State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

# Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 1 of 8

BRRTS II	No. 02-1	13-55190	4	, ,	JIII 4400-232 (IX 04/ 19)	rage roro		
Reviewer: C. Koepke			Reg	gion: SCR	Review Date:	09/14/2021		
Site Name	e: Klinke	Dry Clea	ners Campus					
See RR5242 for instructions <a href="http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf">http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf</a> . Steps with an * denote DNR follow up; ** denote RP/property owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS number. Use the NOTES area in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.								
File Revie								
		and the	le if needed, to identify the File Rev					
Site Addre				City		ZIP Code		
	versity Av		(DIVI)	Madison		53705		
•			umber (PIN)	FID Number				
			Access Dane)	113224540				
•	esponsible		-1					
Klinke Cleaners (Richard Klinke is the contact person)								
<u></u>			rred since the continuing obligation wa	is recorded/applied	? • No Yes			
If Yes: C	urrent Pro	perty Ov	ner					
_	la a sa a Nivers		Irusii					
P	hone Num	iber	Email					
Salact all	continuina	obligation	 s applied (at case closure or RAP appro	wal or letter to LGII	١٠			
Add to	AC in				•			
BRRTS	BRRTS	AC	Act	ion Code (AC) Mea	aning			
		51	Deed notice					
		52	Deed restriction for soil					
		730	Groundwater use restriction					
		95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audi	ts, use if deed restric	ction was updated by fili	ng a deed notice)		
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR le					
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNR letter sent					
		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to maintain liability exemption					
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)					
		56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)					
		46	Impacted Right-of-Way					
┝		220	Soil at industrial use level					
ᆜ		222	Cover/engineered containment system (pavement, soil cover, etc.)					
누井		224	Structural impediment (buildings or other	structures)				
片片		226	/apor mitigation/response					
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)					
片片		230 232	LGU was directed to take a protective action  Positive soil contamination > PCLs(SS PCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224))					
片片		234	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)					
片片		234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES					
片片		238	Maintenance and inspection documentati		bmitted			
	片	185	Closure Compliance Review completed					
	片	186	Closure Compliance Review - RP follow	up needed				
片		187	Closure Compliance Review follow up co	·				
		99 Use this code with comments, for actions not listed under AC 186 (i.e. submittal of inspection reports)						
		1						

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 2 of 8

How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)						
	-	ant AC 605		☐ Age of Ren	nedy	
☐ VPLE with AC 56 ☐ AC 220,	222, 224	, 228, or 230		☐ Complaint	Received	
	estriction .	AC 52 or 696	(LGU)	Regional P	riority	
Other:						
Date of:						
Final Closure 11/06/2014	[			n Approval		
☐ Certificate of Completion ☐ Green Space Grant	L	Local Gov	-	rification Letter	-	
	'					
Describe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address: Not applicable.						
Is the site on BRRTS as having residual contamination	n and con	tinuing obliga	tions?			
	Yes	O No – Add	to BRRTS	S using applica	ble action	codes (56, etc.)*
Were neighboring properties affected?	) Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>				
If yes, are these properties listed in BRRTS with A	C 56?	○ Yes ○	No – Upda	ate BRRTS, us	e form 440	)0-246*
Was a maintenance plan required at closure? $$	. ONo	<ul><li>Yes – It is</li></ul>	s: 💿 in th	ie file OPDF	F () mis	sing
If no maintenance plan was required, offer the prop up section of the audit that one was provided on th			ate model	with inspection	log, and n	ote in the follow
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the	the Regis	ter of Deeds?	○ Yes	○ No	<ul><li>NA</li></ul>	
Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? O No						
		○ Yes: Wa	s BRRTS	updated? (95)		◯ Yes ◯ No*
		Wa	s the CO	PDF updated?		○ Yes ○ No*
Notes:						
Site Visit:	anu of th		oo alon id	onnlicable II	f the evelt	in hains
<ol><li>Contact the site owner for access. Provide a co- conducted for a CO which would now require a plan was required at closure, for the property o</li></ol>	mainter	nance plan, p	provide a			
3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or respons documented at closure/other to verify or chang or template.	sible par ge answe	ty) to review ers to questic	the site o	onditions aga Discuss use o	inst the co	onditions ntenance plan
4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the	e followii	ng for DNR R	R record	s:		
Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation	n(s)? (	Yes	) No			
Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?						
<ul><li>No</li></ul>						

BRRTS Number: 02-13-551964

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.

2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-13-551964

# Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 3 of 8

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair?  • No/NA
○ Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired? ○ Yes** ○ No
If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?
No
○ Yes - Explain:
Was the DNR notified? Yes No
Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?
No/NA
Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?
○ No
○ Yes – Describe:
Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?
No
○ Yes - Describe:
For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc. Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?
No
Yes – Describe:
Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?   No
○ Yes – Does sampling need to be performed?
○ No
Yes** – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:
Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)
Yes
<ul><li>○ NA</li><li>○ No** – Describe any follow up needed:</li></ul>
Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?
NA
No
Yes – Describe any follow up needed:
Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?
<ul><li>No</li></ul>
○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:

### BRRTS Number: 02-13-551964

### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 4 of 8

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?  No Yes – Describe any follow up needed:
Notes:  Site was previously inspected in 2016 and 2019. At time of 2019 inspection, VMS fan was not functional, but was repaired/replaced.
COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:  5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.  Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?
<ul> <li>(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)</li> <li>Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?         <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>NA</li> <li>No − Describe:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?  Yes  NA  NO – Why not?  Property owner has been carrying out inspections as required.
Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?  Yes  NA  No – If no, why not?  Property owner has been following the maintenance plan as required.
<ul> <li>6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:</li> </ul>

#### Notes:

Date added: 09/23/2021

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 5 of 8

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

7. * Does the site require follow up by DNR?					
<ul><li>No</li></ul>					
O Yes: [	contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation				
[	updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)				
	reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)				
Γ	other:				

- 8. \* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. \* Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD\_185\_CO\_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD\_186\_Follow\_Up\_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.



BRRTS Number: 02-13-551964


Title: View of VMS exhaust on south side of building

Date added: 09/23/2021

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 6 of 8

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (\*.bmp;\*.jpg;\*.gif;\*.png;\*.tif)}



Title: One of the VMS pick-up points inside the building

Date added: 09/23/2021

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 7 of 8

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (\*.bmp;\*.jpg;\*.gif;\*.png;\*.tif)}



Title: Second VMS pick-up point with system alarm box attached

Date added: 09/23/2021

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 8 of 8

\*\*Click to Add/Edit Image File (\*.bmp;\*.jpg;\*.gif;\*.png;\*.tif)}

\*\*NOTICE\*\*

\*\*Radon System Monitor\*\*
\*\*Do not aller or disconnect\*\*
\*\*Orean kpri indicates vocuum pressure in ventine system operating). A red light and autobe data indicates a loss of vocuum pressure.

If red light oppeara/dorm sounds disconnect power from adorm unit and call system installer power from a form unit and call system installer power from adorm unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit and call system in staller power from a form unit a

Title: VMS system alarm box