

## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-27-03SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Mark Gordon, DNR

I called Mark to discuss whether soil would be classified as haz waste. My discussions with Dino Tsois, Robin Schmidt and Gene Mitchell of DNR were inconclusive. I had also talked to Tom Karwoski of BT<sup>2</sup> - he has made the assumption that soil would be haz waste. Mark stated that our <sup>informal</sup> standard for PCE in soil is 33 ppm - this is a ~~exact~~ calculated direct-contact threat value ~~is~~ for an industrial site using EPA guidance. Mark stated that averaging the concentrations at MW-1 and MW-3 is not appropriate. Averaging is discouraged because it <sup>could</sup> ~~would~~ allow a version of dilution <sup>(my interpretation of Mark's comment)</sup> to avoid haz waste determination. I stated that soil is not characterized in area of proposed excavation, only on either side. Without better characterization we will not allow ~~the~~ <sup>an</sup> assumption about concentrations in the area of excavation. The soil could be treated onsite using "contained out" policy. DNR would need a plan for treatment with ~~a~~ measurable goals. The goals would have to be met before soil could be ~~then~~ transported off site for disposal. DNR would have to approve plan - give a haz waste variance. Mixing soil with HRC and dumping it back in the excavation is not acceptable. Mark mentioned volatilization as one possible treatment method.

- Randy Maass

Dino looked at EPA Region 3 table afterward - seems that their value is 32 ppm for direct contact for residential rather than industrial.

## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-27-03SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Ray DeLong, REI

I told Ray that I had discussed haz waste issue for PCE with Dino, Robin, Geno, ~~and BT~~ BT<sup>2</sup>, and Mark Gordon.

Mark Gordon does not approve of averaging values in general.

I don't approve of it for this site because there are no data for area of excavation; values could be very high wherever there are cracks in the pavement. I would need additional data to rule out haz waste for area of excavation. It is

possible to use the "contained out" policy for onsite treatment.

Plan would have to be submitted for DNR approval, would need measurable goals, would most likely result in eventual disposal in solid waste landfill. Mixing with HRC and putting

back in the excavation ~~does not seem~~ <sup>is not</sup> appropriate. Unlikely that soil could be placed back in excavation under any scenario because ~~it~~ ex situ treatment and testing required. ~~BT~~

I told Ray that Mark Gordon mentioned volatilization as one possible treatment method. I said that BT<sup>2</sup> told me that they did not find a way to avoid haz waste rules if soil excavated. Ray will assume soil is haz waste. I mentioned that informal haz waste concentration for PCE is 33 ppm according to Mark Gordon - Ray seemed to be aware of this.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-27-03

CONTACT NAME Tom Karwowski, BT<sup>2</sup>

Redbury Cleaners

SITE NAME

I told Tom that DNR would allow use of "contained out" policy for soil with ex-situ treatment onsite. Other possibility would be additional characterization of soil in area of USTs. No simple way to avoid treating soils as haz waste. Tom's original interpretation that soils would be considered haz waste is correct. BT<sup>2</sup> expects to propose vertical SVE system, no removal of ~~gas~~ petroleum USTs. Told Tom that I don't approve of another consultant's suggestion of mixing HRC with soil and putting soil directly back in the excavation. This was a courtesy call to let Tom know that DNR does not approve of the other consultant's attempt to avoid haz waste regulations.

Redbury Mass

## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-17-03SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Ray DeLong, REI  
715-675-9784

Ray is putting together a proposal for remediation. He asked if he could use the average value of PCE across the site to determine whether the excavation will be classified as haz waste. ~~I said no~~. His calculation is that it is not haz waste using this method. I said that this is not appropriate. Although we don't have soil data for the area of the excavation, one of the adjacent borings has 330,000 PCE and the history of the spill suggests that PCE will be high anywhere along the east side of the site where there ~~were~~ were cracks in the pavement. I am assuming that PCE will exceed standards in the area of the excavation, at least locally. Ray asked whether the soil from the excavation can be placed back in the hole if mixed with HRC. I said ~~no~~ no - once a haz waste it remains a haz waste.

I called Ray back later - I found out that there have been some changes to haz waste rules and I am not certain that it will be haz waste. I will call Ray after I learn more.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-15-03  
CONTACT NAME Tom Korwoski, BT<sup>2</sup>  
SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

Tom wanted to verify the final cost of the Vicerbiker proposal. It was \$198,600 in a table attached to the 5-29-02 letter. Tom is nearing completion of the RRP. He will propose ~~an~~ excavation and SVE followed by GV monitoring. The plan will include a contingency for injection of HRC or a similar compound if needed. Injection of HRC is expensive, so the hope is that the SVE system will be adequate. BT<sup>2</sup> had another firm look at the site data and it is the opinion of that firm that NA is ~~occurring~~ occurring, probably as a result of the petroleum contamination. I asked Tom to check about the progress of Spillman Treatment upgrade to find out whether we will have a continuing source of petroleum to assist NA. Tom is waiting to receive 2 more bids for drilling and injection before the RRP will be completed. BT<sup>2</sup> thinks that pressure injection of HRC into bedrock is necessary for this method to be effective. A driller familiar with the area has stated that the weathered section of bedrock <sup>into the bedrock</sup> will likely collapse if not cased - open boreholes proposed by Vicerbiker not recommended. Boreholes will have screens so that additional injections are possible. Consider having Terry Evanson look at plan.

Ronald Mass

## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 7-9-03SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Tom Karwoski (sp?) BT<sup>2</sup>

Tom called to update me. BT<sup>2</sup> is nearing completion of a proposal for remediation. It will likely be very similar to the Vierbicher proposal. May use a newer form of HRC called "HRC-X." Has had a former coworker who is an expert on HRC, Jack Sheldon, review the plan. Jack has some concerns, but thinks that the plan is ~~is~~ generally OK. Concerns ~~the~~ about GW chemistry? Two other consultants are working on proposals. Butz is in a hurry to get moving on the project. Tom is concerned that if plan doesn't work as expected, DERF will not provide additional funding. Tom expressed concern that HRC has not been used extensively in bedrock. I said that I have talked to Robyn Schmidt, ~~and~~ Jeff Soellner, ~~about~~ Dino Tsonis, and a Committee member about the fact that there is not a lot of experience with HRC in bedrock - they seemed to agree that using it at Reedsburg is a worthwhile experiment. I stated that it is my impression that Robyn and Jeff will be flexible if the HRC doesn't work as well as expected. Tom will call Robyn and Jeff to discuss this. Tom expects to have his proposal ready in weeks, not months. We discussed the possibility that there is DNAPL at depth. Although I have this concern, I don't think that additional investigation is warranted and might not find it even if it exists. We are both uncertain about the volume of the spill - the SI does not provide enough info to calculate. Have to assume that reported nature and volume of spill is approximately correct.

O V E R

In sum, Tom expressed concern about complexity of site, especially bedrock <sup>and</sup> significant petroleum plume affecting chlorinateds. I called Robyn afterward - she is in a meeting today and on leave tomorrow ~~until~~ through Aug. 4th.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 3-18-03

CONTACT NAME Tom Bergsman; B T<sup>2</sup>  
Tom Kozowski

SITE NAME Rocksbury Cleaners

I called to make sure that B T<sup>2</sup> is aware that 3 bids are required for the <sup>RAP for the</sup> site - yes they are. They are not sure that Wayne Butz understands this, they will discuss it again with him. B T<sup>2</sup> is the consultant for Butz for his Maushan site. B T<sup>2</sup> has been attempting to talk to Jeff Souther of DERP - phone tag so far. We discussed the use of HRC at the site - they are considering it, but with modifications to Verbiaker RAP. We discussed whether Verbiaker would be one of the bidders - I said that my conversations with Joel Tomasser and Joe Donava of Verbiaker suggest that they ~~have~~ have no one qualified to work on the site now that Joel has taken another job, and they ~~they~~ have shown no interest in anything beyond the S.I.R. During a conversation with Joe Donava I was told that Verbiaker has suggested to Butz that he find a new consultant. I mentioned that Spellman Movement petroleum plume is impacting Rocksbury Cleaners, but will probably close before Rocksbury Cleaners reaches closure - SVE has been operating effectively for a year at Spellman.

Randy Mass



## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 3-19-03SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Wayne Butz, RP

Wayne called me to discuss possible ~~switch~~ switch of consultant to BT<sup>2</sup>. He has been in contact with Tom Bergamini of BT<sup>2</sup> ~~at that time~~ (Wayne implied that BT<sup>2</sup> is working on his Mauston site). BT<sup>2</sup> was suggested to him by Joel Janssen. Said he has been in contact with Vierbicher and they have not been able to assist him. I stated that my understanding is that Vierbicher will not hire a replacement for Joel, so he should switch consultants and BT<sup>2</sup> is acceptable.

Randy Maass

## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 2-28-03SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Jeff Soellner, DERF

Jeff has not received some of the documents needed to pay the claim. Has not received anything from Joe Dorava of Vierbicher subsequent to my 12-6-02 contact with Joe. Jeff has been in contact with ~~Butz~~ Butz about some of missing items, but not Vierbicher. I suggested that Jeff contact Joe Dorava rather than Butz. Jeff will have to deny some travel expenses from Key Enviro, ~ \$200. Jeff may have to penalize Vierbicher <sup>50%</sup> for the travel expenses in the claim submitted because Vierbicher should have eliminated these <sup>non-eligible</sup> expenses. I emailed Jeff a copy of my 3-30-01 letter to Butz in which I approved not having to rebid the SI when changing consultant from Key to Vierbicher. I resent my 12-6-02 email regarding Joe Dorava. We discussed an error that I noticed in the claim - one item was not subdivided between PECFA and DERF. I cannot remember which one it was and don't have a full copy of the claim so can't determine which item it is. I informed Joe Dorava about <sup>it</sup> and think that I included it in a written communication on ~~12-6-02~~ 12-6-02 to Joe - I cannot find a copy of my communication in the file. Jeff told me that PECFA has not received a claim. Looking at the file it appears that a claim was submitted on 8-13-02. ~~A~~ A 10-7-02 letter implies that PECFA received the claim but sent it back to Vierbicher pending Commerce approval of SI. Thus, there may need to be a resubmittal to PECFA. 11-19-02 letter from David Swimm ~~denies~~ denies cost separation methodology for ~~for~~ future claims, not for costs of SI. Jeff will contact Joe Dorava. Randy Maass

