

From: Thomas Dueppen <tduuppen64@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2023 4:21 PM
To: Henderson, Zachary D - DNR
Cc: Bill Bode; Gopal Adhikary; Scott Bence
Subject: Re: Garage Mahal LLC BRRTS #:02-68-593061
Attachments: Phase I ESA Report - Main Mill - 072723 (1) (002).pdf; MAIN&MILL_FIG_4.pdf

**CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization.
Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hi Zachary,

Thanks for the phone conversation. I would like to document our discussion, so we are all on the same page:

Based on our current investigation results, the Contaminant of Concern (COC) is PCE. The concentrations detected in the soil samples are too high for a 'No Action Required' (NAR) to be considered for the site. Zachary recommended that a Technical Assistance Request be submitted to the WDNR (Form 4400-237) with the review fee. The request would pertain to what investigation activities would be considered adequate for obtaining a full site closure (i.e. determine degree/extent of contamination and conditionally close the site with a cap maintenance plan for retaining the parking lot surface) or a 'No Further Action' (NFA) designation if the contamination is determined to remain inside the former building footprint. Zach had indicated that an NFA designation would be difficult without removing the impacted soil off-site and collecting confirmation samples from the excavation.

This might be the most expedient, but most costly way to obtain site closure. The most unobtrusive and cheapest way for the WDNR to possibly consider a NFA for the site would be to advance two borings in the alleyway between the parking lot and residence to the south. In the event that PCE concentrations are very low or non-detect, we could possibly request NFA if the WDNR concurs. We will discuss these options with the RP, to see how they want to proceed.

Based on my past experience, the current investigation results indicate that the PCE remains inside the former building footprint, but we would need soil samples collected off-site to confirm this.

In either case, we are sending the WDNR request form and fee to the WDNR so we have them on-board.

Per Zach's request, I have also attached the Phase I report for him to review.

Thanks,
TJD

On Mon, Sep 25, 2023 at 8:12 AM Thomas Dueppen <tduuppen64@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Zachary,

On behalf of our client (RP), we will need a response soon regarding the scope of investigation needed to satisfy the WDNR that this site does not represent an environmental concern i.e. additional boring/sampling, supporting evidence for a NFA, etc.

I will try contacting you today, to discuss further.

Thanks,

TJD

On Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 4:52 PM Henderson, Zachary D - DNR <zachary.henderson@wisconsin.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Tom,

My name is Zach Henderson and I will be the Project Manager for the above mentioned BRRTS site. Please direct any questions you may have for this BRRTS site to me.

Thank you,

We are committed to service excellence.

Visit our survey at <http://dnr.wi.gov/customersurvey> to evaluate how I did.

Zach Henderson, MS

Hydrogeologist – Remediation and Redevelopment Program

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

1027 W. Saint Paul Ave. Milwaukee, WI 53233

Phone: (414) 208-7412

Zachary.henderson@wisconsin.gov

dnr.wi.gov

--