Note: In order to fill and save this form electronically, it must be opened using Adobe Reader or Acrobat software. Save a copy of the file, open Adobe Reader, select File > Open and browse for the file you saved.

State of Wis Department PO Box 792	of Natural F		7-7921 Continuing Obligation Rev	Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review		
BRRTS ID) No. 02-3	82-0002	203 Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 1 of	7		
Reviewer:	Tim Zei	ichert	Region: WC Review Date: 09/26/20	022		
Site Name	e: Desmor	nd's Mer	n's Wear			
follow up number. l	; ** denot Jse the N	e RP/pro	ns <u>http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf</u> . Steps with an * denote operty owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS area in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.	DNR		
File Revie						
		and the	e file if needed, to identify the File Review information:			
Site Addre			City ZIP Code			
2338 Con			La Crosse 5460.	3		
County Pa	arcel Identi	fication	Number (PIN) FID Number			
17-10307			632025460			
Original R	esponsible	e Person	n			
John Desi	mond - De	esmond	and Desmond			
Has the pr	roperty be	en transf	sferred since the continuing obligation was recorded/applied? ONO			
If Yes: C	urrent Pro	perty Ov	wner			
			- Kwik Trip leases the property			
P	hone Num	lber	Email			
Select all c	continuing	obligatio	ons applied (at case closure or RAP approval or letter to LGU):			
Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	AC	Action Code (AC) Meaning			
		51	Deed notice			
		52	Deed restriction for soil			
		730	Groundwater use restriction			
		95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed no	otice)		
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR letter sent			
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent			
		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption			
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)			
	\boxtimes	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)			
	\boxtimes	46	Impacted Right-of-Way			
		220	Soil at industrial use level			
	\boxtimes	222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)			
		224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)			
	\boxtimes	226	Vapor mitigation/response			
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)			
		230	LGU was directed to take a protective action			
	\boxtimes	232	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)			
		234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned			
	\boxtimes	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES			
		238	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted			
		185	Closure Compliance Review completed			
		186	Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed			
	$\overline{\Box}$	187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed			
		99	Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection repo	orts)		

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

E- ----

			F0111 4400-232 (R 07/22)	Page 2 01 7		
How was site selected for audit? (AC	= BRRTS Action Code)					
🔀 Vapor Mitigation AC 226	Green Space Gr	ant AC 605	Age of Remedy			
VPLE with AC 56	🔀 AC 220, 222, 22	4, 228, or 230	Complaint Receiv	/ed		
Enforcement Follow-up	Deed Restriction	n AC 52 or 696 (LGU)	Regional Priority			
Other:						
Date of:						
🔀 Final Closure	07/11/2017	11/2017 Remedial Action Plan Approval General Liability Clarification Letter		Plan Approval		
Certificate of Completion						
Green Space Grant		Local Gov't Unit (L	GU) Letter			
Describe any site-specific requirement	nts (AC 228) that the site	owner and/or responsi	ble party needed to add	ress:		
Is the site on BRRTS as having resid	ual contamination and co		TS using applicable act	tion codes (56, etc.)*		
Were neighboring properties affected	•	\bigcirc No $=$ Add to BAR				
If yes, are these properties listed	-		data DDDTS was farm	4400 046*		
		•	odate BRRTS, use form			
Was a maintenance plan required at	closure? () NA () No	● Yes – It is: ○ in	the file PDF	missing		
If no maintenance plan was requi up section of the audit that one w		•	el with inspection log, ai	nd note in the follow		
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Regi	ster of Deeds? OY	es 🔿 No 💿 N	A		
Has a restriction been amended,	or been nullified by DNR	? 🔿 No				
		○ Yes: Was BRRT	S updated? (95)	⊖ Yes ⊖ No*		
		Was the C	O PDF updated?	⊖ Yes ⊖ No*		
Notes:			·	<i>. .</i>		
This site is the same property as the	Kwik Trip Bakery Exp	ansion 06-32-560495	VPLE site.			

Site Visit:

2. Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).

- 3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
- 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? • Yes O No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

No

O Yes − Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 3 of 7

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair?

No/NA

○ Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired?	⊖ Yes**	🔿 No
--	---------	------

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

- No
- Yes Explain:

Was the DNR notified? O Yes O No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

• No/NA

○ Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

O No

○ Yes – Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

No

○ Yes - Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

○ Yes – Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

O Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?

⊖ No

○ Yes** – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

Yes

⊖ NA

○ No** – Describe any follow up needed:

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

 \bigcirc NA

No

○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

No

○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Notes:

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

- Yes
- No Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

- Yes
- \bigcirc NA
- No Describe:

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- Yes
- \bigcirc NA
- No Why not?

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

- ⊖ Yes
- ⊖ NA
- No If no, why not?

Kwik Trip already has the maintenance plan and is aware of their obligations.

6. Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site?** The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)

No

Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

- 7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR?
 - No
 Yes: □ contact of
 - Yes: □ contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation

updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)

- reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)
- other:
- 8. * Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD_185_CO_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD_186_Follow_Up_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo Date added: 09/28/2022 with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}




Title: Desmond's Men's Wear/0232000203/20220926/left bank of VMS manifold piping - all valves open

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Date added: 09/28/2022

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 6 of 7



Title: Desmond's Men's Wear/0232000203/20220926/right bank of VMS manifold piping - all valves open

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 7 of 7

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.} Date added: 09/28/2022 Title: Desmond's Men's Wear/0232000203/20220926/VMS exhaust with wind turbines functioning properly {Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.} Date added: 09/28/2022 Title: Desmond's Men's Wear/0232000203/20220926/Loading dock area - west end of bakery expansion