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## Purpose

The purpose of this work is to continue to monitor the spring population length frequency and catch per unit effort of northern pike in Navigation Pool 8 of the upper Mississippi River.

## Methods

Standard Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee (UMRCC) fyke nets were set by WDNR personnel. These fyke nets had a 50ft floating lead line, 3 ft high and 6 ft wide frame, and had a 0.75 inch bar mesh.

Nets were set at locations thought likely to catch northern pike on spawning runs from March 22, 2010 through April 7, 2010 (Figure 1). A total of 17 locations where chosen, with 1 fyke net at each, in the Target and Lawrence lakes area, Houston County, Minnesota. Nets were set approximately five days after winter ice melted.

Up to eleven nets fished for a total of 98.8 net-days and were emptied every day during which all northern pike (Esox lucius) and yellow perch (Perca flavescens) were counted, measured in total length, sexed and their reproductive status was determined. Sex and reproductive status were determined primarily by the type and ease of which gametes were pushed through the urogenital pore. Fish were either classified as male or female based on the expression of sperm or eggs after manual massage of the abdomen. Based on the ease of and amount of gamete release, both males and females were classified as either green, immature, partially spent, ripe, or spent. For those northern pike that did not express gametes, sex was determined by visual examination of the urogenital region (Casselman, 1974). Sampling ceased when no green, ripe or partially spent females were recorded for three dates in a row.

This 2010 data was compared to data similarly collected during 1976 in upper Pool 8 by the Wisconsin DNR (Unpublished) and 2008 data collected in the middle of Pool 8 (Heath, Bailey and Von Ruden, 2009).

## Findings

## Water Temperature and Elevation

The mean daily ambient water temperatures during 2010 sampling was $7.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and generally rose over the ten days of sampling (Table 5). During sampling, the water surface elevation at La Crosse peaked on March 30th and varied as much as $2.05 f t$ (Table 5).

## Sex Ratios

A total of 154 northern pike and 797 yellow perch were recorded. Of the 154 northern pike, 109 (70.8\%) were females, 40 ( $26.0 \%$ ) were males and 5 (3.2\%) were unknown (Table 1). The sex ratio was 1 female to 0.37 males. This compares to 1 female to 2.0-2.3 males in other studies (Becker, 1983), 1 to 3.24 in the 1976 study and 1 female to 1.15 males in the 2008 study. This suggests that in 2010, there were a substantially greater number of females relative to males when compared to other investigations.

TABLE 1. SEX AND REPRODUCTIVE CONDITION OF SPRING 2010 NORTHERN PIKE, LAWRENCE/TARGET LAKE UNIT.

REPRODUCTIVE CONDITION

| SEX | Green | Immature | Partially <br> Spent | Ripe | Spent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 30 |  | 10 | 41 | 28 |
| Male | 2 |  | 2 | 22 | 14 |
| Unknown |  | 5 |  |  |  |

Of the 792 yellow perch that had sex recorded, 232 (29.7\%) were females, 535 (67.6\%) were males and 22 (2.8\%) were unknown (Table 2). The sex ratio was 1 female to 2.3 males.

TABLE 2. SEX AND REPRODUCTIVE CONDITION OF SPRING 2010 YELLOW PERCH, LAWRENCE/TARGET LAKE UNIT.

| REPRODUCTIVE CONDITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEX | Green | Immature | Partially <br> Spent | Ripe | Spent |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 189 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 23 |
| Male | 2 | 1 | 1 | 527 | 4 |
| Unknown |  | 22 |  |  |  |

## Northern Pike Male Size Structure

Mean total length for all 2010 males was 22.39 inches ( $\mathrm{n}=40$, minimum= 13.39, maximum=38.2)
(Figure 2). A total of 60.0 percent were greater than 21 inches. During 1976, the mean total length for all males was 22.94 inches ( $n=847$, minimum $=10.5$, maximum=32.9, standard deviation $=3.25$ ) (Figure 6 ). A total of 72.56 percent were greater than 21 inches. During 2008, the mean total length for all males was 20.57 inches ( $\mathrm{n}=152$, minimum $=8.47$, maximum=26.77, standard deviation=3.46) (Figure 4). A total of 43.42 percent were greater than 21 inches. The mean total length for 2010 males was significantly different from 2008 but not from 1976 (Table 5). The magnitude of this difference was 1.8 inches.

TABLE 3. MEAN LENGTH OF MALE NORTHERN PIKE, 2010, 2008 AND 1976.

|  <br> Location | Mean Length <br> (inches) | Standard <br> Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percent <br> $>\mathbf{2 1}$ <br> inches | Different (means with the same <br> letter are not Sign. Different) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976, Pool 8 | 22.94 | 3.25 | 10.50 | 32.9 | 847 | 72.56 | A |
| 2010 , Pool 8 | 22.39 | 5.02 | 13.39 | 38.2 | 40 | 60.0 | A |
| 2008 , Pool 8 | 20.57 | 3.46 | 8.47 | 26.77 | 152 | 43.42 | B |

There was no significant change in total length of males through the 2010 sampling period ( $\mathrm{n}=40$, $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.021, \mathrm{P}=0.3764$ ) suggesting that the size of males during sampling did not change as others have observed (Priegel and Krohn, 1975).

In the present investigation, the smallest sexually mature male was 13.43 inches in total length. All of the males found were sexually mature. This compares to size at maturity of 16-18 inches reported by Becker (1983) for lakes and 11 inches for the Mississippi River, Pool 8.

## Northern Pike Female Size Structure

In 2010, the mean total length for all females was 30.94 inches ( $\mathrm{n}=109$, minimum= 17.3, maximum=40.6, standard deviation=5.17) (Figure 3) (Table 4). A total of 85.3 percent were greater than 25 inches. During 2008 in Pool 8, the mean total length for all females was 25.59 inches ( $\mathrm{n}=131$, minimum=12.21, maximum=39.37, standard deviation=5.325) (Figure 5). A total of 46.56 percent were greater than 25 inches. During 1976, the mean total length for all females was 26.36 inches ( $\mathrm{n}=262$, minimum=16.50, maximum=36.50, standard deviation=4.413) (Figure 7). A total of 58.40 percent were greater than 25 inches.

Pool 8 female size was larger than previous years. The 2010 mean total length for females was significantly different from both 1976 and 2008 (p<0.001) (Table 4). Means from 1976 and 2008 were the same.

TABLE 4. MEAN LENGTH OF FEMALE NORTHERN PIKE, 2010, 2008 AND 1976.

|  <br> Location | Mean Length <br> (inches) | Standard <br> Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percent <br> $>\mathbf{2 5}$ <br> inches | Different (means with the same <br> letter are not Sign. Different) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010, Pool 8 | 30.94 | 5.17 | 17.3 | 40.55 | 109 | 85.32 | A |
| 1976, Pool 8 | 26.36 | 4.413 | 16.50 | 36.50 | 262 | 58.40 | B |
| 2008, Pool 8 | 25.59 | 5.325 | 12.21 | 39.37 | 131 | 46.56 | B |

There was no significant change in total length of females through the 2010 sampling period ( $\mathrm{n}=109, \mathrm{p}=0.9644$ ) suggesting that the size of females during sampling did not change as others have observed (Priegel and Krohn, 1975). From 1989 through 2007, no trend in female size was also documented during the spawning season in Navigation Pool 9 of the upper Mississippi River (WDNR, 2008).

In the present investigation, the smallest female found gravid was 17.3 inches in total length. All females found were gravid. This compares to size at maturity of 20-22 inches reported by Becker (1983) for lakes and 20-36 inches for the Mississippi River, Pool 8.

## Proportional Size Structure

Proportional Size Structure for quality northern pike $\left(\mathrm{PSS}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)$ in Pool 8 as summarized by the Graphical Fish Data Browser (http://www.umesc.usgs.gov/data_library/fisheries/graphical/fish_front.html) of the Long Term Resource Monitoring Program suggests a downward trend from 1993 to 2009 (Figure 8). The slope of a linear regression was not significantly different from zero ( $\mathrm{p}=0.1254$ ) suggesting no real trend. This data contained both male and females since fish were not sexed. If a trend in either sex was present, any significant trend may have been obscured by the inclusion of the other sex.

## Spawning Progression

In 2010, most northern pike females were recorded as ripe (37.6\%), followed by green (27.5\%), spent ( $25.7 \%$ ), and partially spent ( $9.1 \%$ ). Reproductive condition changed through time. A total of $26.5 \%$ of females were ripe $5.88 \%$ were spent during the first two days of sampling while no fish were ripe and $100 \%$ were spent during the last 3 days (Table 5). There was an increasing trend in water temperatures (Table 5) and evidence of spawning activity ceased when temperatures reached about $12.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spawning runs have been recorded to occur at temperatures between 1.1 and $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Becker, 1983).

TABLE 5. PERCENT RIPE AND SPENT 2010 FEMALE NORTHERN PIKE BY DATE, TEMPERATURE AND WATER SURFACE ELEVATION.

| DATE | ${ }^{\mathbf{}} \mathbf{C}$ | Water Surface Elevation (ft), La Crosse | \% RIPE | \% SPENT | Number of Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 / 23 / 2010$ | 5.1 | 636.46 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 18 |
| $3 / 24 / 2010$ | 5.0 | 636.68 | 31.3 | 12.5 | 16 |
| $3 / 25 / 2010$ | 4.6 | 636.91 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 18 |
| $3 / 26 / 2010$ | 4.3 | 637.24 | 66.7 | 20.0 | 15 |
| $3 / 30 / 2010$ | 7.4 | 637.38 | 23.5 | 52.9 | 17 |
| $3 / 31 / 2010$ | 9.0 | 637.28 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 5 |
| $4 / 1 / 2010$ | 11.1 | 636.93 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 13 |
| $4 / 2 / 2010$ | 12.3 | 636.71 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4 |
| $4 / 3 / 2010$ | 12.6 | 635.69 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2 |
| $4 / 4 / 2010$ | 10.4 | 635.33 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 |
| MEAN | 7.9 | $\mathbf{6 3 6 . 7 8}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ |

In 2010, most yellow perch females were recorded as green (80.4\%), followed by spent (9.8\%), ripe (8.1\%), immature (1.3\%) and partially spent ( $0.4 \%$ ). Reproductive condition changed through time. A total of $9.2 \%$ of females were ripe $0 \%$ were spent during the first two days of sampling while $30 \%$ of were ripe and $30 \%$ were spent during the last 2 days (Table 6). There was an increasing trend in water temperatures (Table 6) but we did not sample to the end of the spawning period. It appears that spawning started when the temperature was about $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was ongoing when temperatures reached about $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spawning runs have been recorded to occur at temperatures between 7.2 and $11.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Becker, 1983).

TABLE 6. PERCENT RIPE AND SPENT 2010 FEMALE YELLOW PERCH BY DATE, TEMPERATURE AND WATER SURFACE ELEVATION.

| DATE | ${ }^{\mathbf{}} \mathbf{C}$ | Water Surface Elevation (ft), La Crosse | \% RIPE | \% SPENT | Number of Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 / 23 / 2010$ | 5.1 | 636.46 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 35 |
| $3 / 24 / 2010$ | 5 | 636.68 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30 |
| $3 / 25 / 2010$ | 4.6 | 636.91 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 45 |
| $3 / 26 / 2010$ | 4.3 | 637.24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 |
| $3 / 30 / 2010$ | 7.4 | 637.38 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 18 |
| $3 / 31 / 2010$ | 9 | 637.28 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 12 |
| $4 / 1 / 2010$ | 11.1 | 636.93 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 49 |
| $4 / 2 / 2010$ | 12.3 | 636.71 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 27 |
| $4 / 3 / 2010$ | 12.6 | 635.69 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 5 |
| $4 / 4 / 2010$ | 10.4 | 635.33 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 5 |
| MEAN | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 6 . 7 8}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 3 2}$ |

## Catch per Effort

Mean catch per net-day for 2010 northern pike was 1.57 (Table 8). This was significantly lower than the 2008 Pool 8 catch per net-day (8.73) and the 1976 catch rate (7.40). During hatchery netting near Guttenberg, Iowa from 1995 to 2000, the catch rate was 2.2 fish per net set (Pitlo and Rasmussen, 2004), substantially lower than the 2008 and 1976 rates but about the same as the 2010 rate.

TABLE 8. NORTHERN PIKE MEAN CATCH PER NET-DAY, SPRING 2010, 2008 AND 1976.

|  <br> Location | Mean | Standard Dev. | Min. | Max. | Net-Days | Different (means with the <br> same letter are not Sign. <br> Different) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008, Pool 8 | 8.73 | 7.690 | 0 | 23.84 | 33.2 | A |
| 1976, Pool 8 | 7.40 | 9.096 | 0 | 47.00 | 199.0 | A |
| 2010, Pool 8 | 1.57 | 2.73 | 0 | 17.71 | 98.9 | B |

Mean catch per net-day for 2010 yellow perch was 8.01 . This was significantly higher than both the 2008 (1.12) and 1976 (0.29) rates (Table 8). Similar increases in Pool 8 yellow perch catch rates were found in recent WDNR Lakes sampling as well as the Long Term Resource Monitoring Program (http://www.umesc.usgs.gov/data_library/fisheries/graphical/randcpue.shtml).

TABLE 8. YELLOW PERCH MEAN CATCH PER NET-DAY SPRING 2010, 2008 AND 1976.

|  <br> Location | Mean | Standard Dev | Min. | Max. | Net-Days | Different (means with the <br> same letter are not Sign. <br> Different) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010, Pool 8 | 8.01 | 14.98 | 0 | 94.0 | 98.91 | A |
| 2008, Pool 8 | 1.12 | 2.429 | 0 | 11.91 | 33.24 | B |
| 1976, Pool 8 | 0.29 | 0.76 | 0 | 6.0 | 199.00 | B |

## Conclusions

Northern pike continue to comprise an important part of the sport fish community in Navigation Pool 8 of the upper Mississippi River although catch rates in 2010 were one-fifth of rates from 2008 and 1976.

In 2010, mean total length of Pool 8 northern pike females was significantly larger by over four inches than two previous studies in Pool 8. In a 2008 Pool 8 study, we observed a 2.37 inch decrease in the mean size of males compared to a similar study in 1976. A similar decrease was observed in Pool 9 (WDNR, 2008). However, in this 2010 investigation, no long term trend in male size was discerned.

Female northern pike minimum size at sexual maturity in the 2010 investigation was less than found in other studies and males were about the same.

In the Mississippi River bordering Minnesota, the northern pike bag and size limits are more liberal than the general inland regulations. The river is open all year, with no size limit and a bag limit of five fish. Inland, the general season extends from May 6 through March 4. In the northern zone the bag limit is five fish; there is no minimum size limit. In the southern zone the bag limit is two fish, with a 26 inch minimum size limit.

Yellow perch continue to comprise an important part of the sport fish community in Navigation Pool 8 of the upper Mississippi River. Perch catch rates were about eight times higher than rates from 2008 and 1976.

In the Mississippi River bordering Minnesota, the yellow perch bag limit is slightly more liberal than the general inland regulations. The river is open all year, and has a bag limit of 25 perch. Inland, the season extends all year as well, but the bag limit is a total of 25 panfish combined.

## Recommendations

1. Continue to monitoring northern pike populations in Pool 8 to verify or invalidate these findings.
2. Continue to monitoring northern pike catch by the Genoa National Fish Hatchery in Pool 9 to determine long-term trends in the upper Mississippi River outside of Pool 8.
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FIGURE 1. LOCATION OF ELEVEN FYKE NET SETS, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, NAVIGATION POOL 8, SPRING 2010. (2009 NAIP PHOTO).


FIGURE 2. SPRING 2010 MALE NORTHERN PIKE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION (INCHES), LAWRENCE/TARGET LAKE UNIT.


FIGURE 3. SPRING 2010 FEMALE NORTHERN PIKE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION (INCHES), LAWRENCE/TARGET LAKE UNIT.


FIGURE 4. SPRING 2008 MALE NORTHERN PIKE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION (INCHES), POOL 8.


FIGURE 5. SPRING 2008 FEMALE NORTHERN PIKE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION (INCHES), POOL 8.


FIGURE 6. SPRING 1976 MALE NORTHERN PIKE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION (INCHES), POOL 8.


FIGURE 7. SPRING 1976 FEMALE NORTHERN PIKE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION (INCHES), POOL 8.

| total len | inches | Freq | Cum. Freq | Percent | Cum. <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 13 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 14 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 16 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 17 | ******** | 4 | 4 | 1.53 | 1.53 |
| 18 | ********** | 5 | 9 | 1.91 | 3.44 |
| 19 | ******** | 4 | 13 | 1.53 | 4.96 |
| 20 | ********************** | 11 | 24 | 4.20 | 9.16 |
| 21 | **************** | 8 | 32 | 3.05 | 12.21 |
| 22 | ***************************************** | 21 | 53 | 8.02 | 20.23 |
| 23 |  | 22 | 75 | 8.40 | 28.63 |
| 24 | ********************************** | 19 | 94 | 7.25 | 35.88 |
| 25 | ****************************** | 15 | 109 | 5.73 | 41.60 |
| 26 | **************************************** | 22 | 131 | 8.40 | 50.00 |
| 27 |  | 16 | 147 | 6.11 | 56.11 |
| 28 | ************************************** | 21 | 168 | 8.02 | 64.12 |
| 29 | ***************** | 20 | 188 | 7.63 | 71.76 |
| 30 | ************* | 22 | 210 | 8.40 | 80.15 |
| 31 | ************************************** | 21 | 231 | 8.02 | 88.17 |
| 32 | ********************** | 11 | 242 | 4.20 | 92.37 |
| 33 | ******** | 4 | 246 | 1.53 | 93.89 |
| 34 | ************** | 7 | 253 | 2.67 | 96.56 |
| 35 | ******** | 4 | 257 | 1.53 | 98.09 |
| 36 | ******** | 4 | 261 | 1.53 | 99.62 |
| 37 | ** | 1 | 262 | 0.38 | 100.00 |
| 38 |  | 0 | 262 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 39 |  | 0 | 262 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 40 |  | 0 | 262 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 41 |  | 0 | 262 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 42 |  | 0 | 262 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE 8. POOL 8, 1993-2009 NORTHERN PIKE PROPORTIONAL STOCK DENSITY FROM LONG TERM RESOURCE MONITORING DATA.


## APPENDIX 1. 2010 NORTHERN PIKE DATA.

| DATE | STATION | HRS | TEMP_C | DEPTH | SEX | GRAVID | REPR_CON | LENGTHIN | SP_CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/23/2010 | 357 | 22.717 | 4.3 | 0.8 | F | Y | G | 29.961 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 357 | 22.717 | 4.3 | 0.8 | F | Y | G | 24.606 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 357 | 22.717 | 4.3 | 0.8 | M | Y | R | 21.85 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 358 | 22.867 | 4.8 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 35.866 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 358 | 22.867 | 4.8 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 34.488 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 358 | 22.867 | 4.8 | 0.6 | M | Y | R | 17.323 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 359 | 22.967 | 5.9 | 1.1 | F | Y | G | 30.394 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 26.772 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | R | 37.205 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 23.661 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 32.126 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | R | 29.213 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | R | 32.362 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 19.291 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 31.378 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 28.031 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | PS | 30.906 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 25.118 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 23.701 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 15.394 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 16.772 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 23.858 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 16.575 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 360 | 23.033 | 6.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 28.346 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 5.8 | 1 | F | Y | R | 40.551 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 5.8 | 1 | F | Y | PS | 37.008 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 5.8 | 1 | F | Y | G | 18.543 | L02 |
| 03/23/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 5.8 | 1 | M | Y | R | 19.646 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 353 | 24.25 | 4.9 | 1.4 | M | Y | G | 18.307 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 354 | 24.2 | 4.1 | 1.2 | F | Y | R | 33.268 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 354 | 24.2 | 4.1 | 1.2 | F | Y | S | 33.307 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 354 | 24.2 | 4.1 | 1.2 | F | Y | R | 29.921 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 354 | 24.2 | 4.1 | 1.2 | M | Y | R | 24.213 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 355 | 25.15 | 3.9 | 1.3 | U | N | I | 4.764 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 357 | 24.2 | 4.8 | 0.8 | F | Y | G | 30 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 357 | 24.2 | 4.8 | 0.8 | M | Y | R | 18.15 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 358 | 24.1 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 33.071 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 358 | 24.1 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 34.291 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 358 | 24.1 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 35.866 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 358 | 24.1 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 27.992 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | R | 29.016 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | R | 34.685 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 33.701 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | PS | 36.693 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 31.22 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 25.669 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 24.882 | L02 |


| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 23.858 | L02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/24/2010 | 360 | 23.767 | 5.3 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 26.417 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 6.5 | 1 | F | Y | PS | 27.244 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 6.5 | 1 | F | Y | S | 30.197 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 6.5 | 1 | M | Y | R | 28.425 | L02 |
| 03/24/2010 | 361 | 23.533 | 6.5 | 1 | M | Y | R | 24.409 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 354 | 23.783 | 3.9 | 1.2 | F | Y | S | 24.646 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 357 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 26.575 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 357 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 0.8 | F | Y | G | 31.89 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 357 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 0.8 | F | Y | G | 34.252 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 357 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 19.016 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 357 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 33.858 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 358 | 23.817 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 37.126 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 358 | 23.817 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 33.504 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 358 | 23.817 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | PS | 36.654 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 358 | 23.817 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 37.913 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 358 | 23.817 | 5.3 | 0.6 | F | Y | S | 31.378 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 360 | 24.017 | 5 | 0.7 | F | Y | R | 30.906 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 360 | 24.017 | 5 | 0.7 | F | Y | G | 35.591 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 360 | 24.017 | 5 | 0.7 | M | Y | R | 17.638 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 361 | 23.767 | 5.9 | 1 | F | Y | R | 35.039 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 361 | 23.767 | 5.9 | 1 | F | Y | PS | 37.441 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 361 | 23.767 | 5.9 | 1 | F | Y | G | 29.921 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 361 | 23.767 | 5.9 | 1 | F | Y | R | 23.898 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 361 | 23.767 | 5.9 | 1 | F | Y | PS | 26.063 | L02 |
| 03/25/2010 | 361 | 23.767 | 5.9 | 1 | M | Y | R | 16.299 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 354 | 26.383 | 4.2 | 1.2 | F | Y | G | 36.22 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 354 | 26.383 | 4.2 | 1.2 | F | Y | S | 32.362 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 357 | 23.183 | 4 | 0.8 | F | Y | S | 32.677 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 357 | 23.183 | 4 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 29.921 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 357 | 23.183 | 4 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 39.37 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 27.953 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 25.906 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 29.134 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | G | 25 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 33.78 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 35.236 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | F | Y | R | 39.37 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 358 | 23.15 | 4.2 | 0.6 | M | Y | R | 21.772 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 359 | 23.783 | 4.5 | 1.1 | U | N | I | 8.386 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 361 | 22.883 | 4.7 | 1 | F | Y | S | 23.228 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 362 | 22.683 | 4.6 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 18.898 | L02 |
| 03/26/2010 | 362 | 22.683 | 4.6 | 0.8 | F | Y | R | 20.079 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 357 | 25.817 | 7.4 | 1.1 | F | Y | S | 37.795 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 357 | 25.817 | 7.4 | 1.1 | F | Y | S | 35.236 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 358 | 23.917 | 6.9 | 1 | F | Y | S | 34.252 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 358 | 23.917 | 6.9 | 1 | F | Y | G | 35.827 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 358 | 23.917 | 6.9 | 1 | F | Y | R | 37.402 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 358 | 23.917 | 6.9 | 1 | F | Y | R | 27.953 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 358 | 23.917 | 6.9 | 1 | F | Y | G | 37.402 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 358 | 23.917 | 6.9 | 1 | F | Y | G | 30.787 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 361 | 26.333 | 8.7 | 1 | F | Y | R | 35.039 | L02 |


| 03/30/2010 | 361 | 26.333 | 8.7 | 1 | F | Y | S | 17.283 | L02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/30/2010 | 361 | 26.333 | 8.7 | 1 | M | Y | R | 19.685 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 361 | 26.333 | 8.7 | 1 | U | N | I | 10.827 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 363 | 24.383 | 6.6 | 1.7 | M | Y | G | 13.425 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 364 | 24.5 | 8 | 1.7 | F | Y | S | 33.071 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 364 | 24.5 | 8 | 1.7 | F | Y | S | 31.89 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 364 | 24.5 | 8 | 1.7 | F | Y | S | 30.512 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 364 | 24.5 | 8 | 1.7 | F | Y | S | 34.331 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 367 | 26.133 | 7.7 | 1.1 | F | Y | S | 34.646 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 367 | 26.133 | 7.7 | 1.1 | F | Y | R | 26.181 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 367 | 26.133 | 7.7 | 1.1 | F | Y | PS | 23.15 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 367 | 26.133 | 7.7 | 1.1 | M | Y | R | 21.654 | L02 |
| 03/30/2010 | 367 | 26.133 | 7.7 | 1.1 | U | N | I | 16.575 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 358 | 25.25 | 9 | 1 | F | Y | R | 21.85 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 358 | 25.25 | 9 | 1 | F | Y | PS | 33.465 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 358 | 25.25 | 9 | 1 | M | Y | S | 38.189 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 367 | 22.65 | 9.6 | 1.1 | F | Y | R | 33.937 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 367 | 22.65 | 9.6 | 1.1 | F | Y | PS | 35.827 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 367 | 22.65 | 9.6 | 1.1 | F | Y | R | 34.252 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 367 | 22.65 | 9.6 | 1.1 | M | Y | S | 23.937 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 367 | 22.65 | 9.6 | 1.1 | M | Y | S | 20.472 | L02 |
| 03/31/2010 | 367 | 22.65 | 9.6 | 1.1 | M | Y | S | 20.472 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 354 | 22.85 | 11.2 | 1.7 | F | N | S | 28.78 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 358 | 22.683 | 11.1 | 1 | F | Y | R | 29.134 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 358 | 22.683 | 11.1 | 1 | F | Y | R | 33.071 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 358 | 22.683 | 11.1 | 1 | F | Y | S | 35.433 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 358 | 22.683 | 11.1 | 1 | F | Y | R | 36.142 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 364 | 23.167 | 11.2 | 1.7 | F | N | S | 33.465 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 367 | 23.533 | 12.6 | 1.1 | F | N | S | 25.512 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 367 | 23.533 | 12.6 | 1.1 | M | N | S | 19.843 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 367 | 23.533 | 12.6 | 1.1 | M | N | S | 16.614 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 367 | 23.533 | 12.6 | 1.1 | M | N | S | 28.465 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | F | Y | R | 26.378 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | F | Y | R | 31.496 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | F | Y | R | 34.843 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | F | N | S | 32.677 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | F | Y | G | 28.425 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | F | Y | R | 21.654 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | M | N | S | 25.197 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | M | Y | PS | 28.74 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | M | N | S | 25.984 | L02 |
| 04/01/2010 | 368 | 23.733 | 12.4 | 1 | M | N | S | 25.197 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 357 | 23.167 | 11.8 | 1.1 | F | Y | S | 23.819 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 361 | 22.65 | 13.3 | 1 | F | Y | S | 30.709 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 361 | 22.65 | 13.3 | 1 | M | Y | PS | 28.346 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 364 | 26.15 | 13.1 | 1.7 | F | Y | S | 34.37 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 364 | 26.15 | 13.1 | 1.7 | F | Y | S | 35.63 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 364 | 26.15 | 13.1 | 1.7 | M | Y | S | 25.591 | L02 |
| 04/02/2010 | 365 | 26.417 | 10.8 | 1.8 | U | N | I | 8.071 | L02 |
| 04/06/2010 | 358 | 23.767 | 12.6 | 1 | F | N | S | 32.756 | L02 |
| 04/06/2010 | 361 | 24.283 | 13.4 | 1 | F | N | S | 26.378 | L02 |
| 04/06/2010 | 367 | 24.017 | 12.5 | 1.1 | M | N | S | 13.386 | L02 |


| $04 / 07 / 2010$ | 358 | 24.517 | 10.6 | 1 | F | N | S | 26.26 | L 02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $04 / 07 / 2010$ | 367 | 23.067 | 10.1 | 1.1 | M | N | S | 24.803 | L02 |
| $04 / 07 / 2010$ | 368 | 23.533 | 10 | 1 | M | N | S | 22.835 | L 02 |

