State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:
Project Manager: John Sager Review Date: 8/21/08
Site Name: Doberstein Lumber à Fence
BRRTS Number: 02-61-000488
FID Number: 8610(08(0
Parcel No.:
Address: WGG17 State Highway G4, McDow
Address: WGG17 State Highway G4, McDford Current Property Owner: Commercial Recycling
Original Responsible Party: Doberstein Lumber ' Fence
Property Owner at Closure (if different):
Has the site been geolocated? 💢 Yes 🔲 No
Site Coordinates (WTM83/91): X: 4ま972ま Y: 5184まと
How was site selected for audit?
🗌 Random 💢 Regional Priority 🔲 Compliance Follow-up 🔲 Other (specify)
Complaint Received
Is the site on a GIS Registry? 🔏 Yes 🗌 No
Did the close-out letter include some specific requirements that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address? 💢 Yes 🗌 No

If Yes, describe specific requirements: Cap Maintenance

.

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

÷

 $\frac{1}{1}$

1,

ų,

What types of restrictions ea	xisted at the time of c	case closure? (c	heck all that
apply)	• .	,	

Pavement, Soil or Other Cover 💦 🕅 Industrial Land-Use Restrictio	n
Structural Impediment Other Performance Standard	
Was a maintenance plan required at closure? 🙀 Yes 🗌 No	
Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) or has/have the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR? Yes X No	
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Dee	ds?
Yes 🗌 No	
Has the property been transferred since the restriction was recorded?	3
XYes 🗌 No	• ::
Date of closure:	
\Box Conditional \Box Final $A_{U_{3}U_{5}}$ $J_{3}, Z_{3}U$	
Final Closure Pending	• •
Were there other restricted properties associated with the source site (ie. Affected neighboring properties)?	• :

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

Examples: a building has been razed and the location of the building prevented full investigation and/or remediation; excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

🗌 Yes 🕅 No

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

□Yes 🕅 No

f yes above,	was	DNR	notified?		Yes		No
--------------	-----	-----	-----------	--	-----	--	----

If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?

Yes 🗌 No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure? Yes X No

If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

Yes 🗌 No

Were any new potential sources of contamination identified?

diffe.

If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? Yes No

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?

Yes 🗌 No

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?

🛛 🗌 No

Is the structure still present? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No

Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair?
Yes 🕅 No

If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired? Yes No

Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom?

Are additional	actions	warranted	at the	site?	Yes	No No	If yes, please
explain:							

Does the site require follow-up by DNR? □Yes ☑No

Does the site restriction need to be changed? □Yes ⊠No

Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? Xes 🗌 No

Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS?

X	Yes	No
/		



Gravel area east of the asphalt cap former Doberstein Lumber and Fence Photograph taken 08/21/08



East side of former Doberstein Lumber and Fence building Looking north Photograph taken 08/21/08



East side of former Doberstein Lumber and Fence building looking south Photograph taken 08/21/08



Floor sealant inside former Doberstein Lumber and Fence building Photograph taken 08/21/08



Gravel Cap area southeast of the of the asphalt cap former Doberstein Lumber and Fence Photograph taken 08/21/08