

Ryan, Nancy D - DNR

From: William P. Scott <wscott@mzmilw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 10:36 AM
To: Ryan, Nancy D - DNR
Cc: William P. Scott
Subject: Former Express Cleaners, Racine Wis - confidential bid information

Nancy -

Can you please glance at the notes below, starting with the footnotes, and arrange a time to call me? You just need the gist of what I am presenting, not a thorough understanding, before we talk. I have a lunch meeting today, but am available afterwards. I will be out on Friday and Tuesday, but available then on cell at 414-378-5467.

Thanks, Bill.

Cost Comparison of Remedial Proposals – May 25, 2016

Ramboll-Environ Proposal^[1]

Line	Item / Notes	Amount in \$
1	Total Proposed Cost	358,300
2	Direct cost of treating soil and groundwater	177,400
3	Supplemental SI to narrow down areas of treatment – estimate	15,000
4	Projected Cost Savings – estimate- assumes 28% decrease in area of treatment	(50,000)
5	Net Cost assuming SI allows 28% decrease in area of treatment	323,300
6	Net Cost if total area treated is not decreased and remains as originally bid	373,300

Terracon Proposal^[2]

Line	Item / Notes	Amount in \$
1	Total Proposed Cost – soil	176,009
2	Direct cost of treating soil (not including groundwater)	99,779
3	Allowance for treating groundwater – assumes Amendment to Proposal	147,291
4	Net Cost for soil and groundwater	323,300
5		
6		

^[1] The Ramboll Environ Proposal includes soil mixing of ZVI with amendment to stimulate biological remediation after the chemical treatment is complete. The issue raised is whether the proposed area of treatment is too large as a result of data gap in certain locations, but also is whether the proposed area should include some of the area to the east (BA2,BA3,BA4,BA9,B20), which could be done in-situ or excavated and mixed in the main area of contamination.

² The Terracon Proposal includes dig/treat/dump of soil but does not treat groundwater. The primary issue raised is whether it would be less expensive to amend the Terracon Proposal to add groundwater treatment than to use the Ramboll Environ Proposal. The secondary issue raised is whether the size of the excavated soil area should be expanded, knowing that the cost of the Terracon Proposal would increase if DNR wants more soil to be treated and removed from the site.

Bill

William P. Scott

Mallery & Zimmerman, S.C. | A Limited Liability Service Corporation
731 North Jackson Street, Suite 900 | Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4697
414-727-6270 Direct | wscott@mzmilw.com
[Website](#) | [Bio](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [vCard](#)



Check out our new [website!](#)

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS in Circular 230, we inform you that, unless we expressly state otherwise in this communication (including any attachments), any tax advice contained in this communication is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or other matter addressed in this communication.

This e-mail transmission contains confidential and privileged information that is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) to whom this e-mail is addressed. No advice provided in this transmission may be relied on by any person other than a person or entity that has engaged Mallery & Zimmerman, S.C. for legal services. Disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this transmission by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited.

^[1] The Ramboll Environ Proposal includes soil mixing of ZVI with amendment to stimulate biological remediation after the chemical treatment is complete. The issue raised is whether the proposed area of treatment is too large as a result of data gap in certain locations, but also is whether the proposed area should include some of the area to the east (BA2,BA3,BA4,BA9,B20), which could be done in-situ or excavated and mixed in the main area of contamination.

^[2] The Terracon Proposal includes dig/treat/dump of soil but does not treat groundwater. The primary issue raised is whether it would be less expensive to add groundwater treatment to the Terracon Proposal than to use the Ramboll Environ Proposal. The secondary issue raised is whether the size of the excavated soil area should be expanded, knowing that the cost of the Terracon Proposal would increase if DNR wants more soil to be treated and removed from the site.