

RECEIVED

JUN 0 9 2015

B	V	r.	
	1	•	

### June 8, 2015

#### VIA EMAIL & U.S. MAIL

Nancy D. Ryan Hydrogeologist, Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 2300 N. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Dr. Milwaukee, WI 53212

Re:

Notice of Selection of Remedial Proposal; former Express Cleaners

BRRTS #: 02-52-547631

Dear Ms. Ryan:

This letter seeks two approvals from you. First, because all four of the remedial proposals find that demolition would benefit the respective remediation, we request your immediate approval of reimbursement of \$15,000 of demolition expenses, provided the demolition is bid out to at least three bidders. Your immediate approval will allow the demolition to be completed while you are reviewing the remedial proposals, which in turn will allow the cleanup to commence very soon after you approve a remedial proposal.

Second, the Ehrlich Family Limited Partnership (the "EFLP") has selected the remedial action proposal of Huntoon Environmental Consulting, LLC ("Huntoon") and accordingly, the EFLP requests your approval of the Huntoon proposal so that it may proceed with site cleanup.

The Huntoon proposal was selected for the following reasons:

- 1) It uses a treatment compound (chemical oxidation known as "Cool-Ox") that is well proven to be fast and effective on PCE and its breakdown products. It uses delivery methods that are well proven to bring the treatment compound in contact with the contamination (soil mixing for above water table soils and injection for below water table source areas).
- 2) It applies treatment compound to only the most contaminated areas thus saving cost while adequately reducing contaminant mass.
- 3) It uses a treatment compound that provides fast acting degradation of contaminants of concern (a benefit since remove of the slab will expose the contaminated area to infiltration by stormwater and could result in offsite migration if rapid contaminate degradation did not occur quickly).

GONZALEZ SAGGIO & HARLAN LLP
Attorneys at Law

www.gshlip.com

00042328.1

Milwaukee 111 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 1000 Milwaukee, WI 53202 Tel (414) 277-8500 Fax (414) 277-8521 Atlanta, GA
Boston, MA
Chicago, IL
Cleveland, OH
Indianapolis, IN

Los Angeles, CA Miami, FL Nashville, TN New York, NY

Pasadena, CA

Phoenix, AZ Washington, D.C. Wayne, NJ West Oes Moines, IA Nancy D. Ryan Hydrogeologist, Bureau for Remediation and Redevelopment Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources June 8, 2015 Page 2

- 4) The treatment compound also leaves a residue that promotes enhanced and continued biological degradation of residual contaminates after the chemical oxidation reactions have exhausted the available oxidation compound, thus providing for continued improvement of groundwater quality and continued reduction of potential vapor intrusion.
- 5) The on-site soil mixing and injection of remedial compound can be completed quickly, essentially immediately upon removal of the slab to address the concern expressed above regarding potential for contaminate migration upon stormwater infiltration caused by slab removal.
- 6) Of the four remedial proposals we received, the Huntoon proposal provides the second lowest overall cost and the second lowest cost per unit volume of contaminated media treated. However, please note that this is the lowest cost of the three proposals that address both soil and groundwater (the Terracon proposal did not address groundwater contamination).
- 7) The project would not dispose any waste offsite except demolition waste, which would also occur in all of the various proposals. Some export of demolition waste from the site could be eliminated if clean concrete material were crushed and used as backfill cover over the site. Currently, all demolition debris is proposed to be removed from the site. Please note that some demolition debris will actually be hazardous waste unless and until it is contained out and removal of those waste materials and segregation of same is part of the proposed remediation. In contrast, removal of the overlying super structure is not part of the remediation and will be performed in advance to allow timely slab removal followed by immediate soil mixing and then soil injection.

The EFLP requests your approvals as presented above. We understand that the Department's policy is first-come first-served. Please let us know as soon as possible if there is any additional material or explanation that you need from us. We will gladly meet or talk by phone at your earliest convenience to discuss your findings. We enclose the form selecting the Huntoon proposal but will not sign the proposal until we have your approval.