**Maass, Randall S**

---

**From:** Maass, Randall S  
**Sent:** Friday, December 06, 2002 4:17 PM  
**To:** Soellner, Jeffrey K  
**Subject:** Reedsburg Cleaners

Hi Jeff,

I contacted Vierbicher's Madison office regarding Reedsburg Cleaners and they referred me to Joe Dorava of Vierbicher at their Reedsburg office. I spoke to Joe today and he is willing to take care of some of the deficiencies in the reimbursement application and will attempt to answer any questions or requests that you have regarding the site or the application. Joe stated that he has been recommending to Wayne Butz that Butz contract with a new consulting firm. Joe seemed to say that he has recommended two other consulting firms and that they have been in contact with Wayne Butz, but not much progress has been made. I agreed to mail Joe a list of the items that need to be submitted to complete the reimbursement request, and a copy of the Qualified Denial of Cost Separation Methodology from David Swimm.

Joe's contact info is:

Joe Dorava  
Vierbicher Associates  
400 Viking Drive  
Reedsburg, WI 53959  
608-524-6468

Randy

I sent Joe a <sup>written</sup> request for:  
legal description  
missing items from page 2 of Form 4400-211  
~~note that one of the costs was~~

I informed Joe that one of the costs was not properly subdivided between PECFA and DERF

PHONE CONTACT  
DATE 12-4-02  
SITE NAME Roofburg Cleaners  
CONTACT NAME Jeff Soolliner, DERR

I told Jeff about some of the ~~other~~ omissions I found:  
no legal description, most recent BOR license  
date, any clean license No. I also pointed out the failure  
to subdivide costs 50/50 between PECEFA/DERR for one  
cost calculation. I said that I consider the 100% charge  
to DERR for RAO of reasonable. We discussed the 11-19-02  
letter from David Swimm about <sup>details</sup> cost separation methodology.  
I think that the denial can be relatively easily resolved -  
Jeff will call David to discuss. I will call Virebicher to find  
out if they know/will hire replacement for Joel Janssen.  
Jeff thinks that OCOM may have paid PECEFA claim - is  
typically the case for his sites. I told Jeff that to the  
best of my knowledge, costs are reasonable. Jeff does  
not need a letter to this effect. I will send claim  
packet back to Jeff today, after completing my part.

Roadby Mass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-30-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Jeff Soellner, DERP

SI

Jeff has a reimbursement request from Vierbicher from Oct 9 (?). He wants me to evaluate it - will send it today. Asked me to focus on lab analysis costs and PECFA vs. DERP breakdown of costs. Jeff will eventually go to DCOM to cross check claims to make sure there is no double billing - 50% penalty for double billing.

- Randy Maass

## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-28-02SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Jeff Soellner, ~~RE~~ Central Officereimbursement

Jeff wanted <sup>SP</sup> my approval of the October 7 2002 letter to DCOM on which Jeff was cc'd. <sup>seems to be the same as 8-14-02 letter.</sup> I think that it is OK. I have not heard from DCOM yet. I told Jeff about the likelihood that the RP will have to find a new consultant, unless Vierbicher hires a replacement for Toel Janssen. I don't know if the RAP has been bid - needs 3 bids. We discussed whether ~~the~~ a new consultant will have to use the approved RAP - neither of us certain.

Code change expected early to mid-spring 2003 - will require <sup>instead of two.</sup> three bid stages. Does not seem that it will affect Reedsburg Cleaners.

Jeff referred me to NR 169.21 regarding consultant services for Dry Cleaner sites.

Need to have a conference call with Jeff and Robin Schmidt to discuss site.

If I need to contact RP about moving forward with remediation, emphasize that he is currently eligible for SI reimbursement from DERP. (I don't think that a claim has been submitted).

- Randy Maass

03-57-002801  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 10-2-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

Joel informed me that he will be leaving Vierbicher in two weeks to take a job at <sup>Badger Army Ammunition Plant</sup> BAAP. He will be sending me a breakdown of PECFA vs DREF costs prior to leaving Vierbicher. Vierbicher does not have anyone qualified to ~~handle~~ take over Reedsburg Cleaners and may not hire a replacement for Joel. Joel thinks that it is likely that Wayne Butz will have to find a new consultant.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801.

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 9-23-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

Joel called me to ask questions about a Phase 2 elsewhere, and we briefly discussed Reedsburg Cleaners. There has been little, if any, progress recently. Wayne Butz is in no hurry to do the remediation. Because it is not recommended, HRC injection will not be performed during cold weather. Will have to wait until warm weather next year. HRC can be injected during cold weather, but it is more difficult and is inadvisable. Joel seems to have been hired to do ~~some~~ investigation at Wayne Butz's <sup>dry</sup> cleaner site in Mauston, but Butz is still denying responsibility and is stalling on the investigation.

Randy Maass



03-57-002801.

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 7-3-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vicebicher

I told Joel that I had contacted Jeff Soellner at Central Office about the bidding process. Jeff told me that the bidding process is the standard 3 bids. Jeff stated that the DNR will evaluate the bids only if the lowest bid is not chosen. This did not answer Joel's question about the process, so I referred him to Jeff.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801  
02-57-001682  
Reedsburg Cleaners

**Maass, Randall S**

---

**From:** Joel Janssen[SMTP:jjan@vierbicher.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 27, 2002 9:14 AM  
**To:** Maass, Randall S  
**Subject:** ITRC Quarterly Update & Butz Cleaners

Just wondering if you have been in touch with the ITRC regarding the Middleton gun range. They have a Small Arms Firing Range Team that is in the process of putting together a guidance document. Maybe they could provide some assistance for you on possible remediation methods.

I sent Wayne Butz a note regarding your June 5th letter. I haven't heard back from him yet. He still needs to get me copies of his cancelled checks before his DERF claim can be submitted. How should Wayne proceed with obtaining three consultant proposals for the remediation? Could you assist him in any way?

Joel Janssen  
Vierbicher Associates  
jjan@vierbicher.com  
(608) 233-5800

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council [SMTP:ITRCWeb@wpi.org]  
> Sent: Monday, June 24, 2002 10:29 AM  
> To: Joel Janssen  
> Subject: June 2002 ITRC Quarterly Update Now On-line  
>  
> The June 2002 issue of ITRC's Quarterly Update is now available as a PDF  
> on ITRC's Web site ( [www.itrcweb.org](http://www.itrcweb.org) <<http://www.itrcweb.org>>) under  
> "Quarterly Updates." This issue contains news about the 2002 Fall Meeting  
> in November and six projects that state points of contact (POCs) have  
> proposed for inclusion in the next ITRC Five-Year Program Plan. Also look  
> for updates on training, teams, and the state concurrence process.  
>  
> To be removed from this mailing list contact Eileen O'Toole [540-557-6007;  
> [Eileen\\_OToole@wpi.org](mailto:Eileen_OToole@wpi.org) <[mailto:Eileen\\_OToole@wpi.org](mailto:Eileen_OToole@wpi.org)>] or reply to this  
> message with your request.

03-57-002801  
62-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE  
5-24-02

SITE NAME Kendallburg Cleaners  
CONTACT NAME Soel Janssen, Viebicher

I questioned Soel about his estimate that 55 yds<sup>3</sup> of soil

will be excavated and treated as haz waste. Northern part of

excavation is 14' x 9' x 10' ≈ 47 yds<sup>3</sup>. Southern part 20' x 10' x 8'

≈ 59 yds<sup>3</sup>. ~~Three~~ Three 1,000 gal USTs ≈ 15 yds<sup>3</sup>. Volume

of soil excavated ≈ 90 yds<sup>3</sup>. Soel not sure how he came up with

55 yds<sup>3</sup>. ~~He may have assumed that soil above USTs in southern~~ He

has only 10 yds<sup>3</sup> being excavated from southern part, may have

assumed that soil above USTs not haz waste. This still doesn't

~~account~~ account for discrepancy. I stated that unless it can be

demonstrated that shallow soil is clean it will have to be ~~considered~~

haz waste. There is no reason to assume that shallow soil is clean -

PCE of 870 ppb at 1-3 ft bgs at MW-3, 270 ppb at 1-3 ft at

MW-2. We agreed that all excavated soil should be considered

to be haz waste because PCE spill ran across pavement and

infiltrated through cracks in pavement. Soel will recalculate

Volume of excavation and cost of handling all soil as haz

waste.

Cost of disposal of excavated soil as haz waste = \$300/ton.

Kendallburg  
Hess

03-57-002801  
02-57-001682

**Maass, Randall S**

---

**From:** Joel Janssen[SMTP:jjan@vierbicher.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 08, 2002 2:30 PM  
**To:** Maass, Randall S  
**Subject:** FW: WI HRC application in 2001

Randy,

Here is the info. we discussed.

Joel Janssen  
Vierbicher Associates  
jjan@vierbicher.com  
(608) 233-5800

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Scott Mullin [SMTP:scottm@regenesi.com]  
> Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2002 1:26 PM  
> To: Joel Janssen  
> Subject: WI HRC application in 2001

>

> Joel,

>

> Here is a list of the HRC applications in Wisconsin during 2001.

>

> RSV Engineering - Site in Brookfield.

>

> RSV Engineering - Site in Milwaukee.

>

> ProSource Technologies - WDNR Project Manager is Dave Kafera from the  
> Spooner office in the Northern Region

>

> Giles Engineering - Site in Milwaukee.

>

> Gannett Fleming - WDNR Project Manager is Bill Schultz from the

> Rhinelander office in the Northern Region. have data

> Call me with any other questions and please keep me updated.

> Thanks,

>

> Scott

>

> \*\*\*\*\*

> Scott Mullin

> Great Lakes District Manager

> REGENESIS

> phone: (630) 753-0836

> fax: (630) 753-0837

> e-mail: scottm@regenesi.com

> corporate website: www.regenesi.com <<http://www.regenesi.com>>

03-57-002801

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 5-8-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Jansen, Vierbicher

Regenesis provided Joel with 8 lbs/vertical foot and spacing of holes for HRC. They have some experience with HRC in sandstone, but not alot. Joel's cost of \$28,500 for "construction" cost of HRC injection includes drilling. Joel plans on using Falcon Blasting (920-685-5299) for drilling. They mainly do drilling for blasting in quarries, but also have done drilling for other purposes. Their method uses air, but Joel stated that it is not rotary drilling. They charge \$160/hr and expect the 43 holes to take 2 days - total cost ~ \$3,000 for drilling, ~~and injection~~. My calculations of hole size, 11 lbs/gal for HRC, suggest that if holes are completely filled would use 160 lbs/hole, not 80 in Joel's plan. I asked Joel to check my calculation, and if correct call Regenesis to determine how to inject only 80 lbs/hole (density of HRC ~~is~~ suggests that it will sink to bottom of hole, instructions on p. 165 of book supplied by Joel says water cannot be added to HRC). Also asked Joel to find out whether 160 lbs/hole would cause method to be less effective. 160 lbs/hole would add ~\$20,000 to cost. Joel got his information that 5 sites in Wis are using HRC from Regenesis, doesn't know site specifics. We agreed that RAP proposal to put 20 yds<sup>3</sup> from excavation back in the hole will not be done. This does not affect cost in RAP (offsite disposal of all soil to landfill near Detroit was assumed in RAP). We discussed what the options are if HRC does not eliminate chlorinateds - Joel is considering constructing an HRC "well" on the south side of Main St.

OVER

Joel is planning on cycling SVE system - turned off at night. I told Joel about noise complaint about Spellman Monument SVE system last week. Joel is not sure where house that is source of complaint is located, but may be immediately north of Reedsburg Cleaners, close to proposed SVE building.

I stated that I approve of most of what is in RAP - have concerns only with getting appropriate volume of HRC in 17-27' bgs part of drill holes, unusually low drilling cost.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 5-8-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen

After talking to Dave Johnson at Central Office I told/asked Joel the following:

- 1) drilling method is very fast - 2 days is reasonable
- 2) method uses alot of air - will this cause plume to spread?
- 3) may be able to reduce spreading by drilling from outer edge first, work inwards
- 4) does Falcon Drilling have OSHA safety training? Important
- 5) considerable contaminated water expected to blow out of the hole during drilling - how will this be handled?
- 6) should consider casing above injection zone for both access for additional HRC and for abandonment purposes

My calculation of volume is incorrect. Volume of borehole is  $0.5 \text{ ft}^3 / 10 \text{ ft}$ . Will have to use 20' interval of borehole to get 80 lbs HRC in hole. Regensis has suggested to Joel that he fill the borehole with HRC from 7-27' bgs. Case down to 17' bgs. As HRC below casing dissolves, will be replenished from above. Top of casing will be empty, well will need cap (HRC does not use bentonite in top of borehole, unlike ORC). Cap can be removed and additional HRC added if needed. HRC does not harden, unlike ORC.

Joel will send email with list of sites in Wis using HRC

- Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 3-28-02

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Robin Schmidt, Central Office

Pat McClutcheon was unsure if a fee should be required for technical review of the RAP, had me call Robin.

Robin stated in voice mail reply:

no fees for technical reviews of DERF sites

closure fees are required

non-technical assistance, e.g. VPLE requires fees



## PHONE CONTACT

DATE 3-25-02SITE NAME Reedsburg CleanersCONTACT NAME Jeff <sup>Soellner</sup> Soellner, DERP Central Office  
266-1967

I called Jeff to inquire whether the site is "officially" in ~~the~~ DERP. Jeff said that it has met all the requirements so far, and for all intents and purposes it is in DERP. The only remaining hurdle for reimbursement, once it is requested, is for Jeff to check with Dept of Revenue to determine whether all necessary license fees for the site have been paid. SI costs are reimbursible ~~on~~ after the Remedial Action Plan has been approved by DNR.

Sites are eligible for reimbursement 2 times per year.

- Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 12-17-01

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

After talking to Dino Tsois, Steve Kles, and Linda Hanefeld of DNR I called Joel to follow up on our 12-12-01 discussion. I told Joel about "State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners" website that I have found useful. I told Joel that Steve thought that there may be a Haz Waste exemption for pure product PCE spills but that Linda says no. Dino has stated that excavations at drycleaners are uncommon because of Haz Waste disposal costs, but website has several Wis "site profiles" that used excavation for part of remediation. One of the sites that was excavated was managed by Jennifer Tobias - I had called her and found out that soil had been shipped out of state - excavation at her site was deemed necessary because of clay and shallow water table, ruling out SVE system. Website contains profiles of 2 sites that successfully used molasses. Molasses is apparently for GW remed, not soil. Most common method for soil is SVE. Told Joel that Superior may be a good company to contact regarding cost of disposal of excavated soil, if excavation is chosen. Joel might put in piping for SVE if there is an excavation. RP wants immediate reimbursement on any expenses - is becoming a hinderance to rapid remediation. I asked Joel to contact me if RP needs a push - this site has not been progressing at a satisfactory rate. RP is also fighting responsibility for his main site in Mauston. Joel - may send a ~~remedial~~ remedial options report in Feb 2002. <sup>Possible</sup> Pilot test was discussed - I told Joel that Spellman Monument did pilot test for SVE in 1986, but I don't know if they determined radius of influence. Don't know if a pilot test will be needed at Reedsburg Cleaners

- Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 12-12-01

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

Joel is trying to determine remedial options, called to discuss same. Wayne Butz used to be president of Wis Dry Cleaners association and has relatives in the business. WB has heard that molasses has been ~~successful~~ successful. I told Joel that I have heard this, but have not investigated. Joel had been thinking about doing an excavation until he discovered that the contaminated soil would have to be shipped out of state for disposal, at a cost of as much as \$150/ton. Nearest landfill that will accept soil is in Michigan. Joel is waiting for a ~~quote~~<sup>reply</sup> from an Illinois landfill facility, to see if they will accept soil and at what cost.

~~This~~ This is the first dry cleaner site for Joel and me - we are both in the early phase of learning remediation technologies for chlorinateds. This call was mostly an informal discussion with no conclusions.

Wayne Butz wants to retire next year, is very reluctant to spend any of his retirement fund on remediation. He may transfer ownership of the site to one of his partners. Not likely to try to sell the property.

- Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 4-27-01

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

Joel called to tell me that Wayne Butz (RP) has signed a contract with Vierbicher to complete the SI. Joel is proposing an additional MW and a piczo. He expects ~~me~~ to send me the workplan by the end of May. Wayne Butz has paid Vierbicher an up front fee in addition to signing the contract.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 3-9-01

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Mae Willkom, West-Central

Mae looked at the file for the site owned by Wayne Butz in Mauston, and talked to other people at West Central. They have conducted site visits and interviews and are pretty certain that the source of the chlorinateds in Mauston is correctly identified as being from Wayne Butz's dry cleaner business. My discussion of the conditions at Reedsburg Cleaners ~~is~~ reinforces their interpretation that the off site contamination in Mauston is from Wayne Butz's property.

~~There is not a consensus, may want to include the Mauston site~~

May want to coordinate efforts with West Central Region if cooperation is not received.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 3-5-01

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Joel ~~Fans~~ Janssen, Vierbicher

Joel called to tell me that Wayne Butz is unwilling to sign a contract with Vierbicher for the additional site investigation needed. He is apparently unwilling to spend any additional money. Joel said that Wayne Butz also got an RP letter about a month ago for a property he owns in Mauston - chlorinateds were discovered offsite, but West Central Region decided that his property is the likely source. He is reportedly planning on fighting DNR about whether he ~~should~~ should be the RP for the ~~Mauston~~ Mauston release. Cindy Koepke sent the RP letter for the Mauston release.

I called Cindy to find out more about the Mauston site - she is on maternity leave. Mae Wilcom at West Central is filling in for Cindy. Mae is not very familiar with the site. Mae will call me back later this week, or next week, to let me know how likely it is that Wayne Butz is responsible for the chlorinateds in Mauston.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 2-12-01

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Jeff Soellner, Central Office  
266-1967

I called about how site costs are divided (see 12-18-00 notes). Jeff has taken over Hestie Gauberti's responsibilities. There is a \$500,000 cap per site. There is currently, and for the ~~foreseeable~~ foreseeable future, plenty of money in the DERP/DERF program. The site costs are divided between DERF and PECFA based upon the relative cost of petroleum compound cleanup vs. chlorinated ~~and~~ compound cleanup. The project manager for the site has to determine approximately what percentage of cleanup costs are assigned to each fund. The notes and readings from the Wisconsin Dells training are very useful and so is the Dry Cleaner Environmental Response ~~web~~ website according to Jeff. Co-mingling of a plume from an adjacent site also has to be taken into account.

Jeff called me back later and said that the consultant should provide the breakdown of DERF vs PECFA costs, but the project manager has the ultimate authority about what costs should be assigned to which program. Should refer the consultant to the website for most questions.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 12-18-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Robin Schmidt, Central office

from KEY  
I talked to Robin about the change of consultants, to Vierbicher Associates. I told her that the reason for the change is justifiable and meets my approval. She agreed. We agreed that the site doesn't have to go out for bidding again before completion of the SIR. She reminded me that when the remediation phase goes out for bidding that Commerce will not be involved - the Dry Cleaner cleanup fund is strictly DNR. However, the plume is likely to be commingled with petroleum and there will need to be a division of the costs between PECFA and the Dry Cleaner Fund. She asked me to call Leslie Gauberti about how the costs are divided between the two funds - there is no standard - it is site specific. Leslie is the most knowledgeable person about the division of costs and is the person to contact about questions regarding this aspect. The Dry Cleaner fund has approximately \$1 million and gets approximately \$250,000 quarterly - there is no concern at this time about a lack of funding for Reedsburg Cleaners. Robin reminded me to be sure to use the Dry Cleaner time code for work on this site.

Randy Maass



03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 12-1-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

Joel has received all necessary reports from RP and <sup>Wayne Butz</sup> previous consultant (KEY). He is preparing a contract and workplan that should be completed soon. He will call me when contract is signed by Wayne Butz. He is unaware that KEY had sent a \$400 bill to WB, but thinks that it may have been for completion of well logs, etc. If so, \$400 should be PECFA eligible and shouldn't slow down progress. Joel said that Vierbicher has an engineering office in Reedsburg and Wayne Butz knows the president of Vierbicher because of this. This ~~set~~ should provide Wayne Butz with more than ample contact with the company, although he will have to come to Madison to visit Joel (Reedsburg office has no environmental staff).

- Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 11-29-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Wayne Butz, RP

I called to find out if RP had paid a retainer to KEY and had received all expected reports. He ~~is~~ doesn't recall paying a retainer fee. He received all final ~~(S)~~ documents from KEY last week, accompanied by a bill for \$400. He will be consulting with his attorney soon about the \$400 bill. He has sent the documents to Joel Janssen of Vierbicher for his review as probable new consultant.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 11-17-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Wayne Butz, RP

608-847-5904

I called to find out if all reports from KEY have been received, whether retainers fee for copying etc. had been paid to KEY. W.B. is deer hunting, date of return is unknown by person who answered the phone at Reedsburg Cleaners. I asked for a return call.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 11-17-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Curt Hoffart, KEY

I ask Curt about site status. He said that all documents had been sent to Wayne Butz on 11-13-00. It is his opinion that KEY has finished all of their work on the site. Contrary to what Toel Janssen of Vierbicher told me on 11-13-00, Curt said that Wayne Butz had not paid the retainer fee of \$500 for copying, etc of a final report.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 11-13-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

I called Joel to find out if the contract with Wayne Butz<sup>(RP)</sup> has been finalized. Not yet because the final report from KEY has not been received. The RP has made all the necessary payments to KEY, including a \$500 retainer fee for the completion of the report. Joel has a copy of the most recent report sent to the RP but needs more detail before he can determine what additional SI needs to be done, which will affect the contract he will propose to the RP. ~~The~~ Joel informed me that PCE is as high as 4800 ppb next to the tank and 3300(?) in a downgradient well. ~~There are, however, no chlorinated compounds in wells at the Cenex site to the SE.~~ An onsite piezometer has 64 ppb PCE. There are, however, no chlorinated compounds detected in water table wells downgradient at the Cenex site to the <sup>SW</sup> ~~A~~. The Cenex site has benzene, but not E, T, or X. Joel has talked to DNR central office and KEY recently. Central office has given him the impression that funding from the Dry Cleaner program will be made available after completion of SI. I told Joel that I will contact KEY to try to speed up the progress on the report they are preparing.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 7-19-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Leslie Gauberti, Central Office  
267-0497

Called to inform Leslie of current status of project.  
Told her that I had given OK to Wayne Butz, RP,  
to change consultant to Vierbicher Associates in Madison.  
Leslie has not gotten a Dry Cleaner Environmental Response  
Program claim from Butz yet, but said that only 1/2  
of the available funding has been used so far. Leslie  
has 13 to 14 potential claims for funding that she knows  
of, but doesn't expect to run out of money in the near  
future.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 7-19-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Curt Hoffart KEY

I called to find out whether KEY is having problems getting Wayne Butz to pay for the initial SI. After several unreturned phone calls, ~~he~~<sup>Butz</sup> called KEY yesterday and Curt doesn't expect a problem with payment. Curt is sending Butz all documentation of costs, but does not have a feeling for when the payment will occur. Curt has been notified by Butz that Vierbicher will be the new consultant. The SI report will be sent after payment is received. I asked Curt to call me if he has problems getting the payment, because I want the project to move forward in a timely manner - the RP notification letter was sent 11-15-95 for the chlorinated compounds.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801

02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 7-14-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher

I called to find out whether Wayne Butz (RP) has contracted with Vierbicher Assoc. yet. Joel said that > 1 month ago (probably ~ 6-5-00) WB had told him that he was planning on paying KEY for their initial SI, and would then hire Vierbicher. Joel has called WB but has not heard back from him. Joel still plans on becoming the consultant.



03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 6-5-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Joel Janssen, Vierbicher  
233-5800

Joel has worked on several of the nearby sites and is very familiar with the Reedsburg Cleaners site. He seems like a good choice if Wayne Butz changes consultants. His review of the KEY site investigation suggested that they may have put more wells on the property than necessary (6). He does agree that the downgradient well nest that KEY suggested ~~is~~ for additional site investigation is properly chosen. What is needed most is more piezometers, in his opinion.

I gave the go ahead to work as the new consultant if he and Wayne Butz can come to a suitable agreement between themselves.

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 6-5-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Wayne Butz, RP  
608-847-5904

Wayne Butz wanted to talk to me about switching consultants. He doesn't think that there is enough contact with KEY in Cedarburg WI. He has shown KEY's plan for additional site investigation to Joel Janssen of Vierbicher Associates in Madison. Joel apparently thinks that some of KEY's MWs are unnecessary because of the presence of nearby wells from investigations of adjacent sites. WB thinks that he would have greater contact with Vierbicher because they are closer to Reedsburg than KEY is.

WB asked me to talk to Joel and then he will call Joel <sup>to morrow</sup> about the result of our conversation.

I don't think that there is a valid reason to reject his request to switch consultants. He seems to want to move forward quickly.

Tried to contact Joel - he is out of the office most of today. Called back WB - he will have Joel call me Wed. if ~~he~~ Joel doesn't get back to me today (Monday).

I need to inform Joel that WB hasn't filed formal request for DERP monies.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 5-31-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Curt Hoffart, KEY

Called to ask Curt if he has been able to talk to Wayne Butz - no. Recommended that he keep trying.

Curt said that Butz had told him not to proceed with any additional work (after receiving initial SI report) until Butz gives his approval. Curt mentioned again that it is difficult to explain to Butz what needs to be done and why. Also said that getting Butz to take action is a slow process.

We have not received a report on the SI, may face a problem in the future if Butz is unwilling to pay KEY for the ~~report~~ assembly of this report.

Randy Maass

03-57-002801 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 5-19-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Curt Hoffart, KEY

I called to find out whether Curt has contacted Wayne Butz. Curt has called and left a message, and sent a fax. He has not heard back from Butz. I informed Curt that Butz had talked to DNR central office about switching consultants, in order to get Curt to make a more concerted effort to talk to Butz, because I doubt that Butz will contact Curt.

Curt did not mention having any conflicts with Butz, said that this was just the way that Butz ~~operates~~ seems to operate.

Curt will call back if he is able to talk to Butz, or suggested that I call him in the near future to find out what the situation is.

I don't yet have any justification for calling or writing Butz, initial SI has been completed, but report has not <sup>yet</sup> been sent to us.

Randy Maass

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 5-12-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Curt Hoffart, KEY

Called to get information on what has been done, what has been proposed, and whether there has been any recent contact with Wayne Butz, (RP).

KEY installed 6 MWs and a piezometer in Dec 1999. All wells had PVOCS > ES and all wells had PCE. Benzene concentrations as high as 20,000 ppb. KEY proposed a downgradient well nest to RP approx. 6 weeks ago, are still waiting for a response. Curt was supposed to call RP within a week of my 4-17-00 phone call, but hasn't yet. I requested that he call RP and get back to me within a week.

Curt is apparently unaware that Butz is trying to switch consultants, and I did not inform him of this. I asked if he had any problems dealing with Butz and he said that the main problem has been getting Butz to ~~understand~~ understand the process. He didn't mention any other types of difficulties dealing with Butz - I can't ~~thru~~ determine why Butz wants to switch.

Randy Maass

03-57-002808 and  
02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 5-12-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners

CONTACT NAME Leslie Gauberti, ONR  
267-0497

Wayne Butz has filed the "notice of intent to file a claim" with the ~~D~~ Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Program (DERP), which is in our file (1-28-00), but has not submitted the claim form. This is not a problem because DERP has \$2 million available and has only received requests for \$700,000 so far.

If the reason Wayne Butz wants to switch from KEY to Vierbicher Associates is reasonable, the site probably won't have to go out for bid <sup>again</sup>. It will be the project manager's decision on whether it is reasonable; if not reasonable then Leslie and Robin Schmidt will become involved in the process.

Randy Maass

5-5-00

03-57-002808 and  
02-57-001682  
Reedsburg Cleaners

**Lester, Lawrence J**

---

**From:** Gauberti, Leslie C 267-0497  
**Sent:** Friday, May 05, 2000 7:54 AM  
**To:** Lester, Lawrence J  
**Cc:** Schmidt, Robin R  
**Subject:** Reedsburg Cleaners

Hi Larry, sorry I missed your return call. I thought I'd summarize my conversation with Joel Jantzen (?) from Vierbicher Associates about the Reedsburg Cleaners (Wayne Butz) site in an e-mail for you.

Joel explained that Wayne Butz had come to their firm to talk about them taking over the investigation/remediation of his site. Apparently Butz is unhappy with Key Engineering who he contracted with prior to 2/1/2000, the rules effective date, for investigation work (lack of communication from Keys seems to be his biggest gripe). I guess to date some wells have been installed. But it sounds like everything is on hold right now.

The central question Joel had was if Butz wants to change consultants would he have to go through the bidding requirements of the administrative rule or could he just contract with Vierbicher given the fact that an investigation was already started.

Robin and I talked about this and agreed that the project manager knowing the details should make the call as to whether a particular situation warrants waiving the bidding requirements.

Our rules have a provision that a rule can be waived if it is not statutorily specified and if there is good cause, etc. I told Joel that Butz should contact you to discuss his situation and his proposal to change consultants and request the variance. At that time you can decide whether his proposal is reasonable and whether there is good cause not to make him rebid the work. If you do decide to waive the bidding requirement please document it for the file and cc me so come reimbursement time we are reminded of why they didn't bid the work.

As always, if you have questions or concerns about their situation in relation to DERP rules after they contact you, feel free to contact me or Robin. Good luck!

03-57-00280\*  
and 02-57-001682

PHONE CONTACT

DATE 4-17-00

SITE NAME Reedsburg Cleaners CONTACT NAME Curt Hoffert, KEY

262-375-4750

Called to get status update.

Wells have been installed and sampled. Chlorinated compounds confirmed. Co-contamination, in bedrock.

Has sent a report to Wayne Butz (RP) suggesting additional investigation, is waiting for a response.

We have no new data since SI Workplan 11/98.

Should send letter to RP if no response soon. ~~RP~~ Curt will call RP this week. I stated that I would probably write RP if there is no response within 1 month.

Curt was not aware that Wayne Butz had sent DE RP potential claim notification to us (received 1-31-00).

Randy Maass