### Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

### 1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:

Doug Joseph	Review Date: 8-8	3-07
Eau Claire Battery Si	te	
02-18-000242		
0032-000 & 221-0800	32-A000	
er of the Intersection claire, WI	of East Madison St. and	Wisconsin St.,
vner: City of Eau Cla	ire	
e Party: City of Eau C	Claire	
Closure (if different): N	NA	
eolocated? ⊠ Yes [	□ No	
/TM83/91): 401013; 4	483547	
ed for audit?		
gional Priority 🔲 Co	mpliance Follow-up	Other (specify)
ved		
Registry? Tyes	⊠ No	
		ne site owner
cific requirements:		
ntain clay cap over sta	abilized lead waste cell.	
	Eau Claire Battery Si  02-18-000242  0032-000 & 221-08003  er of the Intersection of laire, WI  vner: City of Eau Claire, WI  vner: City of Eau Claire Party: City of Eau Claire, WI  closure (if different): Note that the late of the Intersection of laire, WI  vner: City of Eau Claire  closure (if different): Note that the late of the Intersection of laire, WI  vner: City of Eau Claire  closure (if different): Note of late of la	Eau Claire Battery Site  02-18-000242  0032-000 & 221-080032-A000  er of the Intersection of East Madison St. and laire, WI  wner: City of Eau Claire  e Party: City of Eau Claire  Closure (if different): NA  eolocated? Yes No  /TM83/91): 401013; 483547  ed for audit?  gional Priority Compliance Follow-up ved  Registry? Yes No  tter include some specific requirements that the party needed to address? Yes No

# Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

What types of restrictions existed at the time apply)	e of case closure? (check all that	
□ Pavement, Soil or Other Cover     □	Industrial Land-Use Restriction	
Structural Impediment	Other Performance Standard	
Was a maintenance plan required at closure	?	
Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR?		
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) reco	rded with the Register of Deeds?	
⊠ Yes □ No		
Has the property been transferred since the	restriction was recorded?	
	original property has been sold.	
Date of closure: 11-01-2000		
☐ Conditional ☐ Final		
☐ Final Closure Pending		
Were there other restricted properties associated neighboring properties)?	iated with the source site (ie. Yes $oxed{\boxtimes}$ No	

# Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review

Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

Yes, a portion of the site has been sold to RCU and the waste cell on that property remains clay capped and is now under an asphalt parking lot. Another section of the original property has been sold to a development company and that waste cell on that property was excavated and hauled to 7-Mile Creek landfill.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?
⊠ Yes □ No
If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?
⊠Yes □ No
If yes above, was DNR notified? ⊠ Yes □ No
If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?
□Yes □ No <u>NA</u>
Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? ⊠Yes ☐ No
If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?
☐Yes ⊠ No
Were any new potential sources of contamination identified?   ☐ Yes ☐ No
If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? ⊠Yes ☐ No Already completed

### Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

## 3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?
⊠Yes □ No
(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)
Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?
⊠Yes ☐ No Asphalt parking lot
Is the structure still present? ⊠Yes ☐ No
Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? ☐Yes ☒ No
If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired? ☐Yes ☐ No
Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.
If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom? <u>NA</u>
Are additional actions warranted at the site? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\!$
Does the site require follow-up by DNR? ☐Yes ☒ No
Does the site restriction need to be changed?   Yes  No Needs to be nullified for a portion of the original property from which waste was removed recently.
Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? ☐Yes ☒ No Pending
Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS? ☑ Yes ☐ No