

From: [Schmidt, Robin R - DNR](#)
To: [Eis, Michelle M - DNR](#); [Beggs, Tauren R - DNR](#); [Hannes, Robert - DNR](#); [Mathews, Nicole L - DNR](#); [Scott, Rebecca L - DNR](#); [Cargill, Jeanne H - DNR](#); [Heintz, Aaron M - DOA](#); [Fandrich, Jessica - DOA](#)
Subject: RE: summary of discussion on Manitowoc SDWLP loan
Date: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 4:02:27 PM

Quick update for item # 2, below.

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate my customer service.

Robin Schmidt

Environmental Loans Section Chief
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
Phone: (608) 266-3915
Fax: (608) 267-0496
robin.schmidt@wisconsin.gov



Summary of Manitowoc conference call:

Participants: Bob Hannes (CME – NER), Tauren Beggs (RR – NER), Aaron Heintz and Jessica Fandrich (DOA), Becky Scott, Jeanne Cargill, Nicole Mathews, Robin Schmidt (CF).

1. DOA has allowed municipalities to have a shorter than 20 year loan (not less than 10 years) if the cash flow shows that they can bear the cost of the higher payments. The group agreed that this offer could be extended to the City of Manitowoc for this debt. Since the program's success is predicated on the fact that it's a revolving loan program and principal and interest go to support the program, paying the loan back in less than 10 years is not in the best interest of the program. In addition, the EL program does not allow PF only loans, which is what this would be if the loan were repaid in a shorter period of time.
2. Tauren confirmed the issue relating to responsible parties. Tauren clarified, that per the spills law (Wis. Stat. § 292.11), the City is the responsible party as the property owner of the source property (where the release occurred) and any additional potential responsible parties/responsible parties that may be brought into this would likely be causers (companies/entities who did the actual dumping of waste). We then discussed whether they should be eligible for PF funds. However, the Intended Use Plan does not stipulate that PF allocations would take that into account so therefore we have no means for denying PF funds to the municipality. However, language should be inserted into the FAA that if there is any repayment of costs from other responsible parties, that those repayments go to paying back the principal forgiveness portion of the loan.
3. Extending water past the curb stop to a home is not an eligible cost for this project – therefore, the budget needs to be adjusted to remove those costs as eligible costs. We can

only fund to the curbstop.

4. The question came up as to whether a loan that is less than 20 years should be allowed principal forgiveness – and it was agreed that Becky Scott add this in her list of topics for discussion/possible inclusion in next year's IUP.