State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921				Remediation & RedevelopmentContinuing Obligation ReviewForm 4400-232 (R 5/15)Page 1 of 6			
BRRTS II	D No. <u>02-4</u>	2-5528	21			-	
Reviewer	Mae W	illkom		Region: WCR	Review Date:	06/06/2016	
Site Name	e: <u>FT MC</u>	COY SO	COTTS JN OE SCRAP LF	c			
See RR5	242 for ins	truction	ns http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.	us/int/aw/rr/guidance/R	R5242.pdf . Steps with	an * denote DNR	
			operty owner follow up. If auc rea in each section to add inf			ERP BRRTS	
File Revie		OTES a	rea meach section to add im	ormation not otherwise	audresseu.		
5		and the	file if needed, to identify the	File Review information	,	1	
		anu me	The in needed, to identify the				
Site Addr				City		ZIP Code	
FORT M				SPARTA		54656	
County Pa	arcel Identi	fication	Number (PIN)	FID Number			
				642024900			
Original F	Responsible	e Persor	1				
US ARM	Y FORT	MCCO	Y				
Has the p	roperty be	en trans	ferred since the continuing oblig	gation was recorded/appli	ed? () No () Yes		
	Current Pro				<u> </u>		
	hone Num	bor	Email				
'		IDEI	Entan				
	oontinuine	ablianti					
-		obligati	ons applied (at case closure or	RAP approval or letter to	LGU):		
Add to BRRTS	AC in BRRTS	AC		Action Code (AC) N	leaning		
DRRTS	DRRTS	51	Deed notice	ACTION CODE (AC)	leaning		
-		52	Deed restriction for soil				
		730	Groundwater use restriction				
		95	Deed instrument conditions manual notice)	et (for audits, use if deed	restriction was updated b	by filing a deed	
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - d	late DNR letter sent			
		104	Site removed from GIS Regist	ry - date DNR letter sent			
		696	Continuing obligation required	of LGU to maintain liabilit	y exemption		
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (
		56	Continuing Obligation applied	· /			
		220	Soil at industrial use level	/			
	X	222	Cover/engineered containmen	t system (pavement, soil	cover, etc.)		
-		224	Structural impediment (building		,		
		226	Vapor mitigation/response	g e of other of acta co,			
		228	Site-specific (identify in comm	ent field)			
		230	LGU was directed to take a protective action				
-	X	232	Residual soil contamination >		AC 220 222 2241		
		232	Monitoring well needs to be at		(10 LEV, LLL, LLT)		
		234	Site closed with groundwater of				
-		238	Maintenance and inspection d		ha submitted		
×							
		185 186	Closure Compliance Review c Closure Compliance Review -	-			
		187	Closure Compliance Review for Use this code with comments,		er AC 186 /i.e. cubmittel	of inspection	
		99	reports)				

BRRTS Number: 02-42-552821	Continuing Obligation ReviewForm 4400-232 (R 5/15)Page 2 of 6
How was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)	
Vapor Mitigation AC 226 Green Space Gran	
□ VPLE with AC 56 □ AC 220, 222, 224, □ Enforcement Follow-up □ Deed Restriction A □ Other:	
Date of:	
☐ Final Closure 12/20/2010	Remedial Action Plan Approval
Certificate of Completion	General Liability Clarification Letter
Green Space Grant] Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter
	ner and/or responsible party needed to address: d it to the GIS Registry*
Were neighboring properties affected? O Yes No	
If yes, are these properties listed on the GIS Registry and in	BRRTS? () Yes () No – Update the GIS Registry/BRRTS, use form 4400-246*
Was a maintenance plan required at closure? \bigcirc NA \bigcirc No	• Yes – It is: \bigcirc in the file • PDF \bigcirc missing
If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit da	er the template model with inspection log, and note in the follow te
Was/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register	er of Deeds? 🔿 Yes 🔿 No 💿 NA
Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR?	○ No
	○ Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95) ○ Yes ○ No*
	Was the GIS Registry PDF updated? \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No*
Notes:	

Remediation & Redevelopment

1	
0:4-	S #

- 2. Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).
- 3. Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
- 4. With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:

Did the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)? • Yes • No

Have site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements associated with the site?

No	
🔿 Yes –	Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-42-552821

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15) Page 3 of 6

Has a pavement (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in disrepair? (•) No/NA

\bigcirc	Yes –	Should it be	replaced	or repaired?	○ Yes**	
\smile	100		opiaooa	or repuiled.		

If a performance standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?

• No

○ Yes - Explain:

Was the DNR notified?	⊖ Yes	🔿 No
-----------------------	-------	------

Have local zoning changes occurred since closure?

No/NA

○ Yes – Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?

- O No
- Yes Describe:

Is soil sampling needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?

- No
- Yes Describe:

For example, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.

Has additional monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?

No

○ Yes - Describe:

Does a new threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?

No

- Yes Does sampling need to be performed?
 - 🔿 No

○ Yes** - Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:

Is the vapor mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being maintained)

⊖ Yes

 \bigcirc No^{**} – Describe any follow up needed:

• NA

Have any of the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?

• NA

🔿 No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

Has the land use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?

🖲 No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

BRRTS Number: 02-42-552821

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 4 of 6

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?

• No

○ Yes - Describe any follow up needed:

Notes:

COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY:

5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.

Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?

- Yes
- No Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:

(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)

Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?

Yes
No - Describe:
NA

Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?

- Yes
- \bigcirc No Why not?
- \bigcirc NA

Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?

- ⊖ Yes
- \bigcirc No If no, why not?
- NA
- 6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)

No

○ Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:

Notes:

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

Form 4400-232 (R 5/15)

Page 5 of 6

- 7.* Does the site require follow up by DNR?
- No
 Yes: Contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation
 Updating the GIS Registry (adding or modifying a packet)
 reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)
 other:

 8. * Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity
- number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. * Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: BRRTS#_COAUDIT_Year.pdf (example: 0365001149_COAUDIT_2008.pdf).
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Send a copy of the audit to your Regional EPA for updating ACs and uploading the PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.



Title: Former location of Fort McCoy Scotts Junction OE Scrap LF; BRRTS #02-42-552821; 6/13/2016

BRRTS Number: 02-42-552821

Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing ObligationReviewForm 4400-232(R 5/15)Page 6 of

Page 6 of 6

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (*.bmp;*.jpg;*.gif;*.png;*.tif)}

Date added:

Title: