Feeney, John M - DNR

To:

Le Roy, B. J.

Subject:

RE: Village of Grafton - West Plume Scope

Hi B.J. Okay. I'll look at it ASAP, then consider it to be part of the workplan.

Thanks

John

----Original Message----

From: Le Roy, B. J. [mailto:BJ.Leroy@earthtech.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2007 4:46 PM

To: Feeney, John M - DNR

Subject: RE: Village of Grafton - West Plume Scope

Hello John,

I'm assuming that you are considering this scope as part of the Work Plan. This is only the scope, meeting the request that Pat had on May 23. After the scope is approved by the WDNR, the Village will approve the work plan contract and we will submit the fee along with the work plan. At this point we have not budgeted for that work plan fee, so I am unable to pay it until we obtain a contract with the Village to complete a work plan.

BJ

----Original Message----

From: Feeney, John M - DNR [mailto:JohnM.Feeney@wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 01, 2007 3:45 PM

To: Le Roy, B. J.

Subject: RE: Village of Grafton - West Plume Scope

Thanks B.J. Please send a printed copy and a \$500 technical review fee to Vicky Stovall at SER HQ if you have not done so already.

John

----Original Message----

From: Le Roy, B. J. [mailto:BJ.Leroy@earthtech.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2007 11:39 AM

To: Feeney, John M - DNR

Cc: dhofland@village.grafton.wi.us; David Murphy; Chung, Patricia L - DNR

Subject: Village of Grafton - West Plume Scope

<<West_Plume_Scope_WDNR.pdf>>
John,

Please find attached the initial proposal for additional work to be completed at the Village of Grafton - West Plume site area. The letter includes what was agreed upon at our May 23rd meeting and the follow-up correspondence, that is attached.

One item listed in the May 29 agreement summary is information regarding interviews and research that Winder Hess performed as a private investigator during the original Lime Kiln investigation. If that information is required by the WDNR, it will have to come from Buck Sweeney. Buck mentioned to me that the information is under attorney-client privilege. We do not and never did have that information at Earth Tech.

The letter is time sensitive - the Village Board meeting for September has a deadline of August 26 for agenda items. If you wish this proposal to start in motion in a timely manner, it should be approved by then.

Even if approved by September, it will be at least 30 days after the September board meeting to complete and approve a contract, at which time we could being the work plan. If approved by August 26, we could potentially be in the field in late October. It would be