Very truly yours,

William P. Scott

WPS/sv Enclosures

cc: James C. Small

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921

Applicant Name

# Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Program Interim and Remedial Action Bid Proposals Summary

Form 4400-212 (R 4/04)

**Business Name** 

Page 1 of 2

Notice: This form is authorized under s. 292.65, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 169, Wis. Adm. Code. The following information about the selection of consultants for interim actions, site investigations, and remedial action activities is required under ch. NR 169, Wis. Adm. Code. There are no penalties for failing to complete this form, but persons who do not complete and submit this form will not be eligible for reimbursement under this program. Personal information is not intended to be used for any other purpose other than that for which it is originally being collected. Information will be made available to requesters under Wisconsin's Open Records laws (s. 19.32-19.39, Wis. Stats.) and requirements.

Instructions: Complete this form and attach a copy of the accepted signed bid. See reverse side for detailed instructions. Copy this form as necessary.

Applicant Information

Ehrlich Family Limited Partnership							
Dry Cleaning Facility Name	Location						
Former Express Cleaners	3921-3942	N. Main St	t., Racine, WI				
Consultant Information							
Consultant Name			Bid Propos Amount	cal Consultant Sel			
Avantti Environmental Group			\$470,398				
Ramboll Environ			\$358,300				
Terracon Consultants, Inc	\$176,009						
Huntoon Environmental Consulting, LLC			\$273,195	X			
If this summary is being provided as part of a reimbursement appli 5% of the original estimate (whichever is larger).  Yes X No If yes, send a copy of he acepted amendment, signed by the DNR		costs excee	d the proposal cost	s by more than \$3,000	) or		
Certification				×			
I certify that the information contained above is true and cor-	rect to the best of my	y knowledge	<b>)</b> .				
Applicant Signature \text{\text{tmus thrus smrss}}			Date Signed				
	partment Use Only						
Project Manager Signature		Date		Telephone Number			
Consultant Selection Reason For Rejection/Notes  Accepted Rejected							

Site Name: Racine Former Express Cleaners

BRRTS #: 02-52-547631

Type of Action: Remedial Action

TASKS	BUDGET					INVOICES						
Bid / Budgeted Description	Ві	d / Budgeted Amount	INSERT		Total Approved Budget	Previous Claims (If applicable)	Provider Name, Invoice #, Billing Date	INSERT	Total Invoiced Costs			
Consultant Costs			1	/								
Project Management/Field Oversite	\$	28,000.00	\$ -	\$	28,000.00							\$ -
Groundwater Monitoring	\$	14,300.00		\$	14,300.00							\$ -
Remedial Action	\$	8,000.00		\$	8,000.00							\$ -
Vapor Intrusion Assessment	\$	500.00	14	\$	500.00							\$ -
Reporting	\$	6,480.00		\$	6,480.00							\$ -
Site Closure	\$	5,460.00		\$	5,460.00							\$ -
Permitting	\$	400.00	0	\$	400.00							\$ -
expenses	\$	12,100.00		\$	12,100.00							\$ -
				\$	-							\$ -
			11.00	\$	-							\$ -
			12.0	\$	-							\$ -
Consultant Cost Total	\$	75,240.00	\$-	\$	75,240.00	\$ -	A					\$ -
Sub-Contractor Costs					+							
Remedial Contractor	\$	170.910.00	\$ -	\$	170.910.00							\$ -
aboratory	\$	13,140.00		\$	13,140.00							\$ -
Orilling Contractor	\$	6,180.00		\$					1			\$ -
Contractor for Site Work	\$	7,725.00		\$	7,725.00							\$ -
				\$	- 1							\$ -
				\$	-					-		\$ -
				\$								\$ -
Sub-Contractor Cost Total	\$	197,955.00	\$-	\$	197,955.00	\$ -		_				\$ -
	\$	273,195.00			273,195.00		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	S-	S -

Non-DERF Eligible Expenses	71						-	
								\$ -
								\$ -
Non-DERF Cost Total	\$	-	\$	\$	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
INVOICE GRAND TOTAL	\$		\$ •	\$ -	\$ 	\$ 	##	\$

Check Numbers

# Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Program Reimbursement Cost Detail Linking Spreadsheet Form 4400-214D (R 08/12)

A Soil Investigation	B Soil Remediation	Soil	C Groundwater Investigation	D Groundwater Remediation	E Air/Vapor Investigation	F Air/Vapor Remediation	G Lab & Other Analysis	H Miscellaneous Costs	Budget Remaining Use (-) to indicate cost over-run	% Task Complete, Remarks
									T 1 0 0	
								\$ 28,000.00	Task % Complete	
								\$ 14,300.00		
								\$ 8,000.00		
								\$ 500.00		
								\$ 6,480.00		
								\$ 5,460.00		
								\$ 400.00		
								\$ 12,100.00		
								\$ -		
								\$ -		
								\$ -		
								\$ 75,240.00		
								\$ 170,910.00		
								\$ 13,140.00		
								\$ 6,180.00		
-								\$ 7,725.00		
			-					\$ -		
								\$ -		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								\$ -		
			3					\$ 197,955.00		
5 -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$ 273,195.00		

## Comparison of Remediation Proposals Received May 29, 2015 – Former Express Cleaners, 3941 N. Main Street, Racine, Wis. Rev. 1 June 8, 2015

Consultant	Cost	Techniques	Cleanup Goals
Avantti	\$470,398	Demolition of slab	Soil 30.7 mg/kg
	1468 cubic yds	Soil - flooding and/or soil mixing with oxidant.	GW < ES @ property boundary
	\$320/ cubic yd	- Oxidanti	Enhanced Natural Attenuation Closure
		Groundwater - Enhanced Reductive	
		Dechlorination	2 to 3 Years to Closure
		No pilot test – lots of experience	
Environ (Ramboll)	\$358,300	Demolition of slab	NR 720 Performance standards for soil and groundwater
(Nambon)	1900 cubic yds	Soil mix with/ZVI and carbon source (ABC +)	Soil 30.7 mg/kg 0-9 ft. bgs
	\$189/cubic yd	(1351)	Soil PCE goal of 1.5 mg/kg to ensure stable groundwater plume
		Treat >90% of contamination mass	
	Estimated 287 lbs. VOC		Groundwater – stable or receding
	eliminated	No pilot test	
	4		Enhanced Monitored Natural Attenuation Closure
	\$1,248/lb. VOC	16 soil confirmation samples	CIS Posistant for sail
			GIS Registry for soil
			and groundwater
			3 Years to Closure

\$ <del>292,990</del> \$273,195 Rev.1	Demolition of slab	Soil <10 mg/kg based on % reduction (target is 1 mg/kg)
1501 cubic yds	In-Situ Chem. Oxidation and subsequent bio-degradation	Groundwater goal not specified but proposal includes deep injection in heavily impacted area
\$ <del>195/cubic yd</del> \$182/cubic yd Rev.1	"Cool-Ox" treatment compound -soil mixing in vadose zone	1501 cubic yd soil treated
(see page 9 for volumes)	-inject into groundwater	750 cubic yd area of groundwater treatment
	No pilot test	Enhanced Monitored Natural Attenuation Closure
	Includes 20 soil shallow confirmation samples	Probable GIS Registry for soil and groundwater
		3 Years to Closure
\$176,009	Demolition of slab	Soil – 0-4 feet bgs - non-industrial direct contact standard (30.7 mg/kg)
605-770 cu yds	Excavation top 4 feet w/ treat + off-site disposal	Groundwater - establish stable or decreasing trends due to source removal
\$229-290/cu yd	Monitored Natural Attenuation	Probable GIS Registry for soil and groundwater
Only 2' wide for utility corridor (not enough – Diggers Hotline on+/- 18")	Contingent groundwater injection - may need paved barrier to limit infiltration  No pilot test	3 Years to Closure if groundwater does not need treatment
	15 Roll off boxes on –site until landfill standards are met.	
-	\$195/cubic yds \$195/cubic yd \$182/cubic yd Rev.1 (see page 9 for volumes)  \$176,009  605-770 cu yds \$229-290/cu yd  Only 2' wide for utility corridor (not enough —	In-Situ Chem. Oxidation and subsequent bio-degradation  \$\frac{195}{\cubic yd}\$ \text{ "Cool-Ox" treatment compound -soil mixing in vadose zone -inject into groundwater} \text{ No pilot test } \text{ Includes 20 soil shallow confirmation samples}  Period of the properties of

#### **Bill Scott**

From:

Bill Scott

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2015 11:54 AM

To:

Lori Huntoon

Cc:

'Ryan, Nancy D - DNR'

Subject:

Former Express Cleaners, Racine Wis.

#### Lori -

I reviewed your proposal. I note that the cost is unclear to me, because two of your tables seem to have different totals. I believe I know the intended cost but for the sake of clarity, I ask that you please review your cost tables and then send me an email to either explain why the tables in your proposal are correct or email me a revision with a short explanation of what was changed and why. If you attach any corrected table(s), please put a revision date on them. Please do this at your earliest convenience. Please copy Nancy Ryan on your email to me.

Thank you, Bill.



Bill Scott Partner

111 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 1000 | Milwaukee, WI | 53202 T: (414) 277-8500 | F: (414) 277-8521

Attorneys at Law

Profile | E-Mail | Website | Newsletter | Offices

Si w (

## Bill Scott

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments:	Lori Huntoon [lorihuntoonpg@gmail.com] Monday, June 08, 2015 2:59 PM Bill Scott Nancy D - DNR Ryan Fwd: budget form Form 4400-214d.xls; ATT00001.htm
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Flagged
Categories:	Red Category
Bill:	
Attached is the corrected	form 4400-214d.
<del>-</del>	budget other than the following: I have removed the handling charges from the ave itemized the costs for project expenses/WDNR fees.
There has been no change	e to the scope.
I trust this provides the cl	larification you need. Please let me know if you need any additional information.
Best regards, Lori	
Lori Huntoon, PG Huntoon Environmental 6 608-886-7245	Consulting

Site Name: Racine Former Express Cleaners

BRRTS #: 02-52-547631

Type of Action: Remedial Action

**Check Numbers** 

TASKS		В	UDGE	Т			INVOICES						
Bid / Budgeted Description	Bid	I / Budgeted Amount	INSERT		Total Approved Budget	Previous Claims (If applicable)	Provider Name, Invoice #, Billing Date	INSERT					
Consultant Costs							4.0				THE REAL PROPERTY.		
Project Management/Field Oversite	\$	28,000.00	\$-	\$	28,000.00								
Groundwater Monitoring	\$	14,300.00		\$	14,300.00								
Remedial Action	\$	8,000.00		\$	8,000.00								
apor Intrusion Assessment	\$	500.00		\$	500.00								
Reporting	\$	6,480.00		\$	6,480.00								
Site Closure	\$	5,460.00		\$	5,460.00								
Permitting	\$	400.00		\$	400.00								
xpenses	\$	12,100.00		\$	12,100.00								
				\$	-								
				\$	-								
				\$	-								
Consultant Cost Total	\$	75,240.00	\$ -	\$	75,240.00	\$ -	L				- 0		
Sub-Contractor Costs			2003										
Remedial Contractor	\$	170,910.00	\$ -	\$	170,910.00								
aboratory	\$	13,140.00		\$	13,140.00								
Orilling Contractor	\$	6,180.00		\$	6,180.00								
Contractor for Site Work	\$	7,725.00		\$	7,725.00								
				\$									
				\$	-								
				\$	-								
Sub-Contractor Cost Total	\$	197,955.00	\$ -	\$	197,955.00	\$ -							
	S	273,195.00	\$-	0	273,195.00	¢	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-		

# Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Program Reimbursement Cost Detail Linking Spreadsheet Form 4400-214D (R 08/1

DERF COST BREAKOUT (this claim)											
Total Invoiced Costs	A Soil Investigation	B Soil Remediation	C Groundwater Investigation	D Groundwater Remediation	E Air/Vapor Investigation	F Air/Vapor Remediation	G Lab & Other Analysis	H Miscellaneous Costs	Use	et Remaining -) to indicate st over-run	
•									•	00.000.00	
\$ -									\$	28,000.00	
\$ -									\$	14,300.00	
\$ -									\$	8,000.00	
\$ <u>-</u>									\$	500.00	
\$ -									\$	6,480.00	
\$ -									\$	5,460.00	
\$ -									\$	400.00	
\$									\$	12,100.00	
\$ -									\$		
\$ -									\$	-	
\$ -									\$	-	
\$ -		2							\$	75,240.00	
\$ -									\$	170,910.00	
\$ -									\$	13,140.00	
\$ -									\$	6,180.00	
\$ -									\$	7,725.00	
\$ -									\$	-	
\$ -									\$	-	
\$ -									\$	_	
\$ -									\$	197,955.00	
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	273,195.00	

\$ -
\$ -
\$ -
\$ -

% Task Complete, Remarks	
Task % Complete	
	-
	Ī
	•
***	-
	•
	•