

DuPont Engineering Barley Mill Plaza - Bldg. 27 4417 Lancaster Pike Wilmington, DE 19805

October 27, 2004

Mr. Christopher Saari
Hydrogeologist
Northern Region Remediation and Redevelopment
State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR)
Ashland Service Center
2501 Golf Course Road
Ashland, Wisconsin 54806



#### SEPTEMBER 2003 OFF-SITE GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS

June 2004 Follow-Up Former DuPont Barksdale Works Site (BRRTS #02-04-000156) Barksdale, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. Saari:

This letter will serve as a follow-up to the September 2003 sampling event and report (issued on January 8, 2004). In that report, DuPont stated that:

"At FC No. 73115 Birch Grove Road, detections were found in the effluent sample but not in the inflow sample. Results of resampling confirmed the detections are present in the effluent and absent in the inflow port. It was believed that the sample ports are mislabeled (i.e., inflow should be correctly labeled effluent and effluent should be correctly labeled inflow). Since the home is occupied only during the summer months by the owner, DuPont has been unable to coordinate access to the home with a plumber to determine if the sampling ports have been mislabeled. This issue will be addressed when the homeowner returns in 2004. DuPont will notify WDNR once this issue is rectified."

This report will serve as DuPont's notification to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) as to the results of the follow-up sample.

The follow-up sample from FC No. 73115 Birch Grove Road was collected on June 29, 2004. The analytical results (see Table 1 and Appendix A) verify that the September 2003 samples were mislabeled and confirmed that the detections are in the inflow sample (sample collected from the port prior to the carbon treatment system and closest to the well head) and not in the effluent sample (sample collected from the port after the carbon treatment system). The analytical results for the follow up sample found three nitroaromatic/nitramine compounds detected in the inflow sample. Only 2,6 Dinitrotolune was detected (0.27 ug/L) above the Wisconsin Enforcement standards of 0.05 ug/L. The other compounds detected were HMX ( at 0.031J ug/L) and RDX (at 0.044J ug/L). All detected concentrations were within historical limits.

Mr. Christopher Saari WDNR October 27, 2004 Page 2 of 2

#### **Results Summary/Conclusions**

Further sampling at this location will be in conjunction with the WDNR approved *Private Well Monitoring Proposal*, dated November 6. 2002, and amended during telephone conversations in December 2002. The next sampling event is scheduled for December 2004.

If you have any questions regarding this data report, please call either me (502-569-2148) or Mr. Cary Pooler (502-569-2444).

Sincerely,

Bradley S. Nave Macc

Project Director

**DuPont Corporate Remediation Group** 

BSN:jhc

Enclosures:

Table 1

Summary of Analytical Results

Appendix A

Barksdale Works - 73115BG Well Resampling 6/04

cc: P. Bretting, C.G. Bretting Mfg., Inc.

H. Nehls-Lowe, Wisconsin DHFS

A. Lindsey, Bayfield County Health Dept.

C. Pooler, URSD

M. Turco, URSD

File (paper): 7355

**TABLES** 

**Table 1**Summary of Analytical Results

Analyte	units	Wisconsin Enforcement Standard	Sample ID Date Duplicate #	73115BG-INFLOW 6/29/04 1	73115BG-EFFLUENT 6/29/04 1
1,3,5-TRINITROBENZENE	ug/l			<0.018	<0.018
2,4,6-TRINITROTOLUENE	ug/l			<0.026	<0.026
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	0.05		<0.038	<0.038
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l	0.05		0.27	<0.037
2-AMINO-4,6-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l			<0.017	<0.017
2-NITROTOLUENE	ug/l			< 0.057	<0.057
3-NITROTOLUENE	ug/l			<0.064	<0.064
4-AMINO-2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	ug/l			<0.022	<0.022
4-NITROTOLUENE	ug/l			<0.061	<0.061
НМХ	ug/l			0.031 J	<0.017
M-DINITROBENZENE	ug/l			< 0.019	<0.019
NITROBENZENE	ug/l			< 0.036	<0.036
NITROGLYCERIN	ug/l			<0.042	<0.042
PETN	ug/l			<0.038	<0.038
RDX	ug/l			0.044 J	<0.013
TETRYL	ug/l			<0.017	<0.017



#### Memorandum

DATE: SEPTEMBER 3, 2004

TO: Cary A. Pooler, URS Diamond

FROM: Sharon A. Nordstrom

RE: BARKSDALE 73115BG WELL SAMPLING 6/04

Enclosed is the data report for the two residential well samples collected on 6/29/04 for the analyses listed below. The samples were received at the laboratory in excellent condition and within temperature requirements.

Matrix	Laboratory	Analysis	Analytical Method
Groundwater	STL- Denver	Nitroaromatic/ nitramine organics	SW846 8321A

The STL-Denver data deliverable included both a hard-copy report and an electronic data file. The electronic data was reviewed via the automated DuPont Data Review (DDR) process, and the hard-copy report was submitted to Environmental Standards, Inc. for independent, third-party validation. A copy of the Environmental Standards Quality Assurance Review is included herein. As noted, no significant QC exceptions were identified either during the in-house review or the Environmental Standards evaluation.

As noted on the attached report, the Influent sample contained low levels of several nitroaromatic/nitramine compounds. No detections were reported in the post-carbon treatment (Effluent) sample.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

### BARKSDALE WORKS 73115BG WELL SAMPLING 6/04

September 3, 2004

Prepared for

Cary A. Pooler (URS Diamond-Louisville)

Prepared by

URS Diamond
Laboratory Services – Sharon A. Nordstrom
Barley Mill Plaza, Building 27
Wilmington, DE 19805

#### **DuPont In-House Review (DDR)**

The DDR is an automated internal review process used by the ADQM group to determine if the data is usable. The data is run through this automated program where a series of checks are performed on the data. The data is evaluated against hold time criteria, checked for blank contamination, assessed against matrix spike(MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) recoveries, assessed against relative percent differences (RPDs) between these samples, assessed against laboratory control sample(LCS)/control sample duplicate (LCSD) recoveries, assessed against RPDs between these samples, assessed against surrogate spike recoveries. The DDR applies the following data qualifiers to analysis results, as warranted:

Qualifier	Definition
В	Not detected substantially above the level reported in the laboratory or field blanks.
R	Unusable result. Analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
J	Analyte present. Reported value may not be accurate or precise.
UJ	Not detected. Reporting limit may not be accurate or precise.

#### **Laboratory Qualifiers**

The laboratory may have applied one or more of the following data qualifiers to analysis results, as warranted:

DIL	The concentration is estimated or not reported due to dilution or to the presence of interfering analytes.
NC	The recovery and or RPD were not calculated.
J	Estimated value; result falls between method detection limit (mdl) and practical quantitation limit (pql).
U	Analyte was not detected at the specified reporting limit
В	Analyte concentration is not significantly greater than that detected in an associated method blank.

J	Estimated value; result falls between method detection limit (mdl) and practical quantitation limit (pql).
*	Surrogate recovery is outside stated control limits.
J	Method blank contamination. The associated method blank contains the target analyte at a reportable level.
В	Estimated result. Result is less than reporting limit (RL)
Q	Elevated reporting limit. The reporting limit is elevated because sample dilution was required to bring target compounds within calibration range of the analytical system.
G	Elevated reporting limit. The reporting limit is elevated because sample dilution was required for analysis due to matrix interference.

These lab qualifiers are applied independent of DuPont In-House Data Review (DDR) qualifiers.

## Corporate Environmental Database DDR Narrative Report

9/3/2004 Page 1 of 1

Sitename: BARKSDALE WORKS

Project: 73115BG SAMPLING 6/04

DDR Standard Used: LABSTATS

## The reported result is greater than/equal to the MDL and less than the PQL; it should be considered an estimated value.

Sampleno	Datesm	pi Lab id	Method	Analyte	Rsltmod	Result	Unit	Mdi	Pql	Qual
BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW	6/29/04	GKA0E1-AA FS	8321	RDX		0.044	UG/L	0.013	0.12	j
BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW	6/29/04	GKA0E1-AA FS	8321	HMX		0.031	UG/L	0.017	0.12	J

#### Corporate Environmental Database Lab Analysis Report Summary of Positive Results with In-House Qualifler and Review

Site: BAR - BARKSDALE WORKS

Project: 73115BG SAMPLING 6/04

Reporting Limit: MDL

9/3/2004 15:40:41

Page 1 of 1

Analyte/Paramete	r	Result	Lab Qua	In- hous I Qua		Unit	MDL	PQL	Method
Sampling Point:	73115BG-INFLOW	Sampleno:	BAR-G	3-7311	5BG-IN	FLOW			
Date sampled:	Jun 29, 2004	Sample type:	Groun	dwater	·				
2,6-DINITROTOL	UENE	0.27				UG/L	0.037	0.12	8321
HMX		0.031	J	J	J	UG/L	0.017	0.12	8321
RDX		0.044	J	J	J	UG/L	0.013	0.12	8321

## Corporate Environmental Database Lab Analysis Report With Inhouse Qualifier and Review

Site: BARKSDALE WORKS Project: 73115BG SAMPLING 6/04

9/3/2004 Page 1 of 2

Reporting Limit: MDL

Sampling Point:

73115BG-EFFLUENT

Sampleno:

BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT

Date Sampled:

6/29/04

Sample Type:

Lab Sample ID:

GKA0J1-AA FS

Groundwater

Lab:

**QES-DEN** 

In-

-Analyte/Parameter	Dilu	tion	Result	Lab Quai	House Qual	Review	Unit	MDL	PQL	Date Analyzed
Method No: 8321	Prep Metho	od:	SW3535			Pre P	rep Method:			
■Analytes										
1,3,5-trinitrobenzene	1	<	0.018				UG/L	0.018	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
_1,3-dinitrobenzene	1	<	0.019				UG/L	0.019	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
2,4,6-trinitrotoluene	1	<	0.026				UG/L	0.026	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
2,4-dinitrotoluene	1	<	0.038				UG/L	0.038	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
2,6-dinitrotoluene	. 1 €	<	0.037				UG/L	0.037	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	1	<	0.017				UG/L	0.017	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
=-nitrotoluene	1	<	0.057				UG/L	0.057	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
-nitrotoluene	1	<	0.064				UG/L	0.064	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	1	<	0.022				UG/L	0.022	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
4-nitrotoluene	1	<	0.061				UG/L	0.061	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
⊌mx	1	<	0.017				UG/L	0.017	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
itrobenzene	1	<	0.036				UG/L	0.036	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
≣itroglycerin	1	<	0.042				UG/L	0.042	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
Petn	1	<	0.038				UG/L	0.038	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
Flox	1	<	0.013				UG/L	0.013	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
etryl ·	1	<.	0.017				UG/L	0.017	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
urrogates										
Nitrobenzene-d5	1		97 RPR				UG/L			Jul 17, 2004

#### Corporate Environmental Database Lab Analysis Report With Inhouse Qualifier and Review

Site: BARKSDALE WORKS

Project: 73115BG SAMPLING 6/04

9/3/2004 Page 2 of 2

Reporting Limit: MDL

Sampling Point: Date Sampled:

Lab Sample ID:

73115BG-INFLOW

6/29/04

W Sampleno:

BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW

Sample Type:

Groundwater

GKA0E1-AA FS Lab:

QES-DEN

	in-
1	

■nalyte/Parameter		Dilu	ıtion_	Result	Lab Qual	House Qual	Review	Unit	MDL	PQL	Date Analyzed
Method No: 8321	Prep	Meth	od:	SW3535			Pre P	rep Method:			
≒naiytes						•					
1,3,5-trinitrobenzene		1	<	0.018				UG/L	0.018	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
1,3-dinitrobenzene		1	<	0.019				UG/L	0.019	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
,4,6-trinitrotoluene		1	<	0.026				UG/L	0.026	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
,4-dinitrotoluene		1	<	0.038				UG/L	0.038	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
2,6-dinitrotoluene		1		0.27				UG/L	0.037	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
2-amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene	•	1	<	0.017				UG/L	0.017	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
-nitrotoluene		1	<	0.057				UG/L	0.057	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
-nitrotoluene		1	<	0.064				UG/L	0.064	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene		1	<	0.022				UG/L	0.022	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
4-nitrotoluene		1	<	0.061				UG/L	0.061	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
<b>∳</b> m×		1		0.031	J	J	j	UG/L	0.017	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
itrobenzene		1	٠<	0.036				UG/L	0.036	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
= troglycerin		1	<	0.042				UG/L	0.042	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
Petn		1	<	0.038				UG/L	0.038	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
dx		1		0.044	J	J	J	UG/L	0.013	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
etryl urrogates		1	<	0.017				UG/L	0.017	0.12	Jul 17, 2004
Nitrobenzene-d5		1		95 RPR				UG/L			Jul 17, 2004

