State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

BRRTS ID No. 02-13-560426

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 1 of 8

Reviewer	: Janet D	iMaggio	Regio	on: SCR	Review Date:	07/29/2022		
Site Name	Site Name: Carriage Trade Dry Cleaners Former							
follow up number.	See RR5242 for instructions <a href="http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf">http://intranet.dnr.state.wi.us/int/aw/rr/guidance/RR5242.pdf</a> . Steps with an * denote DNR follow up; ** denote RP/property owner follow up. If auditing a VPLE site, use the applicable LUST or ERP BRRTS number. Use the NOTES area in each section to add information not otherwise addressed.							
File Revie								
1. Review	BRRTS,	and the	file if needed, to identify the File Revie	w information:				
Site Addre	ess		ľ	City		ZIP Code		
5710 Ray	mond Ro	ad		Madison		53711		
County Pa	arcel Ident	ification I	Number (PIN)	FID Number				
251-0709	0-313-110	1-6						
Original R	Responsible	e Person						
MLG Ca	pitol Mead	dowood,	LLC (Andy Bruce)					
Has the p	roperty be	en transf	erred since the continuing obligation was	recorded/applied?	○ No ● Yes			
If Yes: C	Current Pro	perty Ov	vner					
N	<i>M</i> eadowoo	d Bacel	ine, LLC (Jessica Werner, property mar	nager)				
F	hone Num	ber	Email					
		(720) 6	jwerner@baceline	group.com				
Select all	continuing	obligatio	ns applied (at case closure or RAP approv	al or letter to LGU):				
Add to BRRTS	Add to AC in AC Action Code (AC) Meaning							
		51	Deed notice					
		52	Deed restriction for soil					
		730	Groundwater use restriction					
		95	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits	Deed instrument conditions met (for audits, use if deed restriction was updated by filing a deed notice)				
		101	GIS Registry PDF modified - date DNR lett	er sent				
		104	Site removed from GIS Registry - date DNI	R letter sent				
		696	Continuing obligation required of LGU to m	aintain liability exemp	ption			
		605	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restrict	Green Space Grant awarded (deed restriction)				
	$\boxtimes$	56	Continuing Obligation applied (use with codes 220-238)					
	$\boxtimes$	46	Impacted Right-of-Way					
		220	Soil at industrial use level					
		222	Cover/engineered containment system (pa	vement, soil cover, e	tc.)			
		224	Structural impediment (buildings or other structures)					
	$\boxtimes$	226	Vapor mitigation/response					
		228	Site-specific (identify in comment field)					
		230	LGU was directed to take a protective action					
	$\boxtimes$	232	Residual soil contamination > RCLs/SS RCLs (use with AC 220, 222, 224)					
		234	Monitoring well needs to be abandoned					
		236	Site closed with groundwater contamination > ES					
		238	Maintenance and inspection documentation required to be submitted					
		185	Closure Compliance Review completed					
		186	Closure Compliance Review - RP follow up needed					
	187 Closure Compliance Review follow up completed							
		99	Use this code with comments, for actions n	•	36 (i.e. submittal of in	spection reports)		

## BRRTS Number: 02-13-560426 Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 2 of 8

Ho	w was site selected for audit? (AC = BRRTS Action Code)  Vapor Mitigation AC 226  Green Space Grant AC 605  Age of Remedy
	<ul> <li>✓ VPLE with AC 56</li> <li>✓ AC 220, 222, 224, 228, or 230</li> <li>✓ Complaint Received</li> <li>✓ Enforcement Follow-up</li> <li>✓ Deed Restriction AC 52 or 696 (LGU)</li> <li>✓ Regional Priority</li> <li>✓ Other:</li> </ul>
Da	te of:
	$\nearrow$ Final Closure $11/25/2015$ Remedial Action Plan Approval
	Certificate of Completion General Liability Clarification Letter
	Green Space Grant Local Gov't Unit (LGU) Letter
De	scribe any site-specific requirements (AC 228) that the site owner and/or responsible party needed to address:
ls t	he site on BRRTS as having residual contamination and continuing obligations?
We	<ul> <li>Yes</li></ul>
	If yes, are these properties listed in BRRTS with AC 56?
Wa	s a maintenance plan required at closure? ONA ONO OYes – It is: O in the file OPDF of missing
	If no maintenance plan was required, offer the property owner the template model with inspection log, and note in the follow up section of the audit that one was provided on the audit date
Wa	s/were the appropriate restriction(s) recorded with the Register of Deeds? Yes No No
	Has a restriction been amended, or been nullified by DNR? O No
	○ Yes: Was BRRTS updated? (95) ○ Yes ○ No*
	Was the CO PDF updated?
No	tes:
Μe	por Mitigation System Inspection Log (Form 4400-321) was also filled out with inspection done on the same date. adowood Baceline LLC purchased the property on 11/15/2017. The former tenant was Best Cleaners. The building that been unoccupied since 4/30/2021. The property manager did not know when the VMS was turned off.
	e Visit:
2.	Contact the site owner for access. Provide a copy of the maintenance plan, if applicable. If the audit is being conducted for a CO which would now require a maintenance plan, provide a template version if no maintenance plan was required at closure, for the property owner's use (voluntary).
3.	Walk the site (ideally with the owner or responsible party) to review the site conditions against the conditions documented at closure/other to verify or change answers to questions in #1. Discuss use of the maintenance plan or template.
<b>4</b> .	With the site owner/RP (if possible), answer the following for DNR RR records:
Dic	the site owner know about the continuing obligation(s)?
	ve site conditions changed since closure that would affect either a deed restriction or other restrictions or requirements sociated with the site?
	<ul><li>No</li></ul>
	○ Yes - Explain:

