

FID 246 210320

Feeney, John M - DNR

100800

From: Gross, Stu [Stu.Gross@stantec.com] *BRETS #0246577626*
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2012 11:09 AM
To: Feeney, John M - DNR
Cc: Dale Lythjohan (dlythjohan@wppienergy.org) (dlythjohan@wppienergy.org)
Subject: Cedarburg Power Plant - Groundwater Summary Tables
Attachments: Table 1.pdf; Table 2.pdf; Table 3.pdf

Good morning John-

On May 10, 2012, Stantec evaluated the physical condition of monitoring wells MW200, MW300, and MW400 at the Cedarburg Power Plant property. The wells were noted to be in good condition, were over purged, and sampled. Attached please find three tables summarizing : groundwater elevation data (Table 1), groundwater quality results (Table 2), and field analysis (Table 3).

As evidenced in Table 2, various chlorinated solvents were detected in monitoring well MW200. However, the reported compounds are similar to those previously detected in the well and contaminant concentrations are similar to or lower than historic results. Tetrachloroethene and vinyl chloride are present at concentrations above the enforcement standard in MW200. Tetrachloroethene was the only compound reported in MW300. The result was at the preventive action limit established for that compound but was also "J" flagged by the laboratory meaning that the reported result may not be statistically accurate. Tetrachloroethene was also reported in this well during previous sampling events. No elevated detections were reported in the sample collected from MW400. Based on these results and the discussion during our April meeting, Stantec intends to sample the groundwater monitoring network a second time during early August. If the analytical results are similar to historic concentrations, Stantec, on behalf of the Cedarburg Light & Water Utility, will request case closure utilizing the WDNR geographic information system registry.

Related to this work, you requested additional information related to the source of chlorinated solvent contamination present at the property. In response to this request, Stantec interviewed (by telephone), Mr. John Brunner. Mr. Brunner was a mechanic at the Cedarburg Power Plant for 23 years. Mr. Brunner stated that a parts washing area was present on the southern side of the building. Drums containing fuel oil and solvents were also located in this area and were always stored inside the building near this location. Mr. Brunner stated that Approximately 3 or 4 gallons of fuel oil was mixed with a small amount of solvent (Mr. Brunner could not remember the name of the solvent used) then transferred to the parts washer to wash various parts. Mr. Brunner stated that waste liquids from the parts washer was transferred to drums and containerized for off-site disposal. However, on occasion, small amounts (believed to be no more than 3 or 4 gallons per summer) of the waste was used to control weeds in a gravel area located between the cooling towers and the building (west and northwestern side of building). Mr. Brunner was not aware of any other use of solvents at the Property. He also stated that the area north of the power plant building (area of existing monitoring wells) was, to his knowledge, paved with asphalt during the years he worked at the facility.

John, we trust this information meets your needs. If you have any questions related to the groundwater quality results or interview with Mr. Brunner or require additional information, feel free to contact me or Dale Lythjohan at your convenience. Thank you.

Stu Gross
Environmental Remediation Team Leader
Stantec
12075 Corporate Parkway Suite 200
Mequon WI 53092
Direct: 262-643-9159
Cell: 414-526-3974
Stu.Gross@stantec.com
stantec.com

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any

Table 1 Ground-Water Elevation Data, Cedarburg Light & Water Power Plant, Cedarburg, Wisconsin

Well ID	Elevation Ground Surface (feet)	Elevation of Reference Point* (feet)	Date	Depth to Water Below Reference Point* (feet)	Water Table Elevation (feet)
MW200	96.46	95.94	10/18/93 10/25/93 10/28/93 01/07/94 02/14/94 12/28/94 01/18/95 06/08/95 03/21/96 06/10/96 09/13/96 12/06/96 12/19/97 03/26/99 5/10/2012	12.71 12.78 12.94 13.30 14.21 13.02 12.90 12.53 12.81 11.49 13.00 12.77 13.00 12.70 12.10	83.23 83.16 83.00 82.64 81.73 82.92 83.04 83.41 83.13 84.45 82.94 83.17 82.94 83.24 83.84
MW300	97.22	96.54	10/18/93 10/25/93 10/28/93 01/07/94 02/14/94 12/28/94 01/18/95 06/08/95 03/21/96 06/10/96 09/13/96 12/06/96 12/19/97 03/26/99 5/10/2012	14.02 14.01 13.98 14.41 15.16 14.01 12.91 13.42 13.76 12.31 13.91 13.91 14.29 13.63 12.90	82.52 82.53 82.56 82.13 81.38 82.53 83.63 83.12 82.78 84.23 82.63 82.25 82.91 83.64
MW400	95.56	95.28	10/18/93 10/25/93 10/28/93 01/07/94 02/14/94 12/28/94 01/18/95 06/08/95 03/21/96 06/10/96 09/13/96 12/06/96 12/19/97 03/26/99 5/10/2012	12.60 12.58 12.55 12.87 13.62 12.50 12.38 12.03 12.28 10.96 12.51 12.36 12.69 12.19 11.37	82.68 82.70 82.73 82.41 81.66 82.78 82.90 83.25 83.00 84.32 82.77 82.92 82.59 83.09 83.91

Table 1 Ground-Water Elevation Data, Cedarburg Light & Water Power Plant, Cedarburg, Wisconsin

Well ID	Elevation Ground Surface (feet)	Elevation of Reference Point* (feet)	Date	Depth to Water Below Reference Point* (feet)	Water Table Elevation (feet)
MW500	95.53**	95.56	12/28/94	12.54	83.02
			01/03/95	12.42	83.14
			01/18/95	12.42	83.14
			06/08/95	12.62	82.94
			03/21/96	12.62	82.94
			06/10/96	11.42	84.14
			09/13/96	12.82	82.74
			12/06/96	12.72	82.84
			12/19/97	12.68	82.88
			03/26/99	12.40	83.16

NOTE: Elevations are referenced to site datum

* = Reference point is the top of the monitoring well casing

** = Elevation of top of protective metal casing

Table 3 Inorganic Field Analysis, Cedarburg Light and Water Power Plant, Cedarburg, Wisconsin

Well Number	Date Analyzed	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	Nitrate/Nitrogen-Nitrogen Concentration (mg/l)	Ferrous Iron Concentration (mg/l)	Sulfate Concentration (mg/l)	ORP (mV)	Temperature (°C)	pH (su)	Conductivity (μS)
MW200	12/19/97 03/25/99 05/10/12	1 1 7.46	1.1 F 0.11 F -	0.26 F <0.695 -	0 F 58 -	110 - -79.6	13 10 51.16	7 - 7.68	4300 - 7291
MW300	12/19/97 03/25/99 05/10/12	0.80 1.67 43.6	0.7 F 0.21 F -	0.96 F 2 -	0 F 6 -	-35 - 43.6	14 12 53.61	7 - 7.76	3700 - 1489
MW400	12/19/97 03/25/99 05/10/12	0 0 7.91	1.4 F 0.31 F -	5.00 F 15 -	0 F 3 -	<-80 - 65.6	14 11 52.63	7 - 7.75	2100 - 2188
MW500	12/19/97 03/25/99	4.99 7.31	0.5 F 1.70 F	0.01 F <0.139	68 F 39	235 -	13 11	6.74 -	970

Note:

mg/l = milligrams per liter

ORP = oxidation - reduction potential

mV = milli-volts

°C = degrees centigrade

su = standard units

μS = micro Siemens

F = sample was filtered before analysis

- = not analyzed