, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Brian Wayner with OMNNI by phone. Mr. Wayner worked on the System Evaluation from 2005 through 2007 and has been the O&M Operator from October 2007 to present. Mr. Wayner indicated that the maintenance requirements of the building are increasing due to its age. Mr. Wayner also indicated that the pumps in both Manhole No 1 and Manhole No 2 would likely need replacement within the next five years. Also if an exceedance of the discharge permit limitations were verified, the treatment system could not be restarted immediately.

On February 1, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Oscar Rodriguez, owner and occupant of 1410 West Second Street, by phone. A portion of the south collection trench is located on his property and the residential drain tile is passively tied into the collection system. Mr. Rodriguez purchased the home in approximately June 2009 and was not aware of the site, located adjacent to his property, or the presence of the south collection trench or drain tile situation. Mr. Rodriguez stated he purchased the property from a Realtor that believes the previous owner lost the property to foreclosure.

On February 1, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Carol Mauthe, owner of the site, by phone. Ms. Mauthe is pleased with the appearance of the property and Cooperative Agreement with APR. Ms. Mauthe stated she would consider a long-term environmental easement to the property but also expressed interest in selling the property for profit. Ms. Borski recommended follow-up with a face-to-face meeting.

On February 2, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Bill Lecker and Tim McGuire with APR in regard to the **Cooperative Agreement** established in 2008 and renewed in 2010 (see **Appendix H**). APR expressed interest in establishing a new Cooperative Agreement when the current one expires in May 2012.

On February 9, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Rick Drewa of Options in Housing, LLC, owner of

715 South Outagamie Street, by phone. Well W-2 is located on his property. Mr. Drewa purchased the property and was not aware of the site, located across Melvin Street from his property, or the presence of well W-2 on his property. He is now aware that the well must not be disturbed and a new access agreement was developed.

On February 14, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Kurt Eggebrecht, City Health Officer with the City of Appleton, and Tim Mirkes, Sanitarian, at their office. Mr. Eggebrecht is not aware of any complaints to his office in regard to this site in the past five years. One potential purchaser contacted the Health Department in regard to 1400 West Second Street. The Health Department referred the potential purchaser to Ms. Borski. After speaking with Ms. Borski about the site and location of the south collection trench, W-15 and MW-106, the potential purchaser bought the property.

On February 14, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed Carol Mauthe and her son, Mark Mauthe, at the Appleton Health Department. Mr. Eggebrecht and Mr. Mirkes also attended. The Mauthes stated that they were not aware EPA did not remove all contamination from the property and were not aware of the conclusions of OMNNI's 2007 System Evaluation. On February 25, 2011, Mark Mauthe provided verbal permission for Ms. Borski to move forward with drafting a long-term environmental easement.

On March 30, 2011, Ms. Borski interviewed David Lease, Facility Manager for Miller Electric, regarding their property at 1515 West Melvin Street. The west collection trench, manhole no. 1 and MW-101, PZ-6, MW-107 and MW-108 are located on Miller Electric property. Mr. Lease stated there are no concerns at this time. Ms. Borski communicated that cigarette butts were found adjacent to manhole no. 1 during the site inspection in January 2011. Mr. Lease will follow-up internally with this complaint.

Conclusions

The site inspection reveals that the fence and vegetative cover are adequately protecting the clay cap at the site. The covers to manhole nos. 1 and 2 are secure. The treatment building is secure and in good condition. The treatment system is no longer utilized and the combined influent from manhole no. 1 and manhole no. 2 is discharged directly to the sanitary sewer under a wastewater discharge permit and should be continued. The Cooperative Agreement with APR should be continued. WDNR and OMNNI Associates should continue to pursue options to increase the efficiency of the collection and treatment system. Once the pending evaluation of remedy optimization measures is completed and any changes to the nature and scope of the needed use limitations are defined, any necessary long-term environmental easements and/or other use restrictions should be pursued with current property owners to assure the long-term effectiveness of the restrictions called for in the ROD.

VII. Technical Assessment

Question A: Is the remedy functioning as intended by the decision documents?

Yes.

Based on the existing data, the remedy is functioning as intended by the decision documents.

Remedial Action Performance

The collection trenches appear to be containing the plume. The residential foundation drain laterals are effectively keeping contaminated water from seeping into basements. The discharge permit was modified to allow the collected groundwater to be discharged directly to the Appleton POTW. Although the treatment system is no longer utilized, the treatment building and piping infrastructure is utilized for combining the effluent prior to discharge to the POTW in compliance with the wastewater discharge permit. A clay cap is in place to prevent direct contact with remaining soil contamination and a fence is in place to protect the cap. Progress toward achieving the required cleanup levels has been slower than expected. The groundwater collection system continues to be evaluated by WDNR and modifications may be proposed to allow for a more efficient and timely cleanup. Cleanup goals are discussed under Question B below.

System Operations/O&M

The existing collection system is being effectively operated and maintained. The treatment system is no longer utilized as the collected water is now discharged to the POTW. Continuous operation of the system is effectively containing the contamination.

Opportunities for Optimization

Opportunities exist to enhance the system performance and reduce long term costs for monitoring, sampling and operation of the collection system and treatment building. Alternative options for a more cost effective and timely cleanup of remaining contamination are being evaluated by WDNR.

Early Indicators of Potential Problems

There are no indicators that the system is failing to contain the contamination. Continued containment of the contamination is expected as long as the system is adequately maintained. However the progress toward ultimate cleanup of the groundwater has been slow. WDNR is evaluating options to more effectively cleanup the groundwater in a timely manner.

Once the pending evaluation of remedy optimization measures is completed and any changes to the nature and scope of the needed use limitations are defined, any necessary ICs such as long-term environmental easements and/or other use restrictions should be pursued with current property owners to assure the long-term effectiveness of the restrictions called for in the ROD.

Question B: Are the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels, and remedial action objectives (RAOs) used at the time of remedy selection still valid?

Yes.
Exposure Assumptions

Land use at and near the site is a mix of residential, commercial and light industrial. Land use and site conditions at and near the site are not expected to change. No new human health or ecological routes of exposure or receptors have been identified since the ROD. There are no new contaminants or unanticipated toxic byproducts identified since the ROD.

Toxicity Data

The ROD identified chromium concentrations in soil that would result in a hazard index (HI) of one for current exposure scenarios. Chromium concentrations in soil of 570 mg/kg and 780 mg/kg resulted in a HI of one for dermal contact and ingestion pathways respectively. EPA addressed soils that exceeded the HI of one by removing soils with chromium greater than 500 mg/kg.

The ROD identified chromium concentrations of 5,000 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) in groundwater that represent a HI of one for occupational dermal exposure. In the past five years, chromium has exceeded 5,000 μ g/L in the newly installed wells within the former source area on the Mauthe property at MW-110, MW-112 and MW-113.

Cleanup Levels

The long term RAO for the N.W. Mauthe site is to reduce the contaminant concentrations in groundwater to meet state and/or federal groundwater quality standards, whichever are more stringent. The WDNR PALs outlined in ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code are the more stringent standard for each contaminant of concern. PAL standards for eight of the initial contaminants of concern were updated in February 2004 and three were again updated in January 2011 and are outlined in **Table 8**.

Cadmium ^{3, 5}	1	Previous ¹	1	Previous ¹	10	Previous ⁶	5
		New ²	0.5	New ²	5	New ⁷	No change
Chromium	5	Previous ¹	5	Previous ¹	50	Previous ⁶	100
		New ²	10	New ²	100	New ⁷	No change
Copper ⁴	500	Previous ¹	500	Previous ¹	1,000	Previous ⁶	None established
		New ²	130	New ²	1,300	New ^{7, 8}	1,300
Manganese	25	Previous ¹	25	Previous ¹	50	Previous ⁶	None established
		New ²	No change	New ²	No change	New ^{7, 8}	50
		New ⁹	60	New ⁹	300		
Benzene⁵	0.067	Previous ¹	0.067	Previous ¹	5	Previous ⁶	5
		New ²	0.5	New ²	No change	New ⁷	No change
1,1-dichloroethene	0.24	Previous ¹	0.024	Previous ¹	7	Previous ⁶	7
		New ²	0.7	New ²	No change	New ⁷	No change
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	10	Previous ¹	10	Previous ¹	100	Previous ⁶	70
		New ²	7	New ²	70	New ⁷	No change
Toluene⁵	68.6	Previous ¹	68.6	Previous ¹	343	Previous ⁶	1,000
		New ²	200	New ²	1,000	New ⁷	No change
		New ⁹	160	New ⁹	800		
Trichloroethene	0.18	Previous ¹	0.18	Previous ¹	5	Previous ⁶	5
		New ²	0.5	New ²	No change	New ⁷	No change
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.06	Previous ¹	0.06	Previous ¹	0.6	Previous ⁶	5
		New ²	0.5	New ²	5	New ⁷	No change
Xylene⁵	124	Previous ¹	124	Previous ¹	620	Previous ⁶	10,000
		New ²	1,000	New ²	10,000	New ⁷	No change
		New ⁹	400	New ⁹	2,000		

Contaminant Cleanup WDNR PAL WDNR ES EPA MCL Level Evel Evel Evel Evel

¹ Ch. NR 140.10, Wis. Adm. Code, Jan 1992

² Ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code, Feb 2004

³ Removed from sampling schedule by approval from EPA on April 17, 2003

⁴ Removed from sampling schedule by approval from EPA on Jan 18, 2000

⁵ Not detected during five-year review period.

6 40 CFR 141.61 & 141.62, Sept 1992

7 40 CFR 141.61 & 141.62, July 2002

8 EPA Secondary Public Health Goal

9 Ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code, January 2011

Standards for three contaminants decreased from 1992 to 2004: cadmium, copper, and cis-1-2dichloroethene. Cadmium was removed from the monitoring schedule with approval from EPA on April 17, 2003 because cadmium levels had been below the PAL at all monitoring points. While the PAL for cadmium decreased from 1 μ g /L in 1992 to 0.5 μ g /L in 2004, historic cadmium levels had not been above the 2004 PAL. Cadmium was also not detected at MW-109 through MW-113. Therefore, sampling for cadmium does not need to be resumed.

Copper was removed from the monitoring schedule with approval from EPA on January 18, 2000 due because copper levels had been below the PAL at all monitoring points. While the PAL for copper decreased from 500 μ g /L in 1992 to 130 μ g /L in 2004, historic copper concentrations were not above the 2004 PAL. Copper was also not above the 1992 PAL or

2004 PAL at MW-109 through MW-113. Therefore, sampling for copper does not need to be resumed.

The PAL for cis-1,2-dichloroethene decreased from 10 μ g /L in 1992 to 7 μ g /L in 2004. Cis-1,2-dichloroethene is currently monitored at all on-site wells.

The PALs for benzene, toluene and xylene increased from 1992 to 2004 and the PALs for toluene and xylene were then decreased in 2011 to below the 2004 PALs but above the 1992 PALs. However, benzene, toluene and xylene are no longer detected in monitoring wells for the site. Consideration of changes to the PALs from 1992 to 2004 to 2011 is not necessary at this time.

Chromium, trichloroethene and 1,1,2-trichloroethane PALs increased from 1992 to 2004. Since a minimal reduction to post-excavation groundwater concentrations has been observed since 1997, consideration of the change in standards does not need to be evaluated at this time. More importantly is an evaluation as to whether the PALs will be met within a reasonable amount of time under the existing remedy design or if alternative standards should be considered.

Remedial Action Objectives

RAOs in the ROD are "to prevent direct contact or ingestion of ponded water, groundwater or soils or debris with contaminants producing a total excess cancer risk greater than 1×10^{-6} , or a HI level that exceeds one and to prevent the discharge of water that exceeds state or federal surface water criteria to local storm sewers which would ultimately discharge to the Fox River." This RAO is still valid.

Another objective for groundwater "is to protect the underlying bedrock aguifer and contain and/or control the further migration of contaminants. The long term remedial objective for the N.W. Mauthe site is to reduce the contaminant concentration in groundwater to meet state and/or federal groundwater quality standards, whichever are more stringent." The ROD goes on to state that if it is determined that "it is not possible... to achieve the groundwater standards or to achieve further reductions, then" alternative options exist. Those options include "establishing Alternative Concentration Limits (ACL) under the substantive requirements of NR 140.28, Wis. Adm. Code which can be no higher than the enforcement standard (ES)" or pursuit of a "technical impractibility waiver under Section 121(d) of CERCLA, which may be used to set an alternative groundwater goal higher than the ES or establish other approaches to groundwater containment or remediation that are protective of human health and the environment." The objective to protect the underlying bedrock aquifer and contain further migration is still valid. However, it appears that it may not be possible to achieve the groundwater standards for each contaminant of concern, within a reasonable amount of time. As a result, the alternative options presented should be pursued. These options are being evaluated by WDNR. Any recommendations will be discussed with EPA to determine if ESDs or amendments to the ROD are appropriate to proceed with expediting the cleanup.

Question C: Has any other information come to light that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy?

No.

Technical Assessment Summary

According to the data reviewed, the site inspection, and the interviews, the remedy is functioning as intended by the ROD. The horizontal and vertical migration of the contaminated groundwater has been prevented by the groundwater collection system. Influent concentrations do not require on-site treatment prior to discharge to the local POTW. Additionally, there have been no changes in the physical conditions of the site that would affect the protectiveness of the remedy. All RAOs are still valid.

VIII. Issues

The protectiveness of the remedy relies upon preventing the migration of the contaminated groundwater through collection and treatment. Monitoring data indicate that the migration of the contaminated groundwater is being adequately controlled. Additionally, since the levels of contamination in the collected groundwater were shown to be consistently below those levels required for discharge to the POTW, on-site treatment of the collected contaminated groundwater is no longer required. However, implementation of ICs on the site property is necessary to meet the long-term restrictions called for in the ROD.

Issue	Currently Affects Protectiveness	Affects Future Protectiveness
ICs need to be upgraded on the site property	No	Yes

Monitoring data also show that the level of contaminants in the groundwater are declining. However the progress toward ultimate cleanup of the groundwater has been slow. WDNR is evaluating options to reduce the total time required to reach the groundwater cleanup goals and may propose modifications to the system. If significant modifications are needed, appropriate remedy decision documentation will be developed as appropriate.

IX. Recommendations and Follow-up Actions

Issue	Recommendations and	Party Responsibl	Oversigh	Mileston	Affe Protect ss ()	cts tivene (/N)
	Follow-up Actions	e	t Agency		Curr Futi	rent ure
ICs need to be upgraded on the site property	Develop and an IC Plan to evaluate proper types and locations of enforceable use restrictions on site property	WDNR	U.S.EPA	October 31, 2011.	Ν	Y

X. Protectiveness Statement

The remedy at the N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site is currently protective of human health and the environment in the short-term based on completion of the following actions: demolition and removal of contaminated buildings; removal of containerized wastes; excavation of contaminated soils greater than 500 mg/kg of chromium; capping of remaining soils contaminated at levels below 500 mg/kg of chromium, fencing of the cap area, installation of a contaminated groundwater collection and treatment system; installation or improvement of foundation drain systems for those residents or businesses located within the groundwater contaminant plume; and cleaning, painting or sealing of affected basements to prevent future contaminated groundwater seepage into the residential buildings. Neighboring residents are connected to a public water supply and do not have wells. APR maintains and regularly observes the capped area and treatment building. As a result, exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks in the short term are being controlled. In addition, continuing obligations of the WDNR to maintain the cap, treatment building and collection system are recorded on the RR Sites Map on the internet providing notice to existing and future property owners of the presence of the cap, treatment building and/or collection system on the appropriate properties. The access agreements with the current property owners also help ensure that they will not disturb the portions of the collection system on their properties.

The remedy will be protective in the long-term once institutional controls (ICs) have been upgraded. IC options include long-term environmental easements and/or other use restrictions to prevent: access to, excavation or disturbance of the constructed cap, access to remaining soil contamination, disturbance of the groundwater collection and treatment system and installation of drinking water wells. An IC Plan will be developed and will specify which ICs will be upgraded at the site.

XI. Next Review

The next five-year review is due within five years of the signature date of this report.

Appendix A – Site Maps

Figure 1 – Site Location Figure 2 – Site Detail

Figure 3 – Groundwater Elevation Contours, March 17, 2010

Figure 4 – Piezometric Groundwater Elevation Contours, March 17, 2010 Figure 5 – Iso-concentration Map, Total Chromium in Groundwater, March 17, 2010

Historic Figure 1 – Site Detail from RI/FS Report













Appendix B – Tables

Table 2 – Long Term Monitoring Plan Table 5 – Groundwater Results – Metals

Table 6 – Groundwater Results – VOCs

Table 9 – Influent and Effluent Summary

Table 10 – Appleton POTW Discharge Limits

Table 2	Long-Term Groundwater Monitoring Plan - Updated March 20'	N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site, Appleton, WI	WDNR BRRTS #02-45-000127
---------	---	---	--------------------------

~

				Specific	Dissolved		Ferrous	Chromium Total			
5	later Level	Hq	Temperature	Conductivity	Oxygen	Redox	lron	(Filtered)	Manganese	Cyanide	vocs
	A	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR		
	A	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR		
	A	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR		
	A	۷	A	A	A	A	4YR	A	4YR		
	A	۷	A	A	A	A	4YR	A	4YR		
	A	SA	VS	SA	SA	SA	4YR	VS	4YR		
	A	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	4YR	SA	4YR		
	A	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR		
	A	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR		
	SA	SA	VS	SA	SA	SA	4YR	٧S	4YR		SA
	A	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR	4YR		
	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	4YR	SA	4YR		SA
	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	4YR	٧S	4YR	SA	SA
	SA	SA	VS	SA	SA	SA	4YR	٧S	4YR	A	SA
	SA	SA	VS	SA	SA	SA	4YR	٧S	4YR	AS	SA
	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	SA	4YR	VS	4YR		SA
	A										
	A										
	A										
	A										

A=annual (March) Notes:

SA=semi-annual (March, September)

4YR= every four years in March (2007, 2011, 2015, etc)

Copper, Cyanide, Mercury and Zinc (W-2 - MW-108) eliminated January 18, 2000 Cadmium (W-2 - MW-108) and VOCs (W-2 - MW-106, MW-108) eliminated April 17, 2003

PZ-1 - PZ-4 abandoned May 2004

* installed May 2005

** installed May 2006

Hexavalent chromium analysis eliminated October 2006

¢ - H

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Leve	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
W-2	02/20/97	NA	15	NA	26	NA	460.0	NA	49
	05/27/97	0.43	8.5	NA	<10	NA	170.0	<.2	30
	09/18/97	0.27	4.5**	NA	9.5**	3**	116.0	<.03	16.9
	12/12/97	.13*	6.2	NA	<9.7	<.8	133.0	.06*	20.4
	03/25/98	0.08	<3.9	NA	<9.5	<1.7	83.8	.007*	18.6
	06/10/98	.31*	16.4	NA	18.6**	<1.7	466.0	.027*	40.8
	10/27/98	.51*	3.60	NA	4.7*	<.0032	69.0	<.05	170
	02/09/99	.46*	<.62	NA	4.0	<.0032	240.0	< 0.05	23
	06/08/99	<.31	<.62	NA	1.8*	<.0032	290.0	<0.05	<12
	09/13/99	<.31	2.00	NA	3.2	<.0032	240.0	<.05	<12
	02/12/00	<.31	.72	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	2.8	NA NA	NA NA
	05/15/00	<.31	.79	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	1.0	NA NA	NA NA
	09/22/00	2.70	<.02 1 1*	NA	NA	NA	17.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	2.10	91*	NA	NA	NA	8.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	.55 *	NA	NA	NA	48.0	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	52	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	.27*	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	61.0	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	110.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	150.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	<0.17	<0.43	NA	NA	NA	8.5	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	<0.45	5.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	1.2	<2.7	NA	NA	1.3	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA NA	0.52	<5.0	NA NA	NA NA	4.1	NA NA	NA NA
	03/21/01	INA	<1.9	INA	INA	INA	4.7	INA	NA NA
W-8	02/20/97	NA	17	NA	22	NA	320.0	NA	34
	05/27/97	1.6	37	NA	27	NA	670.0	<.2	54
	09/18/97	0.45	14.4	NA	14.6**	1**	338.0	.11**	31.8
	12/12/97	0.5*	5.7	NA	<9.7	<.8	147.0	.07*	17.1
	03/25/98	0.43	10.1	NA	15**	<1.7	205.0	.007*	21
	06/10/98	0.54	9.9	NA	12.6**	<1.7	264.0	.016*	21.6
	10/27/98	0.80	3.90	NA	4.8*	<.0032	64.0	<.05	85
	02/09/99	<.31	<.62	NA	<60	<.0032	850.0	<.05	12
	06/08/99	<.31	<.62	NA	2.6	<.0032	50.0	<.05	<12
	12/15/99	<.31	1.90	NA NA	2.7 NA	<.0032 NA	96.0	<.05	29 NA
	03/13/00	< 31	1.00	NA	NA	NA	65.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	3.10	NA	NA	NA	74.0	NA	NA
	09/27/00	.27*	.75*	NA	NA	NA	26.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	.66*	NA	NA	NA	40.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	23.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	1*	NA	NA	NA	100.0	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	380.0	NA	NA
	12/25/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	21.0	NA	NA
	00/19/02	<.23	.47*	NA	NA	NA	1400.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	620.0	NA	NA NA
	03/24/03	<.23 2 17	<.44	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	27.0	NA NA	NA NA
	03/24/04	NA	0.43	3.8	NA	NA	1 7*	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	<0.52	<2.7	NA	NA	9.7	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	<0.4	<5.0	NA	NA	5.5	NA	NA
	03/27/07	NA	<1.9	NA	NA	NA	6.0	NA	NA

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date	(ua/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ua/l)	(ua/l)	(ua/l)	(ua/l)	(ua/l)
Max Contaminant Leve	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
W-15	02/20/97	NA	32	NA	52	NA	430.0	NA	88
	05/27/97	0.27	5.9	NA	15	NA	97.0	<.2	39
	09/18/97	0.31	13.9	NA	18.8**	<.78	325.0	<.03	35.5
	12/12/97	.12*	5.7	NA	9.7**	<.8	80.9	.03*	18.5
	03/25/98	.04*	<3.9	NA	<9.5	<1.7	85.7	.038*	13.7
	06/10/98	.11*	10	NA	13.2**	<1.7	147.0	.016*	18.8
	10/27/98	.41^	6.80	NA	7.40	<.0032	110.0	<.05	100
	02/09/99	<.31	<.62	NA NA	<.60	<.0032	320.0	<.05	<12
	00/08/99	<.31	5 30	NA	6.40	< 0032	130.0	< .05	16
	12/15/99	< 31	5.00	NA	0.40 NA	<.0002 NA	90.0	<.05 NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	7.00	NA	NA	NA	130.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	1.80	NA	NA	NA	11.0	NA	NA
	09/27/00	<.23	4.20	NA	NA	NA	24.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	1.4*	NA	NA	NA	930.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	<2	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	290.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	2.5	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	22.0	NA	NA
	06/20/02	.30"	.47*	NA	NA NA	NA NA	3.1	NA	NA NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	31.0	NA NA	
	03/24/03	<0.23	0 47*	NA	NA	NA	27.0	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	1.80	3.8	NA	NA	1.1*	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	0.98	<2.7	NA	NA	24.0	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	1.60	<5.0	NA	NA	8.0	NA	NA
	03/28/07	NA	<1.9	NA	NA	NA	13	NA	NA
MW-101	02/20/97	NA	36	NA	41	NA	820.0	NA	49
	05/27/97	<.2	10	NA	11	NA	170.0	<.03	18
	09/18/97	.06**	11.9	NA	10.7**	1**	145.0	<.05	18.2
	12/12/97	.06*	12.8	NA	<9.7	<.8	176.0	.05^	20.7
	03/25/98	.04	20.9	NA NA	21.0	<1.7	239.0	.007*	32.7
	10/27/98	.21	3 20	NA	40.0	< 0032	24.0	.044	75.9 54
	02/09/99	<.31	<.62	NA	<.60	<.0032	1900.0	<.05	14
	06/08/99	<.31	1.80	NA	8.2	<.0032	380.0	<.05	39
	09/13/99	<.31	2.90	NA	5.1	<.0032	31.0	<.05	<12
	12/15/99	<.31	2.50	NA	NA	NA	9.1	NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	2.30	NA	NA	NA	100.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	1.4 *	NA	NA	NA	<4.2	NA	NA
	09/27/00	<.23	19.00	NA	NA	NA	37.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	7.20	NA	NA	NA	18.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	13.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	8.50	NA	NA NA	NA NA	9.1	NA NA	
	12/05/01	<.17	.55	NA	NA NA	NA NA	<2.0	NA	NA NA
	03/19/02	< 23	.50	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<.23	.58*	NA	NA	NA	2.2	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	13.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	33.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	<.17	.50*	NA	NA	NA	8.3	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	0.79*	<3.6	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	1.10	<2.7	NA	NA	16.0	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	0.55	<5.0	NA	NA	45.0	NA	NA
	03/27/07	NA	<1.9	NA	NA	NA	14.0	NA	NA
	04/16/08	NA	2.4 J	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	1.9 J	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	2.5 J	NA	NA	INA	NA	NA	INA

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Dale	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(uq/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Lev	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-102	02/20/97	NA	26	NA	38	NA	570.0	NA	34
	05/27/97	0.21	48	NA	77	NA	920.0	<.2	73
	09/18/97	.08**	<3.92	NA	6.9**	2**	302.0	<.03	8.7
	12/12/97	.04*	<3.9	NA	<9.7	<.8	387.0	.04*	10.9
	03/25/98	.11*	<3.9	NA	9.5**	<1.7	302.0	.007*	7.4*
	06/10/98	.04*	<3.9	NA	<9.8	<1.7	318.0	.018*	9.5
	10/27/98	.27*	.98*	NA	3.2*	<.0032	340.0	<.05	24
	02/09/99	<.31	.73*	NA	<.60	<.0032	670.0	<.05	20
	06/08/99	<.31	1.2*	NA	5.8	<.0032	140.0	<.05	36
	09/13/99	<.31	4.00	NA	15.0	<.0032	160.0	<.05	73
	12/15/99	<.31	1.2 *	NA	NA	NA	550.0	NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	1.70	NA	NA	NA	580.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	<.62	NA	NA	NA	310.0	NA	NA
	09/27/00	<.23	2.10	NA	NA	NA	130.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	.33*	2.90	NA	NA	NA	110.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	<2	NA	NA
	09/24/01	.48 *	1.40	NA	NA	NA	46.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	100.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	87.0	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<.17	1.80	NA	NA	NA	44.0	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.23	1.4*	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	38.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	0.21*	<0.43	NA	NA	NA	3.5	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	<0.45	<3.6	NA	NA	65.0	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	0.71	<2.7	NA	NA	190.0	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	<0.40	<5.0	NA	NA	100.0	NA	NA
	03/27/07	NA	<1.9	NA	NA	NA	230	NA	NA
	04/16/08	NA	<0.57	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	<0.57	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	0.74 J	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date			Chromium					
		(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Lev	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-103	02/20/97	NA	1,300	NA	47	NA	800.0	NA	27
	05/27/97	<.2	160.0	NA	31	NA	900.0	<.2	29
	09/18/97	.06**	35.2	NA	13.5**	3**	287.0	<.03	13.7
	12/12/97	.04*	16.3	NA	<9.7	<.8	84.3	.09*	21.4
	03/25/98	.04*	15.5	NA	<9.5	<1.7	83.0	.007*	7.5*
	06/10/98	.15*	57.6	NA	27.5	<1.7	417.0	.02*	33.7
	10/27/98	<.16	6.30	NA	2.3*	<.0032	27.0	<.05	30.0
	06/08/99	<.31	87.00	NA	3.5	<.0032	810.0	<.05	30
	09/13/99	<.31	720.0	NA	5.9	<.0032	83.0	<.05	15
	12/15/99	<.31	260.0	NA	NA	NA	160.0	NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	600.0	NA	NA	NA	79.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	130.0	NA	NA	NA	180.0	NA	NA
	09/27/00	<.23	280.0	NA	NA	NA	230.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	180.0	NA	NA	NA	170.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	49.0	NA	NA	NA	240.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	11.0	NA	NA	NA	350.0	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	12.0	NA	NA	NA	280.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	2.9	NA	NA	NA	230.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	73.0	NA	NA	NA	7.9	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<.23	14.0	NA	NA	NA	630.0	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.23	6.5	NA	NA	NA	560.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	6.2	NA	NA	NA	3.7	NA	NA
	03/24/03	.26*	350.0	NA	NA	NA	48.0	NA	NA
	06/10/03	NA	150.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/10/03	NA	9.10	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/10/03	NA	7.70	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/15/03	NA	NA	<3.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	5.60	6.3	NA	NA	7.6	NA	NA
	07/09/04	NA	11.00	16.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/09/04	NA	1.20	<3.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	220.0	350.0	NA	NA	82.0	NA	NA
	06/22/05	NA	240.0	250.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05	NA NA	110.0	69.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	12/15/05	NA NA	120.0	150.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA 0.4	NA NA	NA NA
	03/23/06	NA NA	16.0	270.0	NA NA	NA	8.4	NA NA	NA NA
	06/28/06	NA NA	40.0	29.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	09/20/06	NA NA	45.0	35.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	12/20/06	NA NA	15.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA 29	NA NA	NA NA
	03/20/07	NA NA	31	NA NA		INA NA	38	NA NA	NA NA
	00/29/07	INA NA	90	INA NA		INA NA	INA NA	INA NA	INA NA
	03/20/07	NA NA	78	NA NA		INA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	00/22/09	INA NA	380	INA NA		NA NA	INA NA	INA NA	INA NA
	03/22/00	NA NA	240	NA NA		INA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	00/01/00	NA NA	1/1	NA NA		INA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	03/17/10		10/	INA NA	INA NA	INA NA		INA NA	INA NA
	00/17/10	IN/A	114	INA.	INA	INA	NA NA	IN/A	11/4

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date			Chromium					
		(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Lev	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-104	02/20/97	NA	5.9	NA	15	NA	550.0	NA	6.9
	05/27/97	<.02	6.9	NA	11	NA	470.0	<.2	5.2
	09/18/97	<.04	35.6	NA	5**	3**	235.0	<.03	4.74
	12/12/97	.04*	61.8	NA	9.8**	<.8	279.0	.05*	14
	03/25/98	.04*	66.8	NA	<9.5	<1.7	73.6	.008*	7.4*
	06/10/98	.04*	219.0	NA	<9.8	<1.7	107.0	.016*	12.8
	10/27/98	.29*	150.0	NA	2.3*	<.0032	25.0	<.05	30
	02/09/99	<.31	94.0	NA	1.4*	<.0032	1000.0	<.05	<12
	06/08/99	1*	62.0	NA	12.0	<.0032	620.0	<.05	17
	09/13/99	<.31	80.0	NA	3.2	<.0032	9.2	<.05	<12
	12/15/99	<.31	170.0	NA	NA	NA	1.6	NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	300.0	NA	NA	NA	13.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	210.0	NA	NA	NA	41.0	NA	NA
	09/27/00	<.23	510.0	NA	NA	NA	3.9	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	790.0	NA	NA	NA	<2	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	840.0	NA	NA	NA	<2	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	680.0	NA	NA	NA	2.3	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	310.0	NA NA	NA NA	INA NA	17.0	NA NA	NA NA
	12/05/02	<.23	390.0	NA NA	NA NA	INA NA	2.2	NA NA	NA NA
	03/19/02	<.23	430.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	<2.0	NA NA	NA NA
	00/20/02	<.23	490.0	NA NA	NA NA	INA NA	14.0	NA NA	NA NA
	12/17/02	<.23	240.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	21.0	NA NA	NA NA
	03/24/03	<.23	240.0	NA	NA	NA NA	0.9	NA NA	NA
	05/24/03	<.17 NA	420.0	NA	NA	NA NA	4.2 NA	NA NA	NA
	00/10/03	NA	1200.0	NA	NA			NA	NA
	12/10/03	NA	790.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/15/03	NA	NA	700.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	550.0	580.0	NA	NA	<10	NA	NA
	07/09/04	NA	370.0	380.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/04	NA	87.0	33.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/09/04	NA	56.0	57.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	260.0	260.0	NA	NA	1.0	NA	NA
	06/22/05	NA	280.0	230.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05	NA	17.0	25.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/15/05	NA	95.0	110.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	66.0	200.0	NA	NA	6.3	NA	NA
	06/28/06	NA	76.0	58.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/20/06	NA	2.8	<6.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/20/06	NA	8.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/28/07	NA	160	NA	NA	NA	130	NA	NA
	07/03/07	NA	97	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/28/07	NA	11.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/16/08	NA	545	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/08	NA	1.3 J	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	144	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/01/09	NA	1.4 J	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	719	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	Chromium (ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Leve	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5.000
1992 ES NR 140	(inte_)	10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-105	02/20/97	NA	21	NA	22	NA	1100.0	NA	23
	05/27/97	<.2	5	NA	<10	NA	120.0	<.2	12
	09/18/97	.14**	29.5	NA	28.3	1**	532.0	<.03	46
	12/12/97	.36*	15.8	NA	12.5**	<.8	297.0	.03*	27.1
	03/25/98	.04*	30.8	NA	27.6	<1.7	518.0	.064*	44
	06/10/98	.048*	13.7	NA	15.3**	<1.7	217.0	.016*	22.1
	10/27/98	.29*	8.80	NA	8.20	<.0032	150.0	<.05	70
	02/09/99	<.31	1.3*	NA	4.30	<.0032	2000.0	<.05	19
	06/08/99	<.31	1*	NA	18.00	<.0032	1300.0	<.05	66
	09/13/99	<.31	.64^	NA	24.00	<.0032	1700.0	<.05	30
	12/15/99	<.31	<.62	NA	NA	NA	860.0	NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	4.ŏ∪ 1.0.*	NA NA	NA NA		600.0	NA NA	NA NA
	00/22/00	<.31	1.0				700.0		
	12/10/00	<.20	1.2	NA			230.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	< 23	< 57	NA	NA	NA	43.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	.75*	NA	NA	NA	230.0	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	.73*	NA	NA	NA	530.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	22.0	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<.23	.60*	NA	NA	NA	1400.0	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	600.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	58.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	.21*	<.43	NA	NA	NA	86.0	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	3.80	6.3	NA	NA	89.0	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	<0.52	<2.7	NA	NA	82.0	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	0.42	<5.0	NA	NA	43.0	NA	NA
	03/27/07	NA	<1.9	NA	NA	NA	23	NA	NA
MW-106	02/20/97	NA	21	NA	24	NA	320.0	NA	26
	05/27/97	<.02	40	NA	35	NA	590.0	<.2	68
	09/18/97	.05**	5.5	NA	6.2**	1**	56.9	<.03	35.6
	12/12/97	.04*	9.2	NA	9.7**	<.08	155.0	.03*	18.4
	03/25/98	NA	13.40	NA	14.4**	<1.7	150.0	.007*	18.5
	06/10/98	.04*	<3.9	NA	10.2**	<1.7	10.0	.016*	10.9
	10/27/98	.27*	3.20	NA	4.3*	<.0032	38.0	<.05	88
	02/09/99	<.31	<.62	NA	1.1*	<.0032	760.0	<.05	22
	06/08/99	<.31	.79*	NA	2.3	<.0032	900.0	<.05	<12
	09/13/99	<.31	1.80	NA	4.7	<.0032	1100.0	<.05	30
	12/15/99	<.31	1.3 ^	NA	NA	NA	130.0	NA	NA
	03/31/00	<.31	2.30	NA NA	NA NA		270.0	NA NA	
	06/22/00	<.31	./3	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	<4.2		
	12/19/00	<.23	.00 77*				22.0		
	03/01/01	< 23	< 57	NA	NA	NA	45.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	.21*	.39*	NA	NA	NA	57.0	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	950.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	310.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	92.0	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	270.0	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	420.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	41.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	<0.17	<.43	NA	NA	NA	2.1	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	<0.45	3.8	NA	NA	190.0	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	1.10	<2.7	NA	NA	15.0	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	0.45	<5.0	NA	NA	30.0	NA	NA
	03/27/07	NA	<1.9	NA	NA	NA	15	NA	NA
				1		1	1	1	1

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date			Chromium					
		(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Lev	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-107	02/20/97	NA	2,000	NA	13	NA	190.0	NA	6.9
	05/27/97	<.2	3,600	NA	<10	NA	91.0	<.2	10
	09/18/97	<.04	2,670	NA	<8.1	1**	59.3	<.03	33.5
	12/12/97	.04*	2,310	NA	<9.7	<.8	48.4	.1*	6.7
	03/25/98	.04*	11,200*	NA	12.1**	<1.7	68.2	.041*	9.3*
	06/10/98	.11*	6,240	NA	13.8**	<1.7	161.0	.027*	17.3*
	10/27/98	<.16	7,100	NA	1.2*	<.0032	28.0	<.05	94
	02/09/99	<.31	3,200	NA	1.9*	<.0032	49.0	<.05	<12
	06/08/99	<.31	5,800	NA	3.0	<.0032	25.0	<.05	<12
	09/13/99	<.31	4,000	NA	1.9*	<.0032	18.0	<.05	<12
	12/15/99	<.31	14,000	NA	NA	NA	.83 *	NA	NA
	03/13/00	<.31	8,100	NA	NA	NA	22.0	NA	NA
	06/22/00	<.31	14,000	NA	NA	NA	<42	NA	NA
	09/27/00	<.23	11,000	NA	NA	NA	4.9	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	10,000	NA	NA	NA	2.4	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	5,000	NA	NA	NA	2.2	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	8,200	NA	NA	NA	<2	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<17	5,300	NA	NA	NA	270.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	6,200	NA	NA	NA	10.0	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	7,000	NA	NA	NA	<20	NA	NA
	06/20/02	<2.3	7,000	NA	NA	NA	<20	NA	NA
	09/18/02	<.17	4,300	NA	NA	NA	24.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.17	3,700	NA	NA	NA	15.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	<10	3,800	NA	NA	NA	7.7	NA	NA
	06/10/03	NA	5,900	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/10/03	NA	5,200	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/10/03	NA	5,200	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/15/03	NA	NA	5,500	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	3,900	4,100	NA	NA	1.2*	NA	NA
	07/09/04	NA	3,400	5,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/04	NA	4,100	4,400	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/14/04	NA	6,300	5,800	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	3,600	4,100	NA	NA	1.9	NA	NA
	06/22/05	NA	3,300	2,900	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05	NA	2,500	2,500	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/15/05	NA	2,400	2,700	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/23/06	NA	3,200	3,600	NA	NA	1.90	NA	NA
	06/28/06	NA	3,600	3,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/20/06	NA	4,100	4,200	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12/19/06	NA	2,700	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/28/07	NA	4,200	NA	NA	NA	1.7	NA	NA
	07/03/07	NA	2,800	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA
	09/28/07	NA	2,000	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA
	00/00/00	NA	4,410	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA
	09/22/08	NA NA	2,950	NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA NA	3,790	NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	09/01/09	NA NA	2,420	NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	3,240	INA	INA	INA	INA	NA	NA
								1	

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date	(ua/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ua/l)	(ua/l)	(ug/l)	(ua/l)	(ua/l)
Max Contaminant Lev	rel (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-108	02/20/97	NA	25	NA	23	NA	490.0	NA	31
	05/27/97	<.2	11	NA	13	NA	210.0	<.2	15
	09/18/97	.14^^	27.4	NA	22.4**	1^^	462.0	<.03	36.6
	03/25/98	.04	5.0 9.4	NA NA	<9.7 10.4**	<.0	14.0	.03	13.8
	06/10/98	.04	28.4	NA	25.5	<1.7	478.0	.021*	40.5
	10/27/98	.26*	8.90	NA	7.40	<.0032	88.0	<0.5	44
	02/09/99	<.31	1.70	NA	3.90	<.0032	560.0	<.05	30
	06/08/99	<.31	3.10	NA	1.4*	<.0032	450.0	<.05	54
	09/13/99	<.31	4.50	NA	5.30	<.0032	100.0	<.05	<12
	12/15/99	<.31	6.10	NA	NA	NA	79.0	NA	NA
	05/13/00	<.31	5.0	NA	NA NA	NA NA	41.0 <4.2	NA	NA NA
	09/27/00	<.23	2.9	NA	NA	NA	29.0	NA	NA
	12/19/00	<.23	3.0	NA	NA	NA	22.0	NA	NA
	03/01/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	NA
	06/19/01	<.17	2.40	NA	NA	NA	110.0	NA	NA
	09/24/01	<.17	<.34	NA	NA	NA	40.0	NA	NA
	12/05/01	<.23	<.57	NA	NA	NA	7.4	NA	NA
	03/19/02	<.23	<.37	NA	NA NA	NA NA	39.0	NA	NA NA
	09/18/02	<.23	<.44	NA	NA	NA	150.0	NA	NA
	12/17/02	<.23	.67*	NA	NA	NA	34.0	NA	NA
	03/24/03	<.17	.67*	NA	NA	NA	3.3	NA	NA
	03/24/04	NA	0.79*	<36	NA	NA	83.0	NA	NA
	03/29/05	NA	0.65	<2.7	NA	NA	2.6	NA	NA
-	03/27/06	NA NA	<0.40	<5.0	NA	NA NA	6.2	NA	NA
	03/21/01	NA NA	<1.9	INA	INA	INA	1.4	INA	INA
MW-109	6/21/06****	<0.92	1,300	1,400	2.4*	<9.4	480.0	<0.072	<20
	9/20/06****	NA	450	NA	-	<9.4	430.0	NA	<20
	12/19/06	NA	550	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
-	03/29/07	NA	2,700	NA	NA	0.94	15	NA	<20
	07/03/07	NA	2,200	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/28/07	NA NA	1,300	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	09/22/08	NA	892	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	912	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/01/09	NA	1,520	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	867	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	0/01/0000000		0.4.000		0.01	10		0.070	
MVV-110	6/21/06****	<0.92	24,000	26,000	2.9* NA	40	290.0	<0.072	<20
	12/19/06	NA	15,000	NA	NA	53	200.0 NA	NA	<20 NA
	03/29/07	NA	47,000	NA	NA	6.6	84	NA	<20
	07/03/07	NA	3,200	NA	NA	79	NA	NA	NA
	09/28/07	NA	51,000	NA	NA	71	NA	NA	NA
	04/16/08	NA	32,500	NA	NA	55	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/08	NA	32,500	NA	NA	57	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA NA	30,900	NA NA	NA NA	42	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	03/17/10	NA	22.800	NA	NA	39	NA	NA	NA
	•••		,						
MW-111	6/21/06****	<0.92	1,400	1,400	3.3*	27	190.0	<0.072	<20
	9/20/06****	NA	22	NA	-	20*	210.0	NA	<20
	12/19/06	NA	6.7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/29/07	NA	2,300	NA	NA	31	11	NA	<20
	07/03/07	NA NA	41 3/10	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	04/16/08	NA	212	NA	NA	16.1	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/08	NA	743	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	381	NA	NA	13 J	NA	NA	NA
	09/01/09	NA	1,380	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	649	NA	NA	17 J	NA	NA	NA
	1								

N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

Well	Sample	Cadmium	Chromium	Hexavalent	Copper	Cyanide	Manganese	Mercury	Zinc
Name	Date			Chromium					
		(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
Max Contaminant Lev	el (MCL)	5	100	100***	100	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 ES NR 140		10	50	50	1,000	200	50.0	2	5,000
1992 PAL NR 140		1.0	5	5***	500	40	25.0	0.2	2,500
MW-112	6/21/06****	<0.92	130,000	140,000	5.3	140	180.0	<0.072	34,000
	9/20/06****	NA	69,000	NA	NA	84	130.0	NA	<20
	12/19/06	NA	55,000	NA	NA	88	NA	NA	<200
	03/28/07	NA	140,000	NA	NA	450	110	NA	<20
	07/03/07	NA	100,000	NA	NA	35	NA	NA	<200
	09/28/07	NA	150,000	NA	NA	320	NA	NA	34
	04/16/08	NA	88,400	NA	NA	380	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/08	NA	77,400	NA	NA	210	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	76,200	NA	NA	210	NA	NA	NA
	09/01/09	NA	69,000	NA	NA	150	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	21,500	NA	NA	110	NA	NA	NA
MW-113	6/21/06****	<0.92	25,000	26,000	3.4*	11	170.0	<0.072	<20
	9/20/06****	NA	31,000	NA	NA	12*	85.0	NA	<20
	12/19/06	NA	21,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/29/07	NA	11,000	NA	NA	<0.94	3.2	NA	<20
	07/03/07	NA	21,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/28/07	NA	55,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/16/08	NA	16,400	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/22/08	NA	24,300	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/03/09	NA	18,800	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/01/09	NA	37,400	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	03/17/10	NA	31,300	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PZ-5	07/19/05****	NA	1.3*	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05****	NA	0.41*	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PZ-6	07/19/05****	NA	1.2*	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05****	NA	<0.40	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PZ-7	07/19/05****	NA	<0.52	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05****	NA	0.55*	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
PZ-8	07/19/05****	NA	1.1*	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05****	NA	<0.40	<5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

EXPLANATION:

Samples collected prior to 10/27/98 were collected by CH2M Hill.

* = Analyte detected between limit of detection and limit of quantitation.

** = Compound was found in sample and blank.

*** = Standard is for Total Chromium.

**** = OMNNI Associates, Inc. collected groundwater samples from PZ-5 to PZ-8 on July 19, 2005 and September 21, 2005 and MW-109 to MW-113 on June 21, 2006 and September 20, 2006 using a peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing.

ND = Not detected above the analytical laboratories method detection limit

NA = Not Analyzed

J = Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MW-104 = Was tested for Aluminum, Nickel, Arsenic & Lead. No quantifiable detections were noted for any of the analytes.

ug/L = Microgram/Liter

mg/L = Milligram / Liter

Indicates an exceedance of the 1992 NR 140 Groundwater Quality Enforcement Standard (ES)

Indicates Exceedance of the 1992 NR 140 Groundwater Preventive Action Limit (PAL)

NOTE: The EPA Record of Decision establishes the 1992 PALS as the cleanup goals for the site.

ssults / Detected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	on, Wisconsin
nalytical Res	ite - Appleton,
Table 6 - Groundwater A	N.W. Mauthe Superfund S.

						Detectec	1 Volatile O	rganic Co	spunoduc	(hg/L)				
				1,1-	1,1-	cis-1,2,-	Trans-1,2,-			1,1,1-	1,1,2-			
		Benzene	Chloroform	ethane	ethene	ethene	ethene	Vrtno- Xylene	Toluene	ethane	ethane	ethene	meta, para Xylene	ylenes Xylenes
1992 US	EPA MCL	5.0	100	•	7.0	70	100	10,000	1,000	200	5.0	5.0	10,000**	10,000
1992	ES NR 140	5	9	850	7	100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	620
1992	PAL NR 140	0.067	0.6	85	0.024	10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	124
W-2	02/20/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	C .5	-
	05/27/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
	09/18/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<124	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<124	-
	12/12/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	-
	03/25/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<.4	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	** t .	-
	06/10/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	-
	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	<.27	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	-
	02/09/99	.15*	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	06/08/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.13*	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	<.36	<.35	<.15	<.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<.35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.37	***	<.37	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43
W-8	02/20/97	AN	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1
	05/27/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
	09/18/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<40	<7	<7	<124	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<124	I
	12/12/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<40	<7	<7	<.4	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	.4**	
	03/25/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<40	<7	<7	<.3	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	.3**	I
	06/10/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<40	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	1
	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	<.27	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	-
	02/09/99	.19*	<.15	<.15	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.15*	<.14	<.15	<.15	***	<.37
	06/08/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	0.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	<.36	<.35	<.15	<.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<.35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.37	***	<.37	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43

ssults / Detected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	on, Wisconsin
nalytical Res	ite - Appleton,
Table 6 - Groundwater A	N.W. Mauthe Superfund S.

-				•		Detectec	I Volatile O	rganic Co	spunoduu	(hg/L)	•			
1,1- 1,1- cis- Dichloro Dichloro Dichloro	1,1- cis- Dichloro Dichloro Dich	1,1- 1,1- cis- Dichloro Dichloro Dicl	1,1-1,1-cisDichloroDichloroDichloro	1,1- cis Dichloro Dicl	cis. Dicl	-1,2,- hloro	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	Ortho-		1,1,1- Trichloro	1,1,2- Trichloro	Trichloro	Meta, para	Total
Benzene Chloroform ethane ethene e	Benzene Chloroform ethane ethene e	Chloroform ethane ethene e	ethane ethene e	ethene	θ	thene	ethene	Xylene	Toluene	ethane	ethane	ethene	Xylene	Xylenes
EPA MCL 5.0 100 - 7.0	5.0 100 - 7.0	100 - 7.0	- 7.0	7.0		70	100	10,000	1,000	200	5.0	5.0	10,000**	10,00(
ES NR 140 5 6 850 7	5 6 850 7	6 850 7	850 7	7		100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	62(
AL NR 140 0.067 0.6 85 0.024	0.067 0.6 85 0.024	0.6 85 0.024	85 0.024	0.024		10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	124
02/20/97 <.5 <.5 <.5 <.5	<.5<.5<.5<.5	<.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5	<.5		<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
05/27/97 <.5 0.22 <.5 <.5	<.5 0.22 <.5 <.5	0.22 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5	<.5		<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
09/18/97 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7		<7	<u>/</u> >	<124	<68	<40	2 '>	<.5	<124	•
12/12/97 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7	_	<7	Z>	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	
03/25/98 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7		<7	<7	<.4	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	.4**	
06/10/98 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.5<.6<.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7		<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	
10/27/98 <.24 <.23 <.27 <.28	<.24 <.23 <.27 <.28	<.23 <.27 <.28	<.27 <.28	<.28		<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	
02/09/99 <.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.15 <.14 <.15	<.14 <.15	<.15		<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
06/08/99 .16* <.15 <.14 <.15	.16* <.15 <.14 <.15	<.15 <.14 <.15	<.14 <.15	<.15		<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
09/13/99 <.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.15 <.14 <.15	<.14 <.15	<.15		<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
03/13/00 <.32 <.28 <.36 <.35	<.32<.28<.36<.35	<.28 <.36 <.35	<.36 <.35	<.35		<.15	<.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
03/01/01 <.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<.15 <.64 <.13	<.64 <.13	<.13		<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
03/19/02 <.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<.15 <.64 <.13	<.64 <.13	<.13		<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
03/24/03 <.35 <.35 <.35 <.39	<.35<.35<.35<.39	<.35 <.35 <.39	<.35 <.39	<.39		<.39	<.37	***	<.50*	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43
02/20/97 <.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5	<.5		<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	-
05/27/97 <.5 <.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5 <.5	<.5 <.5	<.5		<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
09/18/97 <.5 <.6 .491* .353*	<.5 <.6 .491* .353*	<.6 .491* .353*	.491* .353*	.353*		<7	<7	<124	<68	3.03	<.5	3.31	<124	•
12/12/97 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7		<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	
03/25/98 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7		<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
06/10/98 <.5 <.6 <85 <.7	<:5 <:6 <85 <.7	<.6 <85 <.7	<85 <.7	<.7		<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	
10/27/98 <.24 <.23 <.27 <.28	<.24 <.23 <.27 <.28	<.23 <.27 <.28	<.27 <.28	<.28		<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	•
02/09/99 <.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.15 <.14 <.15	<.14 <.15	<.15		<.16	<17.>	***	0.91	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
06/08/99 <.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.13 <.15 <.14 <.15	<.15 <.14 <.15	<.14 <.15	<.15		<.16	<17.>	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
03/13/00 <.32 <.28 <.36 <.35	<.32 <.28 <.36 <.35	<.28 <.36 <.35	<.36 <.35	<.35		<.15	66.>	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
03/01/01 <.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<pre><.12 <.15 <.64 <.13</pre>	<.15 <.64 <.13	<.64 <.13	<.13		<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
03/19/02 <.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<.12 <.15 <.64 <.13	<.15 <.64 <.13	<.64 <.13	<.13	-	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
03/24/03 <.35 <.35 <.35 <.39	 <.35 <.35 <.35 <.39 	<.35 <.35 <.39	<.35 <.39	<.39		<.39	<.37	***	<.40*	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43

1 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	
/ Detectec	consin
alytical Results /	te - Appleton, Wisc
able 6 - Groundwater An	J.W. Mauthe Superfund Sit

						Detectec	I Volatile O	rganic Cc	spunoduc	(hg/L)				
				1,1- Dichloro	1,1- Dichloro	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	Ortho-		1,1,1- Trichloro	1,1,2- Trichloro	Trichloro	Meta nara	Total
		Benzene	Chloroform	ethane	ethene	ethene	ethene	Xylene	Toluene	ethane	ethane	ethene	Xylene	Xylenes
1992 US I	EPA MCL	5.0	100	•	7.0	70	100	10,000	1,000	200	5.0	5.0	10,000**	10,000
1992 E	ES NR 140	5	9	850	7	100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	620
1992 P	AL NR 140	0.067	0.6	85	0.024	10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	124
MW-102	02/20/97	<.5	<.5	<:5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	•
	05/27/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	•
	09/18/97	<.5	<.6	<.85	<85	<7	<7	<124	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<124	•
	12/12/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<85	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	03/25/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<85	<7	<7	<.4	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	.4*	1
	06/10/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<85	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	<.27	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	•
	02/09/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	0.65	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	06/08/90	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.21*	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	<.36	<.35	<.15	<.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<.35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.37	***	<.37	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43
MW-103	02/20/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	•
	05/27/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
	09/18/97	<.5	<.6 <	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<124	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<124	
	12/12/97	<.5	<.6 .6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	03/25/98	<.5	<.6 .6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	06/10/98	<.5	<.6 <	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
_	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	<.27	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	•
	02/09/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.15*	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	06/08/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	<.36	<.35	<.15	<.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	.23*	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<.35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.39	***	<.37	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.42

olatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	
6 - Groundwater Analytical Results / Detected V	Vlauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

						Detectec	I Volatile O	rganic Co	spunoduu	(hg/L)				
				1,1- Dichloro	1,1- Dichloro	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	Ortho-		1,1,1- Trichloro	1,1,2- Trichloro	Trichloro	Meta, para	Total
		Benzene	Chloroform	ethane	ethene	ethene	ethene	Xylene	Toluene	ethane	ethane	ethene	Xylene	Xylenes
1992 US	EPA MCL	5.0	100	•	7.0	70	100	10,000	1,000	200	5.0	5.0	10,000**	10,000
1992 F	ES NR 140	5	6	850	7	100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	620
1992 P	AL NR 140	0.067	0.6	85	0.024	10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	124
MW-104	02/20/97	<:5	<.5	<:5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	c :>	•
	05/27/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	•
	09/18/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<124	<68	.324*	<.5	<.5	<124	•
	12/12/97	<.5	<.6	0.4	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	1*	<.5	0.9	<120	•
	03/25/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	.8*	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	06/10/98	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<u>/</u> >	<120	<68	2*	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	.35*	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	1.8	<.23	<.29	<.36	•
	02/09/99	<.13	<.15	.38*	<.15	<.16	<17>	***	.17*	1.5	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	06/08/90	<.13	<.15	.34*	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.14*	1.4	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	.38*	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.27*	1.6	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	.38 *	<.35	<.15	<.39	***	<.37	1.6	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	2.8	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	2.4	<.25	<.23	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<.35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.37	***	<.37	1.3*	<.32	<.42	***	<.43
MW-105	02/20/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	•
	05/27/97	<.5	<:5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	
	09/18/97	<.5	<.6 <	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<124	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<124	1
	12/12/97	<.5	<.6	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	03/25/98	<.5	<.6 <	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<.4	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	.4*	•
	06/10/98	<.5	<.6 <	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	1
	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	<.27	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	•
	02/09/99	.16*	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	.3*	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	06/08/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13*	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	<.36	<.35	<.15	<.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	****	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<.35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.37	***	0.64*	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	
Table 6 - Groundwater Analytical Results / Detected	N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

						Detected	Volatile O	rganic Co	spunodu	(hg/L)				
				1,1- Dichloro	1,1- Dichloro	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	Ortho-		1,1,1- Trichloro	1,1,2- Trichloro	Trichloro	Meta. para	Total
		Benzene	Chloroform	ethane	ethene	ethene	ethene	Xylene	Toluene	ethane	ethane	ethene	Xylene	Xylenes
1992 US I	EPA MCL	5.0	100	I	7.0	70	100	10,000	1,000	200	5.0	5.0	10,000**	10,000
1992 E	S NR 140	5	9	850	7	100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	620
1992 P	AL NR 140	0.067	9.0	85	0.024	10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	124
MW-106	02/20/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	1
	05/27/97	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	•
	09/18/97	<.5	9:>	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<124	<68	2.73*	<.5	<.5	<124	•
	12/12/97	<.5	9:>	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	03/25/98	<.5	9'>	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	06/10/98	<.5	9'>	<85	<.7	<7	<7	<120	<68	<40	<.5	<.5	<120	•
	10/27/98	<.24	<.23	<.27	<.28	<.27	<.26	<.17	<.21	<.26	<.23	<.29	<.36	•
	02/09/99	.18*	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.17	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	06/08/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	09/13/99	<.13	<.15	<.14	<.15	<.16	<.17	***	<.13	<.14	<.15	<.14	***	<.37
	03/13/00	<.32	<.28	<.36	<.35	<.15	0.39	***	<.37	<.33	<.11	<.34	***	<.71
	03/01/01	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/19/02	<.12	<.15	<.64	<.13	<.28	<.13	***	<.17	<.17	<.25	<.13	***	<.56
	03/24/03	<.35	<:35	<.35	<.39	<.39	<.37	***	5.7	<.42	<.32	<.42	***	<.43
MW-107	02/20/97	<.5	0.3	11	8.4	0.7	<.7	<.5	<.5	81	0.6	50	<.5	
	05/27/97	0.09	1.10	36	40	3.1	<3.1	<.5	0.34	390	3.5	420	<.5	•
	09/18/97	<10	<12	47.6*	22.1	2.61*	<2.61	<2480	<68	265*	2.83	295	<2480	•
	12/12/97	<10	<12	56*	23	3*	<3	<2500	<68	280	3	290	<2500	•
	03/25/98	<25	<30	61*	69	5*	<5	<17	<68	720	5	620	17*	
	06/10/98	<12	<15	59*	58	<3	<3	<3100	63*	340*	4*	390	<3100	•
	10/27/98	<.24	1.4	62	46*	3.6	.51*	<.17	<.21	550	4.9	640	<.36	I
	02/09/99	<3.2	<3.8	48	24	<4.0	<4.2	***	<3.2	220	<.38	250	***	<9.2
	06/08/99	<2.6	<3.0	42	20	<3.2	<3.4	***	<2.6	200	<3.0	310	***	<7.4
	09/13/99	<.26	<3.0	34	19	<.32	<3.4	***	<2.6	180	<.3.0	320	***	<.7.4
	12/15/99	<3.2	<3.8	37	56	4.6 *	<4.2	***	<3.2	570	4.5 *	880	***	<9.2
	03/13/00	<26	<23	50 *	32 *	<12	<31	***	<30	340	<.90	630	***	<57
	06/22/00	<26	<23	<29	50 *	<12	<31	***	<30	540	<0>	850	***	<57
	09/27/00	<26	<23	35*	54*	<12	<31	***	<30	560	<0>	870	***	<57
	12/19/00	<6.4	<5.6	36	53	4.5*	<7.8	***	<7.5	480	4.1*	790	***	<20
	03/01/01	<6.0	<7.4	<32	<6.7	<14	<6.5	***	<8.7	420	<13	760	***	<28
	06/25/01	<6.5	<15	26	35	<9	<6.1	***	<6.2	360	<6.5	620	***	<32

 Table 6 - Groundwater Analytical Results / Detected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

 N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

		ŀ				Detected	I Volatile Or	rganic Cc	spunoduu	(hg/L)				
1,1- Dichloro	1,1- Dichloro	1,1- Dichloro	1,1- Dichloro		1,1- Dichloro	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	Ortho-		1,1,1- Trichloro	1,1,2- Trichloro	Trichloro	Meta, para	Tot
EPA MCL 5.0 100	Benzene Chloroform ethane 5.0 100	Chloroform ethane	ethane		ethene 7.0	ethene 70	ethene 100	Xylene 10.000	Toluene 1.000	ethane 200	ethane 5.0	ethene 5.0	Xylene 10.000**	Xylenes 10.00
S NR 140 5 6 850	5 6 850	6 850	850	1	7	100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	62
AL NR 140 0.067 0.6 85	0.067 0.6 85	0.6 85	85		0.024	10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	12,
09/24/01 <6.5 <15 36	<6.5 <15 36	<15 36	36		50	6>	<6.1	***	<6.2	480	<6.5	760	***	<32
12/05/01 <6.5 <15 40	<6.5 <15 40	<15 40	40		50	<9	<6.1	***	<6.2	500	<6.5	810	***	<3;
03/19/02 <6.0 <7.5 37*	<6.0 <7.5 37*	<7.5 37*	37*		43	<14	<6.5	***	<8.7	440	<13	740	***	<28
06/20/02 <7.9 <11 31	<7.9 <11 31	<11 31	31		39	<7.2	<8.9	***	<7.6	410	<6.8	690	***	<1
09/18/02 <7.9 <11 34	<7.9 <11 34	<11 34	34		39	<7.2	<8.9	***	<7.6	430	<6.8	710	***	<1>
12/17/02 <7.9 <11 40	<7.9 <11 40	<11 40	40		43	<7.2	<8.9	***	<7.6	470	<6.8	850	***	<14
03/24/03 <.17 <.18 33*	<.17 <.18 33*	<.18 33*	33*		37*	<19	<19	***	<19	390	<16	640	***	<22
06/10/03 <5.7 <8.0 <5.3	<5.7 <8.0 <5.3	<8.0 <5.3	<5.3		39	<11	<8.2	***	<7.2	400	<9.0	680	***	<17
09/10/03 <17 <18 36*	<17 <18 36*	<18 36*	36*		41*	<19	<19	***	<19	430	<16	730	***	<2;
12/10/03 <17 <18 25*	<17 <18 25*	<18 25*	25*		31*	<19	<19	***	<19	380	<16	740	***	<2;
03/24/04 <7.5 <7.0 <7.1	<7.5 <7.1 <7.1	<7.0 <7.1	<7.1		22	<6.8	<6.0	***	<7.6	220	<8.1	370	***	V
07/29/04 <2.0 <1.8 29	<2.0 <1.8 29	<1.8 29	29		25	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	310	3.4	510	***	<13.
09/22/04 <7.5 <7.0 28	<7.5 <7.0 28	<7.0 28	28		34	<6.8	<6.0	***	<7.6	270	<8.1	570	***	<15
12/14/04 <7.5 <7.0 33	<7.5 <7.0 33	<7.0 33	33		40	<6.8	<6.0	***	<7.6	410	<8.1	800	***	<15
03/29/05 <2.0 <1.8 39	<2.0 <1.8 39	<1.8 39	39		20	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	200	0.21	330	***	<13.
06/22/05 <1.0 <0.92 18	<1.0 <0.92 18	<0.92 18	18		8.2	<2.1	<2.2	***	<1.7	82	<1.0	160	***	<6.
09/21/05 <2.0 <1.8 39	<2.0 <1.8 39	<1.8 39	39		18.0	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	220	<2.1	470	***	<13.
12/15/05 <2.0 <1.8 42	<2.0 <1.8 42	<1.8 42	42		26.0	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	250	<2.1	490	***	<13.
03/23/06 <2.0 <1.8 31	<2.0 <1.8 31	<1.8 31	31		16.0	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	150	<2.1	330	***	<13.
06/28/06 <2.0 <1.8 37	<2.0 <1.8 37	<1.8 37	37		28.0	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	270	<2.1	550	***	<13.
09/20/06 <4.1 <3.7 32	<4.1 <3.7 32	<3.7 32	32		31.0	<8.3	<8.9	***	<6.7	330	<4.2	700	***	<26.3
12/19/06 <2.0 <1.8 52	<2.0 <1.8 52	<1.8 52	52		30	<4.1	<4.4	***	<3.4	280	3.3*	580	***	<13.
03/28/07 <0.82 <0.74 19	<0.82 <0.74 19	<0.74 19	19		18	2.1	<1.8	***	<1.3	190	1.7	340	***	<5.
07/03/07 <1.0 <0.92 30	<1.0 <0.92 30	<0.92 30	30		15	2.3	<2.2		<1.7	160	1.5	350	***	<6.
09/28/07 <2.0 <1.8 35	<2.0 <1.8 35	<1.8 35	35		19	<4.1	4.4>	***	<3.4	210	2.4*	420	***	<13.
04/16/08 <2.0 <1.8 20.8	<2.0 <1.8 20.8	<1.8 20.8	20.8		21.8	<4.2	4.4>	***	<3.4	257	2.7 J	550	***	<13.
09/22/08 <2.0 <6.5 38.5	<2.0 <6.5 38.5	<6.5 38.5	38.5		34.2	4.5 J	<4.4	***	<3.4	368	2.8 J	679	***	<13.
04/03/09 <2.0 <6.5 22.6	<2.0 <6.5 22.6	<6.5 22.6	22.6		22.7	<4.2	4.4	***	<3.4	283	<2.1	593	***	<13.2
09/01/09 <2.0 <6.5 41.4	<2.0 <6.5 41.4	<6.5 41.4	41.4		37.7	<4.2	<4.4	***	<3.4	347	2.8 J	715	***	<13.
03/17/10 <2.0 <6.5 25.3	<2.0 <6.5 25.3	<6.5 25.3	25.3		29.0	<4.2	-4.4	***	<3.4	276	<2.1	620	***	<13.2

olatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	
undwater Analytical Results / Detected Vo	Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin
Table 6 - Gro	N.W. Mauthe

		ŝ	00	20	24	'	١	'	'	ı	'	'	37	37	32	71	56	56	43	'	'	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	
	Total	Xylene	10,0	9	1								v	v	v	v	v	v	v			<2.	<2.	<2.	<2.	<2.	<2.	<2.	<2.	<2.	
	Meta, para	Xylene	10,000**	620**	124**	<.5	<.5	<124	<120	<120	<120	<.36	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
	Trichloro	ethene	5.0	5	0.18	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.29	<.14	<.14	<.14	<.36	<.13	<.13	<.42	46	51	42	37	47	35	39.4	38.8	36.3	50.8	37.9	
	1,1,2- Trichloro	ethane	5.0	0.6	0.06	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.23	<.15	<.15	<.15	<.11	<.25	<.25	<.32	0.45*	0.45*	0.52*	<0.42	0.54	<0.42	0.45 J	<0.42	<0.42	0.50 J	<0.42	
(hg/L)	1,1,1- Trichloro	ethane	200	200	40	<.5	<.5	<40	<40	<40	<44	<.26	<.14	<.14	<.14	<.33	<.17	<.17	<.42	37	37	33	27	34	22	31.9	26.9	29.6	35.8	27.4	
mpounds		Toluene	1,000	343	68.6	<.5	<.5	<68	<68	<68	<68	<.21	0.83	.15*	0.84	<.37	<.17	<.17	<.37	1	I	I	<13	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	
rganic Co	Ortho-	Xylene	10,000	620**	124**	<.5	<.5	<124	<120	<120	<120	<.17	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
Volatile O	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	ethene	100	100	20	<.5	<.5	<7	<7	<7	<7	<.26	<.17	<.17	<.17	<.39	<.13	<.13	<.37	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	
Detected	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	ethene	70	100	10	<.5	<.5	<7	<7	<7	<7	<.27	<.16	<.16	<.16	<.15	<.28	<.28	<.39	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	
	1,1- Dichloro	ethene	7.0	7	0.024	<.5	<.5	<.7	<.7	<.7	<.7	<.28	<.15	<.15	<.15	<.35	<.13	<.13	<.39	1.9	2.2	1.1*	1.3	1.3	1.1*	1.9	1.4	1.1	2.2	1.6	
	1,1- Dichloro	ethane	•	850	85	<.5	<.5	<85	<85	<85	<85	<.22	<.14	<.14	<.14	<.36	<.64	<.64	<.35	1.3*	1.7*	2.7	0.85	1.7	<0.75	1.9	0.98 J	2.4	1.4	2.4	
		Chloroform	100	6	0.6	<.5	<.5	<.6	<.6	<.6	<.6	<.23	<.15	<.15	<.15	<.28	<.15	<.15	<.35	0.40*	0.39*	0.44*	<0.37	0.38*	<0.37	0.39 J	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	
		Benzene	5.0	5	0.067	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.5	<.24	<.13	<.13	<.13	<.32	<.12	<.12	<.35	'	ı	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	
			EPA MCL	S NR 140	AL NR 140	02/20/97	05/27/97	09/18/97	12/12/97	03/25/98	06/10/98	10/27/98	02/09/99	66/80/90	09/13/99	03/13/00	03/31/01	03/19/02	03/24/03	06/21/06	09/20/06	12/19/06	03/29/07	07/03/07	09/28/07	04/16/08	09/22/08	04/03/09	09/01/09	03/17/10	
			1992 US E	1992 E	1992 P/	MW-108														MW-109											