## Corporate Environmental Database Lab Analysis QAQC Report

Site: BARKSDALE WORKS Project: 73115BG SAMPLING 6/04

9/3/2004 Page 1 of 2

Batch Identifier 129570

SW3535 8321 05-JUL-04 4187014 LCMS2

Method Number: 8321 Ва

Pren Method: SW3535

Method Maniber: 6321	Prep Metriod: 544353:	o Pre-prep:
Satch Start Date: 07/05/2004	Intrument: LCMS2	Batch Number:

						RPR	Limits		RPD
Analyte/Parameter	Result	Unit	MDL	PQL	RPR	Min	Max	RPD	Max
Sample Type LCS	Lab Sample ID:	GKH9X1-A	AC LCS	Lab: QES-DEN					
1,3,5-TRINITROBENZENE	0.494	UG/L	0.018	NS	99	54	138		
1,3-DINITROBENZENE	0.515	UG/L	0.019	NS	103	62	127		
2,4,6-TRINITROTOLUENE	0.441	UG/L	0.026	NS	88	43	133		
⊋,4-DINITROTOLUENE	0.530	UG/L	0.038	NS	106	58	130		
₽,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.488	UG/L	0.037	NS	98	59	126		
2-AMINO-4,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.490	UG/L	0.017	NS	98	61	131		
2-NITROTOLUENE	0.441	UG/L	0.057	NS	88	20	129		
3-NITROTOLUENE	0.431	UG/L	0.064	NS	86	20	120		
1-AMINO-2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.495	UG/L	0.022	NS	99	57	132		
NITROTOLUENE	0.435	UG/L	0.022	NS	87	20	129		
HMX	0.477	UG/L		NS	95	46	174		
NITROBENZENE			0.017		96	22	129		
	0.480	UG/L	0.036	NS NC					
HITROGLYCERIN	0.492	UG/L	0.042	NS	98	31	141		
PETN	0.436	UG/L	0.038	NS	87	52	143		
RDX	0.511	UG/L	0.013	NS	102	61	131		
TETRYL	0.415	UG/L	0.017	NS	83	40	152		
ITROBENZENE-D5	91 RPR	UG/L		NS	91	37	121		
ample Type MB	Lab Sample ID:	GKH9X1-A	A MB	Lab: QES-DEN					
1,3,5-TRINITROBENZENE	< 0.018	UG/L	0.018	0.12					
1,3-DINITROBENZENE	< 0.019	UG/L	0.019	0.12					
,4,6-TRINITROTOLUENE	< 0.026	UG/L	0.026	0.12					
4-DINITROTOLUENE	< 0.038	UG/L	0.038	0.12					
6-DINITROTOLUENE	< 0.037	UG/L	0.037	0.12					
2-AMINO-4,6-DINITROTOLUENE	< 0.017	UG/L	0.017	0.12					
-NITROTOLUENE	< 0.057	UG/L	0.057	0.12					
-NITROTOLUENE	< 0.064	UG/L	0.064	0.12					
-AMINO-2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	< 0.022	UG/L	0.022	0.12					
INITROTOLUENE	< 0.061	UG/L	0.061	0.12					
HMX	< 0.017	UG/L	0.007	0.12				*	
TROBENZENE	< 0.036	UG/L	0.036	0.12					
TROGLYCERIN	< 0.042	UG/L	0.038	0.12					
PETN									
RDX	< 0.038	UG/L	0.038	0.12					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	< 0.013	UG/L	0.013	0.12					
ETRYL	< 0.017	UG/L	0.017	0.12	-00		404		
ITROBENZENE-D5	93 RPR	UG/L			93	37	121		
Sample Type MS	Lab Sample ID:			Lab: QES-DEN					
,3,5-TRINITROBENZENE	0.472	UG/L	0.018	NS	94	48	135		
3-DINITROBENZENE	0.512	UG/L	0.019	NS	102	62	127		
#,6-TRINITROTOLUENE	0.454	UG/L	0.026	ŅS	91	59	129		
-DINITROTOLUENE	0.497	UG/L	0.038	NS	99	58	130		
,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.480	UG/L	0.037	NS	96	59	126		
AMINO-4,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.461	UG/L	0.017	NS	92	61	131		
NITROTOLUENE	0.430	UG/L	0.057	NS	86	20	134		
NITROTOLUENE	0.440	UG/L	0.064	NS	88	20	123		
-AMINO-2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.454	UG/L	0.022	NS	91	57	132		
NITROTOLUENE	0.429	UG/L	0.061	NS	86	21	131		
TX.	0.489	UG/L	0.017	NS	98	20	156		
TROBENZENE	0.493	UG/L	0.036	NS	99	22	129		
TROGLYCERIN	0.475	UG/L	0.042	NS	95	19	126		
ETN .	0.356	UG/L	0.042	NS	71	35	154		
X	0.487					55			
A TRYL		UG/L	0.013	NS NS	97		141		
l .	0.365	UG/L	0.017	NS	73	20	126		
TROBENZENE-D5	94 RPR	UG/L	NOD	NS 	94	37	121		
ample Type MSD	Lab Sample ID: (		-	Lab: QES-DEN			4==		
5-TRINITROBENZENE	0.483	UG/L	0.018	NS	97	48	135	2.2	40
-DINITROBENZENE	0.495	UG/L	0.019	NS	99	62	127	3.5	40
_6-TRINITROTOLUENE	0.437	UG/L	0.026	NS	87	59	129	3.9	40

#### Corporate Environmental Database Lab Analysis QAQC Report

Site: BARKSDALE WORKS
Project: 73115BG SAMPLING 6/04

9/3/2004

Page 2 of 2

						RPR	Limits		RPD
Analyte/Parameter	Result	Unit	MDL	PQL	RPR	Min	Max	RPD	Max
Sample Type MSD	Lab Sample I	D: GKAOJ1-AI	D MSD	Lab: QES-DEN					
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	0.500	UG/L	0.038	NS	100	58	130	0.56	40
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.469	UG/L	0.037	NS	94	59	126	2.2	40
2-AMINO-4,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.444	UG/L	0.017	NS	89	61	131	3.6	40
2-NITROTOLUENE	0.414	ŲG/L	0.057	NS	83	20	134	3.8	40
3-NITROTOLUENE	0.426	UG/L	0.064	NS	85	20	123	3.2	40
4-AMINO-2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	0.470	UG/L	0.022	NS	94	57	132	3.5	40
4-NITROTOLUENE	0.429	UG/L	0.061	NS	86	21	131	0.15	40
HMX	0.489	UG/L	0.017	NS	98	20	156	0.010	40
NITROBENZENE	0.441	UG/L	0.036	NS	88	22	129	11	40
NITROGLYCERIN	0.447	UG/L	0.042	NS	89	19	126	6.3	40
PETN	0.368	UG/L	0.038	NS	74	35	154	3.5	40
RDX	0.493	UG/L	0.013	NS	99	55	141	1.2	40
TETRYL	0.353	UG/L	0.017	NS	71	20	126	3.3	40
NITROBENZENE-D5	83 RPR	UG/L		NS	83	37	121		
The following field	d samples are inclu	ded in this bate	ch:						
Sampleno		Datesmol	Lab	ld	Lab				

Sampleno	Datesmpl	Lab Id	Lab
BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT	6/29/2004	GKA0J1-AA FS	QES-DEN
BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW	6/29/2004	GKA0E1-AA FS	QES-DEN

## Chain of Custody Record

CHAIN OF CLISTODY NUMBER



020603

Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.

