

JAN 29 2007

REMEDICATION & REDEVELOPMENT

1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:

Project Manager: *William Scholtz* Review Date: *1-24-07*

Site Name: *Flambeau Paper Mill & Woodyard Area*

BRRTS Number: *02-51-000163*

FID Number: *851039310*

Parcel No.: *Parcel 184 - Government lot 6, Section 13, TN40N, RIW*

Address: *200 First Ave N, Park Falls, WI 54552*

Current Property Owner: *Flambeau River Paper Co.*

Original Responsible Party: *Flambeau Paper Mill*

Property Owner at Closure (if different): *Fraser Papers, Inc.*

Has the site been geolocated? Yes No

Site Coordinates (WTM83/91): *x=485667, y=607782*

How was site selected for audit?

Random Regional Priority Compliance Follow-up Other (specify)

Complaint Received

Is the site on a GIS Registry? Yes No

Did the close-out letter include some specific requirements that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address? Yes No

If Yes, describe specific requirements:

- proper monitoring well abandonment*
- deed restriction be signed and recorded requiring site access be restricted by maintaining fence around property and the site remain zoned industrial*
- site be placed on the GIS registry for contaminated soils remaining on site.*

What types of restrictions existed at the time of case closure? (check all that apply)

Pavement, Soil or Other Cover

Industrial Land-Use Restriction

Structural Impediment

Other Performance Standard

Was a maintenance plan required at closure? Yes

No

*restrict access
by keeping site
sealed*

Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) or has/have the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR? Yes No

Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?

Yes

No

Has the property been transferred since the restriction was recorded?

Yes

No

Date of closure:

6/10/04

Conditional

Final

Final Closure Pending

Were there other restricted properties associated with the source site (ie. Affected neighboring properties)? Yes No

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site? *NO*

Examples: a building has been razed and the location of the building prevented full investigation and/or remediation; excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

Yes No

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

Yes No

If yes above, was DNR notified? Yes No *NA*

If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?

Yes No *NA*

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? Yes No

If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

Yes No *NA*

Were any new potential sources of contamination identified? Yes No

If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? Yes No *NA*

3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?

Yes No

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?

Yes No

Is the structure still present? Yes No *NA*

Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? Yes No

If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired? Yes No *NA*

Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists? Yes No

For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom? *NA*

Are additional actions warranted at the site? Yes No If yes, please explain:

Does the site require follow-up by DNR? Yes No

Does the site restriction need to be changed? Yes No

Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? Yes No

Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS?

Yes No



Flambeau Paper Mill Wood Yard (BRRTS # 02-51-000163) – Southwest corner of the site looking east. Note: fence and gate restricting site access. Site remains industrial use. 1/24/2007



Flambeau Paper Mill Wood Yard (BRRTS # 02-51-000163) – Northwest corner of the site looking south. Note: fence and gate restricting site access. Site remains industrial use. 1/24/2007