 Table 6 - Groundwater Analytical Results / Detected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

 N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

	Total	Xylenes	10,000	620	124	-	-	<2.63	<53	<53	<2.63	<52.6	<26.3	<26.3	<26.3	<26.3	-	-	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	<2.63	
	Meta. para	Xylene	10,000**	620**	124**	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
	Trichloro	ethene	5.0	5	0.18	27	30	23	32	26	32*	28.2	45.5	26.2	44.2	29.8	180	97	21	120	37	55	52.9	142	57.7	147	75.3	
	1,1,2- Trichloro	ethane	5.0	0.6	0.06	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<8.4	<8.4	<10	<8.4	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	0.71	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	0.53 J	<0.42	0.51 J	<0.42	
(hg/L)	1,1,1- Trichloro	ethane	200	200	40	1,500	1,100	910	1,500	1,300	1,600	918	1,210	914	1,130	718	78	36	7.9	52	14	22	20.3	59.0	21.4	56.8	27.5	
mpounds		Toluene	1,000	343	68.6	-	•	<6.7	<13	<13	<17	<13.4	<6.7	<6.7	<6.7	<6.7	•		<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	<0.67	
rganic Co	Ortho-	Xylene	10,000	620**	124**	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
Volatile O	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	ethene	100	100	20	19	28*	16*	24	18	23*	<17.8	29.1	14.0	16.3	9.8 J	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	<0.89	
Detected	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	ethene	70	100	10	56	57	55	59	59	67*	55.9	73.5	56.5	74.9	47.3	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	<0.83	
	1,1- Dichloro	ethene	7.0	7	0.024	340	300	240	340	230	350	195	239	188	268	169	11	7.7	1.5*	7.3	1.8	2.8	2.7	6.7	2.7	7.5	3.9	
	1,1- Dichloro	ethane	I	850	85	310	260	230	250	270	380	206	246	195	257	159	2.7	3.2	2.0*	1.7	<0.36	2.4*	1.6	2.6	1.6	2.5	1.8	
		Chloroform	100	6	0.6	<3.7	<3.7	<3.7	<7.4	<7.4	<9.2	<7.4	<13.0	<13.0	<13.0	<13.0	0.59*	<0.37	<0.37	0.77	<0.37	<0.37	1.2	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	
		Benzene	5.0	5	0.067		1	<4.1	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<8.2	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	1	•	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	
			EPA MCL	S NR 140	AL NR 140	06/21/06	09/20/06	12/19/06	03/29/07	07/03/07	09/28/07	04/16/08	09/22/08	04/03/09	09/01/09	03/17/10	06/21/06	09/20/06	12/19/06	03/29/07	07/03/07	09/28/07	04/16/08	09/22/08	04/03/09	09/01/09	03/17/10	
			1992 US E	1992 E	1992 P/	MW-110											MW-111											
Table 6 - Groundwater Analytical Results / Detected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

 N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

	Total	Xylenes	10,000	620	124			<13.1	<26.3	<13.1	<2.63	<26.3	<26.3	<26.3	<26.3	<26.3	•	•	<13.1	<2.63	<13.1	<2.63	<2.63	<26.3	<2.63	<6.6	<6.6	
	Meta, para	Xylene	10,000**	620**	124**	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
	Trichloro	ethene	5.0	5	0.18	450	540	240	940	750	820	1130	1160	1250	1600	556	92	81	91	46	61	97	62.4	89.4	62.2	199	96.8	
	1,1,2- Trichloro	ethane	5.0	0.6	0.06	<2.1	<4.2	<2.1	<4.2	<2.1	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<0.84	0.82*	<2.1	<0.42	<2.1	1.5	0.44 J	<4.2	<0.42	1.4 J	<1.0	
(hg/L)	1,1,1- Trichloro	ethane	200	200	40	7.9*	<9.0	<4.5	20	11	13*	20.1	19.0	20.6	25.8	<9.0	240	120	120	77	62	130	2.99.7	134	107	356	140	
mpounds		Toluene	1,000	343	68.6		-	<3.4	<6.7	<3.4	<6.7	<6.7	<6.7	<6.7	<6.7	<6.7	•	-	<3.4	<0.67	<13.1	<0.67	<0.67	<6.7	<0.67	<1.7	<1.7	
rganic Co	Ortho-	Xylene	10,000	620**	124**	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
Volatile O	Trans-1,2,- Dichloro	ethene	100	100	20	<4.4	<8.9	<4.4	<8.9	<4.4	<8.9	<8.9	<8.9	<8.9	<8.9	<8.9	<1.8	1.3*	<4.4	<0.89	<4.4	<0.89	<0.89	<8.9	<0.89	4.0	<2.2	
Detected	cis-1,2,- Dichloro	ethene	70	100	10	<4.1	<8.3	<4.1	<8.3	<4.1	<8.3	<8.3	<8.3	<8.3	<8.3	<8.3	4.4*	3.6	5.2*	1.6	4.9	8.9	3.7	<8.3	4.1	13.8	7.8	
	1,1- Dichloro	ethene	7.0	7	0.024	<3.8	<5.7	<2.8	<5.7	<2.8	<5.7	<5.7	5.7 J	5.8 J	8.2 J	<5.7	44	19	16	11	8.1	17	15.3	17.9	13.9	70.8	23.6	
	1,1- Dichloro	ethane	•	850	85	<3.7	<7.5	<3.8	<7.5	<3.8	<7.5	<7.5	<7.5	<7.5	<7.5	<7.5	37	22	28	10	21	35	20.5	28.2	21.8	51.2	29.0	
		Chloroform	100	6	0.6	<1.8	<0.37	<1.8	<3.7	<1.8	<3.7	<3.7	<13.0	<13.0	<13.0	<13.0	<0.74	<0.37	<1.8	<0.37	<1.8	0.57	<0.37	<13.0	<1.3	<3.2	<3.2	
		Benzene	5.0	5	0.067	1	1	<2.0	<4.1	<2.0	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	•	-	<2.0	<0.41	<2.0	<0.41	<0.41	<4.1	<0.41	<1.0	<1.0	
			PA MCL	S NR 140	NL NR 140	06/21/06	09/20/06	12/19/06	03/29/07	07/03/07	09/28/07	04/16/08	09/22/08	04/03/09	09/01/09	03/17/10	06/21/06	09/20/06	12/19/06	03/29/07	07/03/07	09/28/07 ^A	04/16/08	09/22/08	04/03/09	09/01/09	03/17/10	
			1992 US E	1992 E	1992 PA	MW-112											MW-113											

Table 6 - Groundwater Analytical Results / Detected Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin

						Detected	Volatile O	rganic Co	spunodu	(hg/L)				
				1,1- Distriction	1,1- Dictions	cis-1,2,-	Trans-1,2,-	1+ (1,1,1- T-::-!-:	1,1,2- T	Tuichlana	+-M	Tata
		Benzene	Chloroform	UICNIOrO	UICNIOrO	UICNIOrO	UICNIOrO	Urtno- Xylene	Toluene	I ricnioro ethane	I richioro ethane	ethene	Meta, para Xylene	l otal Xylenes
1992 US E	EPA MCL	5.0	100		7.0	70	100	10,000	1,000	200	5.0	5.0	10,000**	10,000
1992 E	S NR 140	5	9	850	7	100	100	620**	343	200	0.6	5	620**	620
1992 P,	AL NR 140	0.067	0.6	85	0.024	10	20	124**	68.6	40	0.06	0.18	124**	124
PZ-5	07/19/05	<0.37	<0.75	<0.57	<0.83	<0.89	NA	NA	1.7*	<0.42	<0.48	NA	NA	NA
	09/21/05	<0.37	<0.75	<0.57	<0.83	<0.89	NA	AN	<0.90	<0.42	<0.48	NA	NA	NA
PZ-6	07/19/05	<0.37	<0.75	<0.57	<0.83	<0.89	NA	NA	<0.90	<0.42	<0.48	NA	AN	NA
	09/21/05	<0.37	<0.75	<0.57	<0.83	<0.89	NA	AN	<0.90	<0.42	<0.48	NA	AN	NA

EXPLANATION:

Results prior to 10/27/98 for cis-1,2,-Dichloroethene and Trans-1,2 Dichloroethene were listed as Total Dichloroethene and were placed in this table under the heading cis-1,2,-Dichloroethene. Results prior to 10/27/98 for Ortho Xylene and Meta, para Xylene were listed as Total Xylenes and were placed in this table under the heading Meta, para Xylene.

* = Analyte detected between limit of detection and limit of quantitation.

J = Estimated Concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

** = Standard includes Ortho-, Meta, para-Xylenes

*** = As of 02/09/99 Xylene results are listed as "Total Xylenes".

WM Equipment Malfunction, no accurate measurement.

NOTE: The EPA Record of Decision establishes the 1992 PAL's as the clean-up goals for the site.

A = 1,2-Dichloroethane was detected at 0.87 ug/l.

ND = Not Detected

NA = Not Analyzed

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Levels

ug/l = Microgram/Liter

= Indicates an exceedance of the 1992 NR 140 Groundwater Quality Enforcement Standards (ES)

= Indicates an exceedance of the 1992 NR 140 Groundwater Quality Preventive Action Limits (PAL)

	[I	OUTF/	ALL 001				Mi	anhole	e #1	Ма	nhole	e #2
Date Actual	Date For Linear Interpolation	Metered Discharge Reading (gallons)	Gallons Discharged Between Meter Reading	Monthly Discharge (gallons)	рН	Hexavalent Chromium Lab Analysis (mg/L) [Local Limit 4.5 mg/L]	Total Chromium Lab Analysis (mg/L) [Local Limit 7.0 mg/L]	Flow Totalizer #1 Reading (gallons)	рН	Hexavalent Chromium Hach Test Kit (mg/L)	Flow Totalizer #2 Reading (gallons)	рН	Hexavalent Chromium Hach Test Kit (mg/L)
09/25/07	10/01/07	8,290,363		 	—	'			├ ───'			—	↓
10/01/07	10/01/07	8.301.251	10.888		┼──	'							┨────┦
10/02/07		8,301,251	0		7.7				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
10/15/07		8,324,675	, 23,424										
10/16/07	[]	8,324,675	0	F	7.4	1.700	[[6.93	3.9	[7.30	0.60
10/22/07	. ↓ ↓	8,355,957	31,282	<u> </u>	7.5	1 500			7 04	3 75		ΝΔ	NΔ
10/29/07		8,370,413	14,456	October	1.5	1.000			1.0-	0.10		DWA	1.00.5
10/30/07		8,370,413	0	71,891	7.4	1.900		l	NA	NA		NA	NA
	11/01/07	8,372,575											
11/05/07	├ ────┤	8,377,912	7,499	Nevember	<u> </u>	4 000	4 200	 	70	4 20		0.2	0.19
11/06/07	┨────┦	8,386,583	8 671	21.587	ზ.ა	1.900	1.300		1.0	4.30		ბ.∠	0.10
11/10/01	12/01/07	8,394,162	0,0	21,007	+								++
12/03/07		8,395,372	8,789										
12/04/07	\square	8,395,372	0	F	8.6	3.100	2.500		8.4	4.60		8.6	0.16
12/12/07	├ ────┤	8,399,522	4,150	December 25 977	—	'		 				—	
12/21/01	01/01/08	8.420,139	2,000	23,311	┼──	<u> </u>							╉────┤
01/01/08		8,420,868	18,360	<u> </u>		†							
01/02/08		8,420,868	, 0		8.7	1.300	1.200		8.4	4.50		8.7	0.62
01/02/08	 	8,421,628	760	<u> </u>	—	ļ'	ļ		ļ!			ļ	ļ
01/10/08	<u>}</u>	8,459,333	37,705	January	—	'						──	
01/25/08		8,497,063	17,819	84,612	+								+ +
	02/01/08	8,504,750											
02/01/08		8,505,562	8,499	<u> </u>	Ę_							Ē.	
02/03/08	.├	8,507,408	1,846	February	89	1 700	1 600	 	87	2.60		8.8	0.70
02/07/00	03/01/08	8.527,611	<u> </u>	22,001	0.5	1.700	1.000		0.7	2.00		0.0	0.10
03/02/08		8,528,931	21,523	March	9.0	2.9	2.500		8.7	3.60		8.8	2.50
03/31/08		8,653,211	124,280	128,713									
04/04/08	04/01/08	8,656,324	4 440	<u> </u>		1.0	4 500	 	0.7	1.60		0.0	1.45
04/01/08	<u></u> ∤−−−−+	8,661,298	4,410		9.0	1.0	1.530		δ.1	1.00		ຽ.ອ	1.40
04/04/08		8,682,788	21,490		<u>† </u>							<u> </u>	
04/07/08		8,697,084	14,296		\square								
04/08/08	↓	8,697,084	0	<u> </u>	9.1	0.063		 	8.7	1.40		8.9	0.54
04/14/08	 	8,790,1∠o 8,790,128	33,044		9.1	0.36			87	0.90		8.8	0.17
04/15/08		8,797,710	7,582			0.00		Installed	<u> </u>		Installed	0.2	
04/16/08		8,804,525	, 6,815					1,074			2,804		
04/16/08	 	8,806,972	2,447	───	—	ļ'	ļ	1,589			3,661	<u> </u>	
04/21/08	├ ────┤	8,826,834	19,802	.	91	0.87		5,170	88	0.95	11,170	89	0.55
04/28/08	<u> </u>	8,860,276	ر 33,442 ز	April	3.1	0.07		13,291	0.0	0.00	36,802	0.5	0.00
04/29/08		8,860,276	, 0	212,193	9.1	0.51		14,721	8.8	0.96	40,534	9.1	0.43
	05/01/08	8,868,517			I	['	[]	00.070	[!	[50.000	[
05/05/08	├ ────┤	8,890,994	30,718	.	91	0.95	0.679	22,372	87	1 14	59,203 60,259	88	0.62
05/12/08	1 1	8,907,573	16,579	,	3.1	0.00	0.070	28,018	0.7		70,853	0.0	0.0_
05/13/08		8,907,573	0		9.2	0.69		28,487	8.8	1.00	71,555	9.0	0.34
05/19/08	[]	8,920,045	12,472	F	F	<u> </u>		32,756			79,328		<u> </u>
05/20/08	├ ────┤	8,920,045	9.537	May	9.1	0.74		33,225	8.8	0.96	80,376	8.9	0.27
05/27/08	<u> </u>	8.929,582	9,001	66.866	9.0	0.60		37.025	8.9	1.04	85,979	8.9	0.16
	06/01/08	8,935,384	.					- /			,	~	i
06/02/08		8,936,965	7,383					39,411			90,202		
06/03/08	├ ────┤	8,936,965	0	.	9.3	0.90	0.824	39,876	9.0	1.06	90,901	9.0	0.54
06/09/08	├ ────┤	8,951,078	14,113		9,2	0.85		43,107	9.0	1.53	101,102	9.0	0.38
06/11/08	1 1	8,960,258	9,180	,	0	0.00		45,176	0.0		112,396	0.2	
06/16/08		8,999,813	, 39,555					52,865			140,673		
06/16/08	 	8,999,813	0	<u> </u>				52,865		2.40	141,398	1	0.00
06/17/08	├ ────┤	8,999,813	7 905	<u> </u>	9.2	1.4		53,808	9.1	3.40	143,560	9.1	0.33
06/23/08		9,016,923	9,205		<u> </u>	+	1	57,605			153,557		1
06/24/08		0.016.023	. 0		93	0.20		58 074	91	2 50	154 613	9.0	0.14

			OUTF/	ALL 001				Mi	anhole	e #1	Ма	Inhole	e #2
		I				Univelant	Tatal						
	1	1	ļ			Hexavalent Chromium	1 otai Chromium	1		ĺ			
	Data	Metered	Gallons	Monthly		Lab Analysis	Lab Analysis	Flow	1	Hexavalent	Flow		Hexavalent
	For Linear	Reading	Between Meter	Discharge		(Ing/L) [Local Limit	Limit 7.0	Reading		Hach Test	Reading		Hach Test
Date Actual	Interpolation	(gallons)	Reading	(gallons)	рН	4.5 mg/L]	mg/L]	(gallons)	рН	Kit (mg/L)	(gallons)	рН	Kit (mg/L)
06/30/08		9,026,850	9,927	June			<u> </u>	61,392			160,227		
06/30/08	07/01/08	9,026,850	0	91,466	—	¹	↓ ′	61,392	 		160,573	—	↓ ┦
07/01/08	07/07/00	9,026,850	0	,	9.3	1.4	1.290	61,861	9.0	2.45	161,266	9.1	0.58
07/07/08		9,035,952	9,102					64,701			166,481		
07/08/08	ļ	9,035,952	0		9.4	1.2	<u>['</u>	65,168	9.1	1.90	167,518	9.2	1.05
07/10/08	├ ────┦	9,041,071	5,119	───	—	'	↓′	66,138 68,973	┣───		170,315	—	∤
07/15/08		9,054,932	0		9.4	0.82	├ ───┦	69,444	9.0	1.80	184,517	9.2	0.54
07/21/08		9,083,663	28,731					74,198			206,929		
07/22/08		9,083,663	0		9.4	0.74	<u>ب</u>	75,898	9.2	2.52	211,453	9.2	0.31
07/25/08	├ ────┦	9,114,297	30,634		—	'	↓ ′	81,242	┣───		230,374	—	↓ ₽
07/29/08	+	9,121,075	0,775		7.4	0.70	<u>├</u> ┦	83,609	7.2	3.30	237,073	7.2	0.30
07/29/08		9,123,409	2,334	July				83,646			237,455		
	08/01/08	9,127,730		100,880	L_	 '	<u> </u>						
08/04/08	↓ ↓	9,137,140	13,731	. <u> </u>	76	1 30	1 260	87,426	72	2 72	248,221	72	0.41
08/05/08		9,137,140	4,441	├───	1.0	1.30	1.200	87.938	1.2	2.12	250,342	1.2	0.41
08/09/08		9,151,886	10,305	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	90,785	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	260,213	<u> </u>	
08/11/08		9,154,723	2,837		匚			91,732			262,298		
08/12/08	 	9,154,723	0		7.5	1.2	↓ ′	92,206	7.2	2.45	263,337	7.3	0.25
08/13/06	łł	9,157,300	∠,000 5.316		──	·'	├ ───┦	92,710	 		267,897	──	├ ───┦
08/19/08	l – – †	9,162,704	0		7.5	0.98	├ ───┦	95,077	7.2	2.08	268,595	7.2	0.20
08/19/08		9,163,932	1,228				<u> </u>	95,106			268,623		
08/21/08	II	9,166,109	2,177	<u> </u>		ļ'	↓ '	96,049	 		270,020		l
08/24/08	łł	9,168,274 9 168 274	2,100		7.5	11	├ ───┦	96,993	71	2 25	271,417	71	0.22
00/20/00	09/01/08	9,173,323		45,593	1.0		<u>├</u> ┦	01,-100	/	L.L.	212,112	<u> </u>	·
09/01/08		9,173,586	5,312					99,390			274,587		
09/02/08	[]	9,173,586	0	F	7.6	1.4	1.290	99,863	7.3	2.50	274,936	7.3	0.21
09/02/08	├ ────┦	9,174,445	2 515	<u> </u>	—	'	↓ ′	99,894 100,837	┣───		276 718	—	┨────┦
09/08/08	├───┤	9,176,960	0		7.5	1.3	├ ───┦	101,310	7.2	2.25	277,071	7.3	0.16
09/15/08		9,182,218	5,258	,	Ė		<u> </u>	103,257			279,911		
09/16/08	[]	9,182,218	0	F	7.6	1.3	<u>['</u>	103,731	7.3	2.60	280,611	7.6	0.37
09/18/08	├ ────┦	9,185,245	3,027		—	'	↓ ′	104,715	┣───		281,689	—	
09/23/08	!	9,187,538	2,200		7.5	1.6	├─── ┦	106,137	7.3	3.05	283,475	7.5	0.17
09/28/08		9,191,553	4,015	<u> </u>	· · · ·		tt	107,560			285,589		
09/30/08		9,191,553	0	September	7.6	1.8	<u> </u>	108,035	7.4	3.70	285,942	7.4	0.18
10/05/08	10/01/08	9,192,867	3 727	19,545	—	ļ'	ļ/	109 500	 		207 383	—	
10/03/08	lł	9,195,200	3,121		7,7	2.2	2.000	109,000	7.4	4.38	288,093	7,8	0.12
10/07/08		9,196,521	1,241	<u> </u>	·		2.000	110,012			288,124		
10/10/08		9,200,017	3,496					110,965			290,943		
10/12/08	 	9,200,017	0	<u></u>	70	1.0	ļ!	111,919	7.5	2 / 9	291,644	70	0.27
10/14/06	łł	9,200,017	4 387	,	0.1	1.9	├ ───┦	112,390	1.5	3.40	292,090	1.0	0.21
10/18/08		9,206,201	1,797				├ ───┦	113,861			294,504		
10/21/08		9,206,201	0		7.8			114,337	7.5	4.02	295,563	7.9	0.28
10/22/08	II	9,208,980	2,779	Ļ		ļ'	↓ '	114,848	 		296,250		
10/26/08	├ ────┦	9,211,601	2,621	October	79	2.0	↓ ′	116,279	77	3.96	297,676	82	0.26
10/20/00	11/01/08	9,214,938	<u> </u>	22,071	1.5	2.0	<u>├</u> ┦	110,700	1.1	0.00	230,170	0.2	0.20
11/01/08		9,215,379	3,778					117,743			300,201		_
11/04/08	$\square \square$	9,215,379	0	\square	8.0	2.1	1.880	118,698	7.7	4.32	301,273	8.1	0.20
11/04/08	↓ ↓	9,217,467	2,088	.	—	'	ļ′	118,732	──		301,305	—	
11/10/08	├────┦	9,219,330	1,003	. 	<u> </u>	'	├─── ┦	120.162			302,370	├──	
11/20/08		9,229,031	8,609	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	123,506			309,112		<u> </u>
11/24/08		9,231,935	2,904					124,939			310,833		
11/24/08	 	9,232,260	325	<u> </u>	—		<u> </u> '	124,939	 		311,189		
11/26/08	├ ────┦	9,233,464	1,204	November	—	'	↓ ′	125,702	┣───		311,660	—	
11/20/00	12/01/08	9,234,926	1,70-	19,988			├ ───┦	120,102	 		012,111		1
12/02/08		9,234,926	0		8.2	2.3	2.190	127,656	7.8	3.57	314,118	8.3	0.18
12/12/00		0.242.670	7 744			1	· · · · ·	130 122			316 912		

