## 1. Conduct a file review of the case information at the time of closure to answer some general questions about the site including:

Project Manager: Gina Keenan

Review Date: 8-6-08

Site Name: Mix Property

BRRTS Number: 02-09-545000

FID Number: 609122030

Parcel No.: N/A

Address: 27965 Hwy. 27, Holcombe, WI

Current Property Owner: Sue Norris

Original Responsible Party: Sue Norris

Property Owner at Closure (if different): As Above

Has the site been geolocated?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Site Coordinates (WTM83/91):

How was site selected for audit?

Random	Regional Priority	Compliance Follow	-up 🗌 Other (specify)
--------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------------

Is the site on a GIS Registry? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
--------------------------------------	------

Did the close-out letter include some specific requirements that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

If Yes, describe specific requirements:

If soil under building are exposed/excavated, the property owner is required to sample & analyze the soils and properly manage the soils.

What types of restrictions existed at the time of case closure? (check all that apply)

Pavement, Soil or Other Cover	Industrial Land-Use Restriction
Structural Impediment	Other Performance Standard
Was a maintenance plan required at clo	sure? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Has/have there been amendments to the restriction(s) been nullified by DNR?	
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s)	recorded with the Register of Deeds?
🖂 Yes 🗌 No	
Has the property been transferred since	the restriction was recorded?
□Yes ⊠ No	
Date of closure: March 29, 2006	
Conditional Kir	nal
Final Closure Pending	
Were there other restricted properties as Affected neighboring properties)?	ssociated with the source site (ie. $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No

2. Contact the site owner to get appropriate clearance for access, and walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure to verify or change answers to questions in #1.

With the site owner/RP (if possible), review and answer the following for DNR RR records:

Have site conditions changed since the closure of the case that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site? <u>No</u>

Examples: a building has been razed and the location of the building prevented full investigation and/or remediation; excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

 $\boxtimes$  Yes  $\square$  No The additional sampling was related to a petroleum release on another part of the property.

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

Yes [	No	N/A
-------	----	-----

If yes above, w	vas DNR notified?	Yes		No
-----------------	-------------------	-----	--	----

If a maintenance agreement was required at closure, has it been followed?

Yes	🗌 No	N/A
-----	------	-----

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If "Yes", does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

🗌 Yes 🗌 No
------------

Were any new potential sources of contamination identified?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

If "Yes", does sampling need to be performed? Yes No

## 3. Answer the following and document for the file the results of the compliance review of the case:

Is the site in general compliance with the closure approval document?

 $extsf{Yes}$   $extsf{No}$  No

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership/conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Is soil contamination located beneath an existing structure (i.e. building, road etc.)?

⊠Yes □ No

Is the structure still present?	⊠Yes	🗌 No
---------------------------------	------	------

Is an asphalt cap or soil cap/cover removed or in disrepair?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

If "Yes", should it be replaced or repaired?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Is soil monitoring needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

For example, an asphalt cap has been removed or is in disrepair or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

If a new threat to public health or the environment exists, what should be done to address the problem and by whom?

Are additional actions warranted at the site? $\Box$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No	lf yes, please
explain:	

Does the site require follow-up by DNR?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Does the site restriction need to be changed?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No

Has the GIS Registry code for the site been entered into BRRTS? Yes No

Has the Closure Compliance Review code for the site been entered into BRRTS?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No