Note: In order to fill and save this form electronically, it must be opened using Adobe Reader or Acrobat software. Save a copy of the file, open Adobe Reader, select File > Open and browse for the file you saved.

State of Wis Department PO Box 792	of Natural F		7-7921 Continuing Obligation Review				
BRRTS II) No. 02-()5-5152	39 Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 1 of 7				
Reviewer:			Region: NER Review Date:				
Site Name							
			ns <u>http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf</u> . Steps with an * denote DN	R			
follow up	; ** denot	e RP/pro	operty owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS rea in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.				
File Revie			rea in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.				
		and the	file if needed, to identify the File Review information:				
Site Addre			City ZIP Code				
923 West			Green Bay 54303 Number (PIN) FID Number				
		lication					
Original R	esponsible	e Person	I				
Ray Whit	•						
		en transf	ferred since the continuing obligation was recorded/applied? O No O Yes				
· · ·	urrent Pro						
	rancisco &						
_	hone Num		lEmail				
·							
Select all o	continuina	obligatio	ns applied (at case closure or RAP approval or letter to LGU):				
Add to	AC in			_			
BRRTS	BRRTS	AC	Action Code (AC) Meaning				
		51	Deed notice				
		52	Deed restriction for soil				
		730	Groundwater use restriction				
		95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice	e)			
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR letter sent				
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent				
		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption				
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)				
	\boxtimes	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)				
	\square	46	Impacted Right-of-Way				
		220	Soil at industrial use level				
	\square	222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)				
		224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)				
		226	Vapor mitigation/response				
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)				
		230	LGU was directed to take a protective action				
	\square	232	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)				
		234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned				
	\boxtimes	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES				
		238	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted				
\square		185	Closure Compliance Review completed				
		186	Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed				
		187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed				
		99	Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection reports)			

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

			Form 4400-232 (R 07/22)	Page 2 of 7
How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRT	S Action Code)			
Vapor Mitigation AC 226	Green Space Gr	ant AC 605	🔀 Age of Remedy	
VPLE with AC 56	AC 220, 222, 22	4, 228, or 230	Complaint Receive	d
Enforcement Follow-up Other:	Deed Restriction	n AC 52 or 696 (LGU)	Regional Priority	
Date of:				
Final Closure 11/04	/2008	Remedial Action Pl	an Approval	
Certificate of Completion		General Liability Cl	arification Letter	
Green Space Grant	Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter			
Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 2	228) that the site	owner and/or responsib	le party needed to addre	ess:
Is the site on BRRTS as having residual conta Were neighboring properties affected?	amination and co		S using applicable actio	n codes (56, etc.)*
If yes, are these properties listed in BRR	TS with AC 56?	○ Yes ○ No – Upo	date BRRTS, use form 4	400-246*
Was a maintenance plan required at closure?	? () NA () No	● Yes – It is: ● in t	the file 〇 PDF 〇 n	nissing
If no maintenance plan was required, offe up section of the audit that one was provi			l with inspection log, and	I note in the follow
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) record	ded with the Regi	ster of Deeds? 🔿 Ye	s 🔿 No 💿 NA	
Has a restriction been amended, or been	nullified by DNR	? 🔿 No		
		○ Yes: Was BRRTS	S updated? (95)	◯ Yes ◯ No*
		Was the CC	PDF updated?	⊖ Yes ⊖ No*
Notes:				

Site Visit:

- Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being 2. conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).
- Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions 3. documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
- 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? () Yes No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

No

○ Yes - Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred. 2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 3 of 7

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair?

No/NA

Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired?	⊖ Yes**	🔿 No
--	---------	------

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

- No
- O Yes Explain:

Was the DNR notified? Ores ONo

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

• No/NA

○ Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

O No

○ Yes – Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

No

○ Yes - Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

○ Yes – Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

O Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?

⊖ No

○ Yes** – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

⊖ Yes

NA

○ No** – Describe any follow up needed:

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

 \bigcirc NA

No

○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

No

○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Notes:

Unable to contact property owners, unknown if they are aware of the COs.

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

- Yes
- No Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

- Yes
- NA
- No Describe:

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- ⊖ Yes
- \bigcirc NA
- No Why not?

Not able to contact property owners. Form 4400-305 provided in the notification of audit and request for information/meeting.

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

- ⊖ Yes
- ⊖ NA
- No If no, why not?

Not able to contact property owners. Original maintenance plan provided in the notification of audit and request for information/meeting.

6. Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site?** The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)

No

○ Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

- 7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR?
 - No
 - Yes: □ contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation
 - updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)
 - reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)
 - other:
- 8.* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD_185_CO_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD_186_Follow_Up_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.

{Click to add an image file (*.bmp, *.jpg, *.gif, *.png, *.tif) For best results, insert a photo Date added: 09/06/2022 with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}



Title: 9/1/2022- Looking NW toward W Mason St across asphalt cap parking lot. Asphalt patch & tar filled cracks evident.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22)

Date added: 09/06/2022

Page 6 of 7



Title: 9/1/2022 - Looking SW along property line. Asphalt cap in place and in fair condition.



Title: 9/1/2022 - Looking W to west property corner across asphalt cap. Asphalt patch and tar filled cracks evident.

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22)



Title: 9/1/2022 - Looking S toward SW corner of building. Asphalt cap in place and in fair condition.



Page 7 of 7