Examples: 1) a building has been razed and investigation and remediation occurred.

2) excavation or residential development has occurred in a restricted area.

BRRTS Number: 02-13-560426

#### Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 3 of 8

Has a paven disrepair?	nent (asphalt or concrete) cover, soil cover or other sort of cover, such as a building, been removed or is it in
dioropaii .	<ul> <li>No/NA</li> <li>Yes – Should it be replaced or repaired?</li> <li>Yes**</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
_	nce standard was the final remedy, has it been altered?
○ No	
O Yes -	- Explain:
	Was the DNR notified?  Yes  No
Have local z	Was the DNR notified?
No/N	
_	- Does it appear to impact the effectiveness of the restriction?
001	
_	/es – Describe:
Is soil sampl	ing needed to determine if the final remedy has been modified such that a direct contact threat exists?
No	
O Yes -	- Describe:
For example	, an asphalt cover has been removed or is in disrepair, or a new contaminated site is present upgradient, etc.
_	al monitoring or remediation been done since the site was closed?
● No	
O Yes -	- Describe:
Does a new	threat to public health or the environment exist (e.g. new sources or exposure routes)?
<ul><li>No</li></ul>	
O Yes -	- Does sampling need to be performed?
	○ No
	○ Yes** – Describe what should be done to address the problem, and by whom:
Is the vapor	mitigation system or sub-slab depressurization system (SSDS) operating as designed? (pressure gradient being
maintained)	
○ Yes	
○ NA	– Describe any follow up needed:
● NO	- Describe any follow up needed.
Have any of	the exposure assumptions used for closure changed at this site?
○ NA	
<ul><li>No</li></ul>	
O Yes -	- Describe any follow up needed:
Has the land	use at this site changed such that a vapor intrusion pathway may now exist?
No	ass at this site shanged such that a vapor initiasion patriway may now exist:
_	- Describe any follow up needed:

BRRTS Number: 02-13-560426

# Remediation & Redevelopment Continuing Obligation Review Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 4 of 8

Page 4 of 8

Has the land use changed such that there are either health or safety issues?   No						
○ Yes – Describe any follow up needed:						
Notes:						
The vapor mitigation system (VMS) is currently off and needs to be restarted by a qualified VMS/radon mitigation system contractor.  The asphalt cap is in need of maintenance (see log).						
COMPLIANCE AND FOLLOW-UP SUMMARY: 5. Identify compliance and any follow up needed.						
Is the site in compliance with the continuing obligations/closure approval document?						
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No – Describe what's not in compliance and the reasons for noncompliance:         The VMS was off.     </li> </ul>						
(May depend on extent of non-compliance, non-maintenance of remedy or changed ownership or conditions. If case is out of compliance, it should be prioritized by the region, for new casework or enforcement, as needed.)						
Has the maintenance agreement required at closure been followed?  Yes  NA  No – Describe:  Notification was not given to the DNR of the VMS being non-operational. The VMS is required, as a continuing obligation, to remain operational.						
Was the property owner reminded to complete and document the (yearly) inspections?  O Yes  NA  No – Why not?						
Was a maintenance plan or template provided to the property owner at the site visit?    Yes  NA  No – If no, why not?						
<ul> <li>6.** Are additional actions by the RP property owner warranted at the site? The intent is to return the site to compliance with continuing obligation. If a significant land use change has occurred, and/or further remedial action is needed, determine if the site meets the NR 726 reopening criteria.)</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – Summarize the actions needed to return the site to compliance and identify who is responsible:</li> </ul>						

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 5 of 8

#### Notes:

BRRTS Number: 02-13-560426

The vapor mitigation system (VMS) needs to be restarted within 60 days by a qualified VMS/radon mitigation system contractor. If the 60 day deadline will not be met, the DNR shall be notified and an extension requested. Documentation should be forwarded to the DNR project manager and include photos, manometer reading, a listing of any repairs completed, and an updated VMS log done at the time the system is operational. Future VMS logs should also record the manometer reading at the time of inspection. Meadowood Baceline LLC, as the property owner, is responsible.

Add AC 186 for RP/property owner follow-up required. Use AC 99 if a reminder was provided to the property owner to complete and document inspections.

7.	Does the site require follow up by DNR?					
	○ No					
	● Yes: ⊠ contact or enforcement to return site to compliance with continuing obligation					
	updating BRRTS for the CO PDF (adding or modifying a packet)					
	reopen site (add ACs 186, 12 and 13)					
	other:					

- 8. \* Attach photographs of the site, documenting site conditions. Label the photos with the site name/BRRTS Activity number/date/view. If a follow-up letter is sent, include a copy with the audit. (audit/photos/follow-up letter)
- 9. \* Save a copy of the audit using the following naming convention: YYYYMMDD\_185\_CO\_Audit.pdf. For follow-up documentation use YYYYMMDD\_186\_Follow\_Up\_Needed.pdf.
- 10. Update applicable BRRTS action codes on the Table on page 1. Use the regional tracking sheet, and have your Regional EPA update the ACs and upload the audit PDF into BRRTS.
- 11. Notify Central Office when the audit has been completed and loaded into BRRTS.



Title: Front of the former Carriage Trade Cleaners a.k.a. Best Cleaners

Date added: 08/03/2022

Date added: 08/03/2022

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 6 of 8



Title: Crack in the asphalt cap on the north side of the building near the fencing around the dumpster.

Date added: 08/03/2022

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19) Page 7 of 8

{Click to Add/Edit Image File (\*.bmp;\*.jpg;\*.gif;\*.png;\*.tif)}



Title: Asphalt cap with some cracks, north side of the building near the back exit.

Date added: 08/03/2022

Form 4400-232 (R 04/19)

Page 8 of 8



Title: Asphalt cap near the dumpsters on north side of the building. Cap has an area approx. 1" or more in depth deteriorated and needs to be patched.

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

#### **Continuing Obligations Inspection and Maintenance Log**

Form 4400-305 (R 7/20)

Page 1 of 2

**Directions:** In accordance with s. NR 727.05 (1) (b) 3., Wis. Adm. Code, use of this form for documenting the inspections and maintenance of certain continuing obligations is required. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Public Records law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.]. When using this form, identify the condition that is being inspected. See the closure approval letter for this site for requirements regarding the submittal of this form to the Department of Natural Resources. A copy of this inspection log is required to be maintained either on the property, or at a location specified in the closure approval letter. Do NOT delete previous inspection results. This form was developed to provide a continuous history of site inspection results. The Department of Natural Resources project manager is identified in the closure letter. The project manager may also be identified from the database, BRRTS on the Web, at <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/botw/SetUpBasicSearchForm.do">http://dnr.wi.gov/botw/SetUpBasicSearchForm.do</a>, by searching for the site using the BRRTS ID number, and then looking in the "Who" section.

n annually				When submittal of this form is required, submit the form electronically to the DNR project manager. An electronic version of this filled out form, or a scanned version may be sent to the following email address (see closure approval letter):											
								Inspection Date	Inspector Name	Item	Describe the condition of the item that is being inspected	Recommendations for repair or mainten	recomr	evious nendations mented?	Photographs taken and attached?
								07/29/2022	Janet DiMaggio (DNR) Jessica Werner (Baceline)	monitoring well cover/barrier for soil sediment cap other:	Asphalt pavement required as a cap is located on the north side of the building. It is generally in good condition. There are some minor cracks. A few are more significant. There is one larger area of ~1 ft x 1 ft that is degraded and holding water.	The larger area of ~1 ft x 1 ft needs a color and some of the more significant cracks repatching to repair the cap.		ON	● Y ○ N
		monitoring well cover/barrier for soil sediment cap other:			ΟY	○ N	OY ON								
		monitoring well cover/barrier for soil sediment cap other:			O Y	○ N	OY ON								
		monitoring well cover/barrier for soil sediment cap other:			O Y	○ N	OY ON								
		monitoring well cover/barrier for soil sediment cap other:			ΟY	○ N	OY ON								

02-13-560426	Carriage Trade Cleaners
BRRTS No.	Activity (Site) Name

## **Continuing Obligations Inspection and Maintenance Log** Form 4400-305 (R 7/20) Page 2 of 2

						_
Inspection Date	Inspector Name	Item	Describe the condition of the item that is being inspected	Recommendations for repair or maintenance	Previous recommendations implemented?	Photographs taken and attached?
		monitoring well cover/barrier for soil sediment cap other:			○ Y ○ N	OY ON

Date added: 08/03/2022

{Click to Add/Edit Image}



Title: Cracks in the asphalt cap, north side of building near the dumpsters.



Title: Asphalt degraded cap  $\sim$ 1' x 1' inside of fence near dumpster.