			OUTFA	ALL 001				Ма	anhole	e #1	Ма	nhole	#2
	Date For Linear	Metered Discharge Reading	Gallons Discharged Between Meter	Monthly Discharge		Hexavalent Chromium Lab Analysis (mg/L) [Local Limit	Total Chromium Lab Analysis (mg/L) [Local Limit 7.0	Flow Totalizer #1 Reading		Hexavalent Chromium Hach Test	Flow Totalizer #2 Reading		Hexavalent Chromium Hach Test
Date Actual	Interpolation	(gallons)	Reading	(gallons)	рН	4.5 mg/L]	mg/L]	(gallons)	рН	Kit (mg/L)	(gallons)	рН	Kit (mg/L)
12/17/08		9,247,587	4,917	December				131,563			320,808		
	01/01/09	9,266,230		31,304									
01/02/09		9,268,140	20,553		70	25	2 420	136,435	77	1 19	338,229	70	1.05
01/08/09		9,208,140	9 279	January	1.0	2.5	2.430	139,384	1.1	4.40	344 897	7.0	1.05
01112/00	02/01/09	9,287,182	0,210	20,952							011,001		
02/01/09		9,287,326	9,907					143,256			351,798		
02/03/09		9,287,326	0		7.8	3.3	2.900	143,738	7.9	4.69	352,143	8.2	0.34
02/05/09	02/01/00	9,288,848	1,522	February				143,772			352,912		
03/01/09	03/01/09	9,335,249	46.401	47,131				153.077			393,568		
03/03/09		9,335,249	0		7.6	2.4	1.970	153,561	7.9	4.24	394,973	8.2	0.87
03/11/09		9,355,734	20,485					156,519			412,282		
03/30/09		9,463,572	107,838					182,357			500,471		
03/31/09	04/01/00	9,463,572	0	March				183,323			501,935		
04/01/09	04/01/09	9,469.538	5.966	133,340	1			184.290			504.856	1	
04/03/09		9,478,305	8,767		L			187,194			511,375	L	
04/06/09		9,485,542	7,237					189,607			516,807		
04/07/09		9,485,542	0		7.7	0.84	0.730	190,569	7.9	1.14	518,251	8.1	0.52
04/13/09		9,498,358	12,816		77	0.59		194,432	8.0	1 20	525,799	82	0.27
04/20/09		9,507,740	9,382		1.1	0.55		194,900	0.0	1.20	532,295	0.2	0.27
04/21/09		9,507,740	0		7.8	1.0		198,262	8.0	0.96	533,364	8.3	1.74
04/27/09		9,545,303	37,563					208,646			561,846		
04/28/09	05/04/00	9,545,303	0		8.0	1.2		210,663	7.7	1.89	566,157	7.5	0.28
05/01/09	05/01/09	9,568,209	28 722	April 100 528				217 567			582 471		
05/04/09		9,582,624	8,599	100,320				220,929			588,270		
05/05/09		9,582,624	0		7.6	0.76	0.724	221,884	8.0	1.29	589,714	8.0	0.33
05/11/09		9,599,171	16,547					227,170			599,566		
05/12/09		9,599,171	0		8.0	0.89		228,124	7.6	0.84	600,996	7.9	0.24
05/18/09		9,613,720	14,549		74	0.79		232,921	70	0.84	610 378	72	0.38
05/19/09		9,615,798	2,078		7.4	0.70		233,908	7.0	0.01	610,421	1.2	0.00
05/19/09		9,616,122	324					233,908			610,775		
05/25/09		9,624,219	8,097		7.0	0.50		237,697	74	4.00	615,786	7.0	0.40
05/26/09	06/01/09	9,624,219	0	May	7.3	0.58		238,168	7.1	1.08	616,149	7.0	0.16
06/01/09	00/01/03	9,652,323	28,104	82,310				245,914			637,378		
06/02/09		9,652,323	0	,	7.3	0.23	0.648	246,871	6.9	1.05	638,835	7.2	0.26
06/03/09		9,658,104	5,781					248,350			641,072		
06/15/09	07/01/00	9,701,735	43,631	luna				261,249			674,466		
07/01/09	07/01/09	9,727,975	26.240	77.001				272.082			691,914		
07/05/09		9,732,032	4,057					273,967			694,431		
07/07/09		9,732,032	0		7.4	0.96	0.878	274,443	7.1	2.20	695,508	7.1	0.20
07/20/09	00/04/00	9,742,289	10,257	hili	<u> </u>			278,743			700,527	<u> </u>	
08/03/00	08/01/09	9,748,231 9,749,307	7 108	20.712	<u> </u>			282 543			704 414	<u> </u>	
08/04/09		9,749,397	0		7.5	1.9	1.680	283,019	7.1	2.80	704,768	7.3	0.14
08/08/09		9,752,139	2,742					284,005			706,115		
08/08/09		9,753,763	1,624					284,480			707,282		
08/09/09		9,757,508	3,745					284,962			710,677		
08/10/09		9,761,572	4,064					286.411			714,131		
08/12/09		9,765,851	3,523		L			287,368			717,355	L	
08/13/09		9,767,253	1,402					287,846			718,430		
08/17/09		9,771,256	4,003					289,758			720,916		
08/30/09	00/01/00	9,785,737	14,481					295,976			730,538		
09/01/09	03/01/09	9,787.352	1.615	38,811	7.6	1.6	1.320	296.492	7.1	2.85	731.650	7.4	0.53
09/10/09		9,794,060	6,708					299,850			735,572		
09/21/09		9,800,194	6,134					303,204			738,803		
09/22/09	10/04/00	9,800,194	0	Sontomber				303,684			739,163		
10/01/09	10/01/09	9,800,949 9,807 401	7 207	19.906	<u> </u>			306 569			743 395	<u> </u>	
10/05/09		9,811,856	4,365					308,500			746,224		
10/06/09		9.811.856	0		6.9	1.8	1.700	308,983	6.8	2.48	746.576	7.1	0.55

			OUTFA	ALL 001				Ма	anhole	e #1	Ма	nhole	#2
Date Actual	Date For Linear Interpolation	Metered Discharge Reading (gallons)	Gallons Discharged Between Meter Reading	Monthly Discharge (gallons)	рН	Hexavalent Chromium Lab Analysis (mg/L) [Local Limit 4.5 mg/L]	Total Chromium Lab Analysis (mg/L) [Local Limit 7.0 mg/L]	Flow Totalizer #1 Reading (gallons)	рН	Hexavalent Chromium Hach Test Kit (mg/L)	Flow Totalizer #2 Reading (gallons)	рН	Hexavalent Chromium Hach Test Kit (mg/L)
10/15/09		9,827,819	15,963					314,838			757,329		
10/18/09		9,830,464	2,645					316,288			758,757		
	11/01/09	9,871,202		October									
11/02/09		9,875,106	44,642	64,253				329,981			793,417		
11/03/09		9,875,106	0		7.4	1.2	1.150	330,961	7.0	2.60	795,595	7.2	0.46
11/04/09		9,880,551	5,445					331,974			797,084		
11/05/09		9,882,809	2,258					332,950			798,526		
11/11/09		9,891,712	8,903					337,309			803,889		
11/12/09		9,893,927	2,215					338,274			805,324		
11/16/09		9,896,880	2,953					339,720			807,132		
11/17/09		9,897,695	815					340,200			807,495		
11/20/09		9,899,892	2,197					341,164			808,946		
11/30/09		9,914,595	14,703					346,476			819,664		
	12/01/09	9,914,595		November									
12/01/09		9,914,595	0	43,393	7.6	1.7	1.500	347,446	7.3	2.25	820,740	7.8	0.67
12/15/09		9,931,024	16,429					354,237			829,781		
12/18/09		9,933,254	2,230					355,200			831,213		
	01/01/10	9,956,004		December									
01/03/10		9,960,070	26,816	41,409				362,443			853,235		
01/05/10		9,960,070	0		6.9	2.3	2.220	362,924	7.2	5.36	855,045	7.2	0.68
01/14/10		9,969,979	9,909					365,847			860,488		
01/18/10		9,972,503	2,524					366,807			862,304		
01/31/10		9,991,034	18,531					370,664			878,832		
	02/01/10	9,991,034		January									
02/02/10		9,991,034	0	35,030	7.4	1.6	1.460	371,145	7.2	4.05	880,637	7.2	0.46
02/03/10		9,994,392	3,358			-		371,664			881,364		
02/16/10		10,002,996	8,604			-		374,543			887,937		
02/28/10	02/04/40	10,009,542	6,546	February				376,928			892,655		
00/00/40	03/01/10	10,009,542		February	7.0	1.0	1.0.10	270.020	74	2.70	000 700	7.4	4 44
03/02/10		10,009,542	U 5 700	18,508	1.6	1.0	1.340	370,928	1.4	2.70	893,132	1.4	1.41
03/00/10		10,010,341	33,799			<u> </u>		302 764			030,000		<u> </u>
03/13/10		10,040,010	33,275 17.275			<u> </u>		388 1/0			921,938		<u> </u>
03/17/10		10,000,691	11,270			<u> </u>		302 /79			942,009		<u> </u>
03/23/10		10,077,001	10,886					396 786			958,001		
03/31/10	04/01/10	10,000,407	10,000	March		<u> </u>		000,100			330,091		<u> </u>
04/01/10	01/10/1/10	10,000,720	330	79 183				396 786			958 456		
04/04/10		10,000,017	3 6/18	73,103				398 207			961 014		
04/06/10		10 092 465	0,040		74	13	1 180	399 166	72	2 00	962 110	72	0.20
04/19/10		10 151 166	58 701		1.4	1.0	1.100	416 846		2.00	1 005 028		0.20
0-4,10/10	05/01/10	10, 189 439	00,701	April		1		110,010			.,000,020		
05/03/10	00,01/10	10,196,869	45 703	100.715		1		432 284			1.038 553		
05/04/10		10,196,869	.0,100		7.3	ł		433,730	7.1	1.12	1.040.370	7.2	0.37

Table #10

CITY OF APPLETON POTW EFFLUENT COMPLIANCE LIMITS Effluent Point 001 N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site - Appleton, Wisconsin MCO No. M0050-930746.22

	Aluminum	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Cyanide	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	ZINC	Hexavalent
				Total							Chromium
	(I/gm)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/L)
Effluent Limits Permit #00-21	70	1.0	0.3	7.0	3.5	1.0	2.0	0.002	2.0	10.0	4.5

mg/l = milligram / liter ug/l = microgram / liter

Appendix C – Fact Sheet

N W Mauthe Superfund Site, 725 South Outagamie Street, Appleton, WI, January 2011



N W Mauthe Superfund Site 725 South Outagamie Street Appleton, WI

January 2011

History

The property at 725 South Outagamie Street in Appleton was a metal plating facility from 1960 to 1987. The Wisconsin Chromium Corporation conducted chrome electroplating there until 1976. Norbert W. Mauthe purchased the property and performed other types of electroplating from 1978 to 1987. Plating operations at the property ended in 1987.

In 1982, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) received a complaint about environmental contamination and investigated the property. DNR found contamination from plating solutions in both the soil and the groundwater. These contaminants included chromium, cadmium, cyanide, zinc and volatile organic compounds. This contamination had also spread to neighboring properties where it was in the soil, the groundwater and some basements.

State and Federal Responses

N. W. Mauthe did not take the legally required environmental response. The DNR installed a temporary groundwater collection system to reduce the movement of contaminated groundwater and investigated the spread of the contamination. In addition, DNR asked the Wisconsin Department of Justice (DOJ) to take legal action against N. W. Mauthe, which DOJ did in 1982. In 1984, DNR removed the most contaminated soils and paved the property with asphalt. The asphalt prevented people and animals from coming into contact with any remaining contaminated soil, and also prevented rainwater from flushing more contamination into the groundwater. In 1986, N. W. Mauthe passed away, and in 1988, the state settled the legal action with his estate.

DNR requested that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) add the N.W. Mauthe property to the Superfund National Priorities List (NPL), which would make the property eligible for Superfund funding and response actions. EPA acted on DNR's request in March of 1989. This allowed the state to begin a Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study (RI/FS) in September of 1989. The RI/FS is a federal requirement to fully understand the extent of the contamination so that the best options can be selected for cleanup.

More Contaminant Removal

EPA began contaminant removal in 1995 with a "removal action". A removal action is a short-term strategy to remove or contain hazards. EPA removed the buildings used for electroplating and excavated all soil with more than 500 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of chromium. EPA then constructed a groundwater collection system with three trenches to pull contaminated groundwater back to a central location. In





1996, EPA constructed a system to treat the collected groundwater using ferrous sulfate that required an operator to be at the plant daily. After treatment, the water was discharged to the city of Appleton's sanitary sewer under permit. This system started operation in 1997.

Current Operations

In 1998, EPA turned over operation and maintenance (O&M) of the groundwater collection and treatment system to DNR. DNR now supervises a local contractor that performs the site work. This includes testing the groundwater at monitoring points throughout the neighborhood. The contractor provides DNR with reports on the groundwater contamination and system operations twice a year.

DNR added four additional deep wells in June 2005 and four shallow wells in June 2006 to determine the severity and depth of the remaining groundwater contamination, and to better evaluate the efficiency of the collection system. In 2006, the DNR also worked with the city of Appleton to review historical groundwater data in an effort to minimize the labor-intensive on-site pretreatment and operation process. As a result of that review, the city of Appleton amended the discharge permit to allow the collected water to be discharged to the sanitary sewer without the costly on-site pre-treatment process. This significantly reduced the DNR's annual O&M costs associated with the system.

In 2007, the DNR worked with the city of Appleton's Parks and Recreation Department to develop a Cooperative Agreement. The Cooperative Agreement allows the city to utilize the previously vacant truck bay on-site in exchange for maintenance of the grounds.

Five-Year Reviews

Federal law requires a review of operations every five years at Superfund sites with continuing cleanups. The first five-year review for the N. W. Mauthe site was completed in April 2001 and concluded that the groundwater collection and treatment system was operating as designed. The second five-year review was completed in April 2006 and again concluded that the groundwater collection and treatment system was operating as designed. The third fiveyear review has now begun and provides another opportunity for residents to ask questions and learn more about the status of the cleanup. The third five-year review is scheduled to be completed in April of 2011. Residents may contact DNR's project manager to discuss this cleanup or to view information.

For More Information

You may direct questions about the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site to DNR project manager: Jennifer Borski, DNR 625 E. County Road Y, STE. 700 Oshkosh, WI 54901-9731 Phone: (920) 424-7887 Fax: (920) 424-4404 E-mail: jennifer.borski@wisconsin.gov

You may also view information about this cleanup in the DNR tracking system on the internet at

http://botw.dnr.state.wi.us/botw/SetUpBasic SearchForm.do. Enter 0245000127 in the activity number box.

To view the EPA website and additional reports for this property visit the following address:

http://cfpub.epa.gov/supercpad/cursites/csiti nfo.cfm?id=0505061

Appendix D – Documents Reviewed

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Remedial Investigation Report, N. W. Mauthe Site, Appleton, Wisconsin, CH₂M Hill, February 4, 1993.

Feasibility Study Report, N. W. Mauthe Site, Appleton, Wisconsin, CH₂M Hill, May 1993.

Declaration for the Record of Decision, March 31, 1994.

Record of Decision Summary, N. W. Mauthe Site, City of Appleton, Outagamie County, Wisconsin, March 1994.

Final Design Submittal, N. W. Mauthe Site, Appleton, Wisconsin, CH₂M Hill, May 1995.

Phase I Remedial Action Closure Report, N. W. Mauthe Site, Appleton, Wisconsin, CH₂M Hill, July 31, 1996.

Phase II Remedial Action Construction Documentation Report, N. W. Mauthe Site, Appleton, Wisconsin, CH₂M Hill, July 29, 1997.

Final O&M Manual, Groundwater Treatment System, N. W. Mauthe Site, Appleton, Wisconsin, CH₂M Hill, April 29, 1997.

Site Specific Plans for the N. W. Mauthe Long Term Response Action, CH₂M Hill, September 5, 1997.

Long-Term Remedial Action Report, N. W. Mauthe Long-Term Response Action, CH₂M Hill, November 1998.

Simulation of Solute Movement at a Chromium-Contaminated Site, N. W. Mauthe Property, 725 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI, OMNNI Associates, March 16, 2007.

Additional Site Investigation Report, N. W. Mauthe Site, System Evaluation, WDNR BRRTS Number 02-45-000127, OMNNI Associates, April 24, 2007.

Semi-Annual Operation & Maintenance Report, Report #41 (October 2009 – April 2010), N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site, WDNR BRRTS Number 02-45-000127, OMNNI Associates, May 12, 2010.

Appendix E – Concentration vs. Time Graphs

Plot 1 – Hexavalent Chromium in Collected, Untreated Groundwater MW-103 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-104 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-107 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-109 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-110 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-111 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-112 C. vs. T. for chromium MW-113 C. vs. T. for chromium Influent Hexavalent Chromium C vs. T. (Sump 1, Sump 2 & Combined) MW-107 C. vs. T. for VOCs MW-110 C. vs. T. for VOCs MW-113 C. vs. T. for VOCs All wells – Groundwater Elevation vs. Time Monthly Effluent (gallons per month) vs. Time



Plot 1 shows the hexavalent chromium concentrations found in groundwater collected at the site prior to the groundwater being treated by the on-site treatment system. The highest concentration found over that time period was 3.0 mg/L, which is less than the City of Appleton pretreatment standard. – Additional Site Investigation Report, OMNNI Associates, April 24, 2007



















Influent Hexavalent Chromium vs. Time (Sump 1, Sump 2 & Combined)











Monthly Effluent - Direct Discharge

Appendix F – Site Inspection Checklist
Site Inspection Checklist

I. SITE INFORMATION						
Site name: N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site	Date of inspection: 1/28/2011					
Location and Region: Appleton, WI, Region 5	EPA ID: WID083290981					
Agency, office, or company leading the five-year review: WDNR	Weather/temperature: Light snow, overcast, 20°F					
Remedy Includes: (Check all that apply) ✓Landfill cover/containment Model ✓Access controls ✓C ✓Institutional controls V Groundwater pump and treatment Surface water collection and treatment Other	Remedy Includes: (Check all that apply) ✓Landfill cover/containment Monitored natural attenuation ✓Access controls ✓Groundwater containment ✓Institutional controls Vertical barrier walls Groundwater pump and treatment Surface water collection and treatment Other Other					
	RVIEWS					
1. O&M site manager Brian Wayner O& Name Tit Interviewed on phone Problems and suggestions provided. See Wayner inte	<u>M Operator 1/18/2011</u> le Date rview record in Appendix G					
2. O&M staffnot applicable						
 Local regulatory authorities and response ag office, police department, office of public health deeds, or other city and county offices, etc.) Fil Agency <u>City of Appleton Health Departme</u> Contact <u>Kurt Eggebrecht, City of Appleton</u> Name Tit No problems or suggestions reported. See Egge 	encies (i.e., State and Tribal offices, emergency response or environmental health, zoning office, recorder of l in all that apply. <u>nt</u> on Health Officer 02/14/2011 920-832-6429 le Date Phone no. brecht interview record in Appendix G					
4. Other interviews – See Appendix G for interview records						
Oscar Kounguez, Filvate Resident at 1410 w Second St, Appleton, wi						
Carol Mauthe, Source Property Owner of 725 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI						
Bill Lecker and Tim McGuire, City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department, Appleton, WI						
Rick Drewa, Options in Housing, LLC, Property Owner of 715 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI						
David Lease, Facilities Manager for Miller Electric, Prop	erty Owner of 1515 W. Melvin St, Appleton, WI					

	III. ON-SITE DOCUMENTS & RECORDS VERIFIED (Check all that apply)
1.	O&M Documents O&M manual
2.	Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan
3.	O&M and OSHA Training RecordsReadily available✓Up to dateN/ARemarkslocated at OMNNI office
4.	Permits and Service Agreements Air discharge permit Readily available Up to date √N/A Effluent discharge √Readily available √Up to date N/A Waste disposal, POTW √Readily available ✓ Up to date N/A Other permits Readily available Up to date √N/A Remarks effluent discharge and POTW permit are the same V V V
5.	Gas Generation Records Readily available Up to date ✓ N/A Remarks
6.	Settlement Monument Records Readily available Up to date √N/A Remarks
7.	Groundwater Monitoring Records A Readily available A Up to date N/A Remarks Need to start a binder on-site that includes a site map along with discharge monitoring and groundwater monitoring data.
8.	Leachate Extraction Records Readily available Up to date \scale N/A Remarks
9.	Discharge Compliance Records Air Readily available Up to date ✓ N/A Water (effluent) ✓Readily available ✓ Up to date N/A Remarks
10.	Daily Access/Security Logs Readily available Up to date ✓N/A Remarks

			IV.	O&M COSTS		
1. O St PF Fe	O&M OrganizationState in-house✓Contractor for StatePRP in-houseContractor for PRPFederal Facility in-houseContractor for Federal FacilityOther Utilities and O&M costs beyond general contract are separate state costs.					
2. O&M Cost Records (Routine) Readily available √Up to date √Funding mechanism/agreement in place Original O&M cost estimate						
(Note	WD e: Costs deta	NR fiscal y iled below <u>c</u>	ear costs for ge do not include V	neral O&M contract WDNR salaries, utiliti	t during review perio es or "beyond routin	od ne O&M costs"
Fr	om July 1, 20	005 to June	30, 2006 (FY06	5) \$36,391.50		
F	om Inla 1 Of		20 2007 (EX20	7) \$21.559.29		
Fr	om July 1, 20	JUB to June	30, 2007 (FY0)	/) \$21,558.28		
Fr	om July 1, 20	007 to June	30, 2008 (FY08	8)\$27,461.83		
	-					
	T 1 1 0		$\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta $			
Fr	om July 1, 20	008 to June	30, 2009 (FY09	9)\$24,075.14		
Fr	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20	008 to June	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10	9) $24,075.14$		
Fr Fr	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20	008 to June 009 to June	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10	9)\$24,075.14 0)\$22,580.85		
Fr Fr	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 (9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,4(08.47	
Fr Fr	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 ccal year costs f	9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyo	08.47 and routine O&M c	osts"
Fr Fr Fr	om July 1, 2(om July 1, 2(om July 1, 2(ar 2006	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 ccal year costs f	9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,4(for utilities and "beyc	08.47 ond routine O&M c	osts"
Fr Fr Fr <u>Fiscal Ye</u> <i>Phone</i>	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i>	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 ccal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i>	9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyc Prof Serv Contract	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling	osts" Above O&M
Fr Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392,53	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis <i>Gas</i> \$2,196,58	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 ccal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72	 9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyond the serve Contract \$26,045.25 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79	osts" Above O&M \$938.16
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72	9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyo <i>Prof Serv Contract</i> \$26,045.25	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79	osts'' Above O&M \$938.16
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i>	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i>	9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 or utilities and "beyo <i>Prof Serv Contract</i> \$26,045.25 <i>Prof Serv Contract</i>	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling	Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1.902.10	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1.876.43	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20	 a)\$24,075.14 b)\$22,580.85 c)(1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 cor utilities and "beyond the serve contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serv Contract \$60,168,41 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00	osts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1.679.16
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye \$290.11 Fiscal Ye	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis <i>Gas</i> \$2,196.58 <i>Gas</i> \$1,876.43	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20	 9)\$24,075.14 9)\$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyond the serve Contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00	osts'' Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye \$290.11 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i>	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i>	 9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyond the serve Contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling	20sts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye 286.54 Fiscal Ye 290.11 Fiscal Ye 290.39	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i> \$1,787.45	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1,876.43 \$1,876.43 \$1,844.76	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07	 a)\$24,075.14 b)\$22,580.85 c)(1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 b) or utilities and "beyond or utilities and "b	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00	xosts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11 Fiscal Ye \$320.39 Fiscal Ye	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i> \$1,787.45 ar 2009	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07	 9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyond the serve contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serve Contract \$369.76 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00	xosts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11 Fiscal Ye Phone \$320.39 Fiscal Ye Phone	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 Electric \$2,392.53 ar 2007 Electric \$1,902.10 ar 2008 Electric \$1,787.45 ar 2009 Electric	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07 <i>Storm Water</i>	 9)\$24,075.14 9)\$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 for utilities and "beyond the serve Contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serve Contract \$369.76 Prof Serve Contract \$369.76 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling	xosts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 Above O&M
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye \$286.54 Fiscal Ye \$290.11 Fiscal Ye \$320.39 Fiscal Ye \$320.39 Fiscal Ye \$338.70	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 Electric \$2,392.53 ar 2007 Electric \$1,902.10 ar 2008 Electric \$1,787.45 ar 2009 Electric \$1,721.99	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76 Gas \$2,007.59	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,864.25	9)\$24,075.14 0) \$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 or utilities and "beyo <i>Prof Serv Contract</i> \$26,045.25 <i>Prof Serv Contract</i> \$60,168.41 <i>Prof Serv Contract</i> \$369.76 <i>Prof Serv Contract</i> \$369.76	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00	20sts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 Above O&M \$24.831.52
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11 Fiscal Ye Phone \$320.39 Fiscal Ye \$338.70 Fiscal Ye	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i> \$1,787.45 ar 2009 <i>Electric</i> \$1,721.99 ar 2010	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76 Gas \$1,844.76 Gas \$2,007.59	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,864.25	 9)\$24,075.14 9)\$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 or utilities and "beyond the serve contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serve Contract \$369.76 Prof Serve Contract \$0.00 	08.47 md routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00	above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 Above O&M \$24,831.52
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11 Fiscal Ye Phone \$320.39 Fiscal Ye Phone \$338.70 Fiscal Ye Phone	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i> \$1,787.45 ar 2009 <i>Electric</i> \$1,721.99 ar 2010 <i>Electric</i>	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76 Gas \$2,007.59	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,864.25 <i>Storm Water</i>	 a)\$24,075.14 b)\$22,580.85 c)(1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 b) or utilities and "beyond or utilities and "b	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling \$1,281.00 POTW Sampling	Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 Above O&M \$24,831.52 Above O&M
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$320.39 Fiscal Ye Phone \$338.70 Fiscal Ye Phone \$338.70 Fiscal Ye Phone \$3370.22	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$1,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i> \$1,787.45 ar 2009 <i>Electric</i> \$1,721.99 ar 2010 <i>Electric</i> \$1,653.65	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76 Gas \$2,007.59 Gas \$1,224.16	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,864.25 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,674.51	 9)\$24,075.14 9)\$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 or utilities and "beyo Prof Serv Contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serv Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serv Contract \$369.76 Prof Serv Contract \$0.00 Prof Serv Contract \$0.00 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling \$1,281.00 POTW Sampling \$1,281.00	Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 Above O&M \$24,831.52 Above O&M \$2930.90
Fr Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11 Fiscal Ye Phone \$320.39 Fiscal Ye Phone \$338.70 Fiscal Ye Phone \$370.22 Fiscal Ye	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 <i>Electric</i> \$2,392.53 ar 2007 <i>Electric</i> \$1,902.10 ar 2008 <i>Electric</i> \$1,787.45 ar 2009 <i>Electric</i> \$1,721.99 ar 2010 <i>Electric</i> \$1,653.65 ar 2011	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis 6as \$2,196.58 51,876.43 6as \$1,876.43 6as \$1,844.76 6as \$2,007.59 6as \$1,224.16	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f <i>Storm Water</i> \$1,202.72 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,820.20 <i>Storm Water</i> \$3,161.07 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,864.25 <i>Storm Water</i> \$2,674.51	 9)\$24,075.14 9)\$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 or utilities and "beyo Prof Serv Contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serv Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serv Contract \$369.76 Prof Serv Contract \$0.00 Prof Serv Contract \$0.00 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling \$1,281.00 POTW Sampling \$1,281.00	xosts" Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 \$24,831.52 Above O&M \$24,831.52 Above O&M
Fr Fiscal Ye Phone \$286.54 Fiscal Ye Phone \$290.11 Fiscal Ye Phone \$320.39 Fiscal Ye Phone \$338.70 Fiscal Ye Phone \$370.22 Fiscal Ye Phone	om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 om July 1, 20 ar 2006 Electric \$2,392.53 ar 2007 Electric \$1,902.10 ar 2008 Electric \$1,787.45 ar 2009 Electric \$1,721.99 ar 2010 Electric \$1,653.65 ar 2011 Electric	008 to June 009 to June 010 to Dece WDNR fis Gas \$2,196.58 \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,876.43 Gas \$1,844.76 Gas \$2,007.59 Gas \$1,224.16	30, 2009 (FY09 30, 2010 (FY10 mber 31, 2010 cal year costs f Storm Water \$1,202.72 Storm Water \$2,820.20 Storm Water \$3,161.07 Storm Water \$2,864.25 Storm Water \$2,674.51 Storm Water	 9)\$24,075.14 9)\$22,580.85 (1/2 FY 11)\$11,40 or utilities and "beyond the serve contract \$26,045.25 Prof Serve Contract \$60,168.41 Prof Serve Contract \$369.76 Prof Serve Contract \$0.00 	08.47 ond routine O&M c POTW Sampling \$3,301.79 POTW Sampling \$588.00 POTW Sampling \$315.00 POTW Sampling \$1,281.00 POTW Sampling \$835.00 POTW Sampling	Above O&M \$938.16 Above O&M \$1,679.16 Above O&M \$7,650.64 Above O&M \$24,831.52 Above O&M \$5,930.90 Above O&M

A. Fencing

1. Fencing damaged Location shown on site map **√Gates secured** N/A Remarks <u>Chain link fence installed at Mauthe property in 1991 and/or 1996 in good condition. Purpose</u> of site fence unclear since clay cap installed and treatment building locked. However, fence serves as visual marker to spur notice to coordinate with WDNR on any utility work. Gate for fence installed by WDNR in June 2005. If fence deteriorates or is damaged, consider removing fence with EPA approval. The wooden fence present in the neighborhood was installed by EPA during construction; however, it is not required as part of the remedy and maintenance is the responsibility of the property owners.</u>

B. Other Access Restrictions

1. **Signs and other security measures** Location shown on site map N/A Remarks <u>No signs posted</u>. No building identification posted.

C. Ins	titutional Controls (ICs)					
1.	Implementation and enforcement Site conditions imply ICs not properly implemented Site conditions imply ICs not being fully enforced Type of monitoring (e.g., self-reporting, drive by) O&M operator and Frequency daily site visits for APR and bimonthly O&M operator (m Responsible party/agency O&M contractor, currently OMNNI Contact Brian Wayner O&M operator 920-735-6900 Name Title Phone no.	Yes Yes <u>APR o</u> inimun	√No √No bservation 1)	N/A N/A		
	Reporting is up-to-date Reports are verified by the lead agency	Yes Yes	No No	√N/A √N/A		
	Specific requirements in deed or decision documents have been met Yes No Violations have been reported Yes No Other problems or suggestions: Remarks: <u>Clay cap and fencing are inspected and maintained by O&M operator, however</u> if the chain link fence at the Mauthe property is an IC for the purpose of preventing acce Post RA reports do not include discussion of ICs (e.g. whether in place or documented). reports will reference April 2010 entry on GIS Registry for ICs. Formal restrictions to p					
2.	AdequacyICs are adequate √ICs are inadequate RemarksICs being evaluated. See remarks under C.1. above.	nte		N/A		
D. Ger	neral					
1.	Vandalism/trespassingLocation shown on site map√No vandalism evidentRemarks					
2.	Land use changes on site Remarks Site utilized as satellite work station for APR under Cooperative Agreement with WDNR					
3.	Land use changes off site √N/A Remarks land use at all neighboring properties same as time of remedy in 1995/1996					

		VI. GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS
A.	Roads Applicable	✓ N/A
1.	Roads damaged Remarks	Location shown on site map Roads adequate N/A
B.	Other Site Conditions	
	Remarks	
	VII	. LANDFILL COVERS ✓Applicable N/A
A.	Landfill Surface	
1.	Settlement (Low spots) Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map √Settlement not evident Depth
2.	Cracks Lengths Remarks	Location shown on site map ✓ Cracking not evident Widths Depths Depths
3.	Erosion Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map ✓ Erosion not evident Depth
4.	Holes Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map ✓ Holes not evident Depth
5.	Vegetative Cover ✓ Trees/Shrubs (indicat Remarks <u>No signs of stree</u> aesthetic only. Any visib cap. Any stressed tree is o	✓ Grass Cover properly established ✓No signs of stress e size and locations on a diagram) ess to vegetative grass cover over clay cap. Presence of trees assumed to be le stress to trees likely due to inadequate precipitation and/or compacted clay chipped and mulched on-site.
6.	Alternative Cover (armo Remarks	ored rock, concrete, etc.) 🗸 N/A
7.	Bulges Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map

8.	Wet Areas/Water Damag	ge ✓ Wet areas/water da	mage not evident
	Wet areas	Location shown on site	e map Areal extent
	Ponding	Location shown on site	e map Areal extent
	Seeps	Location shown on site	e map Areal extent
	Soft subgrade	Location shown on site	e map Areal extent
	Remarks		
9.	Slope Instability Sli Areal extent Remarks	des Location shown on site	e map √No evidence of slope instability
B. B	enches Applica (Horizontally constructed in order to slow down the channel.)	ble ✓ N/A mounds of earth placed across a velocity of surface runoff and int	steep landfill side slope to interrupt the slope ercept and convey the runoff to a lined
1.	Flows Bypass Bench Remarks	Location shown on site	e map N/A or okay
2.	Bench Breached Remarks	Location shown on site	e map N/A or okay
3.	Bench Overtopped Remarks	Location shown on site	e map N/A or okay
C. L	etdown Channels Applica (Channel lined with erosio slope of the cover and will cover without creating ero	ble ✓ N/A n control mats, riprap, grout bag allow the runoff water collected sion gullies.)	s, or gabions that descend down the steep side by the benches to move off of the landfill
1.	Settlement Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map Depth	No evidence of settlement
2.	Material Degradation Material type Remarks	Location shown on site map Areal extent	No evidence of degradation
3.	Erosion Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map Depth	No evidence of erosion
4.	Undercutting	Location shown on site map	No evidence of undercutting
	Areal extent Remarks	Depth	

5.	Obstructions Type No obstructions Location shown on site map Areal extent Size Remarks
6.	Excessive Vegetative Growth Type No evidence of excessive growth Vegetation in channels does not obstruct flow Location shown on site map Areal extent Remarks Areal extent
D. (Cover Penetrations VApplicable N/A
1.	Gas Vents Active Passive Properly secured/locked Functioning Routinely sampled Good condition Evidence of leakage at penetration Needs Maintenance √N/A Remarks
2.	Gas Monitoring Probes Properly secured/locked Functioning Routinely sampled Good condition Evidence of leakage at penetration Needs Maintenance ✓ N/A Remarks
3.	Monitoring Wells (within surface area of landfill) ✓Properly secured/locked or fenced ✓Functioning ✓Routinely sampled ✓Good condition Evidence of leakage at penetration Needs Maintenance N/A Remarks The flush mount well covers are secured with bolts and monitoring well PVC pipe is capped with orange screw-on caps with the potential to be locked.
4.	Leachate Extraction Wells Routinely sampled Good condition Properly secured/locked Functioning Routinely sampled Good condition Evidence of leakage at penetration Needs Maintenance √N/A Remarks
5.	Settlement Monuments Located Routinely surveyed √N/A Remarks
ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
E. 6	Gas Collection and TreatmentApplicable \checkmark N/A
1.	Gas Treatment Facilities Flaring Thermal destruction Collection for reuse Good condition Needs Maintenance Remarks
2.	Gas Collection Wells, Manifolds and Piping Good condition Needs Maintenance Remarks

3.	Gas Monitoring Facilities (Good condition Needs Ma Remarks	(e.g., gas monitoring of adjacent homes or buildings) aintenance N/A
F. Cov	ver Drainage Layer	Applicable ✓ N/A
1.	Outlet Pipes Inspected Remarks	Functioning N/A
2.	Outlet Rock Inspected Remarks	Functioning N/A
G. Det	tention/Sedimentation Ponds	s Applicable $\sqrt{N/A}$
1.	Siltation Areal extent Siltation not evident Remarks	Depth N/A
2.	Erosion Areal exten Erosion not evident Remarks	nt Depth
3.	Outlet Works F Remarks	Functioning N/A
4.	Dam F Remarks	Functioning N/A
H. Ref	taining Walls A	Applicable $\sqrt{N/A}$
1.	Deformations L Horizontal displacement Rotational displacement Remarks Remarks	In the second
2.	Degradation L Remarks	Location shown on site map Degradation not evident
I. Peri	imeter Ditches/Off-Site Disch	narge Applicable √N/A
1.	Siltation Location s Areal extent Remarks	shown on site map Siltation not evident Depth

2.	Vegetative GrowthLocation shown on site mapN/AVegetation does not impede flow	
3.	Erosion Location shown on site map Erosion not evident Areal extent Depth Remarks	
4.	Discharge Structure Functioning N/A Remarks	
	VIII. VERTICAL BARRIER WALLS Applicable ✓ N/A	
1.	SettlementLocation shown on site mapSettlement not evidentAreal extentDepthRemarks	
2.	Performance Monitoring Type of monitoring Performance not monitored Frequency Head differential Remarks	
IX. (ROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER REMEDIES ✓ Applicable N/A	
A. G	oundwater Extraction Wells, Pumps, and Pipelines 🗸 Applicable N/A	
1.	Pumps, Wellhead Plumbing, and Electrical	
Good	condition √All required wells properly operating √ Needs Maintenance N/A	
Rema	Antholes #1 & #2 had disconnect switch wires corroded and were removed. Manhole #1 & #2 puncture in the image due to hydrogen sulfide and sulfuric acid. Pumps in manholes originals from EP2 installation.	<u>imps</u> <u>1</u> A
2.	Extraction System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances	
√Go Rema	l condition Needs Maintenance	
3.	Spare Parts and Equipment	
√Re	dily available on internet Good condition Requires upgrade Needs to be provided	
Rema	s No spare parts for extraction on site. Local plumber accessible and parts readily accessible on inter-	<u>rnet.</u>

B. Surface Water Collection Structures, Pumps, and Pipelines Applicable √N/A

1. Collection Structures, Pumps, and Electrical

Good condition Needs Maintenance

Remarks_____

2. Surface Water Collection System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances

Good condition Needs Maintenance

Remarks____

3. Spare Parts and Equipment

Readily available Good condition Requires upgrade Needs to be provided

Remarks_

C. Tr	eatment System	✓ Applicable	N/A	
1.	Treatment Train (Che Metals removal Air stripping Filters	ck components that Oil/water separa Carbon adsorber	apply) tion s	Bioremediation
	Additive (e.g., chelatio Others <u>Not utilized – d</u> Good condition	n agent, flocculent) irect discharge to PC Needs Mainten	DTW in ance	2006.
	Sampling ports properl	y marked <u>as sample</u>	<u>ports</u> ar	d √functional
	√Sampling/maintena	nce log displayed a	ind up t	o date
	✓Equipment proper	y identified		
	Quantity of groundwate 2006-2010. Quantity of surface wat Remarks <u>Ferrous sulfat</u> <u>cannot meet discharge</u> <u>options available. Tank</u>	er removed annually ter treated annually <u>i</u> te treatment equipme limits without treatment te in good condition	average none ent need nent. Th for stora	e of 750,000 gallons influent removed annually from s decommissioning. Need back-up treatment plan if ere are more effective and cost efficient treatment age capacity.
2.	Electrical Enclosures	and Panels (properl	y rated	and functional)
	N/A ✓ G	ood condition	Need	s Maintenance
	Remarks			
3.	Tanks, Vaults, StoragN/A✓ GRemarkssecondary co	e Vessels od condition intainment is the bui	√ Pro Iding	per secondary containment Needs Maintenance
4.	Discharge Structure a N/A ✓G Remarks <u>discharge is s</u>	and Appurtenances bod condition single pipe lateral to	Need City sev	s Maintenance ver

5.	Treatment Building(s)						
	N/A \checkmark Good condition Needs repair						
	Remarks <u>Building repairs include replaced doors, painted exterior overhead & man doors, upgraded</u> <u>exterior lights, replaced four heaters in the treatment building and two heaters in the truck bay and the</u> <u>A/C unit is scheduled for replacement in summer 2011.</u> Repairs tracked on checklist. Also installed flow totalizers on influent lines.						
6.	Monitoring Wells (pump and treatment remedy) ✓ Functioning ✓ Routinely sampled ✓ Good condition ✓ All required wells located Needs Maintenance N/A Remarks N/A						
D. Mo	nitoring Data						
1.	Monitoring DataIs routinely submitted on time - Yes \checkmark Is of acceptable quality						
2.	Monitoring data suggests: ✓ Groundwater plume is effectively contained ✓Contaminant concentrations are declining exceptions: chromium and VOCs at MW-107 are relatively stable; chromium at new wells, MW-109- MW-113 appear unstable likely due to fluctuations in precipitation;						

D. Monitored Natural Attenuation

1.	Monitoring Wells (natural attenuation remedy)					
	Properly secured/locked	Functioning Routinely sampled	Good condition			
	All required wells located	Needs Maintenance	✓ N/A			
	Remarks					

X. OTHER REMEDIES

If there are remedies applied at the site which are not covered above, attach an inspection sheet describing the physical nature and condition of any facility associated with the remedy. An example would be soil vapor extraction.

XI. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS

A. Implementation of the Remedy

Describe issues and observations relating to whether the remedy is effective and functioning as designed. Begin with a brief statement of what the remedy is to accomplish (i.e., to contain contaminant plume, minimize infiltration and gas emission, etc.).

According to the ROD, signed 3/31/1994, the remedy selected is intended to "...contain and/or control groundwater contamination with ultimate compliance with groundwater ARARs... installation of foundation drain systems...to prevent seepage of contaminated water into the buildings...institutional controls... that are intended to prevent access, excavation, disturbance of the newly constructed cap, future soil excavation in the railroad corridor for areas in the corridor where contaminated soils will remain and installation of drinking water wells..." The existing containment system is effectively containing the contaminated groundwater, however, ultimate compliance with groundwater cleanup goals does not appear achievable in a reasonable period of time. Installation of the foundation drain systems appears to have prevented further seepage of contaminated water into basements.

B. Adequacy of O&M

Describe issues and observations related to the implementation and scope of O&M procedures. In particular, discuss their relationship to the current and long-term protectiveness of the remedy. The collected groundwater is currently direct discharged to the POTW under permit without pre-treatment. Alternative options to meet the intended RA goals are currently being evaluated. O&M of the collection trenches is proving to be difficult. The WDNR is evaluating options for an exit strategy for the foundation drain systems and collection trenches, specifically the West Trench, but do not have the capability to sample water from individual trenches or manually disconnect individual trenches. The WDNR is also evaluating options for maintenance on manhole no. 2. The inability to sample individual trenches or temporarily shut down collection trenches is adding to the difficulty. WDNR annually verifies property ownership and individual access agreements are maintained. Long-term environmental easements are necessary to eliminate the need for access agreements and to assure notification of the system to prospective purchasers.

C. Early Indicators of Potential Remedy Problems

Describe issues and observations such as unexpected changes in the cost or scope of O&M or a high frequency of unscheduled repairs, that suggest that the protectiveness of the remedy may be compromised in the future.

Corrosion within manhole no. 2, if not addressed, will result in further degradation of the pump and may impact the overall collection system. The pumps in manholes #1 & #2 need to be replaced.

D. Opportunities for Optimization

Describe possible opportunities for optimization in monitoring tasks or the operation of the remedy. WDNR contracted with AECOM to prepare a sustainable cleanup guide for Wisconsin and also a site-specific optimization analysis at the Mauthe site. These documents are currently in draft and will be evaluated once finalized.

Appendix G – Interview Records

Brian Wayner, OMNNI Associates, Inc.

Oscar Rodriguez, Private Resident at 1410 W Second St, Appleton, WI Carol Mauthe, Source Property Owner of 725 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI Bill Lecker and Tim McGuire, City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department, Appleton, WI

Rick Drewa, Options in Housing, LLC, Property Owner of 715 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI

Kurt Eggebrecht, City of Appleton Health Officer and Tim Mirkes, City of Appleton Health Sanitarian

Carol Mauthe and Mark Mauthe, Source Property Owner of 725 S. Outagamie St., Appleton, WI and son

David Lease, Facilities Manager for Miller Electric, Property Owner of 1515 W. Melvin St, Appleton, WI

INTERVIEW RECORD					
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site			EPA ID No.: WID083290981		
Subject: Five Year Review Inter	rview		Time: 1:40-2:50 PM	Date: 1/18/11	
Type: √Telephone Location:	/isit Other		Incoming Outgoing)	
	Contact I	Made By:			
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Adv. Hydroge Mgr.	ologist, Proj.	Organization: WD	NR	
	Individual	Contacted:			
Name: Brian Wayner	Title: Enginee	er	Organization: OMNN	I Associates	
Telephone No: 920-735-6900 Fax No: 902-830-6100 E-Mail Address: brian.wayner@	0omnni.com	Street Addres City, State, Zi	s: One System Drive p: Appleton, WI 5491	4	
	Summary Of	Conversation			
The following questions were a	sked by Borski and	answered by V	Wayner:		
In 2006 we switched from batcl overall concerns have you, as sewer?	n treatment to direct O&M operator, notec	discharge witl I regarding the	nout treatment. What direct discharge to the	<u>issues /</u> he sanitary	
The treatment system has not been used since 2006 so if an exceedance [of permit limitations] is verified and the city requires treatment, we are not in a position to start the system immediately. The condition of the connection between the neighbors and the collection trench and condition of their sump pumps and drains is unknown as is the capacity. The time frame to address any violation of permit is unknown.					
doors for heating efficiency, re painting up-keep, replacement failed A/C, etc. Regarding the anticipate may occur in the new	doors for heating efficiency, replacing burnt out exterior bulbs with LED fixtures and lights, exterior painting up-keep, replacement of ice-breakers on roof, replacing cracked heating units, replacing failed A/C, etc. Regarding the <u>treatment building</u> , what other failures or complications do you anticipate may occur in the next five years?				
Snowguards do not seem to stay on the roof. Heavy snowfall can then impact gutters and downspouts. Interior lights life expectancy unknown and in place about 10 yrs. Maintenance and utility costs a concern. Cross-connection valve needs annual inspection by certified plumber and report sent to DCOMM but will need to be rebuilt. Purpose of it is unknown. Is it still a requirement under current operations? Heater / A/C will need to be replaced. Water heater is 10+ yrs old and life expectancy is usually around 10-12 yrs. All heaters in treatment building replaced. Two of three heaters in truck bay need replacing, anticipate third will need to be replaced as well. Without occupancy by Appleton Parks & Recreation (APR) Department, heating of the truck bay is not necessary if we remove the water line. Truck bay can be heated for APR with two heaters – may not need any heat. Third heater will likely fail in near future. Ceiling fans need to be cleaned but need a scissors truck with fall protection to reach them. Blades are white and coated in dust and grime. Compressor is not utilized and could be sold. Roof is fine with exception of snow guards. Wiring of control papel is fine but "contacts" may go at some point. Old technology.					
In 2006, we identified that the pump in manhole no. 2 needs to be replaced prior to failure, along with associated piping and connections. The piping and connections were replaced in November 2008. Since replacement of the pump also requires H_2S monitoring and WDNR resources are limited, this has not yet occurred but must be addressed. In addition to the needs already identified in manhole no. 2, what other failures or complications do you anticipate may occur with the collection system in the next five years?					
Manhole No 1 pump needs to be replaced as well. H_2S present in both manholes so explosion-proof pumps needed. Odor issue remains. We replaced wiring and piping. Manhole cover at no. 2 may need maintenance and/or replacement. A support was put in around the time of the wiring. Not a concern with the HDPE piping for collection.					
Continued on page 2 of 2					
Page 1 of 2					

INTERVIEW RECORD					
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site			EPA ID No.: WID083290981		
Subject: Five Year Review Interview			Time: 1:40-2:50 PM	Date: 1/18/11	
Type: √Telephone ∖ Location:	/isit Other		Incoming Outgoing	3	
	Contact	Made By:			
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Adv. Hydroge Mgr.	eologist, Proj.	Organization: WD	NR	
	Individual	Contacted:			
Name: Brian Wayner	Title: Enginee	er	Organization: OMNN	I Associates	
Telephone No: 920-735-6900 Fax No: 902-830-6100 E-Mail Address: brian.wayner@	omnni.com	Street Addres City, State, Zip	s: One System Drive b: Appleton, WI 5491	4	
	Summary Of	Conversation			
Continued from Page 1 of 2					
Any issues anticipated with the operation.	e collection system v	vithin the treatr	nent building? No is	sue with current	
Since installation of the source area wells in 2006, MW-109 through MW-113, it is clear that hexavalent chromium remains in groundwater at the source area well above the ARAR. In addition, cyanide is present at MW-112. Based on your knowledge of the site, what supplemental remedy or remedies do you recommend the WDNR consider to more aggressively address this plume? Excavation – unknown extent followed by sump with on-site treatment. Wells are shallow. Very high concentrations found in groundwater at Miller parking lot which was supposed to be the edge. Demolish					
building and excavate on-site soil injection and limits remedial optio	s. Phytoremediation. ns. Cut-off walls pote	Clay environme entially.	ent would not be condi	ucive for	
What is your evaluation of the game of the	<u>chromium</u> trends in	groundwater at	MW-110, MW-112 &	MW-113 from	
Likely viewing variability in chrom chromium. Variables are limited period.	e based on precipitati – same sampler, sam	on and water ele e sample metho	evations as opposed to d, same lab used over	o removal of this time	
What is your evaluation of the t 2007 – present?	trends in <u>VOCs</u> in gr	oundwater at N	IW-110, MW-112 & M	W-113 from	
Same comment as above (for chr when chromium concentrations g	omium). When chror o down, all parameter	nium concentrat s go down. Indi	ions increase, all para cative of precipitation	meters go up, issue.	
How do you believe the securit Cooperative Agreement betwee	How do you believe the security and maintenance of the facility have benefitted in result of the Cooperative Agreement between WDNR and City of Appleton Parks and Recreation Department?				
Increased. Someone on site nea (now retired) worked on lawn on y panel.	Increased. Someone on site nearly daily. Otherwise, contractor would be there only twice a month. Doug (now retired) worked on lawn on weekends. Nick calls Wayner right away when lights are out on control panel.				
Have you noted any issues with the Cooperative Agreement?					
No – APR keeps up maintenance of grass and snow very well.					
Do you have any other observations or recommendations?					
Big concerns are treatment syste challenging when trouble-shootin OMNNI that have become familia consultant with required bidding v Fence and wells in good condition	m if we need it again a g. Currently a good n r with the site and car vill always be an issue n.	and time to achie etwork of plumb a assist with quic e. Driveway like	eve ARARs. No As-Bu ers and electricians or k trouble-shooting. To ly not seal-coated but	uilts is n call with urnover of O&M not needed.	
Page 2 of 2					

INTERVIEW RECORD						
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site				EPA ID No.: WID083290981		
Subject: Five Year Review Inte	ervi	ew			Time: 1:15 PM	Date: 2/1/2011
Type: √ Telephone Location of Visit:	Vis	sit	Other		Incoming O	utgoing
			Contact	Made By:		
Name: Jennifer Borski	Tit	le: Hyd	rogeolog	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: W	/DNR
		In	dividual	Contacted:	•	
Name: Oscar Rodriguez		Title:	Private	Resident	Organization: N	/A
Telephone No: 920-205-3276 Fax No: N/A E-Mail Address: N/A				Street Address: City, State, Zip:	: 1410 W Second St : Appleton, WI 54914	
		Sum	mary Of	Conversation		
Summary Of Conversation Rodriguez contacted Borski with questions regarding the site access agreement sent to his home. Rodriguez provided Borski with his phone number and stated that he purchased the property in about June 2009. Rodriguez stated he was not informed of the contamination, the location of the collection trench or that his sump system is tied into the collection trench prior to purchasing the property. Rodriguez learned of the contamination historically in the neighborhood through a relative of a friend but assumed it was since cleaned up. Rodriguez stated he has had problems with damp basement walls about three feet up from the bottom. Damp plaster and insulation needed to be removed from the north and west sides of the basement and discarded. Rodriguez stated that the walls on the northeast corner are also wet. Rodriguez had a contractor come out to the property and drill a hole in the basement floor. The contractor apparently drilled down about four feet and no water came in. Rodriguez and his son placed fill and plastic around the home to slope drainage away from the house. He also replaced the roof. He is now running a dehumidifier and the moisture seems to be gone. Rodriguez stated that he does not have a sump crock in his basement, only a floor drain. Borski will arrange for the O&M contractor to visit Rodriguez and look into the issue of water in the basement historically. Rodriguez stated he will sign the access agreement right away and put it in the mail. Rodriguez called back at 1:50 PM after speaking with his Realtor. The Realtor stated he did not know the property was contaminated. Rodriguez recalled that he believes, but is not certain, the previous property owners lost the home to foreclosure. This adds emphasis to the concern for notifying potential purchasers of the remaining contamination. Borski will 2010 is adequa						

and monitoring wells.

Page 1 of 1

INTERVIEW RECORD				
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Sup	erfund Site		EPA ID No.: WI	D083290981
Subject: Five Year Review Inte	erview		Time: 3:30 PM	Date: 2/14/2011
Type:TelephoneVisLocation of Visit:Appleton C	ot.	Incoming Ou	tgoing	
	Contact I	Made By:		
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Hydrogeoloc	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: WDNR	
	Individual	Contacted:		
Name: Carol Mauthe	Title: Site Prop	erty Owner	Organization: N/A	
Name: Mark Mauthe	Title: Son of Pr	operty Owner	Organization: N	/A
Telephone No: 920-734-0845Street Address: 941 C Southwest CtFax No: N/ACity, State, Zip: Appleton, WI 54915E-Mail Address: N/ACity, State, Zip: Appleton, WI 54915				st Ct 1915
Summary Of Conversation				
After a phone interview by Borski of C. Mauthe, Borski recommended a face-to-face meeting to discuss the site. C. Mauthe attended with her son, M. Mauthe. Borski met the Mauthes at Appleton City Hall in the Health Department. Kurt Eggebrecht, Health Officer, and Tim Mirkes, Sanitarian, also attended.				

Borski stated that the Record of Decision called for a "containment system" to be installed and summarized the work performed by EPA between 1995 and 1998 (e.g. excavation, installation of collection trenches, remediation building, etc.). Borski also shared the results of OMNNI's March 2007 *Simulation of Solute Movement at a Chromium-Contaminated Site*. In summary, the containment system installed by EPA is projected to need to operate for more than 500 years. C. Mauthe and M. Mauthe expressed that N. W. Mauthe passed away in 1988 and they had "no idea the property was not cleaned up by EPA". C. Mauthe and M. Mauthe were also not aware of the study performed by OMNNI or the results.

Borski then reviewed the existing access agreement with C. Mauthe that expires December 31, 2014. Due to the length of time the containment system is projected to run, Borski recommended C. Mauthe consider working out an environmental easement with WDNR and provided a copy of an easement finalized for another project as an example. C. Mauthe and M. Mauthe left the meeting at 4:10 PM and planned to discuss their options and get back to Borski.

[**UPDATE FEBRUARY 25, 2011** – M. Mauthe contacted Borski by phone and left a voice mail message to proceed with drafting an easement.]

Page 1 of 1

INTERVIEW RECORD					
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Sup		EPA ID No.: WID083290981			
Subject: Five Year Review Inte	erview		Time: 9:30 AM	Date: 2/2/2011	
Type: √ Telephone Location of Visit:	Visit Other		Incoming Ou	tgoing	
	Contact	Made By:			
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Hydrogeolog	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: W	/DNR	
	Individual	Contacted:			
Names: Bill Lecker and Tim McGuire	Names: Bill Lecker and Tim McGuire Tim KcGuire Tim AcGuire Titles: Director of Recreation Departu		Organization: C	ity of Appleton	
Telephone No: 920-832-3915 Fax No: N/A E-Mail Address: N/A		Street Address: City, State, Zip:	: 100 N Appleton St Appleton, WI 54911		
	Summary Of	Conversation			
The following questions were	asked by Borski a	nd answered by	Lecker and McG	uire:	
Do you feel well informed abo	ut the activities an	d progress of the	e project? yes		
Are there any concerns for sa process or general site mainte	Are there any concerns for safety at the facility by Appleton staff either by the collection process or general site maintenance? No pretty comfortable				
What type of training is provided to Appleton Parks & Recreation Staff that work out of the facility? For example, any OSHA training? 8hr general city training. CPR & First Aid done. Regular member stationed with any short-term workers.					
Are you aware of any community concerns? No					
 What types of issues or concerns have Appleton staff reported to the O&M consultant since the start of the Cooperative Agreement? Heaters in garage bay. Never any collection system issues. No switches or lights blinking. Do you have any comments/suggestions/recommendations? Dean Gazza question. Facilities Grounds & Const responsible for all truck maintenance. On site staff now under Dean, not Bill. Curious on long-term prognosis. City willing to discuss option to possibly take over the building if and when that time comes. Works well as a satellite work station. Our needs are always changing. 					

Page 1 of 1

INTERVIEW RECORD				
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Sup	perfund Site		EPA ID No.: WI	D083290981
Subject: Five Year Review Int	erview		Time: AM	Date: 2/9/11
Type: √ Telephone Location of Visit:	Visit Other		Incoming Ou	tgoing
	Contact I	Made By:		
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Hydrogeolog	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: W	VDNR
	Individual	Contacted:		
Name: Rick Drewa	Title: Preside	ent	Organization: Options In Housing, LLC	
Telephone No: 920-735-5590Street AddressFax No: N/ACity, State, ZipE-Mail Address: N/ACity			: 1007 E Glendale Appleton, WI 54	è Ave I911
	Summary Of	Conversation		
Borski contacted Drewa after learning the property, 715 South Outagamie St., is now owned by Options in Housing, LLC. Drewa was not aware of the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site or the presence of W-2 on his property when purchased. Borski summarized the activities at the site and requested Drewa sign an access agreement for continued monitoring of W-2. Drewa stated that he has a contract or agreement with the Department of Corrections and will routinely be changing tenants. Drewa requested a copy of the July 2008 letter issued to the city of Appleton regarding the safety of employees operating at the site. Drewa intends to share the letter with DoC to maintain his contract due to the proximity to the Superfund site. Drewa had no other concerns.				

Page 1 of 1

INTERVIEW RECORD					
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Supe		EPA ID No.: WID083290981			
Subject: Five Year Review Inter	view		Time: 3:00 PM	Date: 2/14/2011	
Type: Telephone Visit Location of Visit: Appleton Cit	√ Other y Hall, Health Dep	ot.	Incoming Ou	tgoing	
	Contact	Made By:			
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Hydrogeolog	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: W	/DNR	
	Individual	Contacted:			
Name: Kurt Eggebrecht	Title: Health Of	ficer	Organization: C	ity of Appleton	
Name: Tim Mirkes	Title: Sanitaria	n	Organization: C	ity of Appleton	
Telephone No: 920-832-6429Street Address: 100 N. Appleton St.Fax No: 920-832-5853City, State, Zip: Appleton, WI 54911E-Mail Address: <a href="https://www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.w</th> <th> St. 911</th>			St. 911		
	Summary Of	Conversation			
Borski met Eggebrecht and Mirkes at Appleton City Hall in the Health Department. Borski provided an update on the following items:					
Cooperative Agreement v	with Appleton Parks	s and Recreation I	Department (2008	8 & 2010);	
 Change in property ownership at 715 S. Outagamie and 1400 and 1410 W. Second St since the last five-year review. Owners of 715 S. Outagamie and 1410 S. Second were not aware of the N. W. Mauthe Superfund Site in the neighborhood or the presence of a monitoring well (715 S. Outagamie) or drain tile tied into collection system (1400 W. Second) on their property prior to purchase. 					
 Placement of source site layer of the RR Sites Mag 	 Placement of source site and all properties with the collection trench placed on GIS Registry layer of the RR Sites Map on internet in April 2010; 				
Direct discharge of collect	• Direct discharge of collected water occuring since July 2006 under permit by the city;				
 Installation of piezometers by OMNNI in 2006; 					
 March 2010 concentrations in OMNNI monitoring wells in former source area; 					
Plans to replace sump pumps in both manhole no. 1 and no. 2;					
 Water damage in basement of 1410 W. Second St. that does not appear to be related to the containment system or groundwater but more investigation is needed. 					
Mirkes stated that there have been no public concerns regarding the property. In the past five years, the Health Department received one inquiry from a potential buyer of 1400 W. Second St. who Health refered to Borski. Borski did speak with the potential buyer prior to sale.					

Page 1 of 1

INTERVIEW RECORD				
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Sup	erfund Site		EPA ID No.: WI	D083290981
Subject: Five Year Review Inte	erview		Time: 3:30 PM	Date: 2/14/2011
Type:TelephoneVisLocation of Visit:Appleton C	ot.	Incoming Ou	tgoing	
	Contact I	Made By:		
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Hydrogeoloc	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: WDNR	
	Individual	Contacted:		
Name: Carol Mauthe	Title: Site Prop	erty Owner	Organization: N/A	
Name: Mark Mauthe	Title: Son of Pr	operty Owner	Organization: N	/A
Telephone No: 920-734-0845Street Address: 941 C Southwest CtFax No: N/ACity, State, Zip: Appleton, WI 54915E-Mail Address: N/ACity, State, Zip: Appleton, WI 54915				st Ct 1915
Summary Of Conversation				
After a phone interview by Borski of C. Mauthe, Borski recommended a face-to-face meeting to discuss the site. C. Mauthe attended with her son, M. Mauthe. Borski met the Mauthes at Appleton City Hall in the Health Department. Kurt Eggebrecht, Health Officer, and Tim Mirkes, Sanitarian, also attended.				

Borski stated that the Record of Decision called for a "containment system" to be installed and summarized the work performed by EPA between 1995 and 1998 (e.g. excavation, installation of collection trenches, remediation building, etc.). Borski also shared the results of OMNNI's March 2007 *Simulation of Solute Movement at a Chromium-Contaminated Site*. In summary, the containment system installed by EPA is projected to need to operate for more than 500 years. C. Mauthe and M. Mauthe expressed that N. W. Mauthe passed away in 1988 and they had "no idea the property was not cleaned up by EPA". C. Mauthe and M. Mauthe were also not aware of the study performed by OMNNI or the results.

Borski then reviewed the existing access agreement with C. Mauthe that expires December 31, 2014. Due to the length of time the containment system is projected to run, Borski recommended C. Mauthe consider working out an environmental easement with WDNR and provided a copy of an easement finalized for another project as an example. C. Mauthe and M. Mauthe left the meeting at 4:10 PM and planned to discuss their options and get back to Borski.

[**UPDATE FEBRUARY 25, 2011** – M. Mauthe contacted Borski by phone and left a voice mail message to proceed with drafting an easement.]

Page 1 of 1

INTERVIEW RECORD				
Site Name: N. W. Mauthe Sup		EPA ID No.: WI	D083290981	
Subject: Five Year Review Inte	erview		Time: 1:45 PM	Date: 3/30/2011
Type: ✓ Telephone Location of Visit:	Visit Other		Incoming Ou	tgoing
	Contact I	Made By:		
Name: Jennifer Borski	Title: Hydrogeolog	gist, Proj. Mgr.	Organization: W	VDNR
	Individual	Contacted:		
Name: David Lease	Title: Facility	Manager	Organization: Miller Electric	
Telephone No: 920-735-4140Street Address: 1635 W Spencer StFax No: 920-831-4632City, State, Zip: Appleton, WI 54914E-Mail Address: dlease@millerwelds.comStreet Address: 1635 W Spencer St			r St I914	
	Summary Of	Conversation		
Borski summarized the five-year review process and site inspection performed in January 2011. At the request of Lease, Borski reviewed the cooperative agreement with the Appleton Parks and Recreation Department as well as maintenance performed on the treatment facility over the past five years and potential issues with the collection system (e.g. manhole pump failure, residential drain tiles connected to collection system only, etc.) Lease stated he has had no issues regarding the site. Lease noted that Miller is a passive observer of the activities since their drainage system is not tied into the collection system and no activities are planned on Miller property at this time beyond maintenance of the collection system, manhole no. 1 and sampling of MW-101.				
Borski informed Lease of cigarette butts found on the cement at manhole no. 1 during site inspection in January and the need to avoid smoking near the manhole or disposing of used cigarette butts at the manhole. Lease noted that there is a "no smoking on campus" policy and the presence of cigarette butts indicates there has been smoking on the premises. Lease stated he will increase surveillance of the parking lot area and address the smoking issue. Lease stated that he was initially concerned about the site when he received the notice of the five-year review but has no concerns or issues at this time.				

Page 1 of 1

Appendix H – City of Appleton Cooperative Agreement

Co-op Agreement 2010

Borski, Jennifer - DNR

From:Panzer, Timothy J - DNRSent:Friday, June 04, 2010 12:19 PMTo:Borski, Jennifer - DNRSubject:RE: Reply requested: status of Cooperative Agreement approval for Appleton

I have the signed copies on my desk. Pat Henderson signed it for the Sec this morning.

From:	Borski, Jennifer - DNR
Sent:	Friday, June 04, 2010 09:57 AM
To:	Panzer, Timothy J - DNR
Subject:	Reply requested: status of Cooperative Agreement approval for Appleton

Tim,

Just checking in on the status of the Cooperative Agreement with Appleton at the Mauthe site. Did the question on whether or not Al Shea could sign in lieu of Sec. Frank get resolved? I realize we may be at the mercy of the Secretary's office but need to check since the last agreement expired 5/30/10. Thanks.

Jennífer Borskí

Hydrogeologist Remediation and Redevelopment Program Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 625 East County Road Y, STE. 700 Oshkosh, WI 54901-9731 (2) phone: (920) 424-7887 (2) fax: (920) 424-7887 (2) e-mail: jennifer.borski@wisconsin.gov Internet address: www.dnr.wi.gov/org/aw/rr

NOTE: Beginning January 4, 2010, the Oshkosh DNR Service Center will be open to the public Wednesdays and Fridays only, from 9:00-12:30 and 1:30-4:00.

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT FOR USE OF THE BUILDING AND FACILITIES AT THE N.W. MAUTHE CONTAMINATION REMEDIATION SITE LOCATED AT 725 SOUTH OUTAGAMIE ST., APPLETON, WISCONSIN April 2010

This Cooperative Agreement ("the contract") is entered into by and between the State of Wisconsin, Department of Natural Resources ("the Department") and the City of Appleton ("the City") under the authority of section 66.0301, Wisconsin Statutes, which provides for intergovernmental cooperation. The Agreement is for the purpose of providing routine building and grounds maintenance in exchange for use of the on-site building and facilities, subject to the following terms and conditions;

1. PERIOD OF AGREEMENT: This contract shall be in effect from the date it is signed by both parties for a period of 24 months, subject to renewal upon mutual agreement of the parties.

2. CANCELLATION. The Department reserves the right to cancel this contract in whole or in part, without penalty, if the City fails to comply with the terms and conditions of this contract. Either the Department or the City may terminate this contract with six months written notice to the other party.

3. ENTIRE CONTRACT; AMENDMENTS. This contract, and referenced parts and attachments, shall constitute the entire agreement and previous communications or agreements pertaining to the subject matter of this contract are hereby superseded. Any contractual revisions including cost adjustments and time extensions may be made only by a written amendment to this contract, signed by both parties prior to the ending date of this contract.

4. ASSIGNMENT. Neither this contract nor any right or duty in whole or in part by the City under this contract may be assigned, delegated or subcontracted without the written consent of the Department.

5. SCOPE OF WORK. The Scope of Work for this contract is included in Attachment #1 and is incorporated by reference.

6. COMPENSATION. The Department agrees to allow the City the use of the building and facilities at the N.W. Mauthe site in exchange for the City providing routine maintenance of the building and grounds as described in the above Scope of Work.

7.INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR. The City is an Independent Contractor for all purposes and is not an employee or agent of the Department. The Department agrees that the City shall have sole control of the method, hours worked, and time and manner of any performance under this contract other than as specifically provided in the scope of work. The Department takes no responsibility for supervision or direction of the City's employees or agents, and no responsibility for their safety. The City shall be responsible for taking adequate measures to protect the health and safety of its employees and agents and any other persons having access to the site. The Department further agrees that it will exercise no control over the selection and dismissal of the City's employees or agents.

8.LIABILITY IMPOSED BY LAW. Each party to this contract agrees that, as related to this cooperative agreement, any loss or expense (including costs and attorney fees) by reason of liability imposed by law, will be the responsibility of the party responsible for the officer, employee or agent whose activity caused the loss or expense.

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT FOR USE OF THE BUILDING AND FACILITIES Page 2 of 3 AT THE N.W. MAUTHE CONTAMINATION REMEDIATION SITE April 2010

9. WORKERS COMPENSATION & EMPLOYERS LIABILITY INSURANCE. The City shall maintain, during the term of this contract, worker's compensation insurance as required by Wisconsin Statute for all employees engaged in the work and public liability and property damage insurance against any claim(s) which might occur in carrying out the contract. Minimum coverage is \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury or disease and \$500,000 property damage.

10. GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE. The City shall maintain, during the term of this contract, general liability insurance at a minimum coverage of \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage. The State must be named as an additional insured under the general liability policy. The City will provide a Certificate of Insurance to the state within 14 days of execution of this contract.

11. NONDISCRIMINATION. In connection with the performance of work under this contract, the City agrees not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of age, race, religion, color, handicap, sex, physical condition, developmental disability as defined in section 51.01(5), Wis. Stats., sexual orientation or national origin. This provision shall include, but not be limited to, the following: employment, upgrading, demotion or transfer; recruitment or recruitment advertising; layoff or termination; rates of pay or other forms of compensation; and selection for training, including apprenticeship. Except with respect to sexual orientation, the City further agrees to take affirmative action to ensure equal employment opportunities. The City agrees to post in conspicuous places, available for employees and applicants for employment, notices to be provided by the Department setting forth the provisions of this nondiscrimination clause. Failure to comply with the conditions of this clause may result in the termination of this contract.

12. APPLICABLE LAW. This contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Wisconsin. The Contractor shall at all times comply with all federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations in effect during the period of this contract.

		DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
Date	By:	Matthew J. Frank, Secretary
		Signature:
		CITY OF APPLETON
Date	By:	
	Title:	

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT FOR USE OF THE BUILDING AND FACILITIES AT THE N.W. MAUTHE CONTAMINATION REMEDIATION SITE April 2010

Signature:

City of Appleton Cooperative Agreement ATTACHMENT 1 – April 2010 N. W. Mauthe Contamination Remediation Site

City of Appleton (the "City") Responsibilities:

I. DOORS AND SECURITY

A. The City will provide a combination lock and chain for the security gate. The combination shall be communicated with the Department.

B. Keys provided to the City must be stamped "DO NOT COPY".

C. A list of City staff with keys assigned must be provided to the Department annually. One key will be stored in the City of Appleton Parks main office.

D. The front door should be shut and not propped open. Truck bay doors can be left open while on site.

E. All doors (pedestrian and overhead) will be closed and locked when the building is vacated.

F. An electronic key pad for entrance is not allowed.

II. HEALTH & SAFETY

A. There is no smoking in the building.

B. The City is responsible to provide signs necessary for their staff (e.g. no smoking, turn lights off, etc.)

C. The City is responsible to implement and fund recommendations by the Appleton Fire Department that are a result of City of Appleton occupancy and use.

D. The City will provide signs or notices of general guidelines for placement on the walls (e.g. Lock all doors before leaving.)

E. The City will stock, use and maintain the Morton Safety Kit located outside the control room.

F. City staff will immediately notify the operator or Department Project Manager of emergency issues (e. g. building maintenance needs, vandalism, etc.)

III. FACILITIES OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

A. The City will post a signed copy of the Cooperative Agreement and Attachment in the Truck Bay.

B. The City will maintain the grounds at their expense year-round in exchange for use of the truck bay, control room and bathroom (e.g. perform additional landscaping around the building including installing borders, raised vegetation beds and new vegetation, lawn, tree and shrub care, snow removal, daily litter pick-up, graffiti removal, etc).

C. City staff will walk the grounds and building daily to fill out a general grounds and facility checklist provided by the Department (e.g. all heaters/air conditioning is working; no water on the floor; not obvious leaking of roof or pipes; no vandalism, etc.). The City will notify the Department's operations contractor immediately if there are any issues to be addressed.

D. A microwave and stand is allowed in the control room for use by City staff during breaks and lunch.

E. City staff (not external cleaning crew) are responsible for cleaning and stocking the bathroom and providing cleaning tools and products. The City will sweep and clean the office and truck floors and walkways weekly. Daily cleaning of floors is necessary after heavy use (e.g. mud, slush, etc.)

City of Appleton Cooperative Agreement ATTACHMENT 1 – April 2010 N. W. Mauthe Contamination Remediation Site

G. The truck bay drain empties into the treatment system. Vehicle and machine washing in the truck bay is not allowed. Truck washing on the lawn within the fence is acceptable. The City will place and maintain a silt screen over the truck bay drain to prevent dirt, leaves, etc. from entering the drain that may fall from vehicles (e.g. snow and ice melt, mud off wheels, etc).

H. One trailer stored on site outside overnight is acceptable. No other equipment may be stored outside.

I. The City may place a picnic table within the fence for use by City staff.

IV. SITE ACCESS AND UTILITIES

A. The City is responsible to provide their own telephone services. The existing phone in the control room is designated for system alarms and must be available to call out 24 hrs/day, 7 days/week.

B. The City is responsible to maintain an access agreement with the property owner. The current access agreement expires December 31, 2014.

Department of Natural Resources (the "Department") Responsibilities:

A. The Department will hold a meeting with new or existing City staff upon request regarding the history of the site, safety concerns and on-going remediation operations.B. The Department will provide updated fact sheets on the N. W. Mauthe Superfund site for City staff, as appropriate (e.g. after a Five-Year-Review with EPA). These fact sheets may

be provided to interested citizens.

C. The Department will provide City staff with a short checklist for daily use (e.g. heaters/AC functional, no water on floor, no vandalism, etc.)

D. The Department will inform the City when there is a change of operations contractor. The operations contract is typically put out for bid every three to four years.

E. The Department will inform the City in advance of any additional investigative or remedial actions on the property that may temporarily affect their operations.

F. The Department will perform a biennial walk-through.

G. Either the Department or the City may terminate the agreement with six months written notice.

Appendix I – Routine Site Inspection Sheets

Inspection Sheet Operator Log Sheet


OMNNI ASSOCIATES, INC. ONE SYSTEMS DRIVE APPLETON, WI 54914-1654 1-800-571-6677 920-735-6900 FAX 920-830-6100

Inspection Sheet Groundwater Pretreatment System, N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site

This inspection record must be completed each month. Provide further description and comments, if necessary, on a separate sheet of paper and attach to this sheet. *Any item that receives "No" as an answer must be described.

Task	Y	N*	N/A	Description & Comments
Grounds				
Yard gates locked				
Fence in good condition				
Debris picked up				
Exterior building in good condition				
General grounds in good condition				
Garage				
Ceiling fan operational				
Heaters functional (seasonal)				
Lights operational				
Room temperature >50°F				
Overhead doors functional				
Overhead doors closed				
Fire extinguisher charged (1)				
Office Area				
Lights operational				
Phone has dial tone				
No alarms lights activated on control				
panel				
Controls appropriately set				
Heater/AC functional (seasonal)				
Waste basket emptied if needed				
Bathroom				
Light operational				
Fan operational				
Sink operational				
Toilet operational				
Toilet paper stocked				
Hand towels stocked				
Hand soap stocked				
Floor drain clear				
Treatment Process Area				
Two exterior doors auto lock and				
closed				
Heaters functional (seasonal)				
Room temperature >55°F				
Flow totalizers operational (3)				
Air intake vents closed				
Lights operational				
Ceiling fans operational				
Floor dry (excluding tank/pipe				
condensation)				
Treatment tanks in good condition				
Piping in good condition				

Pumps/valves/fittings in good condition		
Fire extinguishers charged (2)		
Sample Preparation Area		
Light operational		
Sink operational		
Hand towels stocked		
Hand soap stocked		
Waste basket emptied if needed		
Hot water heater in good condition		
Safety kit stocked		
Sampling containers stocked		
Chain of custody forms stocked		
Sampling supplies stocked		
Sampling equipment functional		

Date: _____

Signature: _____

Rev. 09/07/10



OMNNI ASSOCIATES, INC. ONE SYSTEMS DRIVE APPLETON, WI 54914-1654 1-800-571-6677 / 920-735-6900 FAX 920-830-6100

Operator Log Sheet Groundwater Pretreatment System, N.W. Mauthe Superfund Site

Data entered by:	Date:	Time:	Outfall 001 reading:	Total flow Manhole #1:	Total flow Manhole #2:			
Operator:	Operator: Date: Arrival time: Departure time:							
Plant, building	and grounds	scheck	Outfall 001	reading	gallons			
Outfall 001 flov	w meter inspe	ection 🗌	Total flow Mai	nhole #1	gallons			
Close discharç	ge valve 🗌		Total flow Mar	nhole #2	gallons			
Oracratar	Data			Deve entru				
					e ume:			
Open dischar	ge valve	lotal flow	/#1	gal lotal flow #2	gal			
Outfall 001 He	xavalent & To	otal Chromiu	m samples collected	Yes No	рН			
Manhole #1 Pump set to Manual Pump set to Auto								
Hexavalent Chromium concentration mg/L pH								
Dilution require	ed Yes 🗌	No 🗌						
[Calculation	1 part effluent	part(s) di	stilled watermg/L	X (dilution fact	tor) =mg/L]			
Manhole #2 Pump set to Manual Pump set to Auto								
Hexavalent Chromium concentration mg/L pH								
Dilution required Yes No								
[Calculation	1 part effluent	part(s) di	stilled watermg/L	X (dilution fact	tor) =mg/L]			
Comments:								

Notes:

Manhole #1 and #2 influents samples are measured by Hach test kit, model Pocket Colorimeter II, for hexavalent chromium (unfiltered). All samples collected for pH analysis are measured by Hach pH Pocket Pal Tester.

Hexavalent Chromium (unfiltered) submitted to Pace Analytical Services for laboratory analysis.

Total chromium (filtered) submitted to Pace Analytical Services for laboratory analysis.

Appendix J – WDNR RR Sites Map

RR Sites Map – GIS Registry Theme, Scale 1:5,000 RR Sites Map – GIS Registry Theme, Scale 1:1,456 On-going Cleanups with Continuing Obligations Cover Sheet – April 2010 GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 151 W. Melvin St. GIS Registry Site Report for 725 S. Outagamie St. GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 801 S. Outagamie St. GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1400 W. Second St. GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1410 W. Second St. GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1414 W. Second St. GIS Registry Off-Site Report for 1428 W. Second St. [This page intentionally left blank.]





Ongoing Cleanups with Continuing Obligations Cover Sheet

Purpose

This cover sheet summarizes continuing obligations regarding environmental conditions on this property. Continuing obligations are legal mechanisms that:

- 1) Require or restrict certain actions to protect human health or the environment.
- 2) Minimize human and natural resource exposure to contamination, and/or
- 3) Give notice of the existence of residual contamination

Learn more about continuing obligations at http://dnr.wi.gov/org/aw/rr/cleanup/obligations.htm

DNR	Prop	erty	Infor	mation:
-----	------	------	-------	---------

DNR Property Infor	mation:	DNR Approval Date: Mar 31, 1994
BRRTS #:	02-45-000127	FID #: 445014460
ACTIVITY NAME:	N W Mauthe (SF)	
PROPERTY ADDRESS:	725 S Outagamie St	
MUNICIPALITY:	Appleton	
PARCEL ID #:	313011500	
*WTN	M COORDINATES:	WTM COORDINATES REPRESENT:
X: 645	5400 Y: 421461	○ Approximate Center Of Continuing Obligations
* Coordinate	es are in WTM83, NAD83 (1991)	• Approximate Source Parcel Center
Please use	the CLEAN system at http://d	nr.wi.gov/org/aw/rr/clean.htm for additional DNR site information.
EPA Superfund Info	ormation (if applicable):	
EPA ID: WID08	3290981 To view more inj	ormation click on the EPA ID.
SITE NAME: N W MAU	THE CO INC	
Requirements for <i>all</i> p 1. Properly manage cor 2. DNR approval is req	properties with Continuing ntaminated soil if it is excava juired if a water supply well	Obligations ited. Sample and arrange appropriate treatment or disposal. will be constructed or reconstructed.
	Site-Specific	Requirement(s) - (BRRTS Action Code)
$\mathbf{\overline{X}}$ A "cap" over the conta	minated area must be: (222)	A structural impediment (e.g. building) is present which
Constructed & N	Maintained X Maintaine	d inhibited investigation/cleanup. Further environment work may be required if the impediment is removed. (224)
A vapor mitigation sys	stem must be: (226)	DNR has directed a local government unit (LGU) to take an
Constructed & N	Maintained 🗌 Maintaine	action and a LGU liability exemption applies. This exemption does not transfer to future private owners. (230)
The need for vapor con if a building will be co	ntrol technology must be evalua nstructed. (228)	ted Another type of continuing obligation has been established in DNR's remedial action plan approval. (228)
The approved soil clea	nup level is suitable for	Explain:
DNR has approved con and certain maintenance	operty. (220) nstruction on an abandoned lan ce requirements apply. (402) or	Per the Record of Decision, the containment system must be operated and maintained. This includes foundation drain systems on neighboring properties, three groundwater collection trenches on neighboring properties, two collection sumps with pumps on neighboring properties and a groundwater treatment facility on the source property.

State o	f Wisconsin ment of Natural Resources	Impacted Off-Source Property Infe	ormatio	٢
http://	dnr.wi.gov	Form 4400-246 (R 3/08)		
This filla (Sectior	able form is intended to provide a list of information that must be submitted for evaluation for cas v H). The closure of a case means that the Department has determined that no further response is	ie closure. It is to be used in conjunction with Form 4400-202, Case required at that time based on the information that has been subm	Closure Requ	lest Department.
NOTIC ch. NR under c closure [ss. 19.3	E: Completion of this form is mandatory for applications for case closure pursuant to ch. 292, M 726. The Department will not consider, or act upon your application, unless all applicable section: th. NR 749, Wis. Adm. Code, Table 1 are included. It is not the Department's intention to use any p requests and determining the need for additional response action. The Department may provide v1 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.].	fis. Stats. and ch. NR 726, Wis. Adm. Code, including cases closed und are completed on this form and the closure fee and any other appl ersonally identifiable information from this form for any purpose ot this information to requesters as required by Wisconsin's Open Rec	der ch. NR 74 licable fees, r .her than revi cords law	6 and equired ewing
BRRTS	; #: 02-45-000127			
ACTIV	ITY NAME: N W Mauthe (SF)			
₽	Off-Source Property Address	Parcel Number	WTM X	WTM Y
۲	1515 W Melvin St	3011400	645322	421446
В	801 S Outagamie St	3133000	645447	421442
υ	1400 W Second St	3132900	645452	421414
Ω	1410 W Second St	3132800	645432	421413
ш	1414 W Second St	3132700	645415	421414
ш	1428 W Second St	3132600	645392	421408
ט				
Т				
_				

Report generated Mar 28, 2011 12:01:04 PM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 19.6" N, 88° 25' 48.4" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.430124, 44.255463

 UTM 16N:
 385834, 4901242

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645322, 421445

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Contamination came from another property

WDNR BRRTS #:	0245000127
Offsource Address:	151 W Melvin St
X Coordinate (WTM91):	645322
Y Coordinate (WTM91):	421446
ACTIVITY_DETAIL_NAME:	N W MAUTHE (SF)
FID:	445014460
STREET_ADDR_2_TEXT:	NA
ORIG_HRZ_COLL_NAME:	sanchr
LAST_UPDATE_USER_ID:	sanchr
REGION_ABBR:	NE
REGION_OF_MANAGEMENT:	NE
PLSS_DESC:	NENW3421N17E
PLSS_DTRSQQ_CODE:	NA
View Database (BRRTS) Info	Info on activity
County Boundaries Name: Outagamie DNR Region: Northeast Regi	on

Cities and Villages Name: Appleton Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62756942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05

Report generated Mar 28, 2011 12:04:32 PM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 20.1" N, 88° 25' 44.9" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.429142, 44.255588

 UTM 16N:
 385913, 4901254

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645400, 421460

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Groundwater and Soil contamination

Site Name:	N W MAUTHE (SF)
WDNR BRRTS #:	0245000127
WDNR Facility ID #:	445014460
Site Address:	725 S Outagamie St
Start Date:	Apr 26, 1989
Closed Date:	NA
Public Survey Unit:	NENW3421N17E
Contaminated Media:	Groundwater and Soil
Offsource Contamination:	Deeded
X Coordinate (WTM91):	645400
Y Coordinate (WTM91):	421461
View Selected Docs (PDF)	0245000127.pdf
View Database (BRRTS) Info	Info on activity
County Boundaries	
Name: Outagamie	
DNR Region: Northeast R	egion
Cities and Villages Name: Appletor Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62750) 6942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05

Report generated Mar 31, 2011 10:35:12 AM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 19.46" N, 88° 25' 42.81" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.428559, 44.255406

 UTM 16N:
 385959, 4901233

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645447, 421441

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Contamination came from another property

0245000127
801 S Outagamie St
645447
421442
N W MAUTHE (SF)
445014460
NA
sanchr
sanchr
NE
NE
NENW3421N17E
NA
Info on activity
on

Cities and Villages Name: Appleton Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62756942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05

Report generated Mar 28, 2011 12:03:12 PM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 18.5" N, 88° 25' 42.5" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.428495, 44.255152

 UTM 16N:
 385963, 4901205

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645453, 421413

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Contamination came from another property

WDNR BRRTS #:	0245000127
Offsource Address:	1400 W Secont St
X Coordinate (WTM91):	645452
Y Coordinate (WTM91):	421414
ACTIVITY_DETAIL_NAME:	N W MAUTHE (SF)
FID:	445014460
STREET_ADDR_2_TEXT:	NA
ORIG_HRZ_COLL_NAME:	sanchr
LAST_UPDATE_USER_ID:	sanchr
REGION_ABBR:	NE
REGION_OF_MANAGEMENT:	NE
PLSS_DESC:	NENW3421N17E
PLSS_DTRSQQ_CODE:	NA
View Database (BRRTS) Info	Info on activity
County Boundaries Name: Outagamie DNR Region: Northeast Regi	on

Cities and Villages Name: Appleton Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62756942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05

Report generated Mar 28, 2011 12:02:33 PM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 18.5" N, 88° 25' 43.5" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.428751, 44.255146

 UTM 16N:
 385943, 4901204

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645432, 421412

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Contamination came from another property

WDNR BRRTS #:	0245000127
Offsource Address:	1410 W Second St
X Coordinate (WTM91):	645432
Y Coordinate (WTM91):	421413
ACTIVITY_DETAIL_NAME:	N W MAUTHE (SF)
FID:	445014460
STREET_ADDR_2_TEXT:	NA
ORIG_HRZ_COLL_NAME:	sanchr
LAST_UPDATE_USER_ID:	sanchr
REGION_ABBR:	NE
REGION_OF_MANAGEMENT:	NE
PLSS_DESC:	NENW3421N17E
PLSS_DTRSQQ_CODE:	NA
View Database (BRRTS) Info	Info on activity
County Boundaries Name: Outagamie DNR Region: Northeast Regio	on

Cities and Villages Name: Appleton Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62756942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05

Report generated Mar 28, 2011 11:59:37 AM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 18.5" N, 88° 25' 44.2" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.428963, 44.255155

 UTM 16N:
 385926, 4901206

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645415, 421413

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Contamination came from another property

WDNR BRRTS #:	0245000127
Offsource Address:	1414 W Second St
X Coordinate (WTM91):	645415
Y Coordinate (WTM91):	421414
ACTIVITY_DETAIL_NAME:	N W MAUTHE (SF)
FID:	445014460
STREET_ADDR_2_TEXT:	NA
ORIG_HRZ_COLL_NAME:	sanchr
LAST_UPDATE_USER_ID:	sanchr
REGION_ABBR:	NE
REGION_OF_MANAGEMENT:	NE
PLSS_DESC:	NENW3421N17E
PLSS_DTRSQQ_CODE:	NA
View Database (BRRTS) Info	Info on activity
County Boundaries Name: Outagamie DNR Region: Northeast Regi	on

Cities and Villages Name: Appleton Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62756942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05

Report generated Mar 28, 2011 12:01:52 PM

Coordinate Position

 Lat/Lon:
 44° 15' 18.3" N, 88° 25' 45.3" W

 Decimal Lon/Lat:
 -88.429255, 44.255108

 UTM 16N:
 385903, 4901201

 WTM91 (x,y):
 645392, 421407

 PLSS:
 T21 R17E S34

Scroll to the closest requested site

GIS Registry Legend ▶

Contamination came from another property

WDNR BRRTS #:	0245000127
Offsource Address:	1428 W Second St
X Coordinate (WTM91):	645392
Y Coordinate (WTM91):	421408
ACTIVITY_DETAIL_NAME:	N W MAUTHE (SF)
FID:	445014460
STREET_ADDR_2_TEXT:	NA
ORIG_HRZ_COLL_NAME:	sanchr
LAST_UPDATE_USER_ID:	sanchr
REGION_ABBR:	NE
REGION_OF_MANAGEMENT:	NE
PLSS_DESC:	NENW3421N17E
PLSS_DTRSQQ_CODE:	NA
View Database (BRRTS) Info	Info on activity
County Boundaries Name: Outagamie DNR Region: Northeast Regio	on

Cities and Villages Name: Appleton Area (Sq. Miles): 24.62756942

NAIP 2008 Color Air Photo - InfoUSGS Quarter Quadrangle name:APPLETON SEFlight Date:2008-07-05