

## Willkom, Mae - DNR

---

**From:** Willkom, Mae - DNR  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 11:32 AM  
**To:** Bartholomew, Craig O CIV (US) (craig.o.bartholomew2.civ@mail.mil)  
**Subject:** Signed Inspection Logs  
**Attachments:** Fort McCoy Inspection Logs.pdf

Craig,

Thanks so much for facilitating yesterday's DNR audits of ten Fort McCoy sites with continuing obligations (COs). I very much appreciate being able to conduct all these audits in such an efficient manner, with minimal effort and in so little time.

Attached are signed cap inspection logs from the sites (9 of 10) which require cap maintenance. I have signed and dated each of these, to document that audits were completed. (If you would also like me to sign the *formerly* applicable cap inspection log for Building 2182, send it along and I will do so.)


Completed CO audit forms should soon be available for download directly from our BRRTS on the Web database. Let me know, if you need assistance in locating them or if you prefer I send along .pdfs instead. Thanks, again.

Mae

**We are committed to service excellence.**


Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Mae E. Willkom  
Hydrogeologist—Remediation and Redevelopment  
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources  
1300 W. Clairemont Ave., Eau Claire, WI 54701  
Phone: 715-839-3748  
Fax: 715-839-6076  
[mae.willkom@wi.gov](mailto:mae.willkom@wi.gov)









**EXHIBIT A  
ANALYTES EXCEEDING  
DIRECT CONTACT CONCENTRATIONS  
SCOTT'S JUNCTION**

— Cap Area

0 750 1,500  
Feet





**SCOTT'S JUNCTION CAP LOOKING WEST**

PERIODIC REVIEW  
ANNUAL REMEDY EVALUATION

SITE NAME: Scotts Junction (Not CC/IRP) DATE: 18-Apr-16

EVALUATION CRITERIA	YES	NO
1) Is the remedy functioning as intended by the decision documents?	X	
2) Are the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels, and remedial action objectives used at the time of remedy selection still valid?	X	
3) Has any other information come to light that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy? <i>(If yes, explain)</i>		X
<i>Briefly describe information/conditions that impact protectiveness (if applicable):</i>		
4) Have there been regulatory changes since the last review that would allow LUCs to be removed or have the site removed from the GIS Registry?		X
<i>Explain:</i>		
5) Are there specific actions that could be taken that would move the site to UU/UE? What are those actions <i>(in brief; include a rough order of magnitude cost range)? If no, why (in brief)?</i>		X
<i>Briefly list specific actions that would be required for the site to reach UU/UE. This would not apply to sites with groundwater impacts or to the former landfill sites, as groundwater remediation or complete waste removal would likely be cost prohibitive and impractical:</i> This site is on the GIS Registry for soil and the installation is required to maintain a vegetated cover. If the soil is disturbed it will have to be sampled for lead and handled in accordance with the regulations. To remove all of the lead impacted soil from this site located in the middle of a 100 year old range is not likely possible, as additional lead contamination is likely present throughout this area. The presence of the lead contamination is not creating unacceptable risks to human health or the environment and is not limiting the use of the property. There are no plans to change the use of this property.		
6) Have site conditions changed since the last review in such a way <i>(changes in land use plans, upcoming or current regulatory changes, or other conditions)</i> that would make it beneficial/appropriate for the installation to work with the WDNR and invest the funds needed to move the site to UU/UE <i>(if possible)? (If yes, explain)</i>		X
<i>Briefly explain why it would be appropriate at this time to evaluate a plan with the WDNR to move the site to UU/UE:</i>		

4/20/2016

X Craig O. Bartholomew

Craig O. Bartholomew

Professional Geologist Wisconsin No. 451 -13

Signed by: BARTHOLOMEW.CRAIG.OWEN.1267529465