STL4149 (1202)																		
D Client 1. I. Dupont De Negours				Project Manage Cary Pool				Da <b>0</b> 6	ite 5/23/2004		Pag	,e		1_	of		1	
Address					) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Lab Location		Analysis							
arley Will Plaza Building 27				(000)	/ (0	100)		Si	L Denver	L				unai;	A212			
City  ilmington	State	Zip Code 19805			Site Contact JON HAMMERBERG					X								
Project Number/Name AR				Carrier/Waybill	Number					-P 8								
Contract/Purchase Order/Quote Number  DNTRACT / PURCHASE DRDER # :	7035-	-507355-7720	00/LBI	0-65011					QUOTE: 39097	3 2								
Sample I.D. Number and Description	on	Date	Time	Sample Type	Co Volume	ontainers Type	No.	Preservative	Condition on Receipt/Comments	1								
BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW		6-29-04	1230	WRITER	1L	AMBER		None		X	<u>††</u>	11	1	$\Box$	++	++	_	$\vdash$
BAR-6-73115BG-EFFLUENT		1	1225		IL	AMBER	1 2	None		X	11	11	+	$\sqcap$	11	+1	r + 1	
BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT-MS		1	1225	WATER	1L	AMBER	1 2	None		X	11	11	+	$\sqcap$	11	11	$\sqcap$	
BAR-6-7311586-EFFLUENT-NSD		6-29-09	1225	WATER	IL.	AMBER	1 5	None		X	H	11	7	$\sqcap$	T	$\top$	$\sqcap$	
						1	1	1		十	11	77	7	$\sqcap$	11	$\Box$	$\sqcap$	П
<u> </u>							1	· ·		$\top$	$\Pi$	11	$\top$	$\sqcap$	$\Pi$	$\Box$	$\sqcap$	П
										T	$\prod$	$\sqcap$	$\top$	П	$\Pi$	$\top$	$\sqcap$	$\prod$
										1	$\sqcap$	$\Pi$	T	П	TT	$\Box$	$\Box$	П
										T	$\prod$	$\top$	T	П	TT	$\Box$	$\sqcap$	П
,						1			1	1	$\dagger \dagger$	$\top$	7		11		$\sqcap$	П
							1				$\prod$	$\Box$	T	П	$\Pi$			
							1			T	TT	TT	T	П	$\Pi$	$\top$	$\prod$	$\prod$
		}						T		Т	$\prod$	$\prod$	Т	$\Gamma\Gamma$	$\Pi$		$\prod$	
										$\Box$	П	$\prod$	$\perp$	$\prod$	$\Pi$			
											$\coprod$	$\Box$	$\perp$	П	$\prod$	$\perp$		Ш
							1				Ш	$\perp$	$\perp$		П			
Special Instructions Protoco	ol C			<u> </u>														
Possible Hazard Identification					Sample Dis	posai					(A for		. ha 1		end if	eamr	oles ar	
	Skin	Irritant 🔲	Poison B	Unknow	n 🔲 Return	To Client	Dag	sposal By Lab	Archive For Month.	5	retair	ned lo	nger	than	3 mon	iths)	Man or the	0
Turn Around Time Required	·			QC Level			Specifi	c Requirements	(Specify)									•
Normal Rush	Othe	or		.   D I.   D II.	□ III.													
1 Ralinguisyled By	እ			Date	Time	1. Rec		~/	Dink			Da		24/	104	Tim	32	<u>'</u>
2. Reling fished By	<i>J</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Date 6/29/0	y Time 3	0 2. Rec	eived B	100				Da	Je .	301	4	Tim	ين و <b>٨</b> ٥.	
3. Relinquished By				Date	Time		rived B	y Ta				Da	<u>_</u>			Fim	<u>~ }}</u>	<u>بد</u>
Comments				1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							<u> </u>		



# QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW OF THE AQUEOUS SAMPLES COLLECTED ON JUNE 29, 2004 FOR THE DUPONT CORPORATE REMEDIATION GROUP 6/04 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROJECT AT THE BARKSDALE, WISCONSIN FACILITY

September 2, 2004

Prepared for:

#### **DUPONT CORPORATE REMEDIATION GROUP**

Barley Mill Plaza, Bldg. 27 Rts. 141 and 48 Wilmington, DE 19805

Prepared by:

**ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, INC.** 

1140 Valley Forge Road P.O. Box 810 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0810

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### **Executive Summary**

#### Introduction

Section	1	Quality	<b>Assurance</b>	Review
OCCUOII		WMCHILL	<b>Magainino</b>	1/01/044

A. Organic Data

B. Conclusions

Section 2 Target Analyte Summary

Section 3 Organic Data Support Documentation

Section 4 Laboratory Case Narrative and Project Chain-of-Custody Record

#### **Executive Summary**

An analytical quality assurance review was performed on data for the four aqueous samples (including quality control samples) collected in association with the DuPont Corporate Remediation Group 6/04 Groundwater Sampling Project at the Barksdale Facility in Barksdale, Wisconsin. The organic analyses were performed by an SW-846 method. A comprehensive Contract Laboratory Program (CLP)-like raw data package was prepared by the laboratory and was reviewed by Environmental Standards.

The quality of the data is acceptable; however, the following qualifications were made.

 Based on standard project reporting requirements, the positive nitroaromatics and nitroamines results reported with concentrations between the laboratory's associated method detection limits and practical quantitation limits have been flagged "J".

Reporting errors were not identified during the quality assurance review.

#### Introduction

This quality assurance (QA) review is based upon a rigorous examination of data generated from the four aqueous samples (including quality control [QC] samples) that were collected on June 29, 2004, as part of the DuPont Corporate Remediation Group 6/04 Groundwater Sampling Project at the Barksdale Facility in Barksdale, Wisconsin. The samples that have undergone a QA review are listed on Table 1. Table 1 also presents the field sample number, laboratory sample number, laboratory project number, collection date, and parameter analyzed and reviewed for each sample.

This review has been performed with guidance from the "National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review" (US EPA, 2/94).

The reported analytical results are presented on the laboratory analysis reports included in Section 2, "Target Analyte Summary." Data were examined to determine the usability of the analytical results and compliance relative to requirements specified by "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" (SW-846, Third Revision, 1986, and updates as applicable). In addition, the deliverables prepared according to a Contract Laboratory Program-like data package were evaluated. Details of this QA review are presented in Section 1 of this report.

This critical QA review identifies data quality issues for specific samples and specific evaluation criteria. Data not qualified in this report should be considered valid based on the QC criteria that have been reviewed.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLE DATA REVIEWED
DUPONT BARKSDALE, WISCONSIN FACILITY

DuPont Corporate Remediation Group Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Number	Project Number	Date of Sample Collection	Parameter Analyzed and Reviewed
BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW	GKA0E	D4F300312	6/29/04	E
BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT	GKA0J	D4F300312	6/29/04	E
BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT MS (Matrix Spike)	GKA0JMS	D4F300312	6/29/04	E
BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT MSD (Matrix Spike Duplicate)	GKA0JMSD	D4F300312	6/29/04	E

#### NOTE:

E - Nitroaromatics and Nitroamines by SW-846 Method 8321A (Modified per STL SOP No. DEN-LC-0010, Revision No. 3). (4 analyses)

#### Section 1 Quality Assurance Review

#### A. Organic Data

The organic analyses of four aqueous samples (including QC samples) collected as part of the DuPont Corporate Remediation Group (DuPont) 6/04 Groundwater Sampling Project at the Barksdale, Wisconsin, Facility on June 29, 2004, were performed by Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. (STL) in Denver, Colorado. The samples were collectively analyzed for nitroaromatics and nitroamines according to SW-846 Method 8321A, as specified in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" (SW-846, Third Edition, Final Update II, September, 1994) and modified as specified in STL proprietary Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) No. DEN-LC-0010 (Revision No. 3). This modified method uses liquid chromatography with a thermospray interfaced to a mass spectrometer (LC/TSP/MS). These analyses are identified on Table 1. The data were presented in one Contract Laboratory Program (CLP)-like data package.

The findings offered in this report are based upon a rigorous review of the following:

- sample holding times
- blank analysis results
- surrogate recoveries
- matrix spike (MS) and MS duplicate (MSD)
   recoveries and precision
- quantitation of results

- sample condition upon laboratory receipt
- initial and continuing calibrations
- analytical sequence
  - laboratory control sample (LCS) recoveries
- qualitative identification

The analytical results for the organic compounds are provided as a summary of the data in Section 2 of this report.

#### Data Package Deliverables

Overall, the organic data quality is good. Reporting errors were not identified during the quality assurance review. The following comments do not affect data usability. Usability is addressed in the Data Evaluation section.

#### Comments

1. According to the Laboratory Case Narrative, the high point of the HMX calibration curve was not used to generate the calibration curve because it was obviously out-of-line. The HMX calibration curve still contained the six points necessary for a quadratic curve fit and the curve fit was acceptable without the calibration point. The upper calibration range for HMX was reduced from 1.5 μg/L to 1.0 μg/L. Data qualification was not necessary due to this issue because HMX was not detected at or above the 1.0-μg/L calibration standard.

2. As noted in the Laboratory Case Narrative, a sample cooler temperature of "2.6°C" was recorded upon laboratory receipt for the project cooler. Samples collected for nitroaromatics and nitroamines analyses are required to be preserved at a temperature of 4°C (STL SOP No. DEN-LC-0010 [Section 8.2., pg. 10 of 33]). The data reviewer, however, does not consider the data to have been impacted because it is customary for the acceptable preservation temperature to be 4±2°C

#### **Data Evaluation**

With respect to data usability, the principal area of concern is quantitation below the practical quantitation limit (PQL). Based on a rigorous review of the data provided, the following organic data qualifier is offered. The following data usability issue represents an interpretation of the QC results obtained for the project samples. Quite often, data qualifications address issues relating to sample matrix problems. Similarly, the data validation guidelines routinely specify areas of the data that require qualification, yet the methods used for analysis may not require corrective action by the laboratory. Accordingly, the following data usability issue should <u>not</u> be construed as an indication of laboratory performance.

#### Organic Data Qualifier

- Based on standard project reporting requirements, the positive results reported with concentrations between the laboratory's associated method detection limits (MDLs) and PQLs have been flagged "J" by the laboratory. Environmental Standards concurs that these positive results should be considered quantitative estimates and has also flagged the results "J" on the qualified analysis reports.

A complete support documentation of this organic QA review is provided in Section 3 of this report.

#### B. Conclusions

Based on this QA review, a few organic compounds results were qualified due to quantitation below the PQL. In order to use any of the data, the data user should understand the qualifications and limitations as specified in this QA review. The Laboratory Case Narrative and Project Chain-of-Custody Record are presented in Section 4 of this report.

Report prepared by:

Meg A. Michell, M.S.

Senior Quality Assurance Chemist III

Report reviewed by:

Konstadina Vlahogiani, M.S.

Senior Quality Assurance Chemist III/

KUluhojam

Project Manager

Report reviewed and approved by:

David R. Blye, CEAC

Quality Assurance Specialist/

Principal

ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, INC.

1140 Valley Forge Road

P.O. Box 810

Valley Forge, PA 19482-0810

(610) 935-5577

Date: 9-2-04

#### **SECTION 2**

**TARGET ANALYTE SUMMARY** 

#### **ORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS**

- ND The compound was not detected at or above the associated numerical value.
- U This compound should be considered "not detected" because it was detected in a blank at a similar level.
- J Quantitation is approximate due to limitations identified during the quality assurance review (data validation).
- R Unusable result; compound may or may not be present in this sample.
- UJ This compound was not detected, but the detection limit is probably higher due to a low bias identified during the quality assurance review.

#### E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND CO

#### Client Sample ID: BAR-G-73115BG-INFLOW

#### HPLC

Lot-Sample #...: D4F300312-001 Work Order #...: GKA0E1AA Matrix.....: WATER

Date Sampled...: 06/29/04 12:30 Date Received..: 06/30/04 Prep Date....: 07/05/04 Analysis Date..: 07/17/04 Prep Batch #...: 4187014 Analysis Time..: 21:10

Dilution Factor: 1

Method..... SW846 8321A

	•	REPORTIN	<b>I</b> G		
PARAMETER	RESULT	LIMIT	UNITS	MDL	
4-Amino-2,6-	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.022	
dinitrotoluene					
2-Amino-4,6-	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.017	
dinitrotoluene				•	
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.019	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.038	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.27	0.12	ug/L	0.037	
HMX	0.031 J J	0.12	ug/L	0.017	
Nitrobenzene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.036	
Nitroglycerin	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.042	
3-Nitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.064	
2-Nitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.057	
4-Nitrotolyene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.06%	
PETN	NL	0.12	ug/L	0.038	
RDX	0.044 J J	0.12	ug/L	0.013	
Tetryl	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.017	
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.018	
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.026	
	PERCENT	RECOVERY	r		
SURROGATE	RECOVERY	LIMITS			
Nitrobenzene-d5	95	(37 - 12	21)		

#### NOTE(S):

W 9/2/04

J Estimated result. Result is less than RL.

#### R.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND CO

#### Client Sample ID: BAR-G-73115BG-EFFLUENT

#### HPLC

Lot-Sample #...: D4F300312-002 Work Order #...: GKA0J1AA Matrix....: WATER Date Sampled...: 06/29/04 12:25 Date Received..: 06/30/04 Prep Date....: 07/05/04 Analysis Date..: 07/17/04

Analysis Time..: 21:42

Prep Batch #...: 4187014

Dilution Factor: 1

Method.....: SW846 8321A

	•	REPORTIN	iG		
PARAMETER	RESULT	LIMIT	UNITS	MDL	
4-Amino-2,6-	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.022	
dinitrotoluene					
2-Amino-4,6-	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.017	
dinitrotoluene	•				
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.019	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.038	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.037	
HMX	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.017	
Nitrobenzene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.036	
Nitroglycerin	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.042	
3-Nitrotoluene	ND .	0.12	ug/L	0.064	
2-Nitrotoluene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.057	
4-Nitrotoluene	NO	0.12	ug/L	0.061	
PETN	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.038	
RDX	ND .	0.12	ug/L	0.013	•
Tetryl	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.017	
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	ND	0.12	ug/L	0.018	
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	ND	.0.12	ug/L	0.026	
	PERCENT	RECOVERY	7	•	
SURROGATE	RECOVERY	LIMITS			
Nitrobenzene-d5	97	(37 - 12	1)		,