From: Delcore, Lee R - DNR

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2021 3:14 PM

To: Sean Cranley <mwenvirocon@gmail.com> (mwenvirocon@gmail.com)

Cc: mike@coverealtyllc.com

Subject: Heimes Garage File Review & Path to Closure

Sean,

After locating the Heimes Garage case file and correspondence, the following is the status of the site:

On June 25, 2020, a peer review session was held reviewing the site investigation completeness for the site. The site investigation report was received on June 9, 2020 and a substantial portion of the case closure request was compiled prior to the sunset of the PECFA Program. The review was scheduled to evaluate the completeness of the Site Investigation in order to determine if the wells could be abandoned prior to the PECFA claim deadline. In an email that same day after the review, I indicated that I presented the Site Investigation information to a peer review group and that the decisions was that a additional round of sampling from the wells was appropriate. I had indicated that I would call you later that afternoon to discuss. Based on our conversation, the decision was made not to abandon the wells and sampling of the wells utilizing PECFA-funding was determined impractical, based on time restraints. For this site, it is unclear if the other Site Investigation Completeness comments were communicated. I do not have record that they were communicated in writing. The complete peer review group comments are as follows:

- No soil figure has been prepared to illustrate the comprehensive soil delineation and conditions.
- Add B borings & discuss relevance of historic soil data to more recent sampling.
- Use all relevant data to delineate soil contamination with isolines
- Further groundwater sampling is needed to establish stable conditions. The two sampling events conducted 8 weeks apart are not adequate to make a decision.
- Use groundwater data from area sites to define the groundwater impacts.
- Do not abandon the wells at this time
- Vapor further justification of screening results is needed using additional/comprehensive groundwater data.
- SI not considered complete.

So, based on these notes and file information, the path to closure is to first provide the results of 1 additional round of groundwater sampling along with the information identified above to support SI completeness. If that information results in SI completeness concurrence, then the closure packet submitted can be updated with any new data adjustments and moved through the process.

Thank you for your time and follow-up effort to move this case to closure,

Lee

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey to evaluate how I did.

Lee Delcore

Hydrogeologist - Remediation and Redevelopment Program Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 1155 Pilgrim Road, Plymouth, WI 53073

Phone: (262) 202-3838 Lee.Delcore@wisconsin.gov

