State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

### Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

4/08 185 un know

# 1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:

Project Manager: Binyoti Amungwafor	Review Date: 10/06/2008
Site Name: Best Cleaners	
BRRTS Number: 024140715	
FID Number: 241300510	
Parcel No.: 106-0012-000-5	
Address: 7238 N 76 <sup>th</sup> St.	
Current Property Owner: Christian Faith Fellowship	
Original Responsible Party: Realty Management Corp	oration
Property Owner at Closure (if different):	
Has the site been geolocated? ⊠ Yes ☐ No	
Site Coordinates (WTM83/91): 682354 x, 299459 y	
How was site selected for audit?	
⊠ Random ☐ Regional Priority ☐ Compliance Fo	llow-up  Other (specify)
Complaint Received	
s the site on a GIS Registry? ⊠ Yes □ No	
Did the close-out letter include some specific requirement and/or responsible party needed to address?  Yes f Yes, describe specific requirements:	ents that the site owner ⊠ No

Affected neighboring properties)?

War.

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

What types of restrictions existed at the time of case closure? (check all that apply) ☐ Industrial Land-Use Restriction Pavement, Soil or Other Cover Other Performance Standard Was a maintenance plan required at closure? X Yes No Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) or has/have the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR? Yes No Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds? No X Yes Has the property been transferred since the restriction was recorded?  $\boxtimes$  No Yes Date of closure: 11/15/2005 Conditional Final Closure Pending Were there other restricted properties associated with the source site (ie.

⋈ No

Yes

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

Examples: a building has been razed and the location of the building prevented full investigation and/or remediation; excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

development has occurred in a restricted area.	
Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?	
☐ Yes ⊠ No	
If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?	
☐Yes ⊠ No	
If yes above, was DNR notified? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?	
☐Yes ☒ No Annual Cap Inspection not being Completed	
Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?  ☐Yes  ☒ No	
If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?	
□Yes □ No	
Were any new potential sources of contamination identified? ☐Yes ☒ No	
If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? ☐Yes ☐ No	

## Remediation & Redevelopment Closure Compliance Review Form 4400-232 (R 3/04)

3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?	
⊠Yes □ No	
(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)	
Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?	
⊠Yes □ No	
Is the structure still present? ⊠Yes ☐ No	
Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair? ☐Yes ☒ No	
If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired? ☐Yes ☐ No	
Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists? $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	
For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.	
If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom?	
Are additional actions warranted at the site?   Yes  No If yes, please explain:	
Does the site require follow-up by DNR? ⊠Yes ☐ No	
Does the site restriction need to be changed? ☐Yes ☒ No	
Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? ⊠Yes ☐ No	
Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS?	
☐ Yes ☒ No	





















