

August 10, 2015

VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL

Ms. Nancy Ryan Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 2300 North Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive Milwaukee WI, 53212

Re: Request Change Order for Site Investigation; BRRTS #02-52-547631

Express Dry Cleaners, Inc., 3941 N. Main Street, Racine, Wisconsin

Dear Nancy:

Demolition of the building superstructure will begin this week. We would like approval to continue with demolition of slab, foundation and asphalt in the area of remediation immediately after building demolition, before the demolition contractor leaves the site. Please note that, as shown on the attached Figure 1, the requested demolition will also require the abandonment of six monitoring wells (MW-1,-2,-3,-4 and -8 and PZ-1; MW-3 and MW-8 would be replaced after remediation). Consequently, at this time we request approval to complete the slab, foundation and pavement removal and monitoring well abandonment outlined in this request, to achieve greater cost efficiency on demolition, gain certain logistical advantages explained below, more easily investigate the contaminated foundation and improve timeliness of remediation. If you approve this request, the mobilization charge will be logged against the building demolition, not the slab demolition, and the cost of slab, foundation and asphalt demolition will be \$______ (the estimated cost will be provided after discussion with contractor, expected tomorrow).

Because the most contaminated area of the site is covered by slab and asphalt, all the remedial proposals received would require essentially the same slab and asphalt removal requested by this letter. Once slab and asphalt are removed, any of the remedial proposals could be implemented. To lower overall project cost and reduce time until remediation can be implemented, it would make sense to mobilize the demolition contractor only once to complete both the building superstructure demolition and demolition of the slab, foundation and asphalt.

GONZALEZ SAGGIO & HARLAN LLP
Attorneys at Law

00043788.1 www.gshllp.com

Milwaukee

111 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 1000 Milwaukee, WI 53202 Tel (414) 277-8500 Fax (414) 277-8521 Atlanta, GA Boston, MA Chicago, IL Cleveland, OH Indianapolis, IN Los Angeles, CA Miami, FL Nashville, TN New York, NY Pasadena, CA

Phoenix, AZ Washington, D.C. Wayne, NJ West Des Moines, IA Ms. Nancy Ryan August 10, 2015 Page 2

If this change order is not approved, two demolition mobilizations will be required, additional time will be required for the second mobilization and contaminated debris stored on site will prevent timely remediation of the site.

Removing the slab and foundation at this time will provide an important logistical advantage in staging the contaminated debris. We need to stage contaminated debris in the area of contamination, but doing so will prevent implementing the remedy in the debris lay-down area. We now know there will much more contaminated debris than anticipated. During the pilot test, Huntoon Environmental Consulting determined the foundation to be 5 feet deep, which is far deeper than anticipated and extends below the water table even in dry seasons. As a result, an unknown amount of the foundation is contaminated and will be hazardous waste unless it is contained out. It would be far more expensive to investigate this contamination by coring down through the concrete to determine if the foundation is contaminated, as compared to merely taking a surface sample of the foundation after removal from the ground. Thus, demolition of the slab, foundation and asphalt at this time is the least-cost method of providing the data required for a contained out determination, and logistically will allow the hazardous waste issue to be resolved and contaminated debris to be removed from the site while you are approving the remediation.

Yours Very Truly,

Villiam P. Scott

Enclosure

cc: Lori Huntoon, Huntoon Environmental Consulting, LLC

Robert Nauta, RJN Environmental Services, LLC James C. Small, Ehrlich Family Limited Partnership

