From: Kasdorf, James H Jr - DNR

Sent: Friday, November 30, 2018 7:10 AM

To: Karen Dorow

**Cc:** Chronert, Roxanne N - DNR; Beggs, Tauren R - DNR

**Subject:** RE: 3412 CTH CR Newton, Manitowoc, WI

Dear Karen:

Yes, I first spoke to Ms. Smotherman on two occasions yesterday. Ms. Smotherman is located at 3412 Co Rd CR in Newton, Manitowoc County. Ms. Smotherman explains that she was away and that her well developed some problems while she was away. Ms. Smotherman seems to be upset about several things, including not understanding why some of her neighbors got new wells and why no one put in a new well for her.

Ms. Smotherman understands that her well was sampled by AECOM, and she does not understand the differences between sampling for VOCs (AECOM's work) and conducting private well testing on her own well. The private well testing includes sampling for bacteria, nitrate and arsenic. I explained these differences to her. Ms. Smotherman remains frustrated and upset, and expressed that she did not think it was right for her to incur costs and pay for the private water sample tests for her own well.

The problems described with this private well, as having black water and a rotten-egg smell, can be associated with sulfur bacteria. Sulfur bacteria is not regulated by the DNR's private water program and sulfur bacteria is not considered to be a health hazard. A series of flushing and sanitizing the well along with confirmation sampling may restore the water quality.

I suggested that Ms. Smotherman contact a licensed well driller or licensed pump installer to flush her well and/or sanitize and flush the well and then have the well sampled for the private water parameters (bacteria, nitrate, arsenic), and then contact the DNR so we can discuss the results. DNR's standard practice is to recommend that well owners have their wells sampled whenever there is a perceived water quality change in water from a private well. And, the DNR does not sample private wells in these circumstances. Additionally, I suggested that Ms. Smotherman contact either the Wisconsin State Lab of Hygiene or the County Health Department to obtain a private well sampling kit. Ms. Smotherman indicated that she already has Culligan as a water service provider.

The help I was able to provide Ms. Smotherman included the recommendation to have her well flushed and/or flushed and sanitized and then sampled for bacteria, arsenic and nitrate. I also recommended that Ms. Smotherman find an alternate source of water in the interim. DNR remains very willing to discuss the results of this testing with Ms. Smotherman. The DNR, however, does not perform the service of doing the testing in these circumstances. Ms. Smotherman's expectation was that DNR should to do this work for her. The DNR is also willing to help Ms. Smotherman interpret any recommendations she may receive from a licensed well contractor. Ms. Smotherman was not able to provide any details about her private well.

Feel free to contact me if you have any other questions. Thank you.

### We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey">http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey</a> to evaluate how I did.

#### Jim Kasdorf

Phone: (920) 387-7872

James.KasdorfJr@Wisconsin.gov

From: Karen Dorow < kdorow@manitowoc.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2018 11:22 AM

To: Kasdorf, James H Jr - DNR < James. KasdorfJr@wisconsin.gov>

Cc: Chronert, Roxanne N - DNR < Roxanne. Chronert@wisconsin.gov >; Kathleen McDaniel

<a href="mailtowoc.org"><a hre

Subject: 3412 CTH CR

Good Morning Jim,

I received a call from Louise Smotherman this morning from 3412 CTH CR. She was very upset about the phone call she had with you and is very frustrated.

### Background:

When I called her to set up her well testing appointment she said that her potable water smells like sewage and is very concerned about it. I asked AECOM to check it out when they did her potable well testing. AECOM let us know that the odor was different than an iron bacteria odor. He said the odor was not pleasant. She spoke with Dave Henderson from AECOM and he directed her to you as a resource.

It is my understanding that you were not able to help her. You requested that she send you copies of her last couple of potable well testing reports. These are attached. Her well tested clean for VOCs. We are waiting for results from the testing last week.

She said today that she has two sump pumps in her basement about 5 feet apart. She just had both replaced in June. One is clear the other is black with a rotten egg/manure/sewage smell.

What are the recommendations you would give to a property owner in this situation to help them figure out what is going on with their potable water?

Karen Dorow | Business Manager City of Manitowoc 900 Quay Street Manitowoc, WI 54220 Office (920) 686-6514 Mobile (920) 374-0404 Project Name NEWTON GRAVEL PIT Invoice # E33810

Proiect #

Lab Code5033810GSample ID3412 CTH CRSample MatrixWater

Sample Date 10/25/2017

Sample Date	10/23/2017											
		Result	Unit	LOD	LOQ	Dil		Method	Ext Date	Run Date	Analyst	Code
Organic												
VOC's												
Benzene		< 0.17	ug/l	0.17	0.5	5	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Bromobenzene		< 0.43	ug/l	0.43	1.3	7	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Bromodichlorometha	ane	< 0.31	ug/l	0.31			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Bromoform		< 0.49	ug/l	0.49			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
tert-Butylbenzene		< 0.39	ug/l	0.39			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
sec-Butylbenzene		< 0.24	ug/l	0.24			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
n-Butylbenzene		< 0.34	ug/l	0.34			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Carbon Tetrachloride	e	< 0.21	ug/l	0.21			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Chlorobenzene		< 0.27	ug/l	0.27			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Chloroethane		< 0.5	ug/l	0.5			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Chloroform		< 0.96	ug/l	0.96			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Chloromethane		< 1.3	ug/l	1.3			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
2-Chlorotoluene		< 0.36	ug/l	0.36			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
4-Chlorotoluene		< 0.35	ug/l	0.35			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-chlor	ropropane	< 1.88	ug/l	1.88			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Dibromochlorometh		< 0.45	ug/l	0.45			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		< 0.42	ug/l	0.43			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		< 0.45	ug/l	0.45			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		< 0.34	ug/l	0.34			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Dichlorodifluoromet		< 0.38	ug/l	0.38			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	mane	< 0.45	ug/l	0.45			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,1-Dichloroethane		< 0.42	ug/l	0.43			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,1-Dichloroethene		< 0.46	ug/l	0.42			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethe	ne.	< 0.41	ug/l	0.40			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroetl		< 0.41		0.41			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,2-Dichloropropane		< 0.39	ug/l	0.33			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,3-Dichloropropane		< 0.39	ug/l	0.39			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
trans-1,3-Dichloropr		< 0.49	ug/l	0.49			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
-	•		ug/l	0.42			1	8260B			CJR CJR	1
cis-1,3-Dichloroprop	belle	< 0.21 < 0.26	ug/l ug/l	0.21			1	8260B		10/31/2017 10/31/2017	CJR	1
Di-isopropyl ether EDB (1,2-Dibromoe	thona)	< 0.20		0.20			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
	tilalie)	< 0.34	ug/l	0.34			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Ethylbenzene Hexachlorobutadien			ug/l	1.47			1	8260B			CJR CJR	1
	е	< 1.47	ug/l							10/31/2017		
Isopropylbenzene		< 0.29 < 0.28	ug/l	0.29			1	8260B 8260B		10/31/2017 10/31/2017	CJR	1 1
p-Isopropyltoluene Methylene chloride		< 0.28	ug/l	0.28 0.94			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR CJR	
Methyl tert-butyl eth	···· (MTDE)	< 0.94	ug/l	0.94				8260B 8260B		10/31/2017	CJR CJR	1
Naphthalene	ier (MTBE)	< 0.82	ug/l	2.17			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
•		< 2.17 < 0.19	ug/l	0.19			1	8260B 8260B		10/31/2017	CJR CJR	1
n-Propylbenzene 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroe	thomo	< 0.19	ug/l				1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,1,2-Tetrachloroe		< 0.69	ug/l	0.69			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Tetrachloroethene	tnane	< 0.47	ug/l	0.47				8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
		< 0.48	ug/l	0.48			1	8260B		10/31/2017		1
Toluene			ug/l	0.67			1				CJR	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenze		< 1.29	ug/l	1.29			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenze		< 0.83	ug/l	0.83			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,1,1-Trichloroethan		< 0.35	ug/l	0.35			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,1,2-Trichloroethan		< 0.65	ug/l	0.65			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Trichloroethene (TC	· f	< 0.45	ug/l	0.45			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
Trichlorofluorometh		< 0.64	ug/l	0.64			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenz	ene	< 1.14	ug/l	1.14	3.6	3	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1

Project Name NEWTON GRAVEL PIT Invoice # E33810

Project #

Lab Code5033810GSample ID3412 CTH CR

**Sample Matrix** Water **Sample Date** 10/25/2017

	Result	Unit	LOD L	OQ D	il	Method	Ext Date	<b>Run Date</b>	Analyst	Code	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	< 0.91	ug/l	0.91	2.9	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
Vinyl Chloride	< 0.19	ug/l	0.19	0.62	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
m&p-Xylene	< 1.56	ug/l	1.56	4.95	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
o-Xylene	< 0.39	ug/l	0.39	1.25	1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
SUR - 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	REC %			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
SUR - Dibromofluoromethane	100	REC %			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
SUR - 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	REC %			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	
SUR - Toluene-d8	100	REC %			1	8260B		10/31/2017	CJR	1	

# Synergy Environmental Lab, LLC

DAVE HENDERSON AECOM 1555 N RIVER CENTER DRIVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53212

Project #

**Proiect Name** FMR NEWTON GRAVEL PIT

Invoice # E28074

## **Report Date** 19-Nov-14

	Analyte	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	Dil I	Ext Date Run Dat	e Method	Analyst	QC Cod
Lab Code Sample ID	5028074D 3412 CTH CR						Sample Type Sample Date	Water 11/10/2014	ļ	
Organic										
VOC's										
Benzen	ie	< 0.24	ug/l	0.24	0.77	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Bromol	benzene	< 0.32	ug/l	0.32	1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Bromoo	dichloromethane	< 0.37	ug/l	0.37	1.2	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Bromof	form	< 0.35	ug/l	0.35	1.1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
tert-But	tylbenzene	< 0.36	ug/l	0.36	1.2	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
sec-But	tylbenzene	< 0.33	ug/l	0.33	1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
n-Butyl	lbenzene	< 0.35	ug/l	0.35	1.1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Carbon	Tetrachloride	< 0.33	ug/l	0.33	1.1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Chlorol	benzene	< 0.24	ug/l	0.24	0.77	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Chloroe	ethane	< 0.63	ug/l	0.63	2	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Chlorof	form	< 0.28	ug/l	0.28	0.88	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Chloro	methane	< 0.81	ug/l	0.81	2.6	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
2-Chlor	rotoluene	< 0.21	ug/l	0.21	0.66	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
4-Chlor	rotoluene	< 0.21	ug/l	0.21	0.68	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,2-Dib	promo-3-chloropropane	< 0.88	ug/l	0.88	2.8	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Dibrom	nochloromethane	< 0.22	ug/l	0.22	0.7	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,4-Dic	chlorobenzene	< 0.3	ug/l	0.3	0.96	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,3-Dic	chlorobenzene	< 0.28	ug/l	0.28	0.89	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,2-Dic	chlorobenzene	< 0.36	ug/l	0.36	1.2	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Dichlor	rodifluoromethane	< 0.44	ug/l	0.44	1.4	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,2-Dic	chloroethane	< 0.41	ug/l	0.41	1.3	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,1-Dic	chloroethane	< 0.3	ug/l	0.3	0.97	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,1-Dic	chloroethene	< 0.4	ug/l	0.4	1.3	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
cis-1,2-	-Dichloroethene	< 0.38	ug/l	0.38	1.2	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
trans-1,	,2-Dichloroethene	< 0.35	ug/l	0.35	1.1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,2-Dic	chloropropane	< 0.32	ug/l	0.32	1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
2,2-Dic	chloropropane	< 0.36	ug/l	0.36	1.2	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
1,3-Dic	chloropropane	< 0.33	ug/l	0.33	1	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Di-isop	propyl ether	< 0.23	ug/l	0.23	0.73	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
EDB (1	1,2-Dibromoethane)	< 0.44	ug/l	0.44	1.4	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Ethylbe	enzene	< 0.55	ug/l	0.55	1.7	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Hexach	lorobutadiene	< 1.5	ug/l	1.5	4.8	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1
Isoprop	ylbenzene	< 0.3	ug/l	0.3	0.96	1	11/17/201	4 8260B	CJR	1

# Synergy Environmental Lab, LLC

DAVE HENDERSON AECOM 1555 N RIVER CENTER DRIVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53212

Project #

**Proiect Name** FMR NEWTON GRAVEL PIT

Invoice # E28074

## **Report Date** 19-Nov-14

	Analyte	Resul	t Unit	s LOI	LOQ	Dil 1	Ext Date Run Da	te Method	Analyst	QC Code
Lab Code Sample ID	5028074D 3412 CTH CR						Sample Type Sample Date	Water 11/10/201	4	
Sample 1D	3412 CITICK						Sample Date	11/10/201	.4	
p-Isopr	opyltoluene	< (	0.31 u	g/l 0.3	1 0.98	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Methyl	ene chloride	< (	).5 u	g/l 0.	5 1.6	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Methyl	tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	< (	0.23 u	g/l 0.2	3 0.74	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Naphth	alene	< 1	1.7 u	g/l 1.	7 5.5	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
n-Prop	ylbenzene	< (	).25 u	g/l 0.2	5 0.81	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,1,2,2	-Tetrachloroethane	< (	).45 u	g/l 0.4	5 1.4	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,1,1,2	-Tetrachloroethane	< (	0.33 u	g/l 0.3	3 1.1	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Tetrach	nloroethene	< (	0.33 u	g/l 0.3	3 1.1	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Toluen	e	< (	).69 u	g/l 0.6	9 2.2	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,2,4-T	richlorobenzene	< (	).98 u	g/l 0.9	8 3.1	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,2,3-T	richlorobenzene	< 1	1.8 u	g/l 1.	8 5.8	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,1,1-T	richloroethane	< (	0.33 u	g/l 0.3	3 1	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,1,2-T	richloroethane	< (	0.34 u	g/l 0.3	4 1.1	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Trichlo	proethene (TCE)	< (	0.33 u	g/l 0.3	3 1	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Trichlo	rofluoromethane	< (	0.71 u	g/l 0.7	1 2.3	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,2,4-T	rimethylbenzene	< 2	2.2 u	g/l 2.	2 6.9	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
1,3,5-T	rimethylbenzene	< 1	1.4 u	g/l 1.	4 4.5	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
Vinyl (	Chloride	< (		g/l 0.1	8 0.57	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
m&p-X	Kylene	< (	).69 u	g/l 0.6	9 2.2	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
o-Xyle	ne	< (	).63 u	g/l 0.6	3 2	1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
SUR -	Toluene-d8	99	RE	C %		1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
SUR -	Dibromofluoromethane	95	RE	C %		1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
SUR -	4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	RE	C %		1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1
SUR -	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	104	RE	C %		1	11/17/20	14 8260B	CJR	1

# Synergy Environmental Lab, LLC

DAVE HENDERSON AECOM 1555 N RIVER CENTER DRIVE MILWAUKEE, WI 53212

Project #

**Proiect Name** FMR NEWTON GRAVEL PIT

Invoice # E28074

#### **Report Date** 19-Nov-14

Analyte	Result	Units	LOD LOQ Dil Ext Date Ru	n Date Method Analyst QC Cod
LOD Limit of Detection	"J" ]	Flag: Analyte	letected between LOD and LOQ	LOQ Limit of Quantitation
Code	Comment			
1	All laboratory	y QC requirer	ments were met for this sample.	
6	The surrogat	e recovery no	ot within established limits.	
8	Closing calib	ration standa	ard not within established limits.	
		,	weight basis unless otherwise indicate Subcontracted results are denoted by S	
	Authorized Si	gnature		