

State of Wisconsin  
 Department of Natural Resources  
 PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

**Remediation & Redevelopment  
 Continuing Obligation Review**

Form 4400-232 (R 07/22) Page 1 of 8

**BRRTS ID No. 02-41-543523**

Reviewer: John Feeney

Region: SER

Review Date: 08/23/2023

Site Name: Jomblee Inc.

See RR5242 for instructions <http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf>. Steps with an \* denote DNR follow up; \*\* denote RP/property owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS number. Use the NOTES area in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.

**File Review:**

**1. Review BRRTS, and the file if needed, to identify the File Review information:**

Site Address 7027 W. North Ave.	City Wauwatosa	ZIP Code 53213-1942
County Parcel Identification Number (PIN)	FID Number 241085680	

Original Responsible Person

Mr. Robert Reuschlein

Has the property been transferred since the continuing obligation was recorded/applied?  No  Yes

If Yes: Current Property Owner

Keith Haas

Phone Number

(414) 774-2050

Email

Select all continuing obligations applied (at case closure or RAP approval or letter to LGU):

Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	AC	Action Code (AC) Meaning
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	51	Deed notice
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	52	Deed restriction for soil
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	730	Groundwater use restriction
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR letter sent
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	46	Impacted Right-of-Way
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	220	Soil at industrial use level
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	226	Vapor mitigation/response
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	230	LGU was directed to take a protective action
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	232	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	238	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	185	Closure Compliance Review completed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	186	Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	187	Closure Compliance Review follow up completed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	99	Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection reports)

How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)

- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vapor Mitigation AC 226 | <input type="checkbox"/> Green Space Grant AC 605            | <input type="checkbox"/> Age of Remedy      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VPLE with AC 56                    | <input type="checkbox"/> AC 220, 222, 224, 228, or 230       | <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint Received |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up              | <input type="checkbox"/> Deed Restriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Priority  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                       |  |   |

Date of:

- |  |                   |   |                   |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final Closure  | <u>11/13/2009</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Remedial Action Plan Approval | <u>04/02/2009</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Completion | _____             | <input type="checkbox"/> General Liability Clarification Letter   | _____             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Green Space Grant         | _____             | <input type="checkbox"/> Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter            | _____             |

Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address:

(1) Any site soil excavated must be analyzed and disposed of properly if contaminated. (2) Maintenance plan and inspection log must be submitted to the DNR annually. (3) Land use change notification required.

Is the site on BRRTS as having residual contamination and continuing obligations?

- Yes     No – *Add to BRRTS using applicable action codes (56, etc.)\**

Were neighboring properties affected?

- Yes     No

If yes, are these properties listed in BRRTS with AC 66?     Yes     No

Was a maintenance plan required at closure?     NA     No     Yes – It is:     in the file     PDF     missing

*If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the follow up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit date*

Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds?     Yes     No     NA

Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR?     No

Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95)     Yes     No\*

Was the CO PDF updated?     Yes     No\*

**Notes:**

**Site Visit:**

2. **Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).**
3. **Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.**
4. **With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:**

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?     Yes     No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

- No  
 Yes – Explain:

*Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.  
 2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.*

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair?  No/NA

Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired?  Yes\*\*  No

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

No

Yes – Explain:

Was the DNR notified?  Yes  No

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

No

Yes – Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

No

Yes – Describe:

*For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.*

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

Yes – Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?

No

Yes\*\* – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

Yes

NA

No\*\* – Describe any follow up needed:

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

NA

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

No

Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

- No
- Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

**Notes:**

Keith said there has been no major spills in the recent past.

**COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:**

**5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.**

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

- Yes
- No – Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

*(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)*

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

- Yes
- NA
- No – Describe:

There has not been any annual maintenance forms submitted to the DNR since the case was closed even though the closure letter requires yearly submittal of the inspection form.

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- Yes
- NA
- No – Why not?

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

- Yes
- NA
- No – If no, why not?

**6.\*\* Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? *The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.***

- No
- Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

**Notes:**

I told him he could take a photo of the inspection form yearly and email it to me and I would post it to our database. There has not been any submitted to the DNR since the case was closed even though the closure letter requires yearly submittal of the inspection form.

Keith said that they use a sealant on the pavement every year and it was about in the same shape now as it was when the case was closed. He has been there 37 years. I gave him two copies of the GIS package, which includes the maintenance form and plan.

**Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.**

**7. \* Does the site require follow up by DNR?**

No

Yes:  contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation

updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)

reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)

other: \_\_\_\_\_

**8. \* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)**

**9. \* Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention:**

**YYYYMMDD\_185\_CO\_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD\_186\_Follow\_Up\_Needed.pdf.**

**10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.**

**11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.**

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: VMS Exhaust Stack, (White PVC) Rear of Building

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: Blower Fan

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: Blower Fan Plug In

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: Front Pavement Looking Northeast

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}


Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: Front Pavement Looking Northwest

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: Front Pavement Looking Northwest

{Click to add an image file (\*.bmp, \*.jpg, \*.gif, \*.png, \*.tif) For best results, insert a photo with horizontal orientation. Crop vertical photos to a horizontal orientation, if needed.}

Date added: 08/23/2023



Title: Rear Pavement Looking East



## Supplemental Checklist for Vapor Mitigation Continuing Obligations

Use one form per location.

BRRTS Site Name Jomblee, Inc. Activity Number 02-41-543523

System Address(es) 7027 W North Ave, Wauwatosa Current Site Use(s): Dry Cleaner

Inspected By: R. Hoverman/ J. Feeney Date 2023/08/23

Owner Contact\*: Keith Haas Phone: 414.774.2050 Ok for text messages

Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Occupant Contact\*: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Ok for text messages

Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\*Use notes for additional contacts.

### Type of System(s)

Sub-slab depressurization, # of fans: 1  Passive venting  Membrane  Sub-membrane depressurization, # of fans: \_\_\_\_\_  HVAC controls  Other \_\_\_\_\_

### General

Is an Operation, Maintenance & Monitoring (OM&M) Plan available on site and inspections being documented?  Y  N Date of last inspection: \_\_\_\_\_

Does system match the closure package continuing obligation documentation?  Y  N

If no, was the property remodeled?  Y  N  UKN

If yes, were any changes made to the system?  Y  N  UKN \*Describe any changes in notes.

Are repairs and/or recommissioning needed?  Y  N If yes, was the WDNR notified?  Y  N

Any changes to slab, e.g., deterioration, cracks, or additional penetrations in need of sealing?  Y  N

### Piping

Is the visible piping or extraction point labeled with purpose of system?  Y  N

Vent pipe appears to extend at least 10-feet above the ground, and above the eave/roof?  Y  N

Vent pipe appears to end at least 10-feet from any opening into conditioned space. (e.g., window or door, or HVAC fresh air exchange intake), or at least 2-feet above any such opening.  Y  N

Pipe, fittings/connections appear to be airtight, properly joined/sealed.  Y  N

Piping properly sloped to avoid water accumulation.  Y  N

Are there visible openings or breaks in the pipe system.  Y  N Any warping or heat stress:  Y  N

A pressure monitor is present, operating, and is accessible.  Y  N (active systems only, e.g., U-Tube manometer, cylinder, or gauge.) Pressure Reading: 0.9 inch h2o

Is there an active alarm or telemetry?  Y  N Type: \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, is it working correctly?  Y  N

The sealing/caulking around the vent pipe in the basement floor is intact.  Y  N

Is the system connected to a sump pump?  Y  N If yes, is the sump is sealed  Y  N

**Electrical** (for active systems only)

Vent fan plugged cord connection appears to be no more than 6-feet long.  Y  N

Vent fan plugged cord connection is visible, and not concealed within a wall.  Y  N

If outside the building, the vent/mitigation fan is hard wired to a disconnect switch.  Y  N

The circuit/breaker controlling (hard-wired) vent fan is labeled "Mitigation System".  Y  N

**Vent or Mitigation Fan(s)**

Vent fan is mounted in a vertical (not horizontal) section of pipe.  Y  N

If inside, the fan is located in an unconditioned space, e.g., the attic.  Y  N

Are noticeable sounds or vibrations observed indicating a repair or replacement needed?  Y  N

**Other**

Does the system utilize a vapor barrier in a basement or crawl space?  Y  N

Does the barrier appear to be anchored to all walls and sealed?  Y  N

Is the barrier in good condition. i.e., free of rips, tears, or other post installation penetrations?  Y  N

N

Any system repairs required?  Y  N If yes, should repairs be done by certified mitigator?  Y  N

**Notes:** System blower is located in boiler room, while not technically a conditioned space it is okay.

Given PCE is no longer used, we would recommend that the fan be move outside, especially if the  
Site use changes. The fan is plugged into an outlet over six feet away and we would recommend  
changing that to hard wired with a dedicated switch.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Sketch location of repairs or changes to system:





Photo Log




Description: Exit to exterior



Description: U-tube



Description: Extraction point



Description: Power cord

Photo Log



Description: Discharge

Description:

Description